Volume 1 | Number 1 | Jan 2013 | Pages 1–100

ChemComm

Chemical Communications
www.rsc.org/chemcomm

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **Ethical guidelines** still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Journal Name

ChemComm

ARTICLE TYPE

Nickel-Catalyzed Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Conjugated Olefins

Siyu Guo, Peng Yang and Jianrong (Steve) Zhou*

5 **Asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of electron-deficient olefins is realized with nickel catalysts supported by strongly σ-donating bisphosphines. Deuterium labeling experiments points to a reaction sequence of formate decarboxylation, asymmetric hydride insertion and non-stereoselective**
10 **protonation of resulting nickel enolates.**

Asymmetric hydrogenation is the state-of-the-art in homogeneous metal catalysis and it is practiced on large scales in manufacturing of chiral pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals.[1] Today, chiral catalysts of noble metals Rh,[2] Ru[3] and Ir[4] dominate
15 the field of asymmetric hydrogenation. Expensive noble metals themselves contributed to a fraction of total cost of hydrogenation processes, in addition to costly chiral bisphosphines. Furthermore, the mining and purification of these rare metals from ores are energy demanding and costly. These metals are
20 produced in only dozens of tons a year worldwide and are very expensive, often thousands-fold more so than abundant metals such as copper and nickel. They are highly toxic to human and ecosystems. The heavy metal residues in pharmaceutical active ingredients must be reduced to ppm levels according to FDA
25 regulations. Waste treatment after catalytic hydrogenation also incurs additional costs. In comparison, base metals like iron, nickel and copper are much cheaper, less toxic or even nontoxic, and are being produced in millions of tons a year.[5]

Previously, Pfaltz *et al.* invented cobalt-catalyzed asymmetric
35 reduction using borohydrides.[6] Recently, Chirik group reported cobalt-catalyzed hydrogenation of styrenes and enamides, but the cobalt catalysts were sensitive to air and moisture and the types of olefins that gave high *ee* were quite limited.[7] In recent years, achiral nickel complexes were found to have *non-stereoselective*
40 hydrogenation activity towards unsaturated bonds.[8] Hamada *et al.* reported nickel-catalyzed hydrogenation of ketone groups of α-amino-β-ketoesters under dynamic kinetic resolution conditions (around 80% *ee*).[9] Recently our group disclosed highly stereoselective nickel catalysts for transfer hydrogenation of
45 enamides and hydrazones having directing groups.[10] Formic acid was used as a safe and easy-to-handle hydrogen source. It has a high volume/density of H_2 and is a promising hydrogen storage material.[11] In recent years, highly efficient metal catalysts were developed for decomposition of formic acid to release H_2.[12] In
50 comparison, high-pressure hydrogen gas and liquid are commonly acknowledged as safety hazard during storage, transport and use.

Table 1 Solvent effect for a model hydrogenation of ethyl (*E*)-β-
55 methylcinnamate (GC yields and conversion on 0.1 mmol scale)

Entry	Conditions	Conv (%)	Yield (%)	Ee (%)
1	MeOH	45	45	90
2	EtOH	98	95	90
3	*n*-BuOH	95	92	91
4	*i*-PrOH	99	92	91
5	DMF	88	87	91
6	Diglyme	97	96	93
7	THF	53	39	92
8	Toluene	72	55	93
9	PhCF_3	83	81	94

Fig 1 Performance of chiral bisphosphines in a model reaction of β-methylcinnamate

Herein, we report a nickel/DuPhos catalyst for asymmetric
60 transfer hydrogenation of conjugated olefins using formic acid.[13] DuPhos, which was invented by Mark Burk previously, was uniquely active and gave 91% *ee* value in the model reaction (Fig

1). The performance of other bisphosphines was unsatisfactory. (*S*)-Binapine was completely inactive. Other bisphosphine showed little activity, including Ph-BPE, Me-DPF, DuanPhos, QuinoxP*, and Josiphos ligands.[14] TangPhos was moderately active.[15] Less donating (biaryl)bisphosphines including BINAP, Segphos and DIPAMP were completely inactive. PHOX (Pfaltz ligand) and Feringa's phosphoramidite did not form active catalysts. Iron, cobalt and copper salts were tested with Me-DuPhos and did not form active catalysts.

Isopropanol was used as a solvent in isolation experiments. The nickel catalyst worked well in several other alcohols and diglyme (Table 1). No hydrogenation activity was detected with 10 atm of H_2.


Fig 2 Examples of transfer hydrogenation (isolated yields from 0.5 mmol of olefins)

(*E*)-Cinnamates having small β groups *cis* to the ester groups reacted to afford good *ee* (Fig 2). The (*Z*)-geometric isomer afforded only <20% *ee*, however. Electron-donating or withdrawing groups on aryl groups were well tolerated, as well as thiophene and pyridine rings. Cyclic olefins were also hydrogenated efficiently to give tetralines. Furthermore, the ester groups can be replaced with amides and nitriles. α-Phenylmaleate

gave 94% *ee* in the presence of a TangPhos catalyst, while the DuPhos catalyst gave only 65% *ee* (Fig 2b). The reaction can be scaled up to gram scale with 2 mol% of nickel (Fig 2c). In a case of *p*-chloro-β-methylcinnamate, its aryl-Cl bond was reduced to C-H in the isolated product. It was probably caused by oxidative addition of the C-Cl bond to a nickel(0) species. A β,β-dialkylacrylate was also attempted which afforded a moderate 54% *ee*. Simple styrene-type derivatives did not react.


Fig 3 Deuterium labeling experiments and reaction mechanism

When we attempted the model reaction using [D_2]formic acid (Fig 3a), the β position was fully deuterated as expected. Both at α and α′ positions were partially deuterated, too. The deuterium content at α and α′ positions added up to around 100%. Most likely, the main pathway consists of formate decarboxylation on nickel, hydride insertion of the olefin and subsequent *non-stereoselective* protonation of resulting enolates (Fig 3a).[16] This pathway is distinct from *syn*-addition of H_2 in classical dihydride and monohydride pathways using noble metal catalysts.[17]

Surprisingly, when we used [D_1]formic acid (Fig 3b), besides deuteration at α positions, a significant amount of deuterium ended up in β position (about 30%D). In control experiments, no extra deuteration occurred when the methylcinnamate and its product were treated with [D_1]formic acid. Direct hydride transfer from a formate to a metal-bound methylcinnamate via a six-membered transition state is inconsistent with >100% deuterium incorporation.

We propose a minor reaction pathway that involves an equilibrium of (DuPhos)Ni(H)$^+$ and (DuPhos)Ni^0 via reversible deprotonation. This allows a deuteron of [D_1]formic acid to become a nickel deuteride and eventually add to the β position of β-methylcinnamate (Fig 3c).[18] The presence of a nickel(0) species was supported by hydrodechlorination of an aryl C-Cl bond in *p*-chloro-β-methylcinnamate. As another piece of evidence for nickel(0), when Ni(PPh$_3$)$_4$ was used as a nickel precursor, the model reaction in Table 1 became much slower, but it still afforded 14% conversion after 24 hours at 100°C.

In summary, we herein report a nickel-catalyzed transfer hydrogenation of conjugated olefins. The nickel catalyst must be supported by a strongly σ-donating bisphosphine, probably for efficient decarboxylation of a formate anion. No directing groups are needed on olefins, unlike enamides and ketone hydrazones

that we reported previously.[10] Deuterium labelling experiments point to a major pathway involving formate decarboxylation, hydride insertion into olefins and protonation of resulting nickel enolates.

Notes and references

Division of Chemistry and Biological Chemistry
School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences
Nanyang Technological University, 21 Nanyang Link, Singapore 637371
Fax: (+65) 67911961; E-mail: jrzhou@ntu.edu.sg
† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and characterization of isolated products. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

1 (a) W. S. Knowles, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2002, **41**, 1998; (b) R. Noyori, *Adv. Synth. Catal.,* 2003, **345**, 15; (c) W. Tang and X. Zhang, *Chem. Rev.,* 2003, **103**, 3029; (d) I. D. Gridnev and T. Imamoto, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 2004, **37**, 633; (e) X. Cui and K. Burgess, *Chem. Rev.,* 2005, **105**, 3272; (f) V. Farina, J. T. Reeves, C. H. Senanayake and J. J. Song, *Chem. Rev.,* 2006, **106**, 2734; (g) N. B. Johnson, I. C. Lennon, P. H. Moran and J. A. Ramsden, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 2007, **40**, 1291; (h) A. J. Minnaard, B. L. Feringa, L. Lefort and J. G. De Vries, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 2007, **40**, 1267; (i) S. J. Roseblade and A. Pfaltz, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 2007, **40**, 1402; (j) L. A. Saudan, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 2007, **40**, 1309; (k) H. Shimizu, I. Nagasaki, K. Matsumura, N. Sayo and T. Saito, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 2007, **40**, 1385; (l) W. Zhang, Y. Chi and X. Zhang, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 2007, **40**, 1278; (m) D. J. Ager, A. H. M. de Vries and J. G. de Vries, *Chem. Soc. Rev.,* 2012, **41**, 3340; (n) H.-U. Blaser, B. Pugin and F. Spindler, *Top. Organometal. Chem.,* 2012, 1; (o) J. J. Verendel, O. Pàmies, M. Diéguez and P. G. Andersson, *Chem. Rev.,* 2013, **114**, 2130; (p) J.-P. Genet, T. Ayad and V. Ratovelomanana-Vidal, *Chem. Rev.,* 2014, **114**, 2824.
2 Examples: (a) R. Hoen, J. A. F. Boogers, H. Bernsmann, A. J. Minnaard, A. Meetsma, T. D. Tiemersma-Wegman, A. H. M. de Vries, J. G. de Vries and B. L. Feringa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2005, **44**, 4209; (b) W. Chen, P. J. McCormack, K. Mohammed, W. Mbafor, S. M. Roberts and J. Whittall, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2007, **46**, 4141; (c) K. Dong, Y. Li, Z. Wang and K. Ding, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2013, **52**, 14191; (d) Y. Li, K. Dong, Z. Wang and K. Ding, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2013, **52**, 6748.
3 Examples: (a) T. Ohta, H. Takaya, M. Kitamura, K. Nagai and R. Noyori, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1987, **52**, 3174; (b) T. Uemura, X. Zhang, K. Matsumura, N. Sayo, H. Kumobayashi, T. Ohta, K. Nozaki and H. Takaya, *J. Org. Chem.,* 1996, **61**, 5510; (c) X. Cheng, Q. Zhang, J.-H. Xie, L.-X. Wang and Q.-L. Zhou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2005, **44**, 1118.
4 Examples: (a) W. Tang, W. Wang and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2003, **42**, 943; (b) S. Li, S.-F. Zhu, C.-M. Zhang, S. Song and Q.-L. Zhou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2008, **130**, 8584; (c) W.-J. Lu, Y.-W. Chen and X.-L. Hou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2008, **47**, 10133; (d) J. Zhao and K. Burgess, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2009, **131**, 13236; (e) Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 9772 D. Rageot, D. H. Woodmansee, B. Pugin and A. Pfaltz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2011, **50**, 9598; (f) S.-F. Zhu, Y.-B. Yu, S. Li, L.-X. Wang and Q.-L. Zhou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2012, **51**, 8872; (g) M. Bernasconi, M.-A. Müller and A. Pfaltz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2014, **53**, 5385; (h) Y. Liu, I. D. Gridnev and W. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2014, **53**, 1901.
5 http://www.infomine.com/investment/metal-prices.
6 (a) U. Leutenegger, A. Madin and A. Pfaltz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* 1989, **28**, 60; (b) C. Geiger, P. Kreitmeier and O. Reiser, *Adv. Synth. Catal.,* 2005, **347**, 249.
7 (a) S. Monfette, Z. R. Turner, S. P. Semproni and P. J. Chirik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2012, **134**, 4561; (b) M. R. Friedfeld, M. Shevlin, J. M. Hoyt, S. W. Krska, M. T. Tudge and P. J. Chirik, *Science,* 2013, **342**, 1076; (c) R. P. Yu, J. M. Darmon, C. Milsmann, G. W. Margulieux, S. C. E. Stieber, S. DeBeer and P. J. Chirik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2013, **135**,

13168; (d) hydroboration: L. Zhang, Z. Zuo, X. Wan and Z. Huang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2014, **136**, 15501.
8 Examples: (a) A. H. Vetter and A. Berkessel, *Synthesis,* 1995, 419; (b) I. M. Angulo, A. M. Kluwer and E. Bouwman, *Chem. Commun.,* 1998, 2689; (c) I. M. Angulo and E. Bouwman, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.,* 2001, **175**, 65; (d) S. Kuhl, R. Schneider and Y. Fort, *Organometallics,* 2003, **22**, 4184; (e) A. L. Iglesias and J. J. Garcia, *J. Mol. Catal. A,* 2009, **298**, 51; (f) W. H. Harman and J. C. Peters, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2012, **134**, 5080; (g) K. V. Vasudevan, B. L. Scott and S. K. Hanson, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.,* 2012, 4898; (h) J. Wu, J. W. Faller, N. Hazari and T. J. Schmeier, *Organometallics,* 2012, **31**, 806; (i) T.-P. Lin and J. C. Peters, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2014, **136**, 13672.
9 (a) Y. Hamada, Y. Koseki, T. Fujii, T. Maeda, T. Hibino and K. Makino, *Chem. Commun.,* 2008, 6206; (b) T. Hibino, K. Makino, T. Sugiyama and Y. Hamada, *ChemCatChem,* 2009, **1**, 237.
10 (a) P. Yang, H. Xu and J. Zhou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2014, **53**, 12210; (b) H. Xu, P. Yang, P. Chuanprasit, H. Hirao and J. Zhou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2015, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201501018.
11 (a) B. Loges, A. Boddien, F. Gärtner, H. Junge and M. Beller, *Top. Catal.,* 2010, **53**, 902; (b) A. Boddien, F. Gärtner, C. Federsel, P. Sponholz, D. Mellmann, R. Jackstell, H. Junge and M. Beller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2011, **50**, 6411; (c) M. Grasemann and G. Laurenczy, *Energy Environ. Sci.,* 2012, **5**, 8171; (d) A. F. Dalebrook, W. Gan, M. Grasemann, S. Moret and G. Laurenczy, *Chem. Commun.,* 2013, **49**, 8735.
12 (a) B. Loges, A. Boddien, H. Junge and M. Beller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2008, **47**, 3962; (b) T. C. Johnson, D. J. Morris and M. Wills, *Chem. Soc. Rev.,* 2010, **39**, 81; (c) A. Boddien, D. Mellmann, F. Gärtner, R. Jackstell, H. Junge, P. J. Dyson, G. Laurenczy, R. Ludwig and M. Beller, *Science,* 2011, **333**, 1733; (d) J. F. Hull, Y. Himeda, W.-H. Wang, B. Hashiguchi, R. Periana, D. J. Szalda, J. T. Muckerman and E. Fujita, *Nat. Chem.,* 2012, **4**, 383; (e) Y. Maenaka, T. Suenobu and S. Fukuzumi, *Energy Environ. Sci.,* 2012, **5**, 7360; (f) J. H. Barnard, C. Wang, N. G. Berry and J. Xiao, *Chem. Sci.,* 2013, **4**, 1234; (g) E. A. Bielinski, P. O. Lagaditis, Y. Zhang, B. Q. Mercado, C. Würtele, W. H. Bernskoetter, N. Hazari and S. Schneider, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2014, **136**, 10234.
13 (a) R. Noyori and S. Hashiguchi, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 1997, **30**, 97; (b) R. Kadyrov and T. H. Riermeier, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2003, **42**, 5472; (c) T. Ikariya and A. J. Blacker, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 2007, **40**, 1300; (d) C. Wang, C. Li, X. Wu, A. Pettman and J. Xiao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2009, **48**, 6524; (e) J.-i. Ito and H. Nishiyama, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 2014, **55**, 3133.
14 (a) A. Togni, C. Breutel, A. Schnyder, F. Spindler, H. Landert and A. Tijani, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1994, **116**, 4062; (b) T. Imamoto, J. Watanabe, Y. Wada, H. Masuda, H. Yamada, H. Tsuruta, S. Matsukawa and K. Yamaguchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1998, **120**, 1635; (c) D. Liu, W. Tang and X. Zhang, *Org. Lett.,* 2004, **6**, 513; (d) T. Imamoto, K. Tamura, Z. Zhang, Y. Horiuchi, M. Sugiya, K. Yoshida, A. Yanagisawa and I. D. Gridnev, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2011, **134**, 1754.
15 W. Tang, W. Wang, Y. Chi and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 2003, **42**, 3509.
16 Y. Tsuchiya, Y. Hamashima and M. Sodeoka, *Org. Lett.,* 2006, **8**, 4851.
17 Examples: (a) J. M. Brown and P. A. Chaloner, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.,* 1980, 344; (b) A. S. C. Chan, J. J. Pluth and J. Halpern, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1980, **102**, 5952; (c) R. Giernoth, H. Heinrich, N. J. Adams, R. J. Deeth, J. Bargon and J. M. Brown, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2000, **122**, 12381; (d) I. D. Gridnev, N. Higashi, K. Asakura and T. Imamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2000, **122**, 7183; (e) M. Kitamura, M. Tsukamoto, Y. Bessho, M. Yoshimura, U. Kobs, M. Widhalm and R. Noyori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2002, **124**, 6649.
18 B. M. Trost, *Chem.-Eur. J.,* 1998, **4**, 2405.

A nickel catalyst is used for asymmetric hydrogenation of
electron-deficient olefins using formic acid as a hydrogen source