Volume 1 | Number 1 | Jan 2013 | Pages 1–100

ChemComm

Chemical Communications
www.rsc.org/chemcomm

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **Ethical guidelines** still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Chemical Communications

COMMUNICATION

Continuous and Convergent Access to Vicinyl Amino Alcohols

Tomoya Nobuta,†[a] Guozhi Xiao,†[a] Diego Ghislieri,[a] Kerry Gilmore,*[a] and Peter H. Seeberger*[a,b]

Five active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) containing the vicinyl amino alcohol moiety were synthesized using a convergent chemical assembly system. The continuous system is composed of four flow reaction modules: biphasic oxidation, Corey–Chaykovsky epoxidation, phenol alkylation, and epoxide aminolysis. Judicious choice of reagents and module order allowed for two classes of β-amino alcohols, aryl and aryloxy, to be synthesized in good (27-69%) overall yields.

The chemical synthesis of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) is generally accomplished via a linear batch approach where a single process produces a single compound. Flow chemistry is a conceptual advance that allows for greater control over reaction conditions[1] and the ability to combine several sequential flow reactors to achieve multi-step processes.[2,3,4] Chemical assembly systems (CAS)[5] are based on telescoping sequential robust reaction units that are linked together in a non-iterative fashion. Multi-step syntheses of a wide range of compounds of similar[6] or unique[5] structural cores can be accessed in a divergent fashion (Figure 1). Here, we report on the application of CAS to convergent syntheses, where two structural variations of a key epoxide intermediate can be trapped in an aminolysis reaction to produce two distinct classes of β-amino alcohols, including *five* APIs.

A variety of biologically active natural products, chiral auxiliaries, ligands, and APIs for the treatment of hypertension or as bronchodilators contain β-amino alcohols as an important structural core.[7,8,9] Synthetic approaches to β-amino alcohols abound,[10] including amino acid reduction,[8a] coupling reactions, α-functionalization,[11] amino-hydroxylation, and epoxide ring opening.[12] Two classes of pharmaceutically relevant compounds, aryl and aryloxy vicinyl amino alcohols, should be accessible via convergent

epoxidations followed by a final ring opening with an amine (Figure 2).

Figure 1. Comparison of concepts for the chemical synthesis of small molecules.


Figure 2. Convergent synthesis of aryl and aryloxy vicinyl amino alcohols via a concluding epoxide ring opening.

Aryl epoxides should be accessible via a one-to-two step oxidation/Corey-Chaykovsky process. Employing robust and selective biphasic alcohol-oxidation conditions we developed

earlier,[5] the first transformation is readily achieved and thus a continuous Corey-Chaykovsky epoxidation needed to be developed. Using benzaldehyde as a model system, a 0.5 M toluene solution was mixed with an aqueous solution of trimethylsulfonium iodide $((CH_3)_3S(I)O)$, sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and a substoichiometric amount of phase transfer catalyst (tetrabutylammonium iodide (TBAI)). The resulting biphasic solution was passed through a 10 mL reactor held at 90 °C at a pressure of 2 bar. Clean conversions to styrene oxide were observed with $(CH_3)_3S(I)O$ and NaOH in excess (1.4-2 equiv., Table 1 entries 1-3) after 24 minutes. Shorter reaction times, temperature, and the absence of TBAI resulted in decreased conversion (entries 5-7).

**Table 1.** Optimization of Corey-Chykovsky reaction (Module 2) in flow.[a]

Entry	Me$_3$SOI[b]	NaOH[b]	TBAI[b]	Residence time (min)	Conversion of 1 (%)
1	1.4	1.4	0.1	24	95
2	1.7	1.7	0.1	24	97
3	2.0	2.0	0.1	24	96
4	1.7	1.7	0.1	40	96
5	1.7	1.7	0.1	10	88
6	1.7	1.7	0.1	24	85
7	1.7	1.7	0.0	24	79

[a] Pump A : benzaldehyde (**1**) in toluene (0.5 M), flow rate : 0.083 ml/min. Pump B: $(CH_3)_3S(I)O$ (1.7 equiv., 0.21 M), NaOH (1.7 equiv.), TBAI (0.1 equiv.) in water, flow rate: 0.33 ml/min, reactor size: 10 mL, pressure: 1-2 bar. [b] Equivalents with respect to benzaldehyde. [c] Reaction run at 60 °C. BPR: back pressure regulator, LLS: liquid/liquid separator. For full experimental details, see ESI.

Using optimized conditions (97%, entry 2), we next turned to the amine ring-opening. While epoxide opening traditionally employed Lewis acids (LA), the elevated pressures and temperatures during microwave[13] and flow[12] transformations allow for clean reactions without LA catalysts. We aimed to combine epoxidation and ring opening by modifying established flow conditions.[12] Thus, the crude toluene solution containing styrene oxide was mixed with $^{t}BuNH_2$ in toluene/ethanol (2:1). With three equivalents of amine, good conversion to the desired regioisomer was observed after 50 minutes at 150 °C (Table 2, entry 1). Increasing the amount of amine to seven equivalents resulted in the highest regioselectivity at essentially full conversion (entry 3). Decreasing both the temperature and time resulted in lower conversions (entries 4, 5). No product resulting from the bis-alkylation of the amine was observed under these conditions.

Table 2. Optimization of aminolysis (Module 3) in flow.[a]

Entry	$^{t}BuNH_2$ (equiv.)	Residence Time (min)	Temp. (°C)	Ratio[b] **3 : 4 : 2**
1	3	50	150	68 : 18 : 14
2	5	50	150	76 : 13 : 11
3	7	50	150	83 : 13 : 4
4	5	50	130	64 : 13 : 23
5	5	20	150	62 : 12 : 26

[a] Crude styrene oxide (**2**, 0.33 M in toluene), $^{t}BuNH_2$ in toluene/ethanol (1 : 0.5), flow rates each 0.2 ml min^{-1}. For full experimental details, see ESI. [b] As determined by ^{1}H NMR. BPR: back pressure regulator.

Modules 2 and 3 were connected with the help of a modified Jensen liquid-liquid separator,[14] allowing for the toluene phase exiting the epoxidation to be used directly in the ring opening reaction. Thus, after a total residence time of 74 minutes, benzaldehyde was transformed into 2-(*tert*-butylamino)-1-phenylethanol **3** in 57% yield following off-line crystallization. A range of haloarenes reacted with isopropyl or *tert*-butyl amine without intermediate purification using the modular set-up. Moderate to good yields of the desired regioisomer were observed following product crystallization (Figure 3). Tulobuterol, a $β_2$-adrenergic agonist used to treat asthma,[15] was targeted. After passing 2-chloro benzyl alcohol through Modules 1, 2, and 3 – with separators between modules 1/2 and 2/3 – the API was obtained in 27% yield (Figure 3).


Figure 3. Aryl vicinyl amino alcohols produced by continuous synthesis. [a] Residence time of Corey Chaykovsky reaction is 40 min. See ESI for full experimental details.

A number of hypertension medications such as propranolol, alprenolol, and metoprolol[16] contain the aryloxy β-amino alcohol motif. These targets were selected to expand the scope of the modular flow synthesis of vicinyl amino alcohols. Typical phenol alkylations require a large excess of epichlorohydrin equivalents[17] in the presence of an inorganic base.[18] However, homogeneous solutions would facilitate the development of a flow process. A biphasic solution, obtained by mixing aqueous sodium hydroxide solution with a phenol in neat epichlorohydrin, should provide the desired aryloxy epoxide (Table 3).

The sodium hydroxide solution (1.95 M, 1.3 equiv.) is combined with phenol in three equivalents of neat epichlorohydrin via a T-mixer and passed through a reactor (1 h reaction time at 35 °C). Two major alkylation products (**10, 11**) were observed in roughly a 2:1 ratio, with the desired epoxide **10** obtained in 56% (Table 3, entry 1). Addition of substoichiometric amounts of PTC resulted in an increased conversion of the intermediate halohydrin (**11**) into the desired product (entry 2). At a reaction temperature of 45 °C, **10** was obtained in 86% yield while no intermediate halohydrin was observed (entry 3). At higher temperatures, a diol was formed by sodium hydroxide mediated ring opening of the epoxide.[19] Reducing the excess of epichlorohydrin to two equivalents (entry 5, final conditions) had no effect, while a further reduction of the reagent to one equivalent yielded sizable amounts of dimer **12** (entry 6). Shortening the residence time in the reactor to 46 minutes resulted in incomplete conversion (entry 4).

Table 3. Optimization of the phenol alkylation in flow.[a]

Entry	Epichlo-rohydrin (equiv.)	Temp (°C)	TBACl (equiv.)	Residence time (min)	Yield (%)		
					10	**11**	**12**
1	3	35	–	60	56	24	–
2	3	35	0.1	60	68	15	–
3	3	45	0.1	60	86	–	–
4	3	45	0.1	46	80	8	–
5	2	45	0.1	60	85	–	–
6	1	45	0.1	60	65	–	21

[a] Pump A: Phenol, TBACl and epichlorohydrin, Pump B: 1.95 M NaOH (1.3 equiv.), Reactor size: 4 mL. For full experimental details, see ESI.

Using this method, chiral aryloxy epoxides can be generated efficiently. When enantiopure epicholohydrin is reacted using the conditions described above, the reaction proceeds with 93.7% *ee* (Figure 4).


Figure 2: Synthesis of (S)-2-((naphthalen-1-yloxy)methyl)oxirane using enantiopure (R)-epichlorohydrin.

Module 4 depends on the solubility of the intermediate aryloxide in the biphasic solution. In cases where a precipitate forms, as occurred during the synthesis of metoprolol **20**, Module 4 can split into a two-stage alkylation.[20,21,22] Phenol was efficiently alkylated at 110 °C after 60 minutes when epichlorohydrin served as solvent (3.1 M, 2 equiv.). By mixing the resulting solution with aqueous NaOH at 45 °C, 84% of the desired epoxide could be obtained after only 30 minutes (Figure 5).[19]


Figure 3: To accommodate water-soluble and insoluble phenoxides, two variants of Module 4, were implemented.

With a reliable process for the procurement of epoxide 10 in hand, the aminolysis module was connected (Table 2). Alkyl epoxides proved more active than aryl epoxides such that the ring opening proceeded at lower temperatures and shorter reaction times (Table 4). The epoxide solution was converted in just 20 min when mixed with five equivalents of isopropylamine in ethanol at 120 °C (entry 4). The reaction proceeds efficiently at 100 °C, but requires longer residence times (entry 2). Only one regioisomer and no amine dialkylation product were observed.

Table 3: Optimization of aminolysis in flow.[a]

Entry	T (°C)	Residence time (min)	Conversion (%)
1	80	30	78
2	100	30	100
3	100	20	85
4	120	20	100

[a] Pump A: epoxide in toluene , pump B: isopropylamine (5 equiv.) in EtOH, reactor size: 20 mL, BPR: 5.2 bar. For full experimental details, see ESI. BPR: back pressure regulator.

Finally, modules 3 and 4 were combined to yield the final sequence. The biphasic solution exiting module 4 is mixed with toluene. The toluene phase is removed using a membrane-based separator[14] and directly fed into module 3. Amino alcohol **16** was obtained in 48% yield from phenol, following off-line crystallization (Figure 6). Several active pharmaceutical ingredients were prepared based on a judicious choice of starting materials. Racemic and chiral aryloxy β-amino alcohols can be prepared including propranolol (**17**, 51%),[23] used to treat hypertension,[24] alprenolol (**18**, 42%), an angina pectoris medication,[25] and the hypertension drugs bupranolol (**19**, 69%),[26] as well as metoprolol (**20**, 69%).

Figure 4: Overview over aryloxy vicinyl amino alcohols produced by continuous synthesis.

Conclusions

Described is the development of a convergent chemical assembly system consisting of four modules that reliably produces aryl and aryloxy β-amino alcohols in good yields. Modular flow units include biphasic oxidations,[5] Corey-Chaykovsky epoxidations, aryloxy alkylations, and epoxide aminolysis.[12] Aqueous in-line workup using liquid-liquid extractors resulted in continuous processes without intermediate purification. Starting from phenols or benzyl alcohols five active pharmaceutical ingredients for the treatment of hypertension, agina pectoris, and bronchiodilation were produced. Enantiopure aryloxy β-amino alcohols can be prepared by starting from R/S epichlorohydrin.

Notes and references

1 (a) I. W. Davies, C. J. Welch, *Science* 2009, **325**, 701; (b) J.-I. Yoshida, Y. Takahashi, A. Nagaki, *Chem. Commun.* 2013, **49**, 9896; (c) L. Malet-Sanz, F. Susanne, *J. Med. Chem.* 2012, **55**, 4062.

2 For recent selected reviews about flow chemistry, see: (a) V. Hessel, *Chem. Eng. Technol.* 2009, **32**, 1655; (b) D. Webb, T. F. Jamison, *Chem. Sci.* 2010, **1**, 675; (c) R. L. Hartman, J. P. McMullen, K. F. Jensen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, **50**, 7502; (d) N. G. Anderson, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2012, **16**, 852; (e) D. T. McQuade, P. H. Seeberger, *J. Org. Chem.* 2013, **78**, 6384; (f) K. S. Elvira, X. C. Solvas, R. C. R. Wootton, A. J. deMello, *Nature Chemistry*, 2013, **5**, 905; (g) S. V. Ley, D. E. Fitzpatrick, R. J. Ingham, R. M. Myers, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, **54**, 3449.

3 J. C. Pastre, D. L. Browne, S. V. Ley, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, **42**, 8849.

4 For select examples, see: (a) M. D. Hopkin, I. R. Baxendale and S. V. Ley, *Chem. Commun.* 2010, **46**, 2450; (b) A. R. Bogdan, S. L. Poe, D. C. Kubis, S. J. Broadwater, D. T. McQuade, *Angew. Chem.* 2009, **121**, 8699; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, **48**, 8547; (c) M. Viviano, T. N. Glasnov, B. Reichart, G. Tekautz, C. O. Kappe, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2011, **15**, 858; (d) D. R. Snead, T. F. Jamison, *Chem. Sci.* 2013, **4**, 2822; (e) L. Kupracz, A. Kirschning, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2013, **355**, 3375; (f) M. D. Hopkin, I. R. Baxendale, S. V. Ley, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2013, **11**, 1822; (g) T. Gustafsson, H. Sörensen, F. Pontén, Org. Process Res. Dev. 2012, 16, 925; (h) F. Lévesque, P. H. Seeberger, *Angew. Chem.* 2012, **124**, 1738; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, **51**, 1706; (i) D. Kopetzki, F. Lévesque, P. H. Seeberger, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, **19**, 5450; (j) D. R. Snead, T. F. Jamison, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, **54**, 983; (k) C. A. Correia, K. Gilmore, D. T. McQuade, P. H. Seeberger, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, **54**, 4945.

5 D. Ghislieri, K. Gilmore, P. H. Seeberger, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, **54**, 678.

6 K. Gilmore, D. Kopetzki, J. W. Lee, Z. Horváth, D. T. McQuade, A. Seidel-Morgenstern, P. H. Seeberger, *Chem. Commun.* 2014, **50**, 12652.

7 (a) D. J. Ager, I. Prakash, D. R. Schaad, *Chem. Rev.* 1996, **96**, 835; (b) I. Gallou, C. H. Senanayake, *Chem. Rev.* 2006, **106**, 2843; (c) J. L. Vicario, D. Badia, L. Carrillo, E. Reyes, J. Etxebarria, *Curr. Org. Chem.* 2005, **9**, 219; (d) F. Fache, E. Schulz, M. L. Tommasino, M. Lemaire, *Chem. Rev.* 2000, **100**, 2159.

8 (a) D. J. Ager, I. Prakash, D. R. Schaad, *Chem. Rev.* 1996, **96**, 835; (c) E. J. Corey, F. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1999, **38**, 1931; (c) P. O'Brien, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1999, **38**, 326; (d) D. S. Bose, A. V. Narsaiah, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2005, **3**, 627.

9 Amino alcohol drugs such as Metoprolol, Atenolol were among the Top 200 brand name drugs by total prescriptions in 2012, see: N. A. McGrath, M. Brichacek, J. T. Njardarson, *J. Chem. Educ.* 2010, **87**, 1348.

10 S. C. Bermeier, *Tetrahedron* 2000, **56**, 561.

11 (a) S. Sohda, M. Fujimoto, T. Tamegai, N. Hirose, *J. Med. Chem.* 1979, **22**, 279; (b) E. Babad, N. I. Carruthers, R. S. Jaret, M. Steinman, *Synthesis* 1988, **12**, 966.

12 M. W. Bedore, N. Zaborenko, K. F. Jensen, T. F. Jamison, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2010, **14**, 432.

13 (a) H. Desai, B. R. D'Souza, D. Foether, B. F. Johnson, H. A. Lindsay, *Synthesis* 2007, 902; (b) A. Robin, F. Brown, N. Bahamontes-Rosa, B. Wu, E. Beitz, J. F. J. Kun, S. L. Flitsch, *J. Med. Chem.* 2007, **50**, 4243; (c) P. Lidström, J. Tierney, B. Wathey, J. Westman, *Tetrahedron* 2001, **57**, 9225.

14 For details of the modification, see ESI. For the original description of the membrane-based separator, see: J. G. Kralj, H. R. Sahoo, K. F Jensen, *Lab Chip* 2007, **7**, 256.

15 T. Ichikawa, H. Sugiura, *Research and Reports in Transdermal Drug Delivery* 2013, **2**, 9.

16 M. Sittig, *Pharmaceutical manufacturing encyclopedia*, Second Edition, Volume 1, Noyes publications, **1988**.

17 Typical conditions utilize epichlorohydrin in as much as 20x excess, see: ref.16, pp. 110.

18 S. Srivastava, K. Bhandari, G. Shankar, H. K. Singh, A. K. Saxena, *Med. Chem. Res.* 2004, **13**, 631.

19 See supporting information for further details.

20 (a) K. Kawamura, T. Ohta, G. Otani, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 1990, **38**, 2092; (b) K. Sakaguchi, Y. Shiomi, T. Kitamura, Y. Takehira, M. Koden, T. Kuratate, K. Nakagawa, *Chem. Lett.* 1991, 1109.

21 For the use of CsF as catalyst, see: K. Kitaori, Y. Furukawa, H. Yoshimoto, J. Otera, *Tetrahedron* 1999, **55**, 14381.

22 For the achiral synthesis of aryl glycidyl ethers, see: Z.-Z. Liu, H.-C. Chen, S.-L. Cao, R.-T. Li, *Synth. Commun.* 1994, **24**, 833.

23 This yield compares favourably with a comparable two-step batch process from 4-(benzyloxy)naphthalen-1-ol, see: T. Kumamoto, N. Aoyama, S. Nakano, T. Ishikawa, S. Narimatsu, *Tetrahedron-Assymetr.* 2001, **12**, 791.

24 "Propranolol hydrochloride". *Monograph*. The American Society of Health-System Pharmacists. Retrieved Jan 1, 2015.

25 J. B. Hickie, *Med. J. Aust.* 1970, **2**, 268.

26 M. R. Candelore, L. Deng, L. Tota, X. –M. Guan, A. Amend, Y. Liu, R. Newbold, M. A. Cascieri, A. E. Weber, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther,* 1999, **290**, 649.