Analytical Methods

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/methods

Analytical Methods

ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Speciation and quantification of organotin compounds in sediment and drinking water by isotope dilution liquid chromatography-inductively coupled plasmamass spectrometry

David P. Bishop,^a Dominic J. Hare,^{abc} Adrian de Grazia,^a Fred Fryer^d and Philip A. Doble*^a

A rapid method was developed for the determination of monobutyltin, dibutyltin, tributyltin, monophenyltin, diphenyltin, and triphenyltin by liquid chromatography-isotope dilutioninductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry in sediments and drinking water. All six species were eluted in less than 6.5 minutes with a binary gradient. Offline solid phase extraction was used to pre-concentrate the organotin compounds for quantification employing two calibration procedures; external standard calibration and isotopic dilution. The external standard calibration approach yielded detection limits in the range of 1.5 to 25.6 ng L^{-1} . The method was linear over four orders of magnitude with regression coefficients greater than 0.99 and a peak area repeatability less than 4.5% RSD (n=7) for all compounds. The isotopic dilution method was three times more sensitive with detection limits in the range of 0.5-1.2 ng L $^{-1}$. Recoveries for the external calibration method were from 33-68% with %RSDs of 5.7-12.7%. The isotopic dilution method had recoveries of 70-114% with %RSDs of 1.2-2.9%. The methods were applied to sediments sampled from the Cooks River in Sydney. The isotopic dilution method provided a viable alternative to the more common analysis by gas chromatography-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry for contaminated sediment without the requirement of sample derivatisation.

Introduction

Tri-substituted organotin compounds, such as trialkyl- and triphenyltin (TPhT) have been extensively used as antifungal and antibacterial agents. Other uses of organotin compounds include plastics stabilisers,¹ wood preservatives, fire retardants, reducing agents, as well as applications in the pharmaceutical, ceramic and glass industries.²

Trialkyltin compounds are extremely toxic biocides that are deliberately and directly released into the environment.³ Trialkyltins, and in particular the tributyl-substituted species (TBT), are the active agents in marine antifouling paints. Their use has been regulated by the International Maritime Organisation (IMO) since 1990⁴ due to their extreme toxicity toward aquatic organisms. Organotin compounds are classed as persistent pollutants and remain in coastal waters for many years.⁵ Organotin compounds are also known to leach from sediments into surrounding water, thereby becoming a steady source of pollution.⁶ The Australian Drinking Water Guideline is set at a maximum level of 1 μ g L⁻¹ for TBT by the National Health and Medical Research Council and the Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand,

while the Australian Water Quality Guideline for Fresh and Marine Waters of the Australia and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council set a limit for TBT at 0.004 μ g L⁻¹ for a 99% protection level of species in marine waters. The recommended sediment quality guideline for TBT is 5 μ g Sn kg⁻¹ normalised to 1% total organic carbon.⁷ TPhTs are also potent marine toxins and have been shown to be a causal agent inducing the deformation of Chinese sturgeon.⁸ TPhT is not readily detected in water and sediment, but through biomagnification can be found at detectable levels in marine organisms.⁹

Extremely low maximum exposure limits thus calls for suitably sensitive analytical detection. Traditional approaches for the speciation of organotin compounds involve separation by gas chromatography (GC) with various detection methods including flame ionisation detection (FID), flame photometric detection (FPD) and inductively coupled plasma – mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Organotin compounds with one to three substituents are polar and non-volatile due to their ionic properties,³ and require derivatisation before analysis by GC. Three common derivatisation methods are normally applied to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

analysis of organotin compounds: hydride GC-based generation; alkylation by Grignard reagents; and ethylation by sodium tetraethylborate (NaBEt₄).¹⁰ Hydride generation and NaBEt₄ ethylation are particularly useful for aqueous matrices, where direct derivatisation of the sample can be performed. NaBEt₄ ethylation is a relatively simple procedure that is principally governed by three factors: acidity of the solution, NaBEt₄ concentration and the time of reaction. However, differing degrees of ethylation may be observed depending on the organotin species present. Ethyl- and butyl-substituted tins are both more efficiently derivatised at high pH, whereas methyltin ethylation is preferable at low pH. Methyl- and ethyltin compounds have improved yield with larger concentrations of reagent, yet ethylation of butyltin compounds occurs independent of NaBEt₄ concentration.¹¹ Additionally, NaBEt₄ decomposes rapidly in air and light and is extremely flammable.¹² Derivatisation of organotins in sediments by NaBEt₄ requires prior extraction of the organotins¹³ unless a large amount of reagent is used to compensate for the side reactions with metals and other matrix components.¹⁰

Liquid chromatography (LC-)ICP-MS is an alternative approach for the speciation of organotin compounds, and is an attractive option as derivatisation is not required and the sample preparation procedures are comparatively minimal. Various LC-ICP-MS methods have been used for speciation analysis including micellar,¹⁴ ion-pairing^{2,15-17} and reverse phase^{6,18-21} separation mechanisms.

The perceived disadvantages of LC-ICP-MS are poorer sensitivities and inferior peak capacities compared to GC separation. A typical run time for LC-ICP-MS speciation of organotins in a complex matrix is 20 minutes for six species.⁶ Further, a higher number of organotin species can be resolved by GC than standard-bore LC.¹⁸ Leaching of inorganic and organic tin from the LC column has been reported.³ and metal free LC systems have previously been recommended.²⁰ Regardless, both recent improvements in LC technology and the ease of sample preparation has allowed LC-ICP-MS to remain a viable option for organotin speciation, particularly using isotope dilution as a definitive quantitative methods.²²

Isotope dilution (ID) is regarded as the optimal method for trace element analysis. The International Bureau of Weights and Measures classifies isotope dilution mass spectrometry as a primary ratio method of the highest metrological quality.²³ ID has been shown to be the method best suited for the certification and characterisation of reference materials.²⁴ The precision of butyltin quantification is increased by one order of magnitude when ID is used, as opposed to standard addition and external calibration.^{25,26} Spiking of the isotopic dilution standard with simultaneous sample equilibration and extraction is now routine.^{12,27,28} Monperrus *et al.*²⁹ demonstrated that a seven-minute simultaneous spike equilibration and sample extraction was as effective as a twelve-hour spike equilibration time before extraction, thus greatly enhancing the capacity for ID to be integrated in high-throughput workflows.

The aim of this work was to develop a rapid separation and quantification of the six main organotin species of

Table 1Certified butyltin concentrations for ¹¹⁹Sn-Enriched Butyltin Mix(ISC Science) and PACS-2 (NRCC) standards. All values are $\mu g g^{-1}$ (as Sn).

	¹¹⁹ Sn-Enriched Butyltin Mix (ISC Science)	PACS-2 (NRCC)
ИВТ	0.110 ± 0.005	-
DBT	0.691 ± 0.009	1047 ± 64
вт	1.046 ± 0.020	890 ± 105

Table 2 LC-ICP-MS experimental conditions.

LC: Agilent Technologies 1200SL; 1290 Infinity	ICP-MS: Agilent Technologies 7500cx		
Column:	Zorbax XDB Eclipse C18, 50 x 2.1 mm, 1.8 μm particle size	RF power:	1600 W
Flow rate:	0.5 mL min ⁻¹	Carrier gas flow rate:	0.7 L min ⁻¹
Injection volume:	5 μL	Auxiliary gas flow rate:	0.2 L min ⁻¹
Mobile phase A:	94% H₂O, 6% acetic acid, 0.1% Triethylamine, 0.0625% Tropolone	Option gas flow rate:	0.2 Lmin^{-1} (w/ 0.04 L min^{-1} O ₂)
Mobile phase B:	100% acetonitrile	Sample and skimmer cones:	Pt
Gradient:	0-5 s, 45% A-55% B	Monitored masses	119, 120
Temperature:	Ambient		

environmental concern by LC-ICP-MS: monobutyltin (MBT), dibutyltin (DBT), tributyltin (TBT), monophenyltin (MPhT), diphenyltin (DPhT), and triphenyltin (TPhT). Off line solid phase extraction was employed to meet the environmental and drinking water detection limits. External standard calibration and isotopic dilution methods of quantification were compared. The method described here has the potential to dramatically increase sample throughput in high volume laboratories using a relatively simple and robust quantitative LC-ICP-MS approach.

Experimental

Reagents, standards and samples

MBT, DBT, TBT, MPhT, DPhT, and TPhT standards were obtained as chloride salts from Sigma Aldrich (Castle Hill, NSW, Australia). Stock solutions of 100 mg L⁻¹ were prepared in HPLC grade acetonitrile (ACN) (Crown Scientific, Minto, NSW, Australia). A ¹¹⁹Sn-enriched butyltin mix (84.2% ¹¹⁹Sn enriched) was sourced from ISC Science (Oviedo, Spain). All stock solutions were stored in the absence of light at 4°C. Tropolone and triethylamine (TEA) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Castle Hill, NSW, Australia) and glacial acetic acid (CH₃COOH) from Chem Supply (Gillman, South Australia, Australia). Bond Elut C18-500 mg solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridges were obtained from Varian (Melbourne, Victoria, Australia). PACS-2 (Marine Sediment Reference Materials for Trace Metals and other Constituents; MBT, DBT and TBT) was obtained from the NRCC (Ottowa, Canada). Certified values for both the Butyltin QuantID Kit and PACS-2 are shown in 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59 60 Table 1. Sediment samples were collected from the Cooks River, Sydney Australia (39°55'41''S, 151°9'24''E).

Liquid chromatography-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry

The separation conditions and ICP-MS parameters are summarised in Table 2. Separations were performed on either an Agilent Technologies 1200 HPLC or a 1290 UHPLC hyphenated to an Agilent 7500cx ICP-MS (Mulgrave, Victoria, Australia). A binary gradient was employed: mobile phase A consisted of 0.0625% tropolone: 0.1% triethylamine: 6% glacial acetic acid (v:v) in LC-grade H₂O; mobile phase B was 100% acetonitrile. Separation was performed using a Zorbax XDB Eclipse rapid resolution high throughput (RRHT) column. The column eluent was directly inserted into a perfluoroalkoxy (PFA) Burgener nebuliser (Agilent Technologies Australia) by a 60 cm length of 0.13 mm i.d. polyether ether ketone (PEEK) tubing. A standard Scott-type double-pass quartz spray chamber was used. Oxygen was added via a T-piece between the spray chamber and the torch as an 80:20 argon:oxygen blend (BOC Gases Sydney, NSW, Australia), and the spray chamber was Peltier-cooled to -5°C to facilitate the desolvation process. A 1 mm i.d. tapered torch was used to minimise carbon loading of the plasma. Both m/z 119 and 120 were monitored for ID experiments, and 120 alone for external calibration.

Passivation

Passivation was performed according to the procedure reported by Gjerde *et al.*³⁰ to remove potential contaminating species prior to analysis. Briefly, the column was removed from the system and was rinsed with 200 mL deionised water (18.2 M Ω cm⁻¹), followed by 8 M nitric acid for 15 minutes at 1 mL min⁻¹. The system was flushed with deionised water until the pH of the eluent was greater than 5. The LC was then washed with 50 mM EDTA solution for 30 minutes at 1 mL min⁻¹. The final wash was deionised water for 2 hours at 1 mL min⁻¹.

Sample preparation and pre-concentration

Aqueous standards were prepared daily from stock solutions. 250 mL of standard or samples solutions were loaded onto the Bond Elut SPE cartridges and were eluted with 4 mL of 80:20 ACN: CH₃COOH, 0.1% TEA and 0.0625% tropolone before evaporating to dryness. The samples were then reconstituted in 250 μ L of 70:20:10 ACN: CH₃COOH:H₂O, 0.1% TEA and 0.0625% tropolone. The accuracy of the SPE methods was reported as % recovery.

Sediment extraction was based on modification of the procedure by Ruiz Encinar *et al.*¹² The ID standard was diluted 1:10, and 100 μ L of the ¹¹⁹Sn butyltin enriched spike was added to the sediment sample (ca. 0.1 g) which was immediately extracted with 2 mL of 75:25 CH₃COOH:MeOH. The mixture was extracted in an ultrasonic bath for 30 minutes at approximately 40°C. The sample was filtered before analysis.

Isotope dilution-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry



Fig. 1 Chromatogram of a 500 μ g L⁻¹ mixed standard (5 μ L injection volume). Peak order: 1- MPhT, 2- MBT, 3- TBT, 4- DBT, 5- TPhT, 6- DPhT.

The isotopic dilution procedure used the following equation for determination of the concentrations of the Sn species:

$$C_s = C_{sp} \frac{m_{sp}}{M_s} \frac{m_s}{M_{sp}} \frac{A_{sp}^b}{A_s^a} \left(\frac{R_m - R_{sp}}{1 - R_m \cdot R_s}\right) \tag{1}$$

where C_s = concentration in sample; C_{sp} = concentration in spike; M_s = atomic weight in the sample; M_{sp} = atomic weight in the spike; m_s = mass of the sample; m_{sp} = mass of the spike, A_{sp}^b = isotopic abundance of the spike; A_s^b = natural isotopic abundance; R_m = ratio of the isotopes in the spiked mixture; R_{sp} = ratio of the isotopes in the spike; and R_s = ratio of isotopes in the sample. This equation solely relies on the measurement of R_m , as all other parameters are known.

Results and discussion

Mobile phase optimisation

The chromatographic conditions were modified from the method reported by Chiron *et al.*,⁶ who reported that the plasma stability was superior when methanol was employed as the organic modifier, at the expense of separation efficiency. This was in contrast to Whalen *et al.*, ¹⁹ who employed acetonitrile at lower flow rates with no affect upon the plasma. Tropolone was added to mobile phase A to selectively increase the retention of the di-substituted compounds whilst not affecting the mono- or the tri-substituted compounds.⁶

Triethylamine was also added to mobile phase A to manipulate the selectivity of TBT.²⁰ Acetic acid was added to maintain low pH and manipulate selectivity by complexation. Acetic acid also reduced the adsorption of organotins on the column¹⁷ by minimising interactions with the stationary phase,³¹ significantly reducing peak tailing of di-substituted organotins.²⁰ Increasing acetic acid concentration also reduces the retention time of all organotins.³² In contrast to previous reports,^{33,34} plasma instability and baseline drift were not observed with this mobile phase composition. The system was very sensitive to small changes in organic modifier concentrations. Preliminary isocratic experiments showed that MBT and MPhT were resolved with a mobile phase of up to 45% ACN. An isocratic separation with 45% acetonitrile was

Analytical Methods

Table 3	Analytical performance of external calibration. Detection limits
determin	ed as 3:1 signal to noise ratio. Reported as the concentration of
total orga	inotin compound.

	LOD (µg L ⁻¹)	Peak Area RSD (%) n= 7	Linearity (1 μg L ⁻¹ to 1000 μg L ⁻¹)	Retention Time RSD (%), n = 7
MBT	5	4.4	0.9994	0.47
DBT	3.5	2.2	0.9992	0.40
TBT	5	2.5	0.9996	0.33
MPhT	5	2.4	0.9995	0.38
DPhT	1.6	1.5	0.9996	0.43
TPhT	3.7	2.6	0.9999	0.47
	Counts per second (x 10 ³)			6 – 1 μg L-1 5 – Blank
	0	ź	4	6 8
			Time (min)	

Fig. 2 A 1 μ g L⁻¹ standard pre-concentrated (concentration factor = 25) and corresponding blank injection (5 μ L injection volume). Peak order: 1- MPhT, 2-MBT, 3- TBT, 4- DBT, 5- TPhT, 6- DPhT, * ionic Sn

greater than 10 minutes for all compounds. At 55% ACN, DBT, TBT, DPhT and TPhT were resolved within 6 minutes, though MBT and MPhT co-eluted. Therefore, elution with a 45% B-55% B step gradient over 5 seconds from the point of injection was employed.

Figure 1 shows the separation of the six target organotin species in a 500 μ g L⁻¹ standard solution. Complete separation was achieved in under 7 minutes, less than half the time required for methods reported by Chiron *et al.*⁶ and Inagaki *et al.*⁵ Total separation times were similar to that obtained by GC-ICP-MS.¹⁸ However, the re-equilibration time of 2 minutes before sequential runs is less than the time typically required by GC-ICP-MS between injections and temperature ramps.

External standard calibration and pre-concentration

Analytical performance of external calibration without preconcentration is outlined in Table 3. Peak area relative standard deviations (RSDs) ranged from 1.5 % to 4.4 %. Retention time RSDs were less than 0.5 % for all peaks. The correlation coefficients (r^2) for 6 measured organotin species over a 1 µg L⁻ 1 to 1000 µg L⁻¹ concentration range were greater than 0.999. The detection limits for direct injection of the standards ranged from 1.6 to 5 µg L⁻¹.

Preliminary off-line preconcentration experiments indicated that 100 mL of a standard 1 μ g L⁻¹ organotin solution could be loaded onto the SPE cartridges without breakthrough. A number of elution solvents were trialled to minimise the

volume of the SPE eluent for complete recovery of the organotins. Elution of the organotins from the SPE cartridge with solvents that contained greater than 70% ACN produced a negative system peak that interfered with MBT. This interference peak was due to suppression of the background signal from the introduction of the SPE elution solvent to the plasma.³⁵ The optimal elution solvent was 20:10:70 CH₃COOH:H₂O:ACN and 0.1% TEA and 0.0625% tropolone. All target compounds were eluted from the SPE cartridge with 4 mL of this solution.

Figure 2 shows a chromatogram of a 1 μ g L⁻¹ standard with a 1:25 pre-concentration factor. The peak at 2.5 minutes was a system peak generated from enhancement of the Sn background due to the rapid gradient changing the organic load in the plasma.³⁶ The effect of the ACN gradient on the background Sn intensity is dependent on the lens conditions. Brown *et al.*³⁷ observed a similar peculiarity in the development of a LC-ICP-MS speciation method for Pb. They experienced baseline suppression at the start of a gradient before a rise and fall similar to what was observed here. The ICP-MS lenses were optimised for Sn with a solution containing 50% ACN. The gradient was from 45-55% ACN explaining a rise in the baseline from 45-50% and a fall after 50-55%. This peak was present in the blanks and did not represent an unknown organic Sn species.

Evaporation of the eluent was trialled to further improve the detection limits. 250 mL of a 25 ng L⁻¹ standard mix of the target compounds were loaded onto the SPE cartridges. The target compounds were eluted from the SPE cartridges with 80:20 ACN:CH₃COOH and 0.1% TEA and 0.0625% tropolone before evaporation to dryness. The increase in ACN concentration resulted in elution of all compounds within a volume of 2 mL. The samples were then reconstituted in 250 μ L of 70:20:10 ACN:CH₃COOH:H₂O water and 0.1% TEA and 0.0625% tropolone before injection.

The analytical performance of the pre-concentration method is detailed in Table 4. The pre-concentration factors for the SPE cartridges ranged from 24 to 32, corresponding to 96 to 130% recoveries. The pre-concentration factors for the combination of SPE and evaporation ranged from 325 to 677, corresponding to 33 to 67% recoveries. The % RSDs for these recoveries were 5.7 to 8.8 %, indicating that the method was reproducible. The detection limits for all compounds, calculated as three times the signal-to-noise ratio of a 25 ng L⁻¹ standard, ranged from 1.5 to 25.6 ng L⁻¹. These detection limits are comparable to other methods that have been reported by LC-ICP-MS. Yang et al.¹⁷ reported detection limits of 28 ng L⁻¹ and 33 ng L⁻¹ for TPhT and TBT, respectively. Fairman et al.¹⁶ reported approximately 2 ng L⁻¹ for both TPhT and TBT. Ugarte et al.³⁸ used SPME-HPLC-ICP-MS for the speciation of tri-substituted organotin compounds reporting detection limits of 11 ng L⁻¹ and 185 ng L^{-1} for TPhT and TBT, respectively. The 45 minute SPME extraction procedure is significantly longer than aqueous ethylation for GC-ICP-MS detection.

11

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59 60

	Solid Phase Extraction	Solid Phase Extraction and Evaporation						
-	CF	% Recovery	DL ^a (ng L⁻¹)	%RSD	CF	% Recovery	DL ^a (ng L ⁻¹)	%RSD [♭]
MBT	32	128	100	6.9	433	43	1.5	5.7
DBT	17	68	100	6.7	509	51	3.2	9.4
TBT	27	108	150	9.1	477	48	3.7	6.0
MPhT	28	112	330	5.1	476	48	1.8	12.7
DPhT	29	116	110	8.1	677	68	2.0	11.5
TPhT	24	96	180	12.5	325	33	25.6	5.7

^a determined as 3:1 signal to noise ratio. Reported as the concentration of total organotin compound. ^bn = 5, reported on evaporated pre-concentration factor



Fig. 3 Chromatogram of PACS-2 certified reference sediment. Peak order: 1- MBT, 2- TBT, 3- DBT, * ionic Sn.

Table 5 concentra ratio. Rep	Isotope dilutio tion factor. Det orted as the cor	n preconcentration ection limits deterr acentration of total	n analytical perfo mined as 3:1 sign I organotin comp	rmance. CF = al to noise ound
	CF	% Recovery	DL (ng L^{-1})	%RSD
MBT	. 18	72	0.5	2.9
DBT	27	108	1.1	1.2

114

1.2

2.4

Isotope dilution LC-ICP-MS

29

TBT

SPE-LC-ID-ICP-MS of a 25 ng L⁻¹ standard mix of MBT, DBT and TBT provided good recoveries of 18-29 ng L⁻¹ with recoveries of 70-114% with %RSDs of 1.2-2.9% (see Table 5). As equilibration of the isotopically enriched species has been achieved, the recovery does not affect the quantitative results. Detection limits were calculated as 0.5-1.2 ng L⁻¹. Detection limits were improved by a factor of three for all compounds when compared to the external calibration method. Degradation of phenyltin species has been shown to be an issue during extraction.³⁹ These species degrade by 2-55% when using mild extraction conditions.⁴⁰ As such, phenyltin isotope dilution standards are not readily available.

The LC-ID-ICP-MS method was applied to PACS-2 certified reference material (see Figure 3). DBT and TBT were in good agreement with the certified values (see Table 6). MBT is not certified in PACS-2 but is known to be present.

Sample analysis

The LC-ID-ICP-MS and the external calibration methods were applied to the analysis of sediment samples collected from Cooks River near the entrance to Botany Bay, Sydney Australia. Three butyltin (MBT, DBT and TBT) species were detected at significant concentrations without the need for SPE (see Figure 4). Port Botany is the largest container terminal in Sydney and has been in operation since 1930. The regular exposure to a large amount of ships and boats over a long period of time may explain the high levels of butyltin compounds.

The results seen in Table 5 demonstrate the difficulties of analysing organotins. Extraction of organotins is a complex procedure. They have strong interactions with sediment matrices. Extraction conditions need to be mild to preserve the chemical integrity of the analytes.⁴¹ The numerous approaches to the extraction of organotins has been comprehensively reviewed.⁴² Many different sediment extraction procedures have been evaluated and were appropriate for the sediment analysed. Abalos et al.43 identified a toluene: acetic acid mixture to yield the highest extraction efficiency while minimising degradation during extraction. They achieved accuracy of 82% and 92% of DBT and TBT in PACS-1 CRM and 70% and 90% of DBT and TBT in CRM-462. Concentrated HBr and tropolone was critical for the extraction of the more polar organotin compounds in sediments collected off the Huelva coast in the southwest of Spain.44 Sediment extraction was based on modification of the procedure by Ruiz Encinar et al.,¹² who obtained an extraction yield for DBT and TBT within the certified values for PACS-2. MBT was strongly bound to the matrix and required harsher extraction techniques to recover it quantitatively. Ultrasonic extraction was compared with mechanical shaking extraction and microwave extraction and had high extraction efficiencies for MBT, short extraction times and no degradation products.

Extraction optimisation for new samples is less arduous with isotope dilution analysis. IDA has many advantages over classical calibration procedures such as external calibration and standard addition. These include results not being affected by instrumental instability or matrix effects, and once equilibration has been achieved loss of sample will have no influence on the final result. The same extraction procedure was applied to PACS-2 and the sediment from Cooks River. With external

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

Analy	vtical	Met	hod
-------	--------	-----	-----

Table 6Concentration of organotin compounds in sediment samples (ng g ⁻¹).						
	MPhT	МВТ	твт	DBT	TPhT	DPhT
PACS-2 (ID)	nd ^a	405 ± 13	952 ± 15	1044 ± 25	nd	nd
PACS-2 (external						
calibration)	nd	259 ± 20	889 ± 48	790 ± 38	nd	nd
PACS-2 certified	naª	na	890 ± 105	1047 ± 64	na	na
Cooks River (ID)	nd	771 ± 110	2113 ± 205	1356 ± 288	nd	nd
Cooks River (external						
calibration)	nd	727 ± 172	1369 ± 71	1410 ± 235	nd	nd



Fig. 4 Chromatogram of sediment sample from Cooks River in Sydney, Australia. Peak order: 1- MBT, 2- TBT, 3- DBT, * ionic Sn

calibration PACS-2 shows good agreement for TBT with the certified value while DBT is underestimated and MBT is lower than the value obtained by isotope dilution. The Cooks River sample shows good agreement for MBT and DBT with the values obtained by isotope dilution while TBT is underestimated. Every sediment sample will have a different composition leading to different interactions between the analytes and the sample matrix. The assumption that if an extraction procedure is effective for the CRM it should be able to be applied successfully to the sample is not applicable for Isotope dilution organotin speciation. eliminated the uncertainties due to the extraction procedure and matrix interactions on-column. External calibration relied on complete extraction of the analytes. Isotopic dilution only relied on equilibration of the spike after extraction of the natural sample from the matrix is complete. This compensates for incomplete extraction and differences in matrices.

The method described here has several advantages over GC-ICP-MS. The need for derivatisation is removed, eliminating the requirement for the use of hazardous chemicals used in ethylation. NaBEt₄ is a pyrophoric, unstable compound that is aggressive to the front end of the GC column, leading to faster degradation and reduced column stability over time. The SPE-LC-ICP-MS procedure is comparatively shorter than GC-based methods, even when taking into account improvements in GC technology that have improved sample throughput. Sample derivatisation and ethylation can be performed in a similar timeframe, though a standard GC-ICP-MS run is 15 minutes

(versus our described 8 minute LC runtime), and an additional 1-3 minutes for inter-sample cooldown make our LC method capable of approximately twice the throughput. Though detection limits using LC-ICP-MS are still an order of magnitude higher than GC,⁴⁵ this method meets mandated environmental detection limits and is thus a suitable, rapid screen that can process large sample volumes in a short timeframe.

Conclusion

LC-ICP-MS was shown to be a viable alternative to GC-ICP-MS for the analysis of organotin pollutants in drinking water and environmental samples without the requirement of precolumn sample derivatisation. The ID method was superior to the external calibration method in terms of accuracy, precision and detection limits. The ID method also met the environmental detection limits of 4 ng L^{-1} in marine waters. However, the ID method was limited to butyl-substituted species. Significant concentrations of the butyltins were found in a sediment sample from the Cooks River.

Acknowledgements

Guiseppe Centineo of ISC Science provided PACS-2 certified reference material. Agilent Technologies Australia Pty Ltd provided access to a 1200 series HPLC, a 1290 series UHPLC, and 7500cx ICP-MS.

Notes and references

^a Elemental Bio-imaging Facility, University of Technology Sydney, Broadway, New South Wales, Australia

^b The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.

^c Exposure Biology Laboratory, Frank R. Lautenberg Environmental Health Sciences Laboratory, Department of Preventive Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, United States of America.

^d Agilent Technologies, Mulgrave, Victoria, Australia.

- L. Ebdon, S. J. Hill and C. Rivas, *TrAC*, *Trends Anal. Chem.*, 1998, 17, 277-288.
- U. T. Kumar, J. G. Dorsey, J. A. Caruso and E. H. Evans, J. Chromatogr. A, 1993, 654, 261-268.
- 3. R. Ritsema and O. F. X. Donard, *Tech. Instrum. Anal. Chem.*, 2000, **21**, 1003-1073.
- 4. International Maritime Organization, International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-fouling Systems on Ships 2001.

Page 7 of 8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 **Analytical Methods**

Analytical Methods

- 5. K. Inagaki, A. Takatsu, T. Watanabe, Y. Aoyagi, T. Yarita, K. Okamoto and K. Chiba, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2007, **387**, 2325-2334.
- S. Chiron, S. Roy, R. Cottier and R. Jeannot, J. Chromatogr. A, 2000, 879, 137-145.
- 7. Australian and New Zealand Environment Conservation Council, Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality, 2000.
- J. Hu, Z. Zhang, Q. Wei, H. Zhen, Y. Zhao, H. Peng, Y. Wan, J. P. Giesy, L. Li and B. Zhang, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2009, 106, 9339-9344.
- J. Hu, H. Zhen, Y. Wan, J. Gao, W. An, L. An, a. Fen Jin and X. Jin, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2006, 40, 3142-3147.
- R. Morabito, P. Massanisso and P. Quevauviller, *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2000, 19, 113-119.
- F. M. Martin and O. F. X. Donard, *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.*, 1995, 351, 230-236.
- J. R. Encinar, P. R. Gonzalez, J. I. G. Alonso and A. Sanz-Medel, *Anal. Chem.*, 2002, 74, 270-281.
- C. Carlier-Pinasseau, G. Lespes and M. Astruc, *Environ. Technol.*, 1997, 18, 1179-1186.
- Y. Inoue, K. Kawabata and Y. Suzuki, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 1995, 10, 363-366.
- N. P. Vela and J. A. Caruso, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 1996, 11, 1129-1135.
- B. Fairman, T. Catterick, B. Wheals and E. Polinina, J. Chromatogr. A, 1997, 758, 85-92.
- H. J. Yang, S. J. Jiang, Y. J. Yang and C. J. Hwang, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 1995, **312**, 141-148.
- R. Wahlen and C. Wolff-Briche, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2003, 377, 140-148.
- 19. R. Wahlen and T. Catterick, J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Appl., 2003, 783, 221-229.
- 20. S. White, T. Catterick, B. Fairman and K. Webb, J. Chromatogr., A, 1998, **794**, 211-218.
- 21. C. Rivas, L. Ebdon and S. J. Hill, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 1996, 11, 1147-1150.
- P. Rodriguez-Gonzalez, J. M. Marchante-Gayon, J. I. G. Alonso and A. Sanz-Medel, Spectrochim. Acta, Part B, 2005, 60, 151-207.
- 23. T. J. Quinn, Metrologia, 1997, 34, 61-65.
- 24. J. Vogl, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2007, 22, 475-492.
- 25. C. Bancon-Montigny, P. Maxwell, L. Yang, Z. Mester and R. E. Sturgeon, *Anal. Chem.*, 2002, 74, 5606-5613.
- L. Yang, Z. Mester and R. E. Sturgeon, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2002, 17, 944-949.
 - 27. M. Monperrus, O. Zuloaga, E. Krupp, D. Amouroux, R. Wahlen, B. Fairman and O. F. X. Donard, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2003, **18**, 247-253.
 - J. R. Encinar, P. Rodriguez-Gonzalez, J. Rodriguez Fernandez, J. I. Garcia Alonso, S. Diez, J. M. Bayona and A. Sanz-Medel, *Anal. Chem.*, 2002, 74, 5237-5242.
 - 29. M. Monperrus, R. C. R. Martin-Doimeadios, J. Scancar, D. Amouroux and O. F. X. Donard, *Anal. Chem.*, 2003, **75**, 4095-4102.
 - D. T. Gjerde, C. P. Hanna and D. Hornby, *DNA Chromatography*, Wiley VCH, 2002.
 - C. F. Harrington, G. K. Eigendorf and W. R. Cullen, *Appl. Organomet.* Chem., 1996, 10, 339-362.
 - E. Rosenberg, V. Kmetov and M. Grasserbauer, *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.*, 2000, 366, 400-407.
 - E. Gonzalez-Toledo, R. Compano, M. Granados and M. Dolors Prat, TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem., 2003, 22, 26-33.
 - 34. E. H. Larsen, Spectrochim. Acta, Part B, 1998, 53, 253-265.
 - 35. Y. Nygren and E. Björn, J. Chromatogr. A, 2010, 1217, 4980-4986.
 - N. Forsgard, E. Nilsson, M. Andersson and J. Pettersson, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2006, 21, 305-310.
 - A. A. Brown, L. Ebdon and S. J. Hill, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 1994, 286, 391-399.
 - A. Ugarte, N. Unceta, M. C. Sampedro, M. A. Goicolea, A. Gomez-Caballero and R. J. Barrio, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2009, 24, 347-351.
 - S. J. Kumar, S. Tesfalidet, J. P. Snell, D. N. Van and W. Frech, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2004, 19, 368-372.
 - D. N. Van, T. T. X. Bui and S. Tesfalidet, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2008, 392, 737-747.
 - 41. E. Graupera, C. Leal, M. Granados, M. D. Prat and R. Compano, J. Chromatogr. A, 1999, 846, 413-423.

- 42. C. Dietz, J. Sanz, E. Sanz, R. Munoz-Olivas and C. Camara, J. Chromatogr. A, 2007, **1153**, 114-129.
- M. Abalos, J. M. Bayona and P. Quevauviller, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 1998, **12**, 541-549.
- J. L. Gomez-Ariza, E. Morales, I. Giraldez and R. Beltran, Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., 1997, 66, 1-13.
- P. Rodríguez-González, J. R. Encinar, J. I. G. Alonso and A. Sanz-Medel, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2002, 17, 824-830.

Analytical Methods

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscr

Graphical Abstract



A rapid, simple isotope dilution method for detecting organotins in sediment samples.