ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

COMMUNICATION

ChemComm Accepted Manuscript

Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction with 4-[¹⁸F]fluoroiodobenzene for rapid ¹⁸F-labelling of peptides[†]

Jenilee D. Way,^a Cody Bergman^a and Frank Wuest^{a,*}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The study describes the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction with $4-[^{18}F]$ fluoroiodobenzene ([$^{18}F]$ FIB) as novel and efficient method for rapid labelling of peptides with the short-lived positron emitter fluorine-18.

- ¹⁰ Radiolabelled peptides have been used for targeted molecular imaging and therapy for more than 20 years¹. The high interest in radiolabelled peptides as targeting vectors mainly stems from the over-expression of various specific peptide-binding receptors in numerous cancers and inflammatory tissues².
- ¹⁵ Positron emission tomography (PET) is a non-invasive molecular imaging technique to assess physiological and biochemical processes in living organisms by the use of compounds labeled with short-lived positron emitters, also referred to as radiotracers. For PET imaging with peptides as ²⁰ radiotracers, the majority of peptides were labeled with positron
- emitting radiometals like copper-64, gallium-68, and yttrium-86³. Unlike radiometals, short-lived positron emitter fluorine-18 (¹⁸F) offers several advantages such as high abundance of positron emission (97%), high production yields on small
- ²⁵ biomedical cyclotrons, high spatial resolution through low positron energy (0.635 MeV), and convenient half-life of 109.8 min allowing for extensive radiochemistry and molecular imaging studies⁴. However, radiofluorination of peptides still remains a special challenge, and only a few ¹⁸F-labeled peptides
- ³⁰ have been used in the clinic. Commonly used methods for radiolabelling of peptides with ¹⁸F can be subdivided into three general categories: (1) use of ¹⁸F-labelled prosthetic groups which are activated as active esters or maleimides to undergo bioconjugation reaction with functional groups of the peptide
- ³⁵ backbone such as NH₂ and SH⁵⁻⁷; (2) exploitation of fluoride-acceptor chemistry based on the strong affinity of [¹⁸F]fluoride to silicon (Si-¹⁸F), boron (B-¹⁸F), and aluminum (Al-¹⁸F)⁸⁻¹⁰; and (3) application of various click chemistry concepts¹¹⁻¹³. Scope and limitations of various methods for radiofluorination of peptides
 ⁴⁰ have been summarized in numerous excellent reviews.
- Transition metal-mediated cross-coupling reactions have stimulated significant advancements in PET radiochemistry, especially with the short-lived positron emitter ¹¹C and ¹⁸F. Various Pd-mediated cross-coupling reactions proved to be
- ⁴⁵ valuable and popular synthesis strategies for the preparation of ¹⁸F-labelled radiotracers¹⁴. Pd-mediated cross-coupling reactions were successfully applied to the synthesis of various small molecule PET radiotracers, including ¹⁸F-labelled steroids¹⁵,

nucleosides¹⁶, and amino acids¹⁷. However, the potential of Pd-⁵⁰ mediated reactions has not yet been fully recognized for the ¹⁸Flabelling of higher molecular weight compounds like peptides and proteins. An exception is the recently reported synthesis of ¹⁸F-labelled polypeptides using Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction with 4-[¹⁸F]fluorophenvlboronic acid as the coupling 55 partner¹⁸. On the other hand, there are numerous reports describing the application of the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction for peptide and protein functionalization in aqueous media. In a first report, Dibowskiet al.¹⁹ described the Castro-Stephens-Sonogashira reaction for bioconjugation of peptides in 60 water using a Pd-guanidino-phosphane catalysts. The reaction was applied to cross-coupling reactions with 4-iodo-benzoate with propargylglycine to form the desired cross-coupled product in good chemical yields of 75% after a reaction time of 3 h. Further proof-of-concept of this regioselective C-C bond 65 formation was demonstrated by the reaction of biotinylglutamoylpropargylamide with 4-iodo-phenyl-functionalized undecapeptide in buffer to yield the cross-coupled product in 91% after 3 h.

Additional reports further demonstrated chemoselective Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction of peptides in water. The reaction proceeded best at pH 5.5 to provide high yields²⁰. Recently, the use of 4-fluoroiodobenzene in Sonogashira crosscoupling reactions with alkyne-encoded proteins in aqueous medium was reported by Li *et al.*²¹. These reports clearly demonstrated the suitability of Sonogashira cross-coupling reactions in bioorthogonal bioconjugations for the introduction of fluorophenyl groups into peptides and proteins in aqueous solvents under mild conditions.

However, to the best of our knowledge the Sonogashira crosscoupling reaction with 4-[¹⁸F]fluoroiodobenzene ([¹⁸F]FIB) has ⁸⁰ not yet been reported for peptide labelling in ¹⁸F- radiochemistry. We have recently reported on the synthesis of various metabolically stabilized ¹⁸F-labeled bombesin derivatives for targeting gastrin-releasing peptide receptors in prostate cancer.⁵ Radiolabelling was accomplished through classical ⁸⁵ bioconjugation using acylation reaction with succinimidyl-4-[¹⁸F]fluorobenzoate ([¹⁸F]SFB) or oxime formation with 2-[¹⁸F]fluoro-2-deoxy-glucose ([¹⁸F]FDG).

Application of Sonogashira reaction with [¹⁸F]FIB requires presence of a terminal alkyne group in the peptide backbone. ⁹⁰ Introduction of a terminal alkyne group was achieved through coupling L-propargylglycine to the N-terminal end of bombesin derivative.

The synthesis of propargylglycine-containing bombesin derivative1 as labelling precursor was performed using solidphase peptide synthesis. Peptide 1 was isolated in 20% yield after HPLC purification and subsequent lyophilisation. Reference ⁵ compound [¹⁹F]2 was prepared using Sonogashira reaction conditions with 4-fluoroiodobenzene in solution and on resin in 9% and 15% yield, respectively. Higher chemical yields of 15% favour on-resin Sonogashira cross-coupling compared with the reaction in solution.

Structures of peptides 1 and [¹⁹F]2 are displayed in Fig. 1. 10



Fig. 1 Structures of peptide 1 and peptide [¹⁹F]2.

20

Reaction conditions for Sonogashira cross-coupling between ¹⁸F]FIB and alkyne-functionalized bombesin derivative **1** for the radiosynthesis of [18F]2 were optimized by screening several Pdcomplexes, solvents, reaction temperatures, reaction times, and

25 concentrations of peptide 1. The general outline of the radiolabelling reaction according to Sonogashira reaction conditions is given in Fig. 2.



⁴⁵ Fig. 1 Sonogashira reaction between peptide 1 and $[^{18}F]FIB$.

All reactions were processed by acidification of the reaction mixture with 1N HCl (0.7 mL), followed by centrifugation for 5 min, and transfer of the reaction mixture from the Eppendorf tube

- 50 into a glass vial. Both the Eppendorf tube and the glass vial were measured for radioactivity amount, and the reaction mixture was analyzed using radio-TLC. Radiochemical yields represented show the percentage of product present in the reaction mixture. The results are summarized in Table 1.
- 55 In the first set of reactions (entries 1 to 4), the influence of different palladium catalysts was tested. Two Pd(II) catalysts (PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ and Pd(OAc)₂), and two Pd(0)

19). 115

catalysts (Pd(PPh₃)₄ and Pd₂(dba)₃) were used. The rest of the reaction mixture contained CuI (1 mg), TEA (50 µL), and peptide $_{60}$ 1 (85 µg/mL) in PBS (0.5 mL) with [¹⁸F]FIB in CH₃CN (100 µL). Pd-complexes containing a triphenylphosphine ligand (entry 1 and 2) seemed to be more sufficient regardless the oxidation state of the metal center, and comparable radiochemical yields of about 10% were obtained with PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (entry 65 1 and 2).

Further optimization studies were carried out with PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ as the Pd-complex. In the next series of experiments, the amount of peptide on the radiochemical yield was studied (entries 5 to 8). The amount of peptide in solution varied from 1.3 mg/mL down 70 to 1.3 µg/mL. Results clearly demonstrated the importance of peptide amount on the radiochemical yield. High peptide concentration of 1.3 mg/mL provided highest radiochemical yield of 39%, whereas no product was formed when very low peptide concentration of 1.3 µg/mL was used (entry 5 vs. entry 8). We 75 decided to continue optimization experiments with a peptide concentration of 85 µg/mL. In the next series of experiments, temperature and solvent were varied using DMF and CH₃CN, using a reaction temperature of 25 °C, 45 °C, and 65 °C, respectively (entries 9 to 14). Experiments displayed in entries 9 80 to 14 suggest that reactions using DMF as the co-solvent proceeded in higher radiochemical yield at higher reaction temperature (entries 9, 11, and 13). In the case of CH₃CN as the co-solvent, best radiochemical yields of 24% were achieved at 45

°C (entry 12), whereas lower radiochemical yields were observed

85 when lower temperature (25 °C, entry 10) or higher temperature (65 °C, entry 14) were applied.

In course of all experiments described in entries 1 to 14, we realized that a major limitation of the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction with peptides in aqueous solutions is the solubility of the 90 Pd-complex. As a consequence, we tested various water soluble Pd-complexes in the next set of reactions, and water soluble complexes Pd(tppts)₄ and Pd(NO₃)₂ were used. Pd(tppts)₄ was prepared starting from Pd(OAc)₂(1.0 mg) by ligand exchange with 3,3',3"-phosphanetriyltris(benzenesulfonic acid) trisodium ⁹⁵ salt (tppts) (10.5 mg) within 30 min through gentle vortexing²². Ligand exchange from Pd(OAc)₂to Pd(tppts)₄was easily visible by color change (supplementary data, Figure S1). Color of the reaction changed from yellow (Pd(OAc)₂), to light orange (1st ligand substitution), to green (2nd ligand substitution), to dark 100 orange (3rd ligand substitution), to ruby red (4th ligand substitution).

Upon completion of ligand exchange reaction, complexes $Pd(tppts)_4$ and $Pd(NO_3)_2$ wereused for the cross-coupling reactions with peptide1 (entries 15 and 16). Higher peptide concentration 105 of 250 µg/mL was used. Pd-complex Pd(NO₃)₂ showed very poor cross-coupling potential compared to Pd(tppts)₄ as reflected by the very low radiochemical yield of 3% (entry 15) compared to 25% obtained with Pd(tppts)₄ (entry 16). Therefore, further optimization experiments were performed using Pd(tppts)₄ as a 110 water soluble Pd-complex. Influence of the reaction time upon the radiochemical yield was studies in the following series of experiments (entries 17 to 20). Best radiochemical yields of about 70% were obtained after a reaction time of 10 min at 25 °C (entry

Entry	Pd complex	Peptide concentration	Time	Temperature	Solvent	Radiochemical yield ^{a,b,c} (n=3)
1	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	85 μg/mL	45 min	45 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	8 ± 1
2	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	85 μg/mL	45 min	45 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	10 ± 5
3	$Pd(OAc)_2$	85 μg/mL	45 min	45 ℃	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	2 ± 0
4	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	85 μg/mL	45 min	45 ℃	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	2 ± 1
5	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	1.3 mg/mL	45 min	45 ℃	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	39 ± 11
6	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	130 µg/mL	45 min	45 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	18 ± 6
7	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	13 µg/mL	45 min	45 ℃	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	7 ± 3
8	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	1.3 μg/mL	45 min	45 ℃	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	0 ± 0
9	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	85 μg/mL	45 min	25 °C	H ₂ O/DMF	11 ± 2
10	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	85 μg/mL	45 min	25 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	10 ± 2
11	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	85 μg/mL	45 min	45 ℃	H ₂ O/DMF	13 ± 7
12	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	85 μg/mL	45 min	45 ℃	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	24 ± 8
13	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	85 μg/mL	45 min	65 ℃	H ₂ O/DMF	19 ± 6
14	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	85 μg/mL	45 min	65 ℃	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	8 ± 3
15	$Pd(NO_3)_2$	250 μg/mL	45 min	45 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	3 ± 0
16	Pd(tppts) ₄	250 μg/mL	45 min	45 ℃	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	25 ± 8
17	Pd(tppts) ₄	85 μg/mL	1 min	25 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	60 ± 5
18	Pd(tppts) ₄	85 μg/mL	5 min	25 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	51 ± 4
19	Pd(tppts) ₄	85 μg/mL	10 min	25 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	71 ± 4
20	Pd(tppts) ₄	85 μg/mL	15 min	25 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	73 ± 2
21	Pd(tppts) ₄	85 μg/mL	10 min	25 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	37 ± 5^{d}
22	Pd(tppts) ₄	85 μg/mL	10 min	25 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	$32 \pm 6^{\text{e}}$
23	Pd(tppts) ₄	85 μg/mL	10 min	25 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	40 ± 5^{f}
24	Pd(tppts) ₄	85 μg/mL	10 min	25 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₃ CN	33 ± 2^{g}
25	Pd(tppts) ₄	85 µg/mL	10 min	25 °C	H ₂ O/CH ₂ CN	35 ± 13^{h}

Table 1 Summary of results for the Sonogashira reaction of [¹⁸F]FIB with peptide 1

^a Radiochemical yields were determined by radio-TLC representing percentage of product present in the reaction mixture.

^b 1 mg of CuI was used

^c 50 µL of TEA was used

^d 5 mg of NaOH was used instead of 50 µL of TEA

 e 50 μL of DIPEA was used instead of 50 μL of TEA

 $^{\it f}$ 10 mg of K_3PO_4 was used instead of 50 μL of TEA

^g 10 mg of K₂CO₃ was used instead of 50 µL of TEA

^f 10 mg of NaHCO₃ was used instead of 50 µL of TEA

The last set of experiments studied the influence of different bases upon the radiochemical yield of Sonogashira crosscoupling reaction with [18 F]FIB and peptide **1**. All reactions in entries 21 to 25 provided comparable radiochemical yields

- s between 32 to 40% regardless the base used. Alternative bases NaOH (entry 21), DIPEA (entry 22), K_3PO_4 (entry 23), K_2CO_3 (entry 24), and NaHCO₃ (entry 25) gave lower radiochemical yields compared to reaction with TEA under comparable conditions (entry 19).
- ¹⁰ Based on optimization experiments summarized in Table 1, the following optimized reaction conditions were selected for radiosynthesis of [¹⁸F]2 according to a Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction between peptide 1 and [¹⁸F]FIB: 0.1 mg of Pd(tppts)₄, 1.0 mg of CuI, 85 μg of peptide 1, and 50 μL of TEA ¹⁵ in 1 mL of CH₃CN:PBS (1:9) at 25°C for 10 min.

Application of this optimized reaction conditions gave peptide $[{}^{18}F]2$ in 71 ± 4% radiochemical yield (decay-corrected, based on $[{}^{18}F]FIB$) after a reaction time of 35 min, including HPLC purification. Specific activity of $[{}^{18}F]2$ was calculated to be 20 625 ± 334 GBq/µmol (n=3). Identity of purified $[{}^{18}F]2$ was

confirmed through radio-HPLC by co-injection of reference compound [¹⁹F]2 (Supplementary data, Figure S2).

Further experiments included reactions under optimized conditions in the presence of sulfhydryl groups, and in the ²⁵ absence of Cu(I). Sulfhydryl groups are known to prevent Pd-mediated cross-coupling reactions. Many peptides and proteins contain cysteine residues which may interfere with Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction conditions. To test this assumption, we prepared cysteine-containing peptide derivative **3**. Synthesis of ³⁰ cysteine-containing peptide **3** and corresponding 4-fluorophenyl-containing reference compound [¹⁹F]**4** was performed according to solid-phase-peptide synthesis protocols (Supplementary data, Figure S3). Application of optimized reaction Sonogashira cross-coupling conditions with [¹⁸F]FIB and cysteine-containing ³⁵ peptide **3** did not result in the formation of radiolabelled peptide. This finding confirms the detrimental effect of free sulfhydryl groups on Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction with [¹⁸F]FIB.

Although only little Cu(I) amounts are required to perform the reaction, we explored the reaction in the complete absence of 40 Cu(I) to address potential toxicity concerns.

Application of optimized reaction conditions, except the lack of Cu(I), gave not product formation when peptide **1** was reacted with $[^{18}F]FIB$ under Cu(I)-free Sonogashira reaction conditions. The observed lack of product formation in the absence of Cu(I) and the presence of free cultivated are set.

s and the presence of free sulfhydryl groups are important limitations of the presented Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction using optimized reaction conditions.

However, in this work we have described the first example of a Sonogahira cross-coupling reaction with [¹⁸F]FIB as novel

- ¹⁰ radiolabelling method for the site-specific incorporation of shortlived positron emitter ¹⁸F into peptides. The reaction conditions were carefully optimized through screening of different Pd complexes, solvents, and bases. Optimization also included amount of peptide, reaction time and temperature. Optimization
- ¹⁵ of Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction conditions revealed importance of the used Pd complex. Pd complexes containing triphenylphosphine ligands seemed to provide highest radiochemical yields. This observation was also made with water soluble Pd-complexes containing phosphine ligand tppts. This
- ²⁰ trend was also confirmed in various non-radioactive Sonogashira reactions, in which aromatic-substituted palladium complexes were used in aqueous media for the synthesis of peptides and proteins¹⁹⁻²¹.

The amount of peptide as labelling precursor was found to be

- $_{25}$ another important reaction parameter. Peptide amounts of at least 85 µg/mL afforded good radiochemical yields. This rather low peptide amount was also beneficial to obtain reasonably high specific activities. Moreover, the use of only small amount of peptide is extremely promising if the peptide (1) very costly or
- ³⁰ (2) difficult to synthesize. In comparison, most of the previously reported peptide syntheses with ¹⁸F typically required between 0.1 to 2 mg of peptide to provide reasonable radiochemical yields⁵⁻¹³. In the case of non-water soluble Pd-complexes, the reaction was optimally performed at 45 °C in CH₃CN over
- $_{35}$ 45 min. In the case of water soluble complexes, the reaction proceeded more favourably, and good results were obtained when the reaction was performed at 25 °C in CH₃CN/PBS as the solvent within a short reaction time of 10 min. This ability for the reaction to proceed at room temperature in mostly PBS buffer is
- ⁴⁰ very beneficial to an extension of this approach to other compounds that are either more sensitive to higher temperature reactions or to organic solvents. Another interesting aspect of our approach is the possibility to incorporate alkyne-containing amino acids like homopropargylglycine in a directed way into the
- ⁴⁵ structure of proteins²³. This allows for a site-specific radiolabelling reaction exploiting the Sonogashira cross-couplings with [¹⁸F]FIB compared to the random incorporation using prosthetic groups like [¹⁸F]SFB into the peptide or protein backbone. This site-directed radiolabelling provides radiolabelled
- ⁵⁰ compounds without the potential loss of biological activity resulting from non-specific bioconjugation reactions.

Overall, we have developed a novel radiolabelling method for site-specific incorporation of short-lived positron emitter ¹⁸F into peptides under mild and physiological conditions. Important

⁵⁵ limitations of our synthesis approach are that the reaction does not proceed in the presence of free sulfhydryl groups as typical found in cysteine residues, and that the reaction requires even though very little, but still noticeable amounts of Cu(I). Further optimization toward a Cu-free Sonogashira cross-⁶⁰ coupling reaction with [¹⁸F]FIB has the potential to include other biomacro-molecules such as proteins and oligonucleotides into this novel radiolabelling concept. This will further expand the arsenal of innovative ¹⁸F-labelled radiotracers for targeted molecular imaging with PET.

65 Notes and references

^a Department of Oncology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada. E-mail: wuest@ualberta.ca

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See 70 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1 M. Fani, H. R. Maecke, *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging*, 2012, **39**, S11.
- 2 C. Lozza, I. Navarro-Teulon, A. Pelegrin, J. P. Pouget, E. Vives, FONC, 2013, 247, 1.
- 75 3 J. D. G. Correia, A. Paulo, R. Santos, I. Santos, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, 40, 6144.
 - 4 M. M. Alauddin, Am. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging, 2012, 2, 55.
- 5 S. Richter, M. Wuest, S. S. Krieger, B. E. Rogers, M. Friebe, R. Bergmann, F. Wuest, *Nucl. Med. Biol.*, 2013, **40**, 1025.
- 80 6 B. J. Hackel, R. H. Kimura, Z. Miao, H. Liu, A. Sathirachinda, Z. Cheng, F. T. Chin, S. S.Gambhir, J. Nucl. Med., 2013, 54, 1101.
 - 7 J. Kapty, T.Kniess, F.Wuest, J. R. Mercer, *Appl. Radiat. Isot.*, 2011, **69**, 1218.
- 8 E. Schirrmacher, B. Wangler, M. Cypryk, G. Bradtmoller, M.
 85 Schafer, M. Eisenhut, K. Jurkschat, R. Schirrmacher, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2007, 18, 2085.
- 9 Y. Li, Z. Liu, C. W. Harwig, M. Pourghiasian, J. Lau, K. S. Lin, P. Schaffer, F. Benard, D. M. Perrin, Am. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging, 2013, 3, 57.
- ⁹⁰ 10 W. J. McBride, C. A. D'Souza, R. M. Sharkey, H. Karacay, E. A. Rossi, C. H. Chang, D. M. Goldenberg, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2010, 21, 1331.
- T. Ramenda, R. Bergmann, F. Wuest, *Lett. Drug. Des. Discov.*, 2007, 4, 279.
- 95 12 J. C. Knight, S. Richter, M. Wuest, J. D. Way, F. Wuest, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2013, 11, 3817.
- 13 L. S. Campbell-Verduyn, L. Mirfeizi, A. K. Schoonen, R. A. Dierchx, P. H. Elsinga, B. L. Feringa, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 11117.
- 100 14 J. Way, V. Bouvet, F. Wuest, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **17**, 2138.
 - F. Wuest, T.Kniess, J. Label. Compd. Radiopharm., 2004, 47, 457.
 F. Wuest, T.Kniess, J. Label. Compd. Radiopharm., 2003, 46, 699.
 - F. Wuest, I. Kniess, J. Label. Compa. Radiopharm., 2003, 40, 699.
 J. Way, M. Wang, I. Hamann, M. Wuest, F. Wuest, Nucl. Med. Biol., 2014, 41, 660.
- Lott, 41, 600.
 Ios 18 Z. Gao, V.Gouverneur, B. G. Davis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 13612
 - 13612.H. Dibowski, F. P.Schmidten, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 476.
 - II. DIOWSKI, F. F.Schilldten, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 19
 D. T. Bong, M. R.Ghadiri, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 2509.
 - 20 D. I. Bong, W. K.Ghadiri, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 250
- 21 N. Li, R. K. V. Lim, S. Edwardraja, Q. Lin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 15316.
 - 22 C. Amatore, E. Blart, J. P. Genet, A. Jutand, S. Lemaire-Audoire, M. Savignac, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1995, **60**, 6829.
 - 23 K. L. Kiick, E. Saxon, D. A. Tirrell, C. R. Certozzi, PNAS., 2002, 99, 19.