
ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

Page 1 of 3 Journal Name

ARTICLE TYPE

Stereoselective synthesis of glycosides using (salen)Co catalysts as promoters.

Sandra Medina, Alexander S. Henderson, John F. Bower and M. Carmen Galan

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The use of (salen)Co catalysts as a new class of bench-stable stereoselective glycosylation promoters of trichloroacetimidate glycosyl donors at room temperature is described. The conditions are practical and do not require the 10 use of molecular sieves with products being isolated in good to high yields.

Access to structurally defined oligosaccharides in sufficient amounts to be used as probes for biological research, still represents a significant challenge due to the structural complexity 15 of carbohydrates. The stereoselective coupling of glycosides is often considered the main bottleneck towards this goal and despite significant efforts devoted to the development of efficient glycosylation strategies, there is still a need for robust and practical methods to achieve this crucial step.¹

Transition metal catalysis applied to oligosaccharide synthesis offers great promise at achieving high yields and anomeric selectivities by the careful choice of the metal and ligands attached to the metal centre.²

Figure 1. (salen)Co catalysts as glycosylation promoters.

As part of our ongoing interest in developing catalytic methods 25 for the stereoselective synthesis of glycosides, we decided to focus our attention on the use of transition metal complexes in glycosylation reactions. Chiral (salen)Co(III) complexes have been developed by the Jacobsen team for the elegant preparation of both enantiopure terminal epoxides and highly enantioenriched 30 1.2-diols.⁴ The (salen)Co catalysed asymmetric epoxide ringopening reactions proceed via cooperative bimetallic mechanisms, whereby both the epoxide electrophile and nucleophile are activated by separate (salen)Co complexes in the

rate-limiting ring opening step.⁵ We envisioned that these chiral 35 Co complexes would be applicable to glycosylation reactions where an electrophile glycosyl donor reacts with the corresponding nucleophile glycoside acceptor and could provide a more efficient glycosylation methodology than currently available processes.

Anomeric trichloroacetimidates are among the most effective and commonly used glycosyl donors in the chemical synthesis of oligosaccharides. These type of glycosides are activated by

Table 1. Reaction optimization of trichloroacetimidate 3 with model acceptor 4a.

3	+ Brio T	Catalyst (10 mol	%) Bn0 Bn0⁻ ■ Bn0	7-0-	J.o
	Ві	nO Me		BnO BnO 5a	BnO _{OMe}
Entry	Catalyst	Solvent	T (h)	Yield (%)	α: β ^[a]
1	1a	CH ₂ Cl ₂	24	n/o	n/o
2	1b	CH_2Cl_2	22	89	1:1.5
3	1c	CH_2Cl_2	0.5	74	1.3:1
4	2a	CH_2Cl_2	24	64	1:2.6
5	2 b	CH_2Cl_2	24	n/o	n/o
6	2c	CH_2Cl_2	22	70	1:2.6
7	2d	CH_2Cl_2	0.5	89	1:2.6
8	$2d^{[b]}$	CH_2Cl_2	1	n/o	n/o
9	2d ^[c]	CH_2Cl_2	1	$70\%^{[d]}$	1.5:1
10	2d	THF	1	<50% ^[e]	1:1
11	2d	Toluene	1	<50% ^[e]	1:1
12	2d	MeCN	1	92	1:4
13	2d	Diethyl ether	1	96	2.6:1

[a] Measured by ¹H NMR unless stated otherwise; [b] 2 mol% catalyst loading; [c] 5 mol% catalyst loading; [d] starting material recovered; [e] product isolated mixed with hydrolyzed starting materials. n/o =not observed.

Lewis acids such as $TMSOTf_1^7$ boron trifluoride etherate⁸ or lithium triflate,⁹ ionic liquids^{3a, 3b, 10}, transition metals¹¹ and 45 organocatalysts¹² among others. However, many of the strategies developed thus far require the use of strict anhydrous conditions/molecular sieves and low temperatures, and suffer from additional problems associated with the stability and reactivity of the promoters.^{6a} Herein we report the application of 50 chiral oligomeric (salen)Co complexes as bench-stable stereoselective glycosylation promoters of trichloroacetimidate glycoside donors.

Table 2. Acceptor Scope in the glycosylation of glucosyl donor

[a] all reactions carried out with 1.2 equiv. 3 and 1.0 equiv. of 4b-g. [b] from ¹H NMR. [c] Reaction run in CH₂Cl₂.

In our initial studies, perbenzylated glucose trichloroacetimidate 3 was employed as the model glycoside donor in reactions with glycoside acceptor 4a (Figure 1 and Table 1). No reaction was observed when monomeric (salen)Co 5 complex 1a (10 mol%) was used as the catalyst (Table 1, entry 1), however when triflate (OTf, 1b) was used as the counter ion, the reaction proceeded to give the desired glycosylation product 5a, albeit slowly (22h), in 89% yield with no stereocontrol (entry 2). Changing the catalyst to the opposite enantiomer 1c did not 10 yield any significant changes in yield or stereo-outcome of the reaction. Based on these results, we decided to move onto the cyclic, oligomeric linked C2 symmetric (salen)Co catalysts, which have been shown to be more reactive than their monomeric counterparts and able to provide better stereocontrol in some 15 cases. 4c, 4d, 4f Encouragingly, reactions in the presence of 10 mol% of 1st generation oligomeric (salen)Co catalyst 2a4c in dichloromethane, afforded the product in 64% yield and with a 1:2.6 $\alpha:\beta\square$ anomeric ratio after 24 h (entry 4). Extending the linker chain by two carbon units as in azelate-linked 2b^{4d} resulted 20 in an inactive catalyst (entry 5). However, introduction of an oxygen atom in the linker while maintaining the linker length (2c vs 2a) yielded 5 in 70% isolated yield and in a 1:2.6 α : β ratio (Table 1, entry 6). It has been shown that small changes in the oligomeric catalyst structure can have a noticeable effect on the 25 range of reactive conformations available^{4d} and thus we attribute the lack of reactivity of 2b to the added flexibility that this catalyst possesses in comparison to the other oligomeric ones tested. Remarkably, when oligomeric Co catalyst 2d, which bears a triflate (OTf) as the counter ion instead of (1S)-(+)-10-30 camphorsulfonate (CSA), was used, the reaction proceeded faster (under 30 min.) and afforded the product in 87% yield and with similar levels of anomeric stereocontrol as observed with the other active oligomeric catalysts (Table 1, entry 7). 13 Furthermore, lowering the catalyst (2d) loading to 5 or 2 mol% 35 was detrimental to the reaction yield and stereoselectivity (Table

1, entries 8 and 9). It is noteworthy that molecular sieves, which are typically used in glycosylation reactions to remove traces of water, were not needed. Next, we decided to explore solvent effects. Reactions using either THF or toluene using 10 mol% of 40 2d afforded low yields and anomeric mixtures of glycosylated products (Table 1, entries 10 and 11). Stereoselectivity was improved towards the β -anomer when acetonitrile was used as the solvent, and 5a was isolated in 92% yield as a 1:4 α : β ratio. On the other hand, when diethyl ether was employed, the α -product 45 was favoured and the desired disaccharide was isolated in 96% as a 2.6:1 $\alpha:\beta\square$ mixture (Table 1, entries 12 and 13).

Table 3. Glycosyl Donor Scope. [a]

	PO	4a, 4b, 4e or 4f PO	∽OR	
	6a-e O CCI ₃	2d (10 mol%) 7-13 rt, MeCN		
		16 examples		
Entry	Donor	Product	Yield (%)	α : β ^[b]
1	BnO OBn BnO CCl ₃ NH 6a	BnO OBn BnO 7	82	1:6
2	6 a	Bno OBn Bno Bno 8	90	1:3
3	BnO BnO CCC ₃	Bno	60	7.2 🗆 🗆
4	6b	Bno	62	4:1
5	Aco Aco CCI ₃	Aco To O Aco Brio Del Brio OMe 11	50	β
6	6e ^[c]	ACO	52	β
7	NH OCCia OAC OAC 6d	OAc OAc Bro OMe	83	1:4.9

[a] all reactions carried out with 1.2 equiv. 7 and 1.0 equiv. of 4. [b] from ¹H NMR. [c] Reaction run in CH₂Cl₂ [d] 4 equiv. of **6c** were used.

Having established optimal reaction conditions, we turned our attention to exploring the acceptor scope of the reaction. To that end, glycosyl donor 3 was reacted with a range of OH

nucleophiles (Table 2). In all cases, reactions proceeded smoothly within 1 h. Glycosylations with aliphatic alcohols (4b-d) led to the expected products in high yields (78-94%) and anomeric ratios ranging from 1:4.9 to 1:5.3 $\alpha.\beta$ (Table 2, entries 1-3). 5 Glycosyl acceptors with a primary or secondary alcohol and bearing either benzyl, benzoyl or acetal protecting groups, and either methoxy or thiophenyl as the anomeric substituent (4e-g) were also screened and gave isolated yields of 74-85%, with anomeric ratios that range from 1:4.3 to complete α-selectivity 10 (Table 2, entries 4-6). These results highlight that oligomeric salen(Co) catalyst 2d is tolerant of most commonly used alcohol functional groups and that the reactivity is independent of the position of the free hydroxyl on the acceptor. Furthermore, the reaction conditions are semi-orthogonal to thioglycoside 15 chemistry (Table 2, entry 4), making this reagent suitable for chemoselective glycosylations where a trichloroacetimidate glycosyl donor can be selectively activated in the presence of a thioglycoside building block.

Encouraged by the acceptor scope of the catalyst, 20 glycosylations with other common glycoside donors were also investigated. Reactions of perbenzylated galactose 6a with either **4b** or **4f** led to the desired products 7 and **8** in 82% and 90% yields with good to moderate anomeric β -selectivities (Table 3, entries 1 and 2). When activated mannose 6b was reacted with 25 4e or 4f as the nucleophiles, the corresponding disaccharides 9 and 10 were isolated in 60% and 62% yields with reasonable to moderate α -selectivity, respectively (Table 3, entries 3 and 4). Reactions involving "disarmed" donor 6c with 4a or 4f were less efficient and proceeded in modest yields, albeit with complete β -30 stereocontrol as expected from less reactive glycosyl donors bearing a participating group at C-2¹⁴ (Table 3, entries 5 and 6). 6-Deoxyglycosides are also an important class of compounds often found as conjugates of natural products. Moreover, the stereoselective synthesis of this type of glycans is further 35 complicated by the lack of oxygen substituents at C-6.15 Coupling between fucosyl trichloroacetimidate 6d with 4a proceeded in good yields and the corresponding disaccharide 13 was isolated in 83% yield with a preference for the β -product (1:4.9 α . β) (Table 3, entry 7).

In conclusion, we have described the application of oligomeric salen(Co) catalysts as a new class of stereoselective promoters in glycosylation reactions involving trichloroacetimidate glycosyl donors. The bench-stable catalyst can be easily prepared in multigram quantities from inexpensive and commercially 45 available starting materials. The reactions proceed cleanly and in good to excellent yields at room temperature and without the need for molecular sieves. Furthermore, the conditions are practical, mild and applicable to different types of glycosyl donors and acceptors, tolerant of most common hydroxyl 50 protecting groups (e.g. acetates, benzoates, alkyl and benzyl ethers and acetals) and semi-orthogonal to thioglycoside chemistry. The robustness of the catalyst and ease of use in often troublesome coupling reactions, makes this class of catalyst a promising synthetic tool for diastereoslective acetal chemistry.

Notes and references

^a School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol BS8 1TS (UK). E-mail m.c.galan@bris.ac.uk

- 60 † MCG thanks the EPSRC EP/L001926/1 CAF and EP/J002542/1 (MCG, SV) for funding. ASH thanks EPSRC Bristol Chemical Synthesis CDT EP/G036764/1 and JFB thanks the Royal Society for a Fellowship Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [experimental procedures, data and NMR spectra.
- 65 See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
 - (a) M. C. Galan, D. Benito-Alifonso and G. M. Watt, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 3598; (b) T. Nokami, J Syn Org Chem Jpn, 2014, 72,
- 70 2 M. J. McKay and H. M. Nguyen, ACS Catal., 2012, 2, 1563.
 - (a) M. C. Galan, C. Brunet and M. Fuensanta, Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 442; (b) M. C. Galan, A. T. Tran and S. Whitaker, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 2106; (c) E. I. Balmond, D. M. Coe, M. C. Galan and E. M. McGarrigle, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 9152; (d) E. I. Balmond, M. C. Galan and E. M. McGarrigle, Synlett, 2013,
- 24, 2335; (e) E. I. Balmond, D. Benito-Alifonso, D. M. Coe, R. W. Alder, E. M. McGarrigle and M. C. Galan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 8190.
- (a) E. N. Jacobsen, Acc. Chem. Res., 2000, 33, 421; (b) R. G. Konsler, J. Karl and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 10780; (c) J. M. Ready and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. So.c, 2001, 123, 2687; (d) J. M. Ready and E. N. Jacobsen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1374; (e) S. E. Schaus, B. D. Brandes, J. F. Larrow, M. Tokunaga, K. B. Hansen, A. E. Gould, M. E. Furrow and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 1307; (f) D. E. White, P. M.
- Tadross, Z. Lu and E. N. Jacobsen, Tetrahedron, 2014, 70, 4165.
- D. D. Ford, L. P. C. Nielsen, S. J. Zuend, C. B. Musgrave and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 15595.
- (a) X. M. Zhu and R. R. Schmidt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 1900; (b) S. C. Ranade and A. V. Demchenko, J. Carbohyd. Chem., 2013. 32. 1.
- R. R. Schmidt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1986, 25, 212.
- R. R. Schmidt and J. Michel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1980, 19, 731.
- A. Lubineau and B. Drouillat, J. Carbohyd. Chem., 1997, 16, 1179.
- (a) A. Rencurosi, L. Lay, G. Russo, E. Caneva and L. Poletti, J Org Chem, 2005, 70, 7765; (b) M. C. Galan, A. T. Tran, J. Boisson, D. Benito, C. Butts, J. Eastoe and P. Brown, J. Carbohyd. Chem., 2011, 30, 486; (c) M. C. Galan, R. A. Jones and A. T. Tran, Carbohydr. Res., 2013, 375, 35.
- (a) J. M. Yang, C. Cooper-Vanosdell, E. A. Mensah and H. M. Nguyen, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 794; (b) E. A. Mensah, J. A. Azzarelli and H. M. Nguyen, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 1650; (c) M. J. Mckay, B. D. Naab, G. J. Mercer and H. M. Nguyen, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 4705; (d) E. A. Mensah and H. M. Nguyen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 8778; (e) E. A. Mensah, F. Yu and H. M. Nguyen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 14288.
 - 12 Y. Q. Geng, A. Kumar, H. M. Faidallah, H. A. Albar, I. A. Mhkalid and R. R. Schmidt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 10089.
- 13. All starting material was consumed within 1h when the reaction was carried out in the presence of 2,6-di-tertbutylpyridine as an acid scavenger, suggesting that TfOH is not the source of catalysis. However, the addition of the base was detrimental to product yield and diastereocontrol.
- 14 B. Fraser-Reid and J. C. Lopez, Armed-Disarmed Effects in Carbohydrate Chemistry: History, Synthetic and Mechanistic Studies, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011, vol 301
- 15 D. Hou and T. L. Lowary, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 2278.