ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Comment on 'Fluorescence sensing of arsenate at nanomolar level in a greener way: naphthalene based probe for living cell imaging'

Yuanli Liu and William C. Trogler *

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The naphthalene based probe (NAPSAL) described in the entitled communication is not stable in water, and therefore NAPSAL is unsuitable as an aqueous arsenate sensor.

Arsenic (As) is a highly poisonous element that exists in the ¹⁰ environment in different chemical forms.¹ New field portable methods of detection are crucial for characterizing the environmental effects of arsenic. A. Sahana et al. published a communication entitled "Fluorescence sensing of arsenate at nanomolar level in a greener way: naphthalene based probe for ¹⁵ living cell imaging" (*Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 7231).^{2a} This

- work, and subsequent work, ^{2b,c,d} describes a Schiff base compound (**NAPSAL**) capable of functioning as a hydrogen bond based fluorescent 'turn-on' sensor for arsenate ion at nanomolar concentrations in aqueous environments. In addition,
- ²⁰ the probe has been used to image arsenate in living cells.^{2a} It's known that Schiff bases (imines) are good ligands for metal ions and that fluorescent moieties incorporating them are appealing sensors for optically detecting metal ions.³ Thus, the reported study was noteworthy because this is the first work describing a
- ²⁵ Schiff base used as an anion sensor. The proposed sensing mechanism (nanoaggregate formation by hydrogen bonding between NAPSAL and arsenate) might open a new approach for sensing arsenic oxyanions. Unfortunately, it is problematic to perform arsenate ion sensing with this compound; ¹H NMR
- ³⁰ titration and fluorescence titration experiments instead show that spectral changes attributed to arsenate sensing are those for NAPSAL undergoing hydrolysis in water. The reported absorption and fluorescence spectra characteristics attributed to arsenate sensing can be produced by varying the pH of solution ³⁵ containing the hydrolysis products of NAPSAL.

NAPSAL (Scheme 1) was prepared following the method described in the supporting information of the literature.^{2a} The NMR (¹³C and ¹H NMR spectra) and HR-MS spectra, as well as C,H,N analyses confirmed the **NAPSAL** compound as reported ⁴⁰ (see ESI). However, fluorescence titration experiments ($\lambda_{ex} = 377$ nm), show that **NAPSAL** doesn't respond significantly to arsenate (two commercially available salts Na₂HAsO₄ and

KH₂AsO₄ were tested). In addition, arsenite, which may be formed from arsenate under reducing conditions, also failed to ⁴⁵ cause a change in fluorescence. As shown in Fig.1, the addition of arsenate in buffered solution causes little change in the fluorescence of NAPSAL and the emission profile is similar as NAPSAL that has undergo hydrolysis in aqueous environment (fig. S9 in ESI). Within 10 to 20 minutes, fluorescence of the ⁵⁰ hydrolysis products dominate the emission spectra.





Scheme. 1 Synthesis of NAPSAL



Fig. 1 Fluorescence titration spectra of NAPSAL (10 μ M) in HEPES ⁵⁵ buffer (0.1 M, ethanol/water = 1/9, v/v, pH 7.4) on gradual addition of H₂AsO₄(10-1400 μ M). Spectra were measured 2 hour after sample preparation when background spectral changes without added arsenate stabilized.

T. K. Chondhekar, et al. have reported that a similar Schiff ⁶⁰ base (N-salicylidene-m-methyl aniline) is prone to hydrolysis in acidic and neutral pH with relatively short half-lives.⁴ This raised the possibility that **NAPSAL** decomposed in the aqueous solution used for sensing. To test this speculation, ¹H NMR spectra were measured after addition of D₂O to a **NAPSAL** solution ⁶⁵ (D₂O:CD₃OD=1:5); several new peaks appear as seen in Fig. 2b. This confirms that **NAPSAL** decomposed partially after the addition of some (20%) D₂O. Fig. 2c and Fig. 2d show the ¹H NMR spectra of NAPSAL solution after addition of authentic salicylaldehyde and 1-naphthylamine, respectively. The addition ⁷⁰ of the expected hydrolysis products causes an increase in intensity of the new decomposition peaks. This proves that NAPSAL initially undergoes hydrolysis to starting materials.



Importantly, the addition of salicylaldehyde increases the intensity of the proton at 10.02ppm, which was previously

attributed to an OH group associated with arsenate binding.²

Fig. 2 ¹H NMR spectra of 20 mM NAPSAL in D_2O and CD_3OD solvent mixtures (1:5), which is near the maximum amount of water that can be used without precipitation at 20 mM concentration. (a) spectra measured immediately after sample preparation; (b) spectra measured 12 hours after sample preparation; (c) addition of approximately 1 equivalent of commercial salicylaldehyde to the NAPSAL solution; (d) addition of approximately 1 equivalent of commercial 1-naphthylamine to the NAPSAL solution.



Fig.3 Change of emission spectra of NAPSAL (10 μ M) in aqueous solution (ethanol:H₂O = 1:9) on changing the pH value (5-11), inset shows the emission intensity at 510 nm.

After the confirmation of the instability of NAPSAL in 10 the presence of water by ¹HNMR, one possibility was that variations in pH were a cause for the reported change of fluorescence properties of NAPSAL aqueous solution, because the decomposition products (salicylaldehyde and 1-15 naphthylamine) are both pH sensitive compounds, and deprotonated salicylaldehyde is highly fluorescent. To test this speculation, pH dependence experiments were performed. As shown in Fig. 3, NAPSAL aqueous solutions (ethanol: $H_2O = 1:9$) exhibit a weak green-yellow emission ($\lambda_{ex} = 377$ nm), whose 20 intensity drastically increases as the pH value increases from 5 to 11. The obtained emission spectrum appears the nearly the same as the emission profile reported in the literature and attributed to arsenate sensing (Fig.2 of reference 2). Instead of using NAPSAL, Fig. 3 can be reproduced simply with a 1:1 mixture of 25 salicylaldehyde and 1-naphthylamine. The evidence suggests that the NAPSAL hydrolysis products are responsible for observed changes in the fluorescence spectrum. Since NAPSAL can undergo hydrolysis readily, and produces pH dependent highly fluorescent products, it is unlikely to be a useful sensor in 30 aqueous solution.

The project described was supported by Award Number **P42ES010337** from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

Notes and references

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California at San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0358, USA. E-mail: wtrogler@ucsd.edu; Fax: +1 858-534-5383; Tel: +1 858-534-6175

- 5 † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Synthesis and characterization details of NAPSAL. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- M. F. Hughes, B. D. Beck, Y. Chen, A. S. Lewis and D. J. Thomas, *Toxicol. Sci.* 2011, **123**, 305-332.
- (a) A. Sahana, A. Banerjee, S. Lohar, S. Panja, S. K. Mukhopadhyay, J. S. Matalobos and D. Das, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 7231-7233;
 (b) A. Banerjee, A. Sahana, S. Lohar, S. Panja, S. K. Mukhopadhyay and D. Das, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 3887-3892;
 (c) S. Lohar, S. Pal, B. Sen, M. Mukherjee, S. Banerjee and P. Chattopadhyay, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, 40 (1):257, 11:257 (1):257 (1):257
- 15 2014, **86**, 11357-11361; (d) A. S. M. Islam, R. Alam, A. Katarkar, K. Chaudhurib and M. Ali, *Analyst*, 2015, **140**, 2979-2983.
- 3 (a) C. Chen, D. Liao, C. Wan and A. Wu, *Analyst*, 2013, 138, 2527–2530; (b) A. Jiménez-Sánchez, N. Farfán and R. Santillan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, 54, 5279-5283; (c) A. Sahana, A. Banerjee,
- 20 S. Das, S. Lohar, D. Karak, B. Sarkar, S. K. Mukhopadhyay, A. K. Mukherjee and D. Das, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2011, 9, 5523-5529.
- 4 A. S. Kirdanta, V. A. Shelkeb, S. G. Shankarwarb, A. G. Shankarware and T. K. Chondhekarb, *J. Chem. Pharm. Res.*, 2011, **3**, 790-796.

25