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Fluorescent polyelectrolyte for the visualization of fingermarks†

Lars van der Meer, [a] Esther S. Y. Chow, [b] Louis C. P. M. de Smet, [a] Marcel de Puit, [c,a] Ernst J. R. Sudhölter, [a] and Wolter F. Jager*[a]

A fluorescent polyelectrolyte, poly(allylamine hydrochloride (PAH) functionalised with the 7-aminquinolinium chromophore, has been synthesized for the visualization of latent fingerprints. Exposing fingerprints to pH neutral, dilute aqueous solutions of this polymer results in bright green fluorescent images, which are clearly visible by the naked eye.

The surface at the tip of a finger is patterned, which allows one to grip surfaces without slipping. When an individual touches a surface with his hands the pattern on his palms and fingertips is transferred to the surface. The composition of the deposited material is very complex and varies per individual. Most of the deposited material is excreted by glands and sweat pores, another part is foreign material that a person has handled previously. Fingermarks found at the crime scene have been used for over 100 years to lead investigators to the suspect.¹ This form of individualisation is still popular as two individuals with identical ridge details on a finger are yet to be found. In addition to ridge details, mapping of sweat pores at the fingertips can be used for identification purposes as well.² Identification by fingerprint pattern can be augmented by chemical analysis of the various constituents present in the fingerprint deposition that confirm the identity of the donor, for example DNA.³ Foreign material in the fingerprint residue that is relevant for forensic investigations, such as gun powder residues, explosives, or drug metabolites can be detected as well.⁴ Various methods are presently used to colour fingerprints⁵ and to make them visible to the human eye. Colour development may be the result of a chemical reaction on the fingerprint that produces a coloured species,⁶ or attachment of a dye, either covalently or as a result of physical adherence. In most cases dyes adhere to the deposited material on the fingerprint, thus generating a positive image.⁷ Direct colorimetric detection of specific components in the fingerprint, such as amino acids,⁸ enzymes,⁹ explosives, or drug metabolites¹⁰ has been reported as well.

Fingerprint visualisation by fluorescence, as opposed to optical absorption, is highly attractive because the detection limit of fluorescent dyes is very low, in principle down to the single molecule.¹¹ Even for detection by the human eye minute amounts of a staining material are required. Various methods for attaching fluorophores have been described, such as the deposition of luminescent (nano)particles,¹² either from an aerosol or from solution. Other methods for fluorescence staining are the use of lipophilic dyes, which are generally deposited from solutions in organic solvents,¹³ and attaching fluorescent labels to cyanoacrylates.¹⁴

Here we report the development and application of a water-soluble fluorescent polymer for fingerprint visualisation. The fluorescent polymer adheres to the fingerprint by non-covalent interactions¹⁵ and can be applied by exposing a fingerprint to a pH neutral, dilute aqueous solution. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time a water-soluble fluorescent polymer has been used for fingerprint visualisation.
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Figure 1. Synthesis of the fluorescent polymers P1a-P1c.

Polymer P1 is synthesised by a polymer analogous reaction of poly(allylamine hydrochloride (PAH) with 7-fluoro-1-methylquinolinium iodide in water (Figure 1).§§§ We have synthesised...
the polymer with feed ratios of 5, 2.5 and 1.25 %, resulting in polymers with degrees of functionalization of 2.7, 2.4 and 0.9 %, respectively, according to their UV-Vis spectra.\(^{15}\) In the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum the resonance signals of the 7-amino-1-methylquinolinium chromophore are clearly visible, but the degree of substitution cannot be determined from the NMR spectrum by peak integration (Figure S1).
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**Figure 2.** Emission of P1a as a function of the pH. Emission and absorption of P1a at pH = 3 (red), pH = 7, (black) and pH = 9 (blue).

The spectroscopic properties of P1a-P1c in water have been investigated, and are summarised in Tables 1 and S1 and Figure 2. The absorption and emission wavelengths of P1, 417 and 506 nm, respectively, are similar to those of the parent chromophore 1 (Figure 2).\(^{17}\) The fluorescence quantum yields (\(\Phi_F\)) and lifetimes (\(\tau_F\)) of P1a-P1c in solution are lower than the values of 0.63 and 11.5 ns, respectively that have been reported for the chromophore 1 (Figure S2).\(^{16a}\) A decrease of the quantum yield \(\Phi_F\) with increasing degrees of functionalization is clearly visible in Table S1. At pH = 3 \(\Phi_F\) of P1c is 0.61, a value close to the 0.63 measured for 7-(methylamino)-1-methyl-quinolinium iodide 1 (Scheme S1). By increasing the degree of functionalization, however, \(\Phi_F\) decreases to 0.50 for P1a. This may be due to concentration quenching.\(^{18,19}\) A decrease in \(\Phi_F\) upon increasing the pH is also evident from Tables 1 and S1. Upon increasing the pH, the fluorescence quantum yields of P1a decreases by a factor 5. This fluorescence quenching is caused by the electron donating amino groups on the PAH chain, which quench the quinolinium fluorophore by photo induced electron transfer (PET).\(^{15}\) In summary, efficient fluorescence of polymer P1 in aqueous solution has been observed. The fluorescence intensity is highly sensitive to pH, exhibiting strong fluorescence at low pH values, and is moderately sensitive to concentration quenching.
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**Figure 3.** a: Fluorescence image of fingerprints stained by a 0.2 % mg/ml solution of P1a at pH 7 (left). b: Confocal images of the fluorescence of a fingerprint stained with P1a, taken at a height of 4.5 \(\mu\)m above the glass substrate (right). The fingerprint residue is deposited on the right side of Figure 3b. Samples were excited at 458 nm.

When latent fingerprints on glass were dipped in a 0.2 mg/ml solution of P1a in water for times as short as 1 second, brightly fluorescent green fingerprints were obtained. \(\S\) The images were positively stained, implying that the deposited material of the fingerprint was highly fluorescent against a dark background (Figure 3a). Fresh prints give excellent images and older prints still provide good quality images, with sufficient detail for identification (Figure S3).

In order to explore the scope and limitation as well as the mechanism of the staining process, we have varied a number of parameters during the development procedure, such as exposure time, polyelectrolyte concentration and pH. We also examined compound 1, the fluorophore present in P1, as a fluorescent dye for fingerprint development (Scheme S1).

Measurements at different concentrations of polyelectrolyte P1a, showed that the best visualisation was obtained at a concentration of 0.2 mg/ml (\(~5.7\times10^{-5}\) mol/l), with typical exposure times of 5 minutes (Figure S4). At lower concentrations, (0.02 mg/ml), prints are hardly visible. At higher concentrations, (2 mg/ml), prints are visible, but the contrast is inferior. In all cases, the brightness of the fingerprint has changed, while the background remains virtually non-fluorescent. The decrease of contrast upon increasing the concentration of P1a, was somewhat unexpected, and may have been caused by a diminished deposition of P1a, or by a decreased fluorescence quantum yield of the deposited polymer. A decreased deposition at higher polymer concentrations due to an increased ionic strength, is unlikely because an increase in the ionic strength (induced by adding NaCl) did not influence the fingerprint staining using a "standard" 0.2 mg/ml solution of P1a.

Changing the pH, as shown in Figure S5, has a very pronounced influence on the quality of the developed fingerprints. At pH = 3, when the polymer is fully protonated and highly fluorescent (pKa \(\approx\) 8.8 for PAH),\(^{21}\) a very weakly fluorescing image is obtained. At pH =

\(\text{Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript} \)
The information provided so far indicates that the best quality prints have been obtained on glass. Substrates are depicted in Figure S6. From Figure S6 it is clear that the low fingerprint emission at pH = 3 is probably due to poor binding of P1 to the fingerprint material. This may be caused by positive charging of the fingerprint surface by protonation of amino functionalities present in the fingerprint deposition.

We have tested the visualisation of fingerprints by exposure of a dilute pH neutral aqueous solution of P1a on different substrates. Staining fingerprints on different background materials gave positive images in all cases. Staining of the background is limited in all cases, which indicates limited affinity for binding at the surface or efficient fluorescence quenching of surface-bound fluorophores. Images of developed fingerprints on phenol resin based high-pressure laminate (Trespa interior panel), plastic and metal substrates are depicted in Figure S6. From Figure S6 it is clear that the best quality prints have been obtained on glass.

The observation that P1a does not stain glass, despite the fact that polycations are known to bind to negatively charged surfaces like glass and silica,\textsuperscript{[23]} deserves extra attention. From X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) experiments on clean glass plates, deposition of C and N onto the glass surface after development with P1a is clearly visible (Figure S8). This result implies that P1a is deposited on a clean glass slide, although the amount of deposited material may be very small. Close examination of glass plates that have been exposed to aqueous solutions of P1a, reveals weak fluorescence from the exposed surface, thus confirming this observation, see Figure S9.

Finally, the question remains why P1 prefers to reside on the fingerprints, which consist to a large extent of sebaceous material. Based on the notion that fresh fingerprint material is composed mainly of water, containing small amounts of biomacromolecules and fatty components, fresh fingerprints can be regarded, at least partly, as emulsions. For such emulsions, part of the water-lipid interface will contain amphiphilic compounds. It is expected that P1 will bind to such interfaces, which based on the isoelectric points of the commonly encountered human sweat proteins are negatively charged at pH 7.\textsuperscript{[24]} In Figure 3b confocal images of the fluorescence of a fingerprint is depicted. The images in Figure 3b clearly show that fluorescent material is unevenly deposited on the fingerprint residue, which is present on the right part of the image in Figure 3b. Polymer P1a is accumulated in large aggregates with typical diameters ranging from 2 to 20 µm. A stack of confocal images revealing the depth profile of the fluorescence, depicted in Figure S10, indicates that the fluorescent material is deposited over several micrometres, roughly at a distance of 3.6-9.8 µm from the glass substrate. Thus fluorescent particles with µm dimensions are formed which are attached to the fingerprint residue. This explains why the emission intensity from the print largely exceeds that of the flat glass surface that is coated with P1 as well.

In conclusion, we have developed the fluorescent water-soluble polymer P1 for the visualisation of fingerprints. To the best of our knowledge P1 is the first water-soluble polymer used for fingerprint development. Polymer P1 enables excellent visualisation of fingerprints by a very fast, safe, simple and robust procedure; just dipping the print in a dilute pH-neutral solution of P1 in water. Molecules like P1 can also be used to visualize fingerprints on large substrates that are less compatible with the dipping approach, because the deposition of polyelectrolytes can also be realized by spray-coating.\textsuperscript{[25]} Fingerprints are stained by the deposition of µm-sized polymer aggregates on the fingerprint residue. Further research on structure property relations of fluorescent polyelectrolytes for fingerprint staining, the mechanism of polymer deposition on fingerprints and the development of probes with
different coloured chromophores with increased emission intensity, are currently underway.

**Experimental Details**

1. **Synthesis of P1a**: Poly(allylamine hydrochloride) PAH (1.00 g, 10.68 mmol), 7-fluoro-1-methylquinolinium iodide (0.16 g, 0.554 mmol, 5.0 mol%) and NaOH (0.47 g, 11.9 mmol) were dissolved in H2O (20 mL), heated to 50 °C and stirred for three hours. The solution was transferred to dialysis tubing (Sigma Aldrich D0530, 32mm, 12400 MWCO) and immersed in demineralized water for seven days, water being refreshed twice daily. Subsequently, the product was freeze-dried to remove water and isolated as a bright yellow powder. Yield 0.7 g 60%.

2. Glass slides (75 × 25 mm) were washed with a glass cleaner, rinsed with deionised H2O and acetone and dried with air. A fingerprint was made on the plates and left to dry for 24 hours. Stock solutions of P1a were made by dissolving 100 mg 1 mmol P1a in 50 mL water and adjusting the pH to 7 using 1N HCl. The plates with fingerprints were immersed in solution for five minutes, rinsed with H2O and dried with air. The results described in the manuscript are from the prints of a single individual. Tests works in all cases.

3. Polymers P2b and P1c were synthesised by an identical procedure, using 80 and 40 mg 7-fluoro-1-methylquinolinium iodide, respectively.


5. Non-covalent binding of polyelectrolytes to surfaces has been exploited for layer by layer deposition (LbL), using polyelectrolytes of opposed charges, see: G. Decher, Science, 1997, 277, 1232-1237.

6. Fingerprint visualization works well for different coloured chromophores with increased emission intensity, are currently underway.

7. Polymers P1a-c both the absorption and the emission wavelength are slightly pH dependent, which may reflect the fact that protonation of the amines in the polymer reduces the electron donating capacity of the amino donor at the chromophore.

8. Fingerprint visualization works well for P1a, P1b and P1c.

9. We have soaked latent fingerprints in various solvents, methanol, ethanol, acetone and toluene, for 30 minutes and tested the staining with P1a (standard condition) afterwards.

10. The fluorescence lifetimes of P1a-c decrease with the chromophore loading as well, and scale with the fluorescence quantum yields. In the polymers P1a-c the lifetimes are multi exponential. For compound 1 a single lifetime is recorded.

11. The fluorescence lifetimes of P1a-c decrease with the chromophore loading as well, and scale with the fluorescence quantum yields. In the polymers P1a-c the lifetimes are multi exponential. For compound 1 a single lifetime is recorded.

12. The pKa for the deprotonation of the SiOH group is around 6, which implies that the degree of dissociation is 10⁻³, 0.9 and 0.999 at pH = 3, 7, and 9, respectively.

13. Insoluble polymers, notably (nano)powders have been used frequently, see reference 12b.
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27. The fluorescence lifetimes of P1a-c decrease with the chromophore loading as well, and scale with the fluorescence quantum yields. In the polymers P1a-c the lifetimes are multi exponential. For compound 1 a single lifetime is recorded.
A fluorescent polyelectrolyte, poly(allylamine hydrochloride (PAH) functionalised with the 7-amino-quinolinium chromophore, has been synthesized and employed for the visualization of latent fingerprints.