ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

Hypervalent iodine mediated alkene difunctionalization of vinylphenols: diastereoselective synthesis of substituted indoles and indolizines[†]

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012 Kai Zhang,^{‡a,b} Hang Wang,^{‡a} Jingfeng Zheng,^a Lei Yu^b and Hanfeng Ding^{*a}

ChemComm

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A hypervalent iodine mediated alkene difunctionalization reaction of vinylphenols has been developed. The chemistry is applicable to a wide range of substitution on both the alkene and nucleophile substrates, enabling the rapid synthesis of 3substituted indoles and 2-substituted indolizines in good yields and high diastereoselectivities under metal-free conditions.

Indoles and indolizines are common architectural backbones in bioactive synthetic and natural products and occupy privileged positions in drug discovery.¹ Therefore, the development of new methods for accessing these unique structures is of great importance for drug lead synthesis. Due to these reasons, employment of indoles and indolizines as nucleophiles in alkene difunctionalization reactions has attracted much attention from synthetic community.²



Scheme 1 Quinone methide approaches to alkene difunctionalization.

Recently, Sigman and co-workers reported an elegant Pdcatalyzed alkene difunctionalization reaction of vinylphenol **1** (Scheme 1),^{2a} which was proposed to proceed through an intramolecular oxypalladation followed by Michael-type addition of indole to the resulting *ortho*-quinone methide.³ We envisaged that nucleophilic addition of the pendant hydroxyl group to the alkene moiety of 1 would also occur with the assistance of a hypervalent iodine oxidant, via heterocyclic intermediate A in an associative manner.⁴ Subsequent formation of the quinone methide intermediate B would allow for attack by an exogenous nucleophile, to afford desired product 2. Herein, we report an iodine(III) mediated alkene difunctionalization reaction of vinylphenols, which resulted in the efficient synthesis of substituted indoles and indolizines with high diastereoselectivities under metal-free conditions.

RSCPublishing

Table 1 Optimization of the reaction parameters^a



Entry	Iodine(III)	Solvent	Temp. (°C)	Yield ^b (%)	dr^c
-	Reagent				
1	PhI(OAc) ₂	CH_2Cl_2	0	72	12:1
2	PhI(OCCF ₃) ₂	CH_2Cl_2	0	54	12:1
3	PhIO	CH_2Cl_2	0	$n.d.^d$	-
4	PhI(OH)(OTs)	CH_2Cl_2	0	n.d.	-
5	PhI(OAc) ₂	DCE	0	67	8:1
6	PhI(OAc) ₂	CHCl ₃	0	65	9:1
7	PhI(OAc) ₂	Toluene	0	13	6:1
8	PhI(OAc) ₂	CH ₃ CN	0	9	6:1
9	PhI(OAc) ₂	HFIP	0	<5	-
10	$PhI(OAc)_2$	CH_2Cl_2	-78	<5	-
11	PhI(OAc) ₂	CH_2Cl_2	-50	38	>20:1
12	PhI(OAc) ₂	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-20	84	>20:1
13	$PhI(OAc)_2$	CH_2Cl_2	rt	66	10:1

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.20 mmol), **3a** (0.24 mmol), iodine(III) reagent (0.24 mmol) in solvent (10 mL) at indicated temperature. ^{*b*} Isolated yields. ^{*c*} Determined by crude ¹H NMR analysis. ^{*d*} Not detected. DCE = 1,2-dichloroethane, HFIP = 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol.

To test our hypothesis, vinylphenol $1a^5$ and *N*-methylindole (**3a**) were selected as model substrates. The reaction was successful over 1 h by using 1.2 equiv of PhI(OAc)₂⁶ at 0 °C in CH₂Cl₂, affording indole derivative **2a** in 72% yield and 12:1 dr (Table 1, entry 1). Replacement of PhI(OAc)₂ with other iodine(III) reagent

(PhI(OCCF₃)₂, PhIO, or PhI(OH)(OTs)) gave a decreased yield of **2a** (entries 2–4). Solvents such as DCE and CHCl₃ delivered the desired product in acceptable yields and modest diastereoselectivities (entries 5 and 6), whereas toluene, CH₃CN or HFIP led to a much lower yield and dr (entries 7–9). Further investigation on the reaction temperature revealed that –20 °C was most suitable, at which **2a** was obtained in 84% yield and >20:1 dr (entry 12). In contrast, only trace amount of **2a** was formed when the reaction was carried out at –78 °C (entry 10), which could be isolated in 38% yield at –50 °C (entry 11). On the other hand, a slight drop in both yield and dr was observed at room temperature (entry 13 *cf.* entries 1 and 12). It should also be noted that in this reaction both individual *E*- and *Z*-alkene isomers of vinylphenol **1a** gave the same diastereomer **2a**, and only 1.2 equiv of indole **3a** was required for the consumption of **1a**.⁷

With the optimized conditions in hand, the generality and scope of the alkene difunctionalization reaction was explored (Scheme 2a). Vinylphenols bearing electron-neutral, electron-deficient, and electron-rich phenyl rings were converted to the corresponding products in good yields and excellent diastereoselectivities (71-86% yield, >20:1 dr; **2b–e**), which indicated the electronic properties of the phenyl subunit had little influence on the efficiency of this reaction. The reaction demonstrated wide substrate scope in terms of the N-alkyl-protected indole structure. Various indoles with different steric and electronic parameters, including 1-substituted, 2substituted, 4-substituted, and 5-substituted were found to be compatible, again resulting in good to excellent yields and drs (63-81% yield, 13->20:1 dr; 2f-r). In addition, both tetrahydrofuran and tetrahydropyran ring systems were formed smoothly (2s and 2t). It should be mentioned that N-protection of indole is required, and electron-deficient substituents such as Ts or Boc on the indole nitrogen were also employed, which turned out to substantially decrease the yield due to its weak nucleophilicity. 3-Substituted indoles (e.g. 3-Me, 3-Ph, 3-CO₂Me) as reaction partners were investigated as well, unfortunately, none of the desired 2-substituted products was observed under the standard conditions.8

The relative stereochemistry of the products was unambiguously determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis of **2p** (Fig. 1a).⁹ The origin of the diastereoselectivity could be possibly explained based on Yamamoto's model¹⁰ for chiral Michael acceptors. A " π -complex" mechanism was hypothesized (Fig. 1b), through which the *syn* isomer was formed predominantly regardless of the double-bond geometry in transition state B (Scheme 1).



Fig. 1 a) ORETP of compound **2p**; b) proposed origin of the diastereoselectivity.

The reaction was not limited to only indole nucleophiles, as demonstrated in Scheme 2b by the successful employment of indolizines, which led to new pharmacophores in the products.¹¹ By simply mixing **1a**, **4a–e**, and PhI(OAc)₂ together at 0 °C, comparable results (72–87% yield, >20:1 dr) were obtained for the formation of **5a–e** in 2-substituted fashion.



7g(R=OMe), 69%, >20:1 dr Scheme 2 Substituted indoles and indolizines formed by PhI(OAc)--mediated

diastereoselective alkene difunctionalization.

Encouraged by these results, we next focused on investigating the difunctionalization reaction of vinylphenols 6, which contain more

complicated trisubstituted alkene moieties (Scheme 2c). The reactions proceeded well under standard conditions, regardless of the electronic variations on both the phenol and indole rings, affording products 7a-g in only a bit lower yields than that for the corresponding disubstituted alkenes. Worthy of note, the concomitant diastereoselective construction of the quaternary carbon presents a formidable synthetic tool for the construction of highly substituted tetrahydrofuran structures.

To validate our hypothesis on the mechanism, additional experiments were conducted (Scheme 3). As envisioned, reaction of alkene 8 and 3a under standard conditions led only to slow decomposition of the starting materials without any detection of 9 (eqn (1)). On the other hand, upon treatment of 1a with excess amount of enol ether 10 in the presence of PhI(OAc)₂, the inverseelectron demand Diels–Alder product 11 could be isolated in moderate yield and diastereoselectivity (eqn (2)).¹² Both outcomes strongly support the quinone methide mechanistic pathway.



Apart from the *ortho*-quinone methide route, the scope of the alkene difunctionalization can also be extended to the *p*-vinylphenols **12**. As shown in Table 2, no matter the substituent group on the phenyl ring is electron-neutral (entries 1–5), electron-deficient (entries 6–8), or electron-rich (entries 9 and 10), the reactions with indolizines occurred uneventfully to afford the corresponding adducts **13a–j** in moderate to good yields and excellent drs. To the best of our knowledge, this 1,6-conjugate addition-involved alkene difunctionalization via a *para*-quinone methide approach has not been described.

Table 2 PhI(OAc)2-mediated alkene difunctionalization of p-vinylphenols^a PhI(OAc)₂ 1.2₂Cl₂, 10 ℃ HC R1 R^2 Entry R Product Yield dr (%) >20:1 1 H (12a) H (4a) 1**3**a 83 2 H (12a) 6-t-Bu (4b) 13b 80 >20:1 3 H (12a) 5,7-diMe (4d) 77 >20:1 13c 4 70 >20:1 H(12a) 5.6-benzo (4e) 13d 2,6-diMe (12b) 5 6-t-Bu (4b) 13e 76 >20:16 2-Br (12c) H (4a) 13f 78 >20:1 7 2-Br (12c) 6-t-Bu (4b) 75 >20:1 13g 8 5,6-benzo (4e) 67 >20:1 2-Br (12c) 13h 9 2-OEt (12d) H (4a) 13i 63 >20:1 10 2-OEt (12d) 5,6-benzo(4e) 13i 55 >20:1

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **12** (0.20 mmol), **4** (0.24 mmol), PhI(OAc)₂ (0.24 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at 10 °C. ^{*b*} Isolated yields. ^{*c*} Determined by crude ¹H NMR analysis.

The incorporation of phenol subunit in products can be used as a synthetic handle for further transformations (Scheme 4). As one example to demonstrate the utility of this method to rapidly access relatively complex skeletons, intramolecular Heck reaction¹³ of triflate 14 resulted in the formation of tetracycle 15 in 75% yield. Additionally, exposure of 2a to DMDO^{2a,14} delivered tetracycles 17a and 17b as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers in 78% yield. Thus, both of these two compounds bearing four contiguous stereogenic centers were obtained in only four steps from salicylaldehyde.¹⁵



In conclusion, we have developed the first hypervalent iodine mediated alkene difunctionalization of vinylphenols, which rendered the facile synthesis of 3-substituted indoles and 2-substituted indolizines with good yields and high diastereoselectivities. This novel method is metal free and has a broad scope of alkene and indole/indolizine substrates. Moreover, the resulting products can be readily transformed to diverse complex structures for further chemical and biological investigations. These studies are currently underway in our laboratory.

This work was financially supported by NSFC (21472167, 21202144, J1210042) and the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (20120101120087). We thank Dr. Kok Ping Chan (Institute of Chemical & Engineering Sciences, A*STAR, Singapore) for helpful discussions during the preparation of this manuscript.

Notes and references

^a Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, 148 Tianmushan Road, Hangzhou 310028, China. E-mail: hfding@zju.edu.cn

^b Jiangsu Co-innovation Center for Prevention and Control of Important Animal Infectious Diseases and Zoonoses, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225002, China.

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedure, characterization data, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

These authors contributed equally.

 For selected reviews, see: (a) S. Cacchi and G. Fabrizi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 2873; (b) G. R. Humphrey and J. T. Kuethe, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **106**, 2875; (c) K. Higuchi and T. Kawasaki, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2007, **24**, 843; (d) A. Brancale and R. Silvestri, *Med. Res. Rev.*, 2007, **27**, 209; (e) M. Shiri, M. A. Zolfigol, H. G. Kruger and Z.

This journal is $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Royal Society of Chemistry 2012

Tanbakouchian, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 2250; (*f*) M. Shiri, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 3508.

- (a) T. P. Pathak, K. M. Gligorich, B. E. Welm and M. S. Sigman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 7870; (b) R. Jana, T. P. Pathak, K. H. Jensen and M. S. Sigman, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 4074.
- 3 (a) T. P. Pathak and M. S. Sigman, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 9210; (b)
 W.-J. Bai, J. G. David, Z.-G. Feng, M. G. Weaver, K.-L. Wu and T.
 R. Pettus, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 3655 and references therein.
- 4 (a) N. Lewis and P. Wallbank, Synthesis, 1987, 1103; (b) R. Barret and M. Daudon, Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 4871; (c) D. Mal, H. N. Roy, N. K. Hazra and S. Adhikari, Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 2177; (d) S. Quideau, M. A. Looney and L. Pouységu, Org. Lett., 1999, 1, 1651; (e) S. Quideau, L. Pouységu, M. Oxoby and M. A. Looney, Tetrahedron, 2001, 57, 319; (f) J. L. Wood, J. K. Graeber and J. T. Njardarson, Tetrahedron, 2003, 59, 8855.
- 5 In our studies, a mixture of alkene isomers (Z/E = 3:1) was employed.
- 6 More than 2.0 equiv of PhI(OAc)₂ led only to trace amount of the product **2a** due to its further oxidative dearomatization.
- As a comparison, although can be recovered, at least 15 equiv of indoles were needed in Sigman's conditions to achieve good yields of 2 (see Ref. 2a).
- 8 For nucleophilic reactivities of indoles, see: S. Lakhdar, M. Westermaier, F. Terrier, R. Goumont, T. Boubaker, A. R. Ofial and H. Mayr, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 9088.
- 9 CCDC 1048902 (compound 2p) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- 10 Y. Yamamoto, Y. Chounan, S. Nishii, T. Ibuka, H. Kitahara, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 7652.
- (a) S. Hagishita, M. Yamada, K. Shirahase, T. Okada, Y. Murakami, Y. Ito, T. Matsuura, M. Wada, T. Kato, M. Ueno, Y. Chikazawa, K. Yamada, T. Ono, I. Teshirogi and M. Ohtani, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1996, **39**, 3636; (b) P. Sonnet, P. Dallemagne, J. Guillon, C. Enguehard, S. Stiebing, J. Tanguy, R. Bureau, S. Rault, P. Auvray, S. Moslemi, P. Sourdaine, G.-E. Séralini, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2000, **8**, 945.
- 12 For the synthesis of chroman derivatives, see: H. Shen, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, **65**, 3931.
- 13 M. Shimizu, K. Mochida and T. Hiyama, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 9760.
- 14 D. Schwaebisch, K. Tchabanenko, R. M. Adlington, A. M. Cowley and J. E. Baldwin, *Chem. Comm.*, 2004, 2552.
- 15 Tetracycles 17a and 17b have homology with the core frameworks of communesin-type alkaloids: (a) A. Numata, C. Takahashi, Y. Ito, T. Takada, K. Kawai, Y. Usami, E. Matsumura, M. Imachi, T. Ito and T. Hasegawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, 34, 2355; (b) R. Jadulco, R. A. Edrada, R. Ebel, A. Berg, K. Schaumann, V. Wray, K. Steube and P. Proksch, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2004, 67, 78; (c) P. W. Dalsgaard, J. W. Blunt, M. H. G. Munro, J. C. Frisvad and C. Christophersen, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2005, 68, 258.