ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

RSCPublishing

Synthesis of a Diboryl-N-Heterocycle and its Conversion to a Bidentate Cationic Lewis Acid

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x Jeffrey M. Farrell^a and Douglas W. Stephan^a

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Sequential reaction of 2-lithio-1-methylimidazole with 9borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (9-BBN) dimer and 9-Cl-9-BBN yields diboryl-N-heterocycle $C_4H_5N_2(H)(BC_8H_{14})_2$ (1). Reaction of 1 with I₂ results in the net substitution of chelated hydride for a singly boron-bound iodide to produce $C_4H_5N_2(I)(BC_8H_{14})_2$ (2). Conversely, reaction of 1 with [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄] results in the formation of the bidentate cationic Lewis acid [(C₄H₅N₂)(BC₈H₁₄)₂][B(C₆F₅)₄] (3). Compound 3 catalyzes the hydrogenation of N-benzylidene*tert*-butylamine at room-temperature.

Highly electrophilic Lewis acids provide access to an evergrowing array of small molecule activations and catalytic processes. Indeed, the reactivity of bulky main-group Lewis acids and bases with small molecules in what have become known as frustrated Lewis pairs (FLPs) has allowed the emergence of metal-free avenues for hydrogenation catalysis, hydroamination and CO₂ reduction.¹⁻⁷ Although base variation in FLPs has been extensively studied, Lewis acid variation offers a distinct opportunity to uncover new reactivity.

Though rarely encountered in synthetic chemistry, neutral⁸⁻²¹ and cationic²²⁻²⁷ bidentate Lewis acids often exhibit striking reactivity. In FLP chemistry, $C_{10}H_6(B(C_6F_5)_2)_2$ has been utilized by Berke and co-workers²⁸ for hydrogen activation and hydrogenation catalysis. Furthermore, bidentate Lewis acids have been used for CO₂ capture and reduction both stoichiometrically^{29, 30} and catalytically.³¹ Moreover, bidentate dicationic diphosphorous Lewis acids have recently been employed in FLPs capable of C-H and H-H bond activations.^{32, 33} Certainly, the paucity of reports regarding bidentate Lewis acids can be attributed to their sensitivity and challenging syntheses. Therefore, straightforward syntheses will assure swift developments in this emerging field.

Borenium ions are three-coordinate boron cations.³⁴⁻³⁶ The Lewis acidity of these compounds is enhanced, often greatly, by their cationic charge. Currently, the study of borenium ions in catalytic roles has emerged as a burgeoning field.^{34, 37-50} Our group reported FLP hydrogenation catalysis using an Nheterocyclic carbene (NHC) stabilized borenium ion.⁵¹ Following our account, Wildgoose and Ashley and co-workers demonstrated the use of this compound for the electrocatalytic oxidation of H_{21}^{52} while borenium mediated hydrogenations have been broadened by both Crudden and co-workers⁵³ and our group.⁵⁴ The latter two reports exploited the straightforward and flexible syntheses of NHC-borenium ions from readily available and robust NHC-boranes^{55, 56} to gain access to an array of structurally and electronically diverse NHC-borenium catalysts. Synthetic ease is a key advantage of utilizing NHC-borenium ions in FLPs as an alternative to the more pervasive, yet synthetically challenging, neutral perfluoroarylboranes. Accordingly, this characteristic prompted us to investigate NHC-borenium ions as a platform for bidentate Lewis acid synthesis.

Erker, 2002:



Scheme 1 Addition of boranes to 2-lithio-1-methylimidazole.

Erker and co-workers have demonstrated the synthesis of lithium borate salts though the addition of boranes to 2-lithio-1-methylimidazole (Scheme 1).57 We reasoned that such a lithium borate species could be intercepted with an additional boron electrophile to synthesize a diboryl-N-heterocycle. To this end, 2-lithio-1-methylimidazole was treated with 0.5 equivalents of 9-BBN dimer followed by stoichiometric 9-Cl-9-BBN to give the hydride-bridged diboryl-N-heterocycle 1 (Scheme 1). The product was isolated via recrystallization in 56% yield and exhibits a single broad resonance by ¹¹B-NMR spectroscopy at 15.1 ppm despite two inequivalent boron centers. This chemical shift is intermediate between those generally observed for four-coordinate donor adducts of 9-BBN and three-coordinate donor-stabilized borenium ions.^{51,} 58-60 These data suggest the possibility of hydride bridging between the two boron centers of 1. This notion was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Figure 1). The BC_{NHC} and BN bond lengths of 1 are 1.610(5) Å and 1.562(5) Å, respectively. This $\mathsf{BC}_{\mathsf{NHC}}$ bond length is intermediate to those observed for related NHC-9-BBN compounds and corresponding borenium salts [NHC-9-BBN][B(C₆F₅)₄].^{51, 54} Thus, despite the presumed electronic difference between N and C donors of 1, these data suggest no apparent preference of hydride for either boron center. This behaviour mimics the hydride chelation and corresponding NMR behaviour of closely related pyrazole 9-BBN analogues reported by Yalpani et. al.⁶¹



Figure 1 POV-ray depiction of **1**. N: blue; C: black; B: yellowgreen. H: gray. H-atoms except B-H are omitted for clarity.

Curran and Lacôte and co-workers have described the oxidation of NHC-BH₃ compounds with iodine to yield corresponding products of the form NHC-BH₂I.⁶² Using the same approach, treatment of **1** with 0.5 equivalents iodine in toluene results in the immediate evolution of gas and a loss of colour. The product **2** can be obtained as crystals from toluene solution in 45% yield (Scheme 2). The ¹¹B-NMR spectrum of **2** shows broad resonances at 67.6 and 8.50 ppm indicative of three-coordinate and four-coordinate boron environments, respectively. The structure of **2** was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallography and indicates a net substitution of hydride in **1** for iodide (Figure 2). The N-bound boron center adopts near-planar geometry with a sum of bond angles of 356.1°. A B-I bond length of 2.470(2) Å is observed as well as

B-N bond length of 1.521(2) Å and a B-C_{NHC} bond length of 1.598(3) Å. Presumably due to its size, iodine is not "chelated" between the two boron centers as seen for hydride in 1. Rather, iodide is preferentially bonded to the C_{NHC}-bound boron center with the other boron center left uncoordinated in a "zwitterionic borenium" arrangement.



Figure 2 POV-ray depiction of **2**. N: blue; C: black; B: yellowgreen. I: purple. H-atoms are omitted for clarity.



Scheme 2 Synthesis of compounds 2 and 3.

Treatment of **1** with $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ at room temperature in CD₂Cl₂ results in the stoichiometric generation of triphenylmethane by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. ¹¹B-NMR spectroscopy shows two broad downfield resonances indicative of two three-coordinate boron environments and a sharp upfield singlet corresponding to $[B(C_6F_5)_4]^{-1}$. These data suggest quantitative hydride abstraction from 1 to give the cationic diboryl-N-heterocycle 3 (Scheme 2). Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained from the NMR-scale reaction and the X-ray structural solution supports the suggested formulation (Figure 3). Both boron centers are threecoordinate with the sums of bond angles about B_{N} and B_{C} found to be 358.6° and 359.3°, respectively. A B-N bond length of 1.468(5) Å and a B-C_{NHC} bond length of 1.587(5) Å were observed. The latter bond length is similar to B-C_{NHC} bond lengths of other [NHC-BBN]⁺ ions.^{51, 54} The short B-N bond

length observed for 3 together with small C_{NHC}-N-B-C_{BBN} dihedral angles (eg. a 13.2° dihedral angle measured in 3 greatly contrasts with a 89.4° dihedral angle measured in 2) infer significant resonance contribution from a non-aromatic structure bearing a BN double bond (Scheme 2). This assessment is further supported by the significant discrepancy between the N-heterocycle N- $C_{\rm B}$ bond lengths of 3, which are 1.367(5) Å and 1.334(5) Å, respectively. These data indicate a more localized bonding character than anticipated for a pseudo-aromatic description.



Figure 3 POV-ray depiction 3 N: blue; C: black; B: yellowgreen. F: pink. H-atoms are omitted for clarity.

In order to probe the utility of 3 as a Lewis acid in FLP chemistry, we attempted the FLP catalyzed hydrogenation of an imine.⁶³ To our delight, the use of 5 mol% 3 as a catalyst effected the room-temperature hydrogenation of substrate Nbenzylidene-tert-butylamine to N-benzyl-tert-butylamine. A 49% conversion to product was observed by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy after 24 h reaction time in CH₂Cl₂ under 102 atm H₂. Presumably the reaction proceeds in an analogous fashion reported NHC-borenium to previously catalyzed hydrogenations^{51, 54} wherein the bulky Lewis basic imine substrate and the borenium ion comprise an FLP that heterolytically cleaves H₂. The iminium ion generated is subsequently attacked by boron-bound hydride to give the reduced amine and the regenerated borenium salt. As expected based on this mechanism, a similar reaction carried out using 5 mol% of the neutral compound 1 as a catalyst in place of 3 failed to show any conversion of the substrate to hydrogenated product by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy after 24 h reaction time in C_6D_5Br under 102 atm H_2 at room temperature.



Scheme 3 Hydrogenation catalysis attempts using compounds 1 and 3.

The easily accessible compound **3** illustrates the synthetic feasibility of cationic diboryl-N-heterocycles as bidentate Lewis acids. The divergent bonding arrangements of hydride and iodide when coordinated to this Lewis acid are also striking. A consistent challenge in FLP chemistry is to overcome the poor selectivity of highly electrophilic boranes for small molecules of interest in the presence of competing donor functional groups. Therefore, the divergent bonding arrangements of anionic donors in 1 and 2 combined with the demonstration of catalytic imine hydrogenation by 3 urges future study of these compounds for selective FLP processes.

In summary, we have prepared an N-boryl substituted NHC-borane (1) with hydride bridging the two boron centers. This species is shown to react with I₂ to give the "zwitterionic borenium-borate" **2**. Treatment of **1** with $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ cleanly abstracts hydride to provide facile access to the cationic bidentate Lewis acid 3. Compound 3 readily catalyzes the room-temperature hydrogenation of N-benzylidene-tertbutylamine. The further use of these compounds in FLP chemistry and their structural modification through judicious choice of borane and N-heterocycle are key areas of on-going examination. The results of these studies will be reported in due course.

Notes and references

NSERC of Canada is thanked for financial support. DWS is grateful for the award of a Canada Research Chair.

^a Department of Chemistry, 80 St. George Street, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5S 3H6. *E-mail: dstephan@chem.utoronto.ca*

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details, NMR data and details of the crystal structure determinations. CCDC 1414031-1414033. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1. G. Erker and D. W. Stephan, Frustrated Lewis Pairs I & II, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
- 2. D. W. Stephan and G. Erker, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 46.
- D. W. Stephan and G. Erker, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 6400. 3
- D. W. Stephan, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2008, 6, 1535. 4.
- 5. D. W. Stephan, Dalton Trans, 2009, 3129.
- D. W. Stephan, S. Greenberg, T. W. Graham, P. Chase, J. J. Hastie, S. 6. J. Geier, J. M. Farrell, C. C. Brown, Z. M. Heiden, G. C. Welch and M. Ullrich, Inorg. Chem., 2011, 50, 12338. 7.
 - G. C. Welch, R. R. S. Juan, J. D. Masuda and D. W. Stephan, Science, 2006. 314. 1124.
- 8. K. Maruoka, Pure Appl. Chem., 2002, 74, 123.
- 9. W. E. Piers, G. J. Irvine and V. C. Williams, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2000, 2131.
- 10 J. D. Wuest, Acc. Chem. Res, 1999, 32, 81.
- V. C. Williams, W. E. Piers, W. Clegg, M. R. J. Elsegood, S. Collins 11. and T. B. Marder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 3244.
- 12. T. Ooi, T. Miura and K. Maruoka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 2347
- 13. T. Ooi, M. Takahashi and K. Maruoka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 11307
- 14. D. J. H. Emslie, W. E. Piers and M. Parvez, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2003. 42. 1252.
- 15. H. E. Katz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 1420.
- M. Melaimi and F. P. Gabbaï, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 9680. 16.
- 17. N. Asao, P. Liu and K. Maruoka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1997, 36, 2507.

61.

62

Page 4 of 5

- 18. S. N. Kessler, M. Neuburger and H. A. Wegner, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, 3238.
- T. W. Hudnall, C.-W. Chiu and F. P. Gabbaï, Acc. Chem. Res, 2009, 42, 388.
- 20. M. Hirai and F. P. Gabbaï, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 1205.
- 21. D. F. Shriver and M. J. Biallas, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1967, 89, 1078.
- 22. W. Uhl, J. Grunenberg, A. Hepp, M. Matar and A. Vinogradov, Angew. Chem., 2006, 118, 4465.
- 23. W. Uhl, A. Vinogradov and S. Grimme, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 11259.
- 24. M. H. Lee and F. P. Gabbaï, Inorg. Chem., 2007, 46, 8132.
- T. W. Hudnall, Y.-M. Kim, M. W. P. Bebbington, D. Bourissou and F. P. Gabbai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 10890.
- 26. H. Zhao and F. P. Gabbaï, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 1444.
- 27. H. Zhao and F. P. Gabbaï, Nat Chem, 2010, 2, 984.
- 28. C. Jiang, O. Blacque and H. Berke, Chem. Commun., 2009, 5518.
- 29. X. Zhao and D. W. Stephan, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 1833.
- M. J. Sgro, J. Dömer and D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 7253.
- 31. Z. Lu, H. Hausmann, S. Becker and H. A. Wegner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, **137**, 5332.
- 32. M. H. Holthausen, J. M. Bayne, I. Mallov, R. Dobrovetsky and D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 7298.
- 33. M. H. Holthausen, R. R. Hiranandani and D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 2016.
- T. S. De Vries, A. Prokofjevs and E. Vedejs, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012 112, 4246.
- 35. P. Koelle and H. Noeth, Chem. Rev., 1985, 85, 399.
- 36. W. E. Piers, S. C. Bourke and K. D. Conroy, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 5016.
- T. S. De Vries, A. Prokofjevs, J. N. Harvey and E. Vedejs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 14679.
- 38. A. Prokofjevs and E. Vedejs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 20056.
- A. Prokofjevs, A. Boussonniere, L. Li, H. Bonin, E. Lacote, D. P. Curran and E. Vedejs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 12281.
- 40. A. Prokofjevs, J. Jermaks, A. Borovika, J. W. Kampf and E. Vedejs, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 6701.
- 41. A. Boussonnière, X. Pan, S. J. Geib and D. P. Curran, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 7445.
- X. Pan, A. Boussonniere and D. P. Curran, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 14433.
- A. Del Grosso, R. G. Pritchard, C. A. Muryn and M. J. Ingleson, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 241.
- 44. M. Ingleson, Synlett, 2012, 23, 1411
- 45. P. Eisenberger, A. M. Bailey and C. M. Crudden, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, **134**, 17384.
- J. Chen, R. A. Lalancette and F. Jäkle, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 4893.
- 47. S. E. Denmark and Y. Ueki, Organometallics, 2013, 32.
- 48. E. J. Corey and C. J. Helal, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 1986.
- 49. E. J. Corey, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 2100.
- T. Stahl, K. Müther, Y. Ohki, K. Tatsumi and M. Oestreich, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 10978.
- 51. J. M. Farrell, J. A. Hatnean and D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, **134**, 15728.
- E. J. Lawrence, T. J. Herrington, A. E. Ashley and G. G. Wildgoose, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 9922.
- 53. P. Eisenberger, B. P. Bestvater, E. C. Keske and C. M. Crudden, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 2467.
- 54. J. M. Farrell, R. T. Posaratnanathan and D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 2010.
- M. M. Brahmi, J. Monot, M. Desage-El Murr, D. P. Curran, L. Fensterbank, E. Lacôte and M. Malacria, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 6983.
- D. P. Curran, A. Solovyev, M. M. Brahmi, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria and E. Lacôte, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 10294.
- D. Vagedes, G. Kehr, D. König, K. Wedeking, R. Fröhlich, G. Erker, C. Mück-Lichtenfeld and S. Grimme, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, 2015.
- 58. D. M. Lindsay and D. McArthur, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 2474.
- D. McArthur, C. P. Butts and D. M. Lindsay, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 6650.
- 60. T. Matsumoto and F. P. Gabbai, Organometallics, 2009, 28, 4252.

- M. Yalpani, R. Boese and R. Köster, *Chem. Ber.*, 1990, **123**, 1285.
 A. Solovyev, Q. Chu, S. J. Geib, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria, E. Lacôte and D. P. Curran, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 15072.
- P. A. Chase, G. C. Welch, T. Jurca and D. W. Stephan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, 46, 8050.

Journal Name

TOC Graphic



ChemComm