

ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

Evidence of rutile-to-anatase photo-induced electron transfer in mixed-phase TiO₂ by solid-state NMR spectroscopy

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

Xiaoming Sun,^{a,c} Weili Dai,^a Guangjun Wu,^a Landong Li,^{*a,b} Naijia Guan,^{a,b} and Michael Hunger^{*c}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

With ethanol as a probe molecule, the surface sites of anatase and rutile can be distinguished by ¹³C CP/MAS NMR spectroscopy, which offers an opportunity to investigate the transfer of photo-induced electrons from rutile to anatase in the mixed-phase TiO₂.

Semiconductor photocatalysis has attracted significant attention for decades since the discovery of water photolysis on a TiO₂ photoanode by Fujishima and Honda.¹ Although TiO₂ is the initial and the most investigated semiconductor photocatalyst, some key issues on TiO₂ photocatalysis are still to be solved, which could significantly improve the current understandings of the photocatalytic material and process. It is well known that the crystalline structure shows great impacts on the photocatalytic performance of TiO₂. The two major crystalline phases of TiO₂ investigated as photocatalysts are anatase and rutile, with a band gap of *ca.* 3.2 and 3.0 eV, respectively.² Since rutile is the most chemical stable phase of TiO₂, anatase is generally regarded as the more active phase than rutile.³ Meanwhile, the mixed-phase TiO₂ such as commercial Degussa P25 was found to exhibit enhanced photocatalytic properties in contrast to TiO₂ of individual phase.⁴ For example, Li and co-workers introduced the concept of anatase/rutile phase junction, and proposed that the formation of surface junction is responsible for the enhanced photocatalytic activity of mixed-phase TiO₂.^{4a} Charge separation could be achieved in the anatase/rutile phase junction and the prolonged lifetime of charge carriers would lead to an improved photocatalytic activity. In this context, the determination of the charge transfer process at the anatase/rutile phase junction is of great significance to reveal the role of each phase in the junction and also to design robust photocatalysts with high efficiencies. In fact, other robust heterojunction systems, e.g. α-Ga₂O₃/β-Ga₂O₃ and CN/CSN, have

been successfully fabricated for semiconductor photocatalysis.⁵

To explain the synergetic effect between anatase and rutile in the phase junction, numerous efforts have been devoted.^{6–10} However, the direction of interfacial charge transfer and the energetic alignment of the band edges of anatase and rutile are somewhat controversial. Conventionally, the conduction band of anatase was established to be ~0.2 eV higher than that of rutile according to the flatband potential measurements, which corresponds to the aligned valence band maxima (**Fig. 1a**).⁶ As a result, the photo-induced electrons would preferentially transfer from anatase to rutile, while the holes from rutile to anatase. This alignment is generally acknowledged in early studies and also supported by some theoretical and experimental evidences.⁷ In contrast, the results from the electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy indicated the transfer of photo-induced electrons from the rutile to anatase.⁸ A series of theoretical studies also proposed a staggered band alignment alternatively, i.e. both the conduction and valence band in anatase lower than those in rutile (**Fig. 1b**).⁹ Thus, the photogenerated electrons would preferentially transfer from rutile to anatase, while the holes from anatase to rutile. The band alignment in anatase and rutile varies from ~0.2 eV,^{9a} ~0.4 eV^{9b,9c} to even ~0.7 eV.^{9d} In a recent study, bilayer anatase/rutile films with effective interface contact were successfully synthesized and the reduction of silver by trapped electrons on anatase surface revealed the photo-induced electron transfer from rutile to anatase across the interface.¹⁰

Despite of numerous theoretical calculations, experimental evidences for the direction of charge transfer under irradiation in the mixed-phase TiO₂ are still lacking. Commercial mixed-phase TiO₂ Degussa P25 (TiO₂-P25) with *ca.* 80 % anatase and 20 % rutile (XRD pattern shown in **Fig. S1**) is widely used as a benchmark semiconductor material in photocatalysis and it shows extremely high activity in most reactions. In fact, TiO₂-P25 is an ideal mixed-phase model to study the charge separation and transfer. Herein, we utilize ¹³C-enriched ethanol as a probe molecule to investigate the specific surface sites of TiO₂-P25 by ¹³C solid-state MAS (magic angle spinning) NMR spectroscopy, so as to give hints on the transfer of photo-induced electrons.

^a Key Laboratory of Advanced Energy Materials Chemistry of the Ministry of Education, School of Material Science and Engineering

^b Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering, Nankai University, Tianjin, 30071, P.R. China

Email: lilid@nankai.edu.cn; Tel: +86-22-2350-0341

^c Institute of Chemical Technology, University of Stuttgart, 70550 Stuttgart, Germany.

Email: michael.hunger@ipc.uni-stuttgart.de; Fax: +49-711-685-64081

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details and characterization results. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x




Fig. 1 The two models proposed for the energetic alignment of the band edges of anatase and rutile in the mixed-phase TiO_2 : (a) conventional configuration with conduction band of anatase above rutile and the anatase-to-rutile photo-induced electron transfer; (b) recent configuration with conduction band of rutile above anatase and the rutile-to-anatase photo-induced electron transfer. This work presents evidence supporting model (b).

The TiO_2 -P25 sample was firstly dehydrated in vacuum at 673 K to remove the surface physisorbed and hydrogen-bonded water and other organic adsorbates. The sample was subsequently loaded with a certain amount of $\text{CH}_3^{13}\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ and ^{13}C cross polarization MAS NMR measurement (CP/MAS) was performed to study the interaction of these molecules with the TiO_2 surface. With this approach, the chemisorbed molecules can be easily distinguished from either physisorbed or gas-phase molecules since a CP/MAS spectrum will be significantly influenced by molecular mobility.^{10a} There are three significant signals appearing in the ^{13}C NMR spectra recorded after ethanol loading on mixed-phase TiO_2 : a sharp signal at 58 ppm with a vague shoulder at 63 ppm due to the nonsymmetric outline of the narrow peak and a broad peak at 70 ppm (Fig. S2). The narrow signal at 58 ppm is assigned to the methylene ($-\text{CH}_2-$) carbons of the major portion of ethanol molecules, which are either very mobile on the surface or exchanges rapidly between the gas-phase and the surface. The other ethanol molecules are immobilized on at least two different types of TiO_2 surface sites. Surface TiOH groups cause strongly hydrogen-bonded ethanol, while coordinatively unsaturated Ti atoms lead to the formation of surface-bound Ti-ethoxide ($\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{O-Ti}$) species, originating the signals at 63 and 70 ppm.¹¹ With a short cross polarization contact time, the strongly bound molecules are preferentially detected. As a result, the relative intensity of the three signals changes with decreasing contact time. The signal at 58 ppm decrease dramatically, while the other two signals remains unaffected because the surface-bound ethoxides and hydrogen-bonded ethanol molecules are not involved in fast exchange with molecules in the second monolayer. When the contact time decreases to 50 μs , the signal of the ethoxy species splits into two signals: a dominating signal at ca. 71 ppm and a weak signal at ca. 67 ppm, as show in Fig. 2a. Considering that TiO_2 -P25 is a mixed-phase TiO_2 , the signals at 67 and 71 ppm might be due to the different surface configurations of anatase and rutile phases (Fig. S3). It is interesting to note that the intensity ratio of the ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR signals of the ethoxy species on the surface of mixed-phase TiO_2 is calculated to be 79:21, in well accordance with the anatase/rutile ratio determined by XRD. In order to confirm this supposition, the ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR measurements of the ethanol




Fig. 2 ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectra of ethanol on dehydrated mixed-phase TiO_2 -P25 (a), rutile (b) and anatase (c). From top to bottom: experimental spectrum, simulated spectrum and deconvoluted components.

loading on the surface dehydrated rutile and anatase (XRD patterns shown in Fig. S1) were performed. As shown in Fig. 2b, ethanol adsorption on the surface anatase gives two ^{13}C CP/MAS signals at 63 and 71 ppm, attributed to the hydrogen-bonded ethanol molecules and ethoxides bound to coordinatively unsaturated Ti atoms on anatase surface, respectively. For ethanol adsorption on the surface rutile (Fig. 2c), on the other hand, four ^{13}C CP/MAS signals at 58, 63, 67 and 71 ppm could be observed. The signals at 58 and 63 ppm are due to the mobile or gas-phase and the hydrogen-bonded ethanol molecules, respectively. The dominating signal at 67 ppm is due to ethoxides bound to coordinatively unsaturated Ti atoms on the rutile surface, while the weak shoulder signal at 71 ppm is due to ethoxides bound to residual anatase surface sites. It is known that the anatase phase can transform to rutile at elevated temperatures, and phase transformation in the inner part of TiO_2 particles is relatively easier than of the outer part.¹² Since the rutile employed in this study was obtained from the TiO_2 -P25 through calcination at 1023 K for 12 hours (see ESIT† for detail), trace anatase may remain on the surface even though no XRD pattern corresponding to anatase phase could be observed (Fig. S1).

On the basis the above results, it is possible to distinguish the surface of anatase and rutile by MAS NMR spectroscopy using ethanol as a probe molecule. Afterwards, metal was introduced to mixed-phase TiO_2 via photo-deposition or wet-impregnation to partially cover the surface sites. For wet impregnation, metal clusters are expected to randomly cover the rutile and anatase surface sites via the chemical or physical adsorption. In contrast, for photo-deposition, metal ions selectively adsorb on the surface through electrostatic interaction and are subsequently reduced by the photo-induced electrons to metal atoms (see Fig. S3 and ESIT†




Fig. 3 ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectra of ethanol adsorption on dehydrated 2%Pd/TiO₂-P25 samples prepared via photo-deposition (a) and wet-impregnation (b). From top to bottom: experimental spectrum, simulated spectrum and deconvoluted components.

for detail).¹³ In the case of mixed-phase TiO₂, the electrons and holes are created under irradiation, and separated in the anatase/rutile phase junction. Therefore, the metal atoms should go selectively to that TiO₂ phase, either anatase or rutile, where photo-induced electrons are accumulated (Fig. 1). The ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectrum recorded after the ethanol loading on 2%Pd/TiO₂-P25 samples prepared via photo-deposition is shown in Fig. 3a. A sharp decline in the exposed anatase surface sites could be observed, as indicated by the decrease in the relative intensity of the signal at ca. 71 ppm. The exposed anatase/rutile surface site ratio determined upon ethanol adsorption is calculated to be 45:55 for Pd/TiO₂-P25 prepared via photo-deposition, much lower than that for pristine TiO₂-P25 (79:21). The selective deposition of palladium on anatase surface in mixed-phase TiO₂ via photo-deposition is further confirmed by microscopic observations. The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of Pd/TiO₂-P25 prepared via photo-deposition (Fig. 4a) clearly shows that palladium clusters are located on the anatase {101} facets with an interplanar spacing of 0.35 nm. Since TEM is a microanalysis technique, we carefully examined as many HRTEM images and found that all observable palladium clusters were on the anatase surface in mixed-phase TiO₂-P25 (some representative HRTEM images shown in Fig. S5). In contrast, the exposed anatase/rutile surface site ratio is calculated to be 76:24 for Pd/TiO₂-P25 prepared via wet-impregnation from ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectrum recorded after ethanol adsorption (Fig. 4b), very similar to 79:21 for pristine TiO₂-P25. It indicates a random deposition of palladium metal clusters on the surface of both anatase and rutile surfaces during the wet-impregnation, as previously expected. Meanwhile, palladium cluster located on rutile {110} with an interplanar spacing of 0.32 nm could be observed for Pd/TiO₂-P25 prepared via wet-impregnation, as shown in Fig. 4b. More TEM images show that wet-impregnated palladium clusters are randomly loaded on anatase surface, rutile surface or anatase/rutile interface (Fig. S5).¹⁴

The results of NMR spectroscopy and TEM analysis clearly confirm the selective deposition of palladium metal clusters on the surface of anatase during photo-deposition process. Further results from NMR spectroscopy reveal that the selective deposition of




Fig. 4 HRTEM images of the 2%Pd/TiO₂-P25 samples prepared via photo-deposition (a) and wet-impregnation (b).

metal clusters on anatase surface can be achieved for several other metals, e.g. Pt, Ir and Ag (Fig. S6). These experimental observations evidence the rutile-to-anatase transfer of photo-induced electrons and undoubtedly support the energetic alignment in Fig. 1b.

In summary, with ethanol as a probe molecule, the surface sites of anatase and rutile in mixed-phase TiO₂ are successfully distinguished by ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectroscopy. The selective photo-deposition of metal clusters on the anatase surface in mixed-phase TiO₂ is disclosed by monitoring the changes in the exposed anatase/rutile surface sites before and after photo-deposition, which clearly indicates a rutile-to-anatase photo-induced electron transfer in mixed-phase TiO₂ and strongly evidences a staggered band alignment with both bands of rutile higher than those of anatase.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21421001), the Ministry of Education of China (IRT13022) and the 111 project (B12015). Furthermore, M.H. wants to thank for financial support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (HU533/13-1).

Notes and references

- 1 A. Fujishima and K. Honda, *Nature*, 1972, **238**, 37.
- 2 (a) J. Pascual, J. Camassel and H. Mathieu, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1978, **18**, 5606; (b) H. Tang, H. Berger, P. Schmid, F. Levy, and G. Burri, *Solid State Commun.*, 1993, **87**, 847.
- 3 (a) U. Diebold, *Surf. Sci. Rep.* 2003, **48**, 53; (b) A. Fujishima, X. Zhang, D. A. Tryk, *Surf. Sci. Rep.*, 2008, **63**, 515.
- 4 (a) J. Zhang, Q. Xu, Z. Feng, M. Li and C. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 1766; (b) T. Ohno, K. Sarukawa, K. Tokieda and M. Matsumura, *J. Catal.*, 2001, **203**, 82; (c) T. Ohno, Tokieda, K.; S. Higashida and M. Matsumura, *Appl. Catal. A*, 2003, **244**, 383; (d) G. H. Li, S. Ciston, Z. V. Saponjic, L. Chen, N. M. Dimitrijevic, T. Rajh and K. A. Gray, *J. Catal.*, 2008, **253**, 105.
- 5 (a) X. Wang, Q. Xu, M. Li, S. Shen, X. Wang, Y. Wang, Z. Feng, J. Shi, H. Han, and C. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 13089; (b) J. Zhang, M. Zhang, R. Sun, and X. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 10145.
- 6 L. Kavan, M. Grätzel, S. E. Gilbert, C. Klemenz and H. J. Scheel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996, **118**, 6716.
- 7 (a) T. Kawahara, Y. Konishi, H. Tada, N. Tohge, J. Nishii and S. Ito, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 2811; (b) H. Nakajima, T. Mori, Q. Shen and T. Toyoda, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2005, **409**, 81; (c) J. Kang, F. M. Wu, S. S. Li, J. B. Xia and J. B. Li, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 20765; (d) S. Shen, X. L. Wang, T. Chen, Z. C. Feng and C. Li, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 12661.
- 8 (a) D. C. Hurum, A. G. Agrios, K. A. Gray, T. Rajh and M. C. Thurnauer, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2003, **107**, 4545; (b) D. C.

- Hurum, K. A. Gray, T. Rajh and M.C. Thurnauer, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 977.
- 9 (a) M. G. Ju, G. X. Sun, J. J. Wang, Q. Q. Meng and W. Z. Liang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 12885; (b) P. Deák, B. Aradi and T. Frauenheim, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 3443; (c) D. O. Scanlon, C. W. Dunnill, J. Buckeridge, S. A. Shevlin, A. J. Logsdail, S. M. Woodley, C. R. A. Catlow, M. J. Powell, R. G. Palgrave, I. P. Parkin, G. W. Watson, T. W. Keal, P. Sherwood, A. Walsh and A. A. Sokol, *Nat. Mater.*, 2013, **12**, 798; (d) V. Pfeifer, P. Erhart, S. Y. Li, K. Rachut, J. Morasch, J. Brotz, P. Reckers, T. Mayer, S. Rühle, A. Zaban, I. M. Sero, J. Bisquert, W. Jaegermann and A. Klein, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **4**, 4182.
- 10 R. Quesada-Cabrera, C. Sotelo-Vazquez, J. C. Bear, J. A. Darr and I. P. Parkin, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **1**, 1400069.
- 11 (a) S-J. Hwang and D. Raftery, *Catal. Today*, 1999, **49**, 353; (b) S. Pilkenton, S-J. Hwang and D. Raftery, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1999, **103**, 11152.
- 12 (a) J. Shi, J. Chen, Z. Feng, T. Chen, Y. Lian, X. Wang and C. Li, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 693; (b) J. Zhang, Q. Xu, M. Li, Z. Feng, C. Li, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 1698.
- 13 (a) F. Zhang, N. Guan, Y. Li, X. Zhang, J. Chen and H. Zeng, *Langmuir*, 2003, **19**, 8230; (b) F. Zhang, J. Chen, X. Zhang, W. Gao, R. Jin and N. Guan, *Catal. Today*, 2004, **93-95**, 645. (c) R. Li, F. Zhang, D. Wang, J. Yang, M. Li, J. Zhu, X. Zhou, H. Han and C. Li, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 1432.
- 14 (a) D. Tsukamoto, Y. Shiraishi, Y. Sugano, S. Ichikawa, S. Tanaka and T. Hirai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 6309; (b) Y. Shiraishi, K. Fujiwara, Y. Sugano, S. Ichikawa and T. Hirai, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, **3**, 312.