ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Chemical Communications

COMMUNICATION

Organocatalysts with carbon-centered activity for CO² reduction with boranes†

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

Yanxin Yang,‡ Maotong Xu,‡ and Datong Song*

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Abstract: We report two organocatalysts for CO₂ hydroboration to methylborylethers, which upon hydrolysis can produce methanol. These organocatalysts feature carbon-centered reversible $CO₂$ binding, broad borane scopes, and high catalytic activities.

The use of fossil fuels has caused a drastic increase of $CO₂$ emissions over the past few decades.¹ While the increase in $CO₂$ level in the atmosphere raises serious environmental concerns, it also presents an opportunity for using $CO₂$ as a sustainable $C₁$ feedstock for chemical syntheses.² Many promising methods have been developed for the conversion of $CO₂$ to value-added chemicals, such as carbonates and derivatives, carboxylic acids and derivatives, formaldehyde, CO, alkanes, methylamines, and methanol. $2,3$ The catalytic reduction of $CO₂$ to methanol is particularly interesting as it converts the combustion product back to a liquid fuel. Three general routes have been reported for the catalytic conversion of $CO₂$ to methanol: hydrogenation,^{4,5} hydrosilylation,⁶ and hydroboration.⁷ A few transition metal⁷ and main group metal⁸ catalysts have been reported for the hydroboration of $CO₂$ into methylborylether, which upon hydrolysis produces methanol. The metal-free phosphine-borane frustrated Lewis pairs (FLPs)⁹ and a few borohydride species¹⁰ have also shown catalytic activity towards the same transformation. Most of the above catalysts are plagued with intrinsic air- and moisture-sensitivity. In 2014, Cantat and co-workers reported the air- and moisture-stable *N*heterocycle-based catalysts that only contain carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen. These catalysts feature nitrogen-centered activity for catalysis.¹¹ Unfortunately, these catalysts have limited borane scope, i.e., 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (9-BBN) only. Despite these recent advances in $CO₂$ reduction into methanol via catalytic hydroboration with heteroatom-centered reactivity, a catalyst with carbon-centered reactivity is unknown for this transformation. It is worth pointing out that although *N*-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) are known to catalyze the hydrosilylation of $CO₂$ to methylsilylethers^{6a,6b} and the methylation of amines using $CO₂$ as the carbon source,¹² the hydroboration of $CO₂$ into

Scheme 1. Reversible CO₂ binding by **1** (R = Me, with the gray portion) and **2** (R = r without the gray portion).

methylborylethers catalyzed by NHCs remains unknown.

Previously our group discovered the reversible $CO₂$ insertion into the C-H bond of the actor 4,5-diazafluorenyl ligand supported by spectator-metal centers.¹³ To confirm the spectator role of the metal centers, we further demonstrated this new reactivity with metal-free compound, *N*-methyl-4,5-diazafluorenide, 1 (Scheme ... by replacing spectator metal centers with a methyl group.^{13b} To probe what structural features are essential for this new type of $CO₂$ reactivity, we simplified the molecule from the three-ring system in 1 to a two-ring system in 2 (Scheme 1), because one the pyridine moieties (color coated in gray) has no obvious role \ldots $CO₂$ binding.§ Gratifyingly, 2 can indeed react with $CO₂$ reversibly $F₂$ inserting $CO₂$ into the C–H bond (Scheme 1) on the $C₅$ ring.§ Both and **2** bind with $CO₂$ at the reactive carbon center, which \cdot reminiscent of $CO₂$ -binding activity of NHCs.¹⁴ The carbon-centered $CO₂$ -binding property of 1 and 2 led us to explore the catalytic activity of these compounds toward hydroboration of $CO₂$. The results are reported herein. To the best of our knowledge, the α and moisture-stable and C/H/N-only compounds 1 and 2 are the first examples of carbon-centered catalysts for the hydroboration or CO² into methylborylethers. **Chemcommunical Chemcommunical Chemcommunical communical and the street of the**

Our initial tests showed that **1** could catalyze the hydroboration of CO₂ with 9-BBN and catecholborane (HBcat). For example, when a C₆D₅Br solution of 1 and 10 eq. of 9-BBN was heated at 70 $\frac{1}{x}$ overnight under 1 atm of ${}^{13}CO_2$, the major product ${}^{13}CH_3OBBN$ was observed along with a small amount of $^{13}CH_2(OBBN)_2$ in the ¹H ard ¹³C NMR spectra (see Fig. S16, S17). Similarly, when a C₆D

Davenport Chemical Research Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, 80 St. George Street, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 3H6, Canada, Email: dsong@chem.utoronto.ca

[†]Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details. CCDC 1400031. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

COMMUNICATION ChemComm

Table 1. Hydroboration of CO² by a variety of boranes*^a*

the two batches of HBcat, respectively. *^f* Control experiment (i.e., same conditions except for the absence of the catalyst) for entry 8 showed 4.5% conversion of *^a* Reaction conditions: a Schlenk bomb was charged with 1.0 mg **1** or **2** and 100 eq. of borane, ~0.6 mL of a deuterated solvent, and 1.5 atm¶ of CO2. The internal standard, hexamethylbenzene was added to the reaction mixture upon completion. *^b* TON is based on the number of C–H bonds formed in the reduced products per molecule of the catalyst, determined by ¹H NMR integration against the internal standard. *^c* The number in parentheses is the TOF at the fast catalysis stage of the reaction. *^d* The second 100 eq. of HBcat was added 21.5 h after the complete consumption of the first 100 eq. *^e* The two numbers are the time required to consume BH₃·SMe₂ to (CH₃OBO)₃, while all other entries have no background reactions.

solution of **1** and 30 eq. of HBcat was heated at 70 °C under 1 atm of ¹³CO₂ for 2 h, NMR experiments showed that ¹³CH₃OBcat was the only ¹³CO₂ reduction product (see Fig. S18, S19). These preliminary results encouraged us to test the catalytic performance of **1** further. A C6D5Br solution of **1** and 100 eq. of HBcat was exposed to 1.5 atm of CO₂ at 25 °C and the reaction was monitored with ¹H and ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy. The plot of TON vs time for this reaction is shown in Fig. 1. The reaction started with a short induction period followed by fast catalysis. As HBcat was getting depleted toward the end of the reaction, the reaction rate was approaching 0. No induction period was observed at 70 °C and the reaction profile consisted of two stages: fast catalysis and plateau. The TOFs at the fast catalysis stage of the reactions were extracted from the plot: 41 and 231 h⁻¹ for 25 °C and 70 °C reactions, respectively. Such TOFs put **1** amongst the most active organocatalysts for this transformation. $\mathbf s =$

Fig. 2 TON vs time plot for the formation of (CH₃OBO)₃ catalyzed by 2. A CDCl₃ solut of 2 and 100 eq. of BH₃·SMe₂ was exposed to 1.5 atm of CO₂ at 25 °C.

When a C₆D₅Br solution of 1 and 100 eq. of HBcat was exposed to 1.5 atm of CO₂ at 25 °C, CH₃OBcat was produced with a TON ϵ ⁻ 97 within 6 h (Table 1, entry 1). When the same reaction w_0 carried out at 70 °C, the reaction reached completion within 2 h (Table 1, entry 2); to test whether the catalyst was still active after 100 turnovers, 21.5 h after the complete consumption of the fir ϵ 100 eq. of HBcat, another 100 eq. of HBcat was added to the reaction mixture, which was then re-charged with 1.5 atm of CC_2 and reheated at 70 °C. The second batch of HBcat was consumer within 3 h to give an overall TON of 196 (Table 1, entry 3), indicating that catalyst **1** was still highly active.ǁ

When 9-BBN was used as the reductant under the same conditions, the formations of $CH₂(OBBN)₂$ and $CH₃OBBN$ were observed at 25 °C within 8 h with a total TON of 58 (Table 1, ent ℓ 4); if the same reaction was carried out at 70 $^{\circ}$ C, the TON reached 66 within 2 h (Table 1, entry 5) and again $CH_2(OBBN)_2$ and CH_3OBB^T were both produced. The lower reaction rates in entries 4 and F compared to those in entries 1 and 2, respectively, could k attributed to the low solubility of 9-BBN. When a less reactive reductant pinacolborane (HBpin) was used, the catalytic reactic i only gave 14 total turnovers in 48 h at 100 °C, yielding three

ChemComm COMMUNICATION

reduction products HCOOBpin, $CH₂(OBpin)₂$ and $CH₃OBpin$ (Table 1, entry 6). Using 100 eq. of BH₃·SMe₂ (with respect to catalyst 1) as the reductant under 1.5 atm of $CO₂$ the reaction achieved a TON of 294 with BH₃ within 44 h at 25 °C to yield $(CH₃OBO)₃$ (Table 1, entry 7). Increasing the reaction temperature from 25 °C to 70 °C only improved the reaction rate by a factor of ~2 (Table 1, entry 8).

Next, we tested the catalytic activity of 2. When a CDCl₃ solution of 2 and 100 eq. of 9-BBN was exposed to 1.5 atm of CO₂ at 25 °C, $CH_2(OBBN)_2$ and CH_3OBBN were produced with an overall TON of 61 within 19 h (Table 1, entry 9); the reaction is much slower than that catalyzed by **1**. When the same reaction was carried out at 70 °C, however, the reaction rate is comparable to that catalyzed by **1** at 70 °C, i.e., the reaction reached 66 TON within 2 h (Table 1, entry 10). Compared to **1**, **2** showed a higher activity when HBpin was used as the reductant, i.e., the reaction catalyzed by 2 gave $CH₃OBpin$ as the dominant $CO₂$ reduction product with a TON of 75 in 46 h at 90 °C (Table 1, entry 11). In contrast, when HBcat was used as the reductant, catalyst **2** showed lower activity than **1** (Table 1, entry 12). We speculate that the difference in catalytic activity between **1** and **2** may originate partly from the preferred interactions between the catalyst and borane: the larger system in **1** interacts with the aromatic backbone of HBcat more strongly, while the longer aliphatic propyl chain and smaller system in **2** favor the aliphatic backbone of HBpin. Interestingly, **2** showed much higher catalytic activity than **1** when $BH₃·SMe₂$ was used as the reductant, i.e., complete conversion to $(CH₃OBO)₃$ was observed in 7 h at 25 °C with a TON of 298 and average TOF of 42.6 h⁻¹ (Table 1, entry 13). This reaction also has a short induction period at 25°C (Fig. 2). A TOF of 56 h⁻¹ at the fast catalysis stage was extracted from the plot of TON vs time. Such TOFs make **2** one of the best organocatalysts for this transformation.

In summary, we have demonstrated compounds **1** and **2** not only bind $CO₂$ reversibly via the formal insertion of $CO₂$ into a C-H bond of the C_5 ring, but also catalyze the hydroboration of $CO₂$ to methylborylethers which upon hydrolysis can produce methanol. These air- and moisture-stable compounds that consist of only carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen are the first catalysts with carboncentered activity for the reduction of $CO₂$ to methylborylethers. These catalysts feature broad borane scope and their catalytic activities are comparable to the best organocatalysts with heteroatom-based activity. The mechanism of the catalytic reactions are currently being investigated via experimental and computational methods in our laboratory.

We acknowledge Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) for funding. Y. Y. greatly thanks the government of Ontario for an Ontario Trillium Scholarship. M. X. gratefully thanks University of Toronto for the University of Toronto Excellence Award and Charlie Kivi for X-ray crystallography.

Notes and references

- § Compound **2** is an orange oil and can be synthesized using a modified literature procedure. Compared to **1**, whose solution is stable in air for several hours, the solution of **2** can be stored at –15 °C in air for weeks without significant change. Compound **2** is soluble in all common organic solvents. For the synthetic protocol of 2 and CO₂ binding experiments, see ESI.
- The Schlenk bomb charged with all other reagents and solve. was immersed in liquid N₂ to freeze the solution; the headspace was then evacuated. The entire bomb was then immersed in a – 70 °C dry ice-isopropanol bath to keep the solution frozen and cool the headspace. The bomb was then opened to 1 atn. L . $CO₂$ for 10 minutes to allow the temperature to equilibrat. Subsequently the bomb was sealed and allowed to warm to 25 °C to achieve ~1.5 atm pressure. Safety Warning: if CO₂ gas was introduced below -78 °C, dry ice would condense in the reactio. vessel and the final pressure becomes time-dependent and can no longer be calculated easily. Using our protocol with a lowmelting solvent (i.e., the solvent is not frozen at -70 °C), the final pressure is again time-dependent, because of the dramatically increased solubility of $CO₂$ at -70 °C. In both scenarios prolonged CO₂ exposure could cause serious explosions due to uncontrolled high pressures and make t . results incomparable to others due to unknown CO₂ pressure. **Chemparry 1998**
 Chemcommanuscript
 Chemcommanuscript
 Chemcommanuscript
 Chemcommanuscript
 Chemcommanuscript
 Communication
 Communication
 Communication
 Communication
 Communication
 Communication
- The slightly slower conversion of the second batch of HBcat was likely due to the inefficient mixing of the reactants caused \mathbf{t} the large amount of solid produced in the reaction.
- N. S. Lewis, and D. G. Nocera, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.* 2006, **103**, 15729-15735.
- 2 Aresta, M., *Carbon Dioxide as Chemical Feedstock*, Wiley-V. Weinheim, 2010.
- 3 a) T. Sakakura, J.-C. Choi, and H. Yasuda, *Chem. Rev*., 2007, **107**, 2365-2387; b) E.E.Benson, C. P. Kubiak, A. J. Sathrum, and J. M. Smieja, *Chem. Soc. Rev*., 2009, **38**, 89-99. c) M. Cokoja, C. Bruckmeier, B. Rieger, W. A. Herrmann, and F. E. Kuhn, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2011, 50, 8510-8537; d) D. Yu, S. P. Teong, and Zhang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, DOI 10.1016/j.ccr.2014.09.002; e) A. M. Appel, et al. *Chem. Rev.,* 2013, **133**, 6621-6658; f) D. W. Stephan, and G. Erker, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 2625-2641; g) Q. Liv L. Wu, R. Jackstell, and M. Beller, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, 6, 593 h) C. S. Yeung, and V. M. Dong, *Top Catal*., 2014, **57**, 1342– 1350; i) G. Sahara, and O. Ishitani, *Inorg. Chem.*, DO 10.1021/ic502675a and references therein.
- For CO₂ hydrogenation to methanol, see recent reviews: a) Y.-N. Li, R. Ma, L.-N. He and Z.-F. Daio, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **4**, 1498; b) Y. Li, K. Junge and M. Beller, *ChemCatChem*, 2013, **5**, 1072. c) E. Alberico, and M. Nielsen, *Chem. Commun*., 2015, **51**, 6714–6725 and references therein.
- 5 a) N. M. Rezayee, C. A. Huff, and M. S. Sanford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2015, 137, 1028-1031; b) A. E. Ashley, A. L. Thompson, and D. O'Hare*, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*., 2009, **48**, 9839-9843.
- 6 a) S. N. Riduan, Y. Zhang, and J. Y. Ying, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, **48**, 3322-3325; b) F. Huang, G. Lu, L. Zhao, H. Li and Z.-X. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2010, **132**, 12388; c) A. Berkefeld, W. E. Piers, and M. Parvez, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, 132, 1066⁽ 10661; d) S. Park, D. Bézier, and M. Brookhart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2012, **134**, 11404-11407. e) A. Berkefeld, W. E. Piers, M. Parvez, L. Castro, L. Maron, and O. Eisenstein, *Chem. Sci.,* 201. **4**, 2152-2162.
- 7 a) S. Chakraborty, J. Zhang, J. A. Krause, and H. Guan*, J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 2010, **132**, 8872-8873; b) F. Huang, C. Zhang, J. Jiang, Z.-X. Wang, and H. Guan, *Inorg. Chem*., 2011, **50**, 3816- 3825; c) S. Chakraborty, Y. J. Patel, J. A. Krause, and H. Guan, *Polyhedron,* 2012, **32**, 30-34; d) S. Chakraborty, J. Zhang,Y. J. Patel, J.A. Krause, and H. Guan*, Inorg. Chem*., 2013, **52**, 37-47; e) M. J. Sgro, and D. W. Stephan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 20₁₋ **51**, 11343-11345; f) S. Bontemps, L. Vendier, and S. Sabo-Etienne, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*., 2012, **51**, 1671-1674.
- a) M. D. Anker, M. Arrowsmith, P. Bellham, M. S. Hill, G. Kocio Kohn, D. J. Liptrot, M. F. Mahon, and C. Weetman, *Chem. Sci*., 2014, **5**, 2826-2830; b) J. A. B. Abdalla, I. M. Riddlestone, R.Tirfoin, and S. Aldridge, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2015, **54**, ASAP, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201500570.
- 9 a) M.-A. Courtemanche, M.-A. Le'gare', L. Maron, and F.-Fontaine, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2013, 135, 9326-9329; b) N....

COMMUNICATION ChemComm

Le′gare′, M.-A. Courtemanche, and F-G. Fontaine, *Chem. Commun.,* 2014, **50**, 11362-11365 ; c) M-A. Courtemanche, M-A. Legare, L. Maron, and F-G. Fontaine, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2014, **136**, 10708-10717; d) T. Wang, and D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Commun*., 2014, **50**, 7007-7010; e) T. Wang, and D. W. Stephan, *Chem. – Eur. J.,* 2014, **20**, 3036-3039; f) R. Declercq, G. Bouhadir, D. Bourissou, M-A. Légaré, M-A. Courtemanche, K.S. Nahi, N. Bouchard, F-G. Fontaine, and L.Maron, *ACS Catal*., 2015, **5**, 2513-2520.

- 10 a) S. Y.-F. Ho, C-W. So, N.S.-Merceron, and N. Mézailles**,** *Chem. Commun.,* 2015, **51**, 2107-2110; b) K. Fujiwara, S. Yasuda, and T. Mizuta, *Organometallics,* 2014, **33**, 6692-6695; c) Z. Lu, H. Hausmann, S. Becker, and H. A. Wegner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2015, ASAP, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b02905. d) I. Knopf, and C. C. Cummins, *Organometallics,* 2015, **34**, 1601–1603
- 11 C. Das Neves Gomes, E. Blondiaux, P. Thue´ry, and T. Cantat, *Chem. – Eur. J.,* 2014, **23**, 7098-7106;
- 12 E. Blondiaux, J. Pouessel, and T. Cantat, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2014, **53**, 12186-12190.
- 13 a) V. T. Annibale, and D. Song, *Chem. Commun*., 2012, **48**, 5416- 5418; b) V. T. Annibale, and D. Song*, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2013, **135**, 16175-16183.
- 14 H. A. Duong, T. N. Tekavec, A. M. Arif, and J. Louie, *Chem. Commun.,* 2004, 112–113.