Analytical Methods

Accepted Manuscript



ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

www.rsc.org/methods

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Tetracycline-Selective Fluorescent Biosensor Using Anthranilic Acid Immobilized on Glutaraldehyde-Coated Eggshell Membrane

Anyi Wang[a], Yunfei Bai[a], Hongye Gao[a], Shiping Wang*[a]

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Rapid and efficient detection of tetracycline (Tc) by immobilizing a fluorescent probe on a chemical sensor or biosensor is of great importance to scientific practice. In this study, eggshell membrane (ESM) was modified for the quantification of Tc by immobilizing anthranilic acid (AA) on the membrane with glutaraldehyde as a cross-linking agent. The fluorescence emission peak of ESM was effectively covered by AA as a fluorophore, then the fluorescent intensity of AA could be efficiently quenched in the presence of Tc on the fluorescence inner filter effect (FIFE). The immobilization condition (e.g. AA concentration and glutaraldehyde concentration) was optimized. The fluorescence sensor had a linear working range of 10 ng/mL~1 μg/mL, while the detection limit for Tc was 10 ng/mL. The proposed biosensor exhibited low detection limits, high sensitivity and selectivity, as well as excellent stability and regeneration. This biosensor was also successfully applied for the determination of Tc in food samples.

Keywords: Biosensor; Eggshell membrane; Tetracycline; Fluorescent quench; Anthranilic acid

1.Introduction

Tetracycline (Tc) is a class of wide spectrum antibiotics used against microorganism infections. Because of its broad antibacterial spectrum and high effectiveness, Tc has been used in a wide variety of food-producing animals [1,2]. However, the extensive use of Tc has resulted in serious problems regarding infections and high bacterial resistance [3],while the residues of Tc remaining in milk or meat could directly cause allergic reactions in some hypersensitive individuals [4].

In recent years, significant efforts have been made towards developing materials and methods for the detection of Tc, such as high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [5], capillary electrophoresis [6], terahertz spectroscopy [7] and fluorescent methods [2]. Moreover, due to easy sample preparation and high sensitivity, the fluorescent methods have received considerable attention and been broadly applied in analysis [8,9,10]. Additionally, the fluorescent probes used to detect Tc mainly include quantum dots like CdTe [11], Mn-Doped ZnS [12], CdTe–SiO_2-MIPs [13] and meta-organic coordination polymers like europium ions (Eu^{3+}) -based fluorescent detection [14,15,16,17]. These fluorescent methods are sensitive and highly specific, but certain drawbacks still exist. For example, the quantum dots and polymers are expensive and complicated to synthesize. In order to achieve cheap and rapid determination for Tc, we found that Tc can efficiently quench the fluorescent intensity of anthranilic acid (AA) due to fluorescence inner filter effect, but the high detection limit (7.8×10^{-7} mol/L) makes this method unsuitable for trace-detection of Tc. In order to improve the detection sensitivity, we take the application of solid surface fluorescence into account. In contrast to the solution-phase fluorescent measurement, the solid membrane-based sensor has more favorable advantages in practice. For example, the membrane-based sensor can concentrate analytes [18], fix reactants, achieve real-time and continuous measurements, as well as be regenerated by simple washing procedure [19]. It has been reported that some biomaterials, such as bamboo inner shell membrane [20], silk [21], collagen [22], and eggshell membrane [23], were applied as platforms for fabrication of biosensor.

Eggshell membrane(ESM) is a natural biomembrane with an interconnected fibrous structure. The membrane has exhibited great potential as a new biomaterial for the determination of Tc [24]. ESM has long been treated as a waste material, but has gained increased attention due to its intrinsic characteristics, such as abundant functional groups for chemical modification, high surface area for adsorption, good stability in aqueous media, and non-toxicity [24,25,26]. Thus ESM could be employed as a platform for immobilization of fluorescent probes with the assistance of cross-link agents. Many researchers have proven that ESM could be successfully applied as a sorbent to remove heavy metal ions such as Au (I, III) [27], Cr (II), Cd (II), Cu (II) [28] and Hg (II) [29] or organic dyes like malachite green [30], eosin B [31] and congo red [32]. The ability of ESM to capture heavy metal ions or organic dyes demonstrates the coordination interaction and covalent bonding between ESM and adsorbates. On the other hand, ESM can also be employed as a biosensor, for example, the immobilization of glucose oxidase and DNAzyme on ESM for determining glucose concentration

a. The Laboratory of Food Nutrition and Analysis, College of Food Science and Nutritional Engineering, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100083, China
* Corresponding author. Tel.:+86 10 62737717; E-mail address: wang744447@sohu.com; Fax numbers: 010-62737717

and hydrogen peroxide respectively has been studied [33,34]. Thus it can be seen that the biotemplating abilities of ESM have been widely applied in enzyme immobilization with the purpose of achieving catalysis. However, immobilizing fluorescent probes on ESM for direct detection of Tc with high sensitivity has not been reported.

In the present study, as a fluorescent probe, AA was robustly immobilized on glutaraldehyde-coated ESM for efficient and recyclable detection of tetracycline. Glutaraldehyde can act as a cross-linking agent, while its aldehyde groups endow it with a specific affinity for common groups such as amino groups and hydroxyl groups, thus synergistically constructing a stable linkage between ESM fibers and AA. Moreover, Tc could greatly quench the fluorescent intensity of AA, as a result the concentration of Tc can be detected by calculating the fluorescent difference (ΔF). Furthermore, we also examined the linear working range in Tc determination as well as the stability and regeneration of the biosensor in continuous detection. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first presentation of immobilizing AA on the surface of ESM for efficiently fluorescent detection of Tc, and this biosensor could be applied to rapid and sensitive determination of Tc existing in food and environment.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

Tetracyclines including tetracycline hydrochloride, oxytetracycline (OTC), chlortetracycline (CTC), and doxycycline hyclate (DOXC), as well as cysteine (Cys) and histidine (His) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, USA) and used without further purification. Anthranilic acid, glutaraldehyde solution (25%, w/w) in water, trichloroacetic acid, citric acid monohydrate, disodium hydrogen phosphate and Na_2EDTA were supplied by Beijing chemical Reagents (Beijing, China). The fresh eggshells were obtained from De Qingyuan Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China).

Unless indicated otherwise, all chemicals were of analytical reagent grade and without further purification. Ultra-pure water was prepared in the lab using a Milli Q (Millipore Company, USA) water treatment device.

2.2. Instrumentation

A F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer (HITACHI Instruments, Japan) with a xenon discharge lamp and a scaffold was used. All pH measurements were performed on a pH meter (METTLER TOLEDO Instruments, Shanghai, China). SEM images were recorded using a JSM-6360 scanning electron microscopy (JEOL Instruments, Japan). All of the measurements were operated at room temperature at about 298 K.

2.3. Preparation of ESM

ESM was carefully stripped from the ultrapure water-washed eggshells. It was then immersed in ultrapure water at 277 K for 1 h followed by rinsing with ultrapure water 10 times to remove most of the albumen. The cleaned ESM was finally stored in ultrapure water at 277 K for further use.

2.4. Preparation of glutaraldehyde-coated ESM with immobilized AA

ESM was cut into pieces (1×1 cm) with a clean scissor. An aliquot of 20 μL for optimal concentration of AA was pipette and smeared evenly on the membrane surface. After approximately 20 min at 277 K, the membrane was taken out and 10 μL for optimal concentration of glutaraldehyde was applied evenly on it. Then the membrane was retained for 2 h at 277 K. Finally the glutaraldehyde-coated ESM with immobilized AA was obtained after repeatedly rinsing with ultrapure water to remove uncross-linked AA.

2.5. Procedure for the determination of Tc

Aliquots of 10 μL for different concentrations of Tc were spread evenly on the 1×1 cm glutaraldehyde-coated ESM with immobilized AA which was prepared in section 2.4. After 5 min in room temperature, the concentration of Tc was subsequently analyzed with the fluorescent measurement performed at Ex/Em=360/430 nm.

2.6. Analytical application

The proposed method was applied to detecting Tc in four different kinds of eggs purchased from local markets including 2 domestic eggs and 2 free ranging eggs. An aliquot of 5 g whole egg was homogenized and mixed with 5 mL Na_2EDTA-McIlvaine buffer [35]. After the mixture was centrifuged at 9333 ×g 10 min, the supernatant was separated and the residue was extracted repeatedly with 5 mL Na_2EDTA-McIlvaine buffer for five replications by vortex. Then the supernatants were pooled and mixed with 5 mL of 5% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) [36]. After the mixture was centrifuged, the supernatant was diluted to a constant volume of 50 mL and filtered with rapid filter paper. Finally the filtrate was collected for further use.

An aliquot of 10 μL sample filtrate was took and evenly applied on the membrane biosensor, and then the Tc in samples would be determined with the same procedures in 2.5.

3 Results and discussion

3.1. SEM images of ESM

In order to know the microstructure of ESM before and after the cross-linking reaction, ESM with and without glutaraldehyde and AA were prepared for SEM, and all samples were sputter-coated with gold. The surface morphology of cleaned ESM shown in Fig.1(a) indicates that ESM is a highly cross-linked network with fibers arranged in layers. In Fig.1(b), cross-linking protein fibers of inner ESM are not clearly visible due to the presence of an even coating of glutaraldehyde on ESM. As for outer ESM coated by glutaraldehyde (Fig. S1), although its fiber structure cannot be completely covered by the crosslinker, some mantles of glutaraldehyde can

obviously be seen on the protein fibers. The morphological difference between ESM and glutaraldehyde-coated ESM with immobilized AA suggests that ESM can be modified by linking with glutaraldehyde through amino groups of ESM protein [37]. Therefore the biosensor can be fabricated with AA immobilized on ESM with the linkage of glutaraldehyde.

Proper position for Fig. 1

Fig.1 SEM images of ESM before and after cross-linking with glutaraldehyde and AA: ESM, ×5000 (a); glutaraldehyde-coated inner ESM with immobilized AA, ×5000 (b).

3.2 Optimizing the condition for detection of Tc

3.2.1 Effect of concentration of AA

As the luminescence reagent in this system, the concentration of AA should be optimized to improve the biosensor response. The effect of AA concentration in the range from 10 μg/mL to 5600 μg/mL was investigated. The result showed that the optimal concentration of AA was 5000 μg/mL.

As illustrated in Fig.2, upon the concentration of AA up to 5600 μg/mL, the fluorescent response on Ex/Em=360/430 nm increased at first, and then reached its maximum when the AA concentration was above 5000 μg/mL, indicating that the active sites for cross-linking reaction are almost saturated with 5000 μg/mL AA. On the other hand, the fluorescent intensity of ESM at Ex/Em=280/340 nm was gradually decreased with the addition of AA, and was entirely covered when the concentration of AA was above 4000 μg/mL, also suggesting that AA has been evenly immobilized on the membrane. Furthermore, for the stability of biosensor, the concentration of AA should below 5700 μg/mL which has been known as its solubility in water at 298 K. Above all, 5000 μg/mL AA was chosen for the optimal concentration on immobilization process.

Proper position for Fig.2

Fig.2 Effect of AA concentration on the fluorescent intensity at Ex/Em=360/430 nm (■and Ex/Em=280/340 nm (▲. Glutaraldehyde concentration, 25% (w/w); temperature, 277 K; immobilization time, 20 min. Error bars represent one standard deviation for six measurements.

3.2.2 Effect of concentration of glutaraldehyde

As a cross-linking agent, glutaraldehyde has the effect to link AA with ESM fibers through covalent bonding [37]. To find the optimal concentration of glutaraldehyde, the fluorescent response of AA on Ex/Em=360/430 nm was studied when 20 μL of 2.5%, 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25% glutaraldehyde were cross-linked with ESM respectively.

Without glutaraldehyde, the fluorescent intensity was very weak and unstable. This can be attributed to the fact that without glutaraldehyde, the majority of AA is physically adsorbed on ESM, and could de-adsorb easily[37]. However, with the addition of glutaraldehyde, the fluorescent intensity of AA increased simultaneously with the fluorescence decrease of ESM, and reached the maximum when the concentration of glutaraldehyde was 25% (w/w) (Fig.3), indicating that glutaraldehyde could cross-link with AA and quench the fluorescence of ESM at the same time. This may due to the fact that the microenvironment of tryptophan in ESM is changed by hydrophilic glutaraldehyde [38]. The hydrophile of glutaraldehyde also increases the ability of ESM to retain water, thus reducing the possibility of biosensor drying. Furthermore, considering the highest concentration of generally used glutaraldehyde is 25% (w/w), the optimized concentration of glutaraldehyde was chosen as 25% (w/w).

According to the above results, the optimized procedure for preparing membrane biosensor was that 10 μL of 5000 μg/mL AA was immobilized on ESM coated with 20 μL of 25% glutaraldehyde.

Proper position for Fig.3

Fig.3 Effect of glutaraldehyde concentration on the fluorescent intensity at Ex/Em=360/430 nm (■ and Ex/Em=280/340 nm (●). AA concentration, 5000 μg/mL; temperature, 277 K; immobilization time, 2 h. Error bars represent one standard deviation for six measurements.

3.3. Fluorescent detection of Tc by glutaraldehyde-coated ESM with immobilized AA

Under the optimal conditions given above, the fluorescent emission intensity of AA was gradually reduced upon the addition of Tc (Fig.4(b)), suggesting that the fluorescence of AA could be efficiently quenched by Tc. The quench mechanism maybe attribute to fluorescence inner filter effect [39]. It could be found in Fig.4(a) that the absorption spectrum of Tc (λ_{abs}=355nm) has an almost complementary overlap region with the excitation spectrum of AA (λ_{ex}=360nm), so the fluorescence excitation of AA will be modulated by the adsorption change of Tc, thus the addition of Tc could efficiently converted to fluorescent quenching signals of AA, achieving fluorescence analysis of Tc.

As illustrated in Fig.5, the calibration graph of fluorescent difference before and after quenching (ΔF) versus Tc concentration was linearly in 10 ng/mL~1 μg/mL (R^2=0.9984) while the detection limit of Tc was 10 ng/mL. To the best of our knowledge, there has been no study reporting the detection of Tc by AA as a fluorescent probe, so we compared the developed method with other fluorescent sensors for analyzing Tc, and the presented method provides comparable linear range and detection limit for Tc (Table S1). Although the

sensitivity of the developed method is lower than that of the methods performed by carbon dots and gold nanoparticles, its advantage is that the presented sensor can achieve a cheaper, simpler and faster determination. Thus this biosensor could be satisfied to applicable trace quantization of Tc.

Proper position for Fig.4

Fig.4 Fluorescence excitation spectrum of 1000 μg/mL AA at Em=430 nm(---) and absorption spectrum of 40 μM Tc (—) (a); Fluorescence emission spectrum of 5000 μg/mL AA upon the addition of Tc. Excitation was performed at 360 nm (b).

Proper position for Fig.5

Fig.5 Fluorescent difference (*ΔF*) of biosensor at Ex/Em=360/430 nm as a function of Tc concentration. AA concentration, 5000 μg/mL; glutaraldehyde concentration, 25% (w/w); temperature, 20 ℃; reaction time, 5 min. Error bars represent one standard deviation for five measurements.

3.4. Selectivity of glutaraldehyde-coated ESM with immobilized AA to Tc over other reagents

In order to evaluate the selectivity of the biosensor to Tc, 100 ng/mL foreign reagents such as oxytetracycline (OTC), chlortetracycline (CTC), doxycycline hyclate (DOXC), cysteine (Cys) and histidine (His) were spread respectively onto the membrane. Among the testing species, OTC, CTC and DOXC were mainly investigated because their absorption are at about 360 nm. The response ratio[39] for each foreign reagent compared to Tc at Ex/Em=360/430 nm and the tolerance limit[40] considered as the interfering concentration causing fluorescent reduction higher than ±5% were listed in Table 1. It can be found that both amino acid (Cys, His) and tetracyclines (OTC, CTC, DOXC) had little interference for the detection of Tc, showing good selectivity of the developed method to Tc over other reagents.

Table 1. Fluorescence response ratio and tolerance of other reagents compared to Tc

Foreign reagents	Response ratio (%)	Tolerance limit (fold)
OTC	0.5	10
CTC	0.5	10
DOXC	0.6	8.3
Cys	<0.1	>50
His	0.3	16.7

3.5. Application of glutaraldehyde-coated ESM with immobilized AA in egg samples

Under the optimized condition, four kinds of egg samples sold in local markets were analyzed by the proposed method. There was no Tc determined from all samples. In order to verify the feasibility of the method, we designed the recovery experiment by addition of 50, 100, 200, 400, 800 ng/mL Tc, and the results are shown in Table 2. The recovery of different addition of Tc were all within 100±10%, indicating Tc in samples could be efficiently and accurately detected. Moreover, the RSD was 2.85% when the added concentration of Tc was 200 ng/mL, which could prove the good reproducibility of the method.

Table 2 Recovery rate of Tc determination in different egg samples by biosensor

Added (ng/mL)	Found (ng/mL)	Recovery (%)
50	53.67±1.01	107.34%
100	98.47±0.97	98.47%
200	204.22±1.36	102.11%
400	402.61±1.85	100.65%
800	795.90±1.31	99.49%

3.6. Stability and regeneration of the glutaraldehyde-coated ESM with immobilized AA

In order to determine the long-term stability, the biosensor was stored at 277 K and it retained 80.6% original fluorescent response even after two months (Fig.6). The ESM protein would probably be decomposed by the effect of microbial metabolism and water evaporation, which results in the activity decrease of the biosensor. Regeneration was assessed by measuring the fluorescent response of the biosensor with 50 ng/mL Tc spread on it, then the biosensor was treated with ultrapure water for sufficient washing and stored at 277 K for repeated use. It was found that *ΔF* value of the biosensor was still stable even after 11 successive uses over two months (Fig.6). Thus, the above results prove the excellent stability and complete regeneration of the modified membrane, which makes the biosensor suitable for actual application.

Proper position for Fig.6

Fig.6 Fluorescent intensity of biosensor at Ex/Em=360/430 nm during 60 days as a representation of biosensor stability (■ Tc concentration, 50 ng/mL; storage temperature, 277 K. Fluorescent difference (ΔF) of biosensor at Ex/Em=360/430 nm during 60 days as a representation of regeneration (●). Tc concentration, 50 ng/mL; storage temperature, 277 K. Error bars represent one standard deviation for six measurements.

Comment [D1]: Fig.5 has been changed into a revised one which has been added error bars on the calibration graph.

Comment [D2]: 3.4 and Table 1 have been added as a new section of the manuscript.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, glutaraldehyde-coated ESM with immobilized AA was applied to detect Tc in aqueous media. Under optimized conditions, the quantification of Tc using a fluorescent method was satisfactory in a linear range of 10 ng/mL~1 μg/mL, with a detection limit of 10 ng/mL Tc. The biosensor and the analytical method have the advantages of low detection limits, high sensitivity and selectivity, as well as excellent stability and regeneration. And the biosensor and method could be used to achieve efficient and rapid detection of trace Tc in the food and environment.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful for the financial support from the Project of Construction of Food Supervision System and Research on Food Quality HACCP in Chaoyang District (No. 25012152). The authors thank Jiaxi Fang and Yun Zhou for providing language help. We also acknowledge the experimental contributions of Taikun Wang and Richeng Li.

References

1. R. Fernandez-González, M. S. García-Falcón and J. Simal-Gándara, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 2002, **455**, 143-148.
2. H. L. Tan, C. J. Ma, Y. H. Song, F. G. Xu, S. H. Chen and L. Wang, *Biosens Bioelectron*, 2013, **50**, 447-452.
3. Z. H. Lin, M. Wu, O. S. Wolfbeis and M. Schaferling, *Chem-Eur J*, 2006, **12**, 2730-2738.
4. J. M. Traviesa-Alvarez, J. M. Costa-Fernandez, R. Pereiro and A. Sanz-Medel, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 2007, **589**, 51-58.
5. K. Ng and S. W. Linder, *J Chromatogr Sci*, 2003, **41**, 460-466.
6. P. Kowalski, *J Pharmaceut Biomed*, 2008, **47**, 487-493.
7. J. Y. Qin, L. J. Xie and Y. B. Ying, *Food Chem*, 2015, **170**, 415-422.
8. T. Anand, G. Sivaraman and D. Chellappa, *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology a-Chemistry*, 2014, **281**, 47-52.
9. G. Sivaraman, T. Anand and D. Chellappa, *Chempluschem*, 2014, **79**, 1761-1766.
10. T. Anand, G. Sivaraman, A. Mahesh and D. Chellappa, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 2015, **853**, 596-601.8.M. R. Chao, C. W. Hu and J. L. Chen, *Biosens Bioelectron*, 2014, **61**, 471-477.
11. M. R. Chao, C. W. Hu and J. L. Chen, *Biosens Bioelectron*, 2014, **61**, 471-477.
12. H. F. Wang, Y. He, T. R. Ji and X. P. Yan, *Anal Chem*, 2009, **81**, 1615-1621.
13. S. G. Ge, J. J. Lu, L. Ge, M. Yan and J. H. Yu, *Spectrochim Acta A*, 2011, **79**, 1704-1709.
14. J. Georges and S. Ghazarian, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 1993, **276**, 401-409.
15. G. A. Ibanez, *Talanta*, 2008, **75**, 1028-1034.
16. V. V. Neroev, M. M. Archipova, L. E. Bakeeva, A. Z. Fursova, E. N. Grigorian, A. Y. Grishanova, E. N. Iomdina, Z. N. Ivashchenko, L. A. Katargina, I. P. Khoroshilova-Maslova, O. V. Kilina, N. G. Kolosova, E. P. Kopenkin, S. S. Korshunov, N. A. Kovaleva, Y. P. Novikova, P. P. Philippov, D. I. Pilipenko, O. V. Robustova, V. B. Saprunova, I. I. Senin, M. V. Skulachev, L. F. Sotnikova, N. A. Stefanova, N. K. Tikhomirova, I. V. Tsapenko, A. I. Shchipanova, R. A. Zinovkin and V. P. Skulachev, *Biochemistry-Moscow+*, 2008, **73**, 1317-1328.
17. T. J. Wenzel, L. M. Collette, D. T. Dahlen, S. M. Hendrickson and L. W. Yarmaloff, *J Chromatogr-Biomed*, 1988, **433**, 149-158.
18. M. C. Talio, M. Alesso, M. G. Acosta, M. Acosta and L. P. Fernandez, *Talanta*, 2014, **127**, 244-249.
19. N. Shao, Y. Zhang, S. M. Cheung, R. H. Yang, W. H. Chan, T. Mo, K. A. Li and F. Liu, *Anal Chem*, 2005, **77**, 7294-7303.
20. X. F. Yang, Z. D. Zhou, D. Xiao and M. M. F. Choi, *Biosens Bioelectron*, 2006, **21**, 1613-1620.
21. J. H. Qian, Y. C. Liu, H. Y. Liu, T. Y. Yu and J. Q. Deng, *Biosens Bioelectron*, 1997, **12**, 1213-1218.
22. S. Z. Zong, Y. Cao, Y. M. Zhou and H. X. Ju, *Biosens Bioelectron*, 2007, **22**, 1776-1782.
23. J. Tang, Z. Liu, J. Kang and Y. Zhang, *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry*, 2010, **397**, 3015-3022.
24. M. Liang, R. X. Su, W. Qi, Y. Zhang, R. L. Huang, Y. J. Yu, L. B. Wang and Z. M. He, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 2014, **53**, 13635-13643.
25. C. Y. Shao, B. Yuan, H. Q. Wang, Q. A. Zhou, Y. L. Li, Y. F. Guan and Z. X. Deng, *J Mater Chem*, 2011, **21**, 2863-2866.
26. W. T. Tsai, J. M. Yang, C. W. Lai, Y. H. Cheng, C. C. Lin and C. W. Yeh, *Bioresource Technol*, 2006, **97**, 488-493.
27. S. Ishikawa, K. Suyama, K. Arihara and M. Itoh, *Bioresource Technol*, 2002, **81**, 201-206.
28. N. Liu, Y. N. Liu, Y. S. Luan and X. J. Hu, The Elimination of Heavy Metal-Containing Wastewater by Eggshells Membrane, 2013.
29. X. Z. Cheng, C. J. Hu, K. Cheng, B. M. Wei and S. C. Hu, *Adv Mater Res-Switz*, 2010, **113-116**, 22-26.
30. H. M. Chen, J. Liu, X. Z. Cheng and Y. Peng, *Advanced Materials Research*, 2012, **573**, 63-67.
31. L. Ning and L. Tao, *Environmental Biotechnology and Materials Engineering, Pts 1-3*, 2011, **183-185**, 963-966.
32. J. Liu, X. Z. Cheng, P. Qin and M. Y. Pan, *Advances in Environmental Engineering*, 2012, **599**, 391-394.
33. B. Z. Zheng, S. P. Xie, L. Qian, H. Y. Yuan, D. Xiao and M. M. F. Choi, *Sensor Actuat B-Chem*, 2011, **152**, 49-55.
34. W. W. Chen, B. X. Li, C. L. Xu and L. Wang, *Biosens Bioelectron*, 2009, **24**, 2534-2540.
35. M. Solliec, A. Roy-Lachapelle and S. Sauve, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 2015, **853**, 415-424.
36. G. Cepurnieks, J. Rjabova, D. Zacs and V. Bartkevics, *J Pharmaceut Biomed*, 2015, **102**, 184-192.
37. B. Li, D. Lan and Z. Zhang, *Analytical biochemistry*, 2008, **374**, 64-70.
38. J. Stockel, J. Safar, A. C. Wallace, F. E. Cohen and S. B. Prusiner, *Biochemistry*, 1998, **37**, 7185-7193.
39. Y. Xiang, M. Li, X. T. Chen and A. J. Tong, *Talanta*, 2008, **74**, 1148-1153.
40. G. Liang, Q. Cai, W. Zhu, Y. Xu and X. Qian, *Analytical Methods*, 2015, **7**, 4877-4880.

Comment [D3]: Because of the addition of n... reference, the citations and reference numbers have been updated to make a correct sequence.

Table of contents entry

Graphical abstract



Textual abstract

Immobilizing anthranilic acid as a fluorescent probe on eggshell membrane to achieve detection of tetracycline via fluorescence inner filter effect.



29x22mm (300 x 300 DPI)



29x22mm (300 x 300 DPI)



59x42mm (600 x 600 DPI)



59x42mm (300 x 300 DPI)

a



27x19mm (300 x 300 DPI)



27x19mm (300 x 300 DPI)



58x41mm (300 x 300 DPI)



59x41mm (300 x 300 DPI)