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Assembly of Fe–pamoate porous complex on magnetic microspheres for extraction of sulfonamide antibiotics from environmental water samples
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Abstract

Unique ternary single core-double shell structured magnetic microspheres of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate were successfully fabricated via a step-by-step assembly strategy. The procedures involved initial pre-treatment of the silica-coated magnetic cores with carboxyl groups to functionalize the magnetic microspheres, and subsequent alternating treatments with Fe³⁺ and pamoic acid solutions for growth of Fe-pamoate complexes on the microsphere surface to form Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate magnetic microspheres. Characterization using various techniques demonstrated that the microspheres were porous, thermally stable, and possessed a single core-double shell sandwich structure. The as-synthesized materials possessed bifunctional character derived from the magnetic properties of the Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles and the high porosity of Fe-pamoate, making them excellent candidates as adsorbents for the magnetic enrichment of trace analytes. The potential applicability of the microspheres was demonstrated by preconcentrating sulfonamide antibiotics from environmental water samples prior to high-performance liquid chromatography analysis. The method combined enrichment with high-performance liquid chromatography had higher precision (relative standard deviations 1.4–12.3%), lower detection limits (0.08–0.12 ng mL⁻¹), and good linearity (correlation coefficients higher than 0.9947) for investigated five sulfonamide antibiotics. Average recoveries at three spiked levels were in the range of 86.3 to 99.7% with relative standard deviations below 12.3%.
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1. Introduction

Significant research has been devoted to development of magnetic particles and improvement of their applications in various areas [1]. Iron oxides have received the most attention, because of their strong magnetic responsiveness and biocompatibility [2]. However, unmodified Fe₃O₄ particles aggregate readily and do not adsorb analytes effectively. Therefore, modification of these Fe₃O₄ particles with various functional groups is a strategy commonly employed to prevent aggregation and extend the range of their applications. Lee and coworkers prepared antibiofouling polymer-coated superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for in vivo cancer imaging [3]. Arruebo et al. utilized magnetic silica-based materials for drug-delivery applications [4]. Deng and coworkers synthesized core/shell colloidal magnetic zeolite microspheres for the immobilization of trypsin [5]. Wang et al. formed a graphene-coated magnetic nanocomposite for the enrichment of fourteen pesticides in tomato and rape samples [6]. Various synthesis strategies have been developed for the preparation of magnetic materials. Carbon coated Fe₃O₄ magnetic particles, carbon@Fe₃O₄, have been synthesized by hydrothermal reaction treatment [7,8]. Novel core@shell-structured magnetic zeolite microspheres were synthesized by using a combination of seed coating and vapour-phase transport [4]. Porous microspheres with a magnetic core and a tunable metal-organic coordination polymer shell were successfully fabricated by utilizing a versatile step-by-step assembly strategy [9–10].

Magnetic metal-organic coordination polymers, which are composite materials composed of iron oxide and metal-organic coordination polymers, have been used as magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE) sorbents in analytical applications [11–14]. Yan et al. magnetized MIL-101 microcrystals by mixing MIL-101 with silica-coated Fe₃O₄ microparticles in an aqueous sample solution under sonication [11]. The hybridized sorbents were stabilized by static electronic interactions between the positively charged MIL-101 and the negatively charged Fe₃O₄@SiO₂. Li and coworkers synthesized hybrid magnetic metal-organic framework-5 by a chemical bonding approach [12]. Chen et al. utilized Fe₃O₄@MOF core-shell magnetic microspheres for MSPE of polychlorinated biphenyls from environmental water samples [14].

The sulfonamide antibiotics (SAs) are of toxic, anaphylactic, and carcinogenic characteristics
[15]. SAs assay is a crucial matter due to the worldwide emergence of these compounds in surface water, groundwater, and even drinking water [16]. The development of various sorbents for effective pre-concentration and separation of SAs by SPE has been actively pursued [17−20].

In this paper, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate was fabricated by assembly of Fe-pamoate porous complex onto the surface of silica-coated Fe₃O₄ NPs and its capability for extraction and separation of pollutants from environmental water sample was evaluated by using five types of SAs as model analytes. The assay of the target compounds was performed using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Sodium acetate, FeCl₃·6H₂O, ethylene glycol, poly(ethylene glycol) (Mₜ=2000), alcohol, acetone, acetic acid, aqueous ammonia solution, toluene, and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., (Shanghai, China). Acetonitrile (ACN) was bought from Spectrum Chemical Mfg. Corp., (Shanghai, China). Tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), 3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane (APTES), succinic anhydride (SA), and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) were obtained from Aladdin Chemical Reagent Co., (Shanghai, China). Pamoic acid was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. All reagents were analytical grade.

Sulfadiazine (SDZ), sulfamerazine (SMR), sulfadimidine (SDD), sulfisoxazole (SIZ), and sulfathiazole (STZ) were purchased from J&K (Beijing, China) and their chemical structures are shown in Fig. 1. Individual stock solutions of the five SAs were prepared by dissolving 5.0 mg of the pure analytical standards in 10.0 mL of ACN. For the experiments, a working standard solution was prepared by deionized water to obtain the desired concentrations. All solutions were stored at 4 °C in the dark. The water samples were tap water, river water, and rain water. After sampling, the samples were transported to the laboratory immediately and stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C before use.

2.2. Synthesis of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂

Monodisperse magnetite (Fe₃O₄) was produced using a solvothermal method, as described in the literature [21]. The silica-coated magnetic particles were synthesized by suspending magnetic particles (0.2 g) in an ethanol/water (5:1 v/v) solution and adding ammonia solution (2 mL),
followed by dropwise addition of TEOS (1 mL) and sonication for 5 h at 40 °C. The obtained Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ particles were separated from the solution using a permanent magnet, and washed three times with ethanol and water, respectively.

2.3. Synthesis of functionalized Fe₃O₄@SiO₂

The nanoparticles were surface-modified to serve as a substrate for a step-by-step assembly by treating the silica coated particles with an amino-functionalized silane, as described by Lelong et al. [22]. Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ (0.05 g) was dispersed in toluene (50 mL) by ultrasonication and APTES (4 mL) was added dropwise, and the mixture was refluxed at 110 °C with continuous stirring for 24 h. The resulting functionalized Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ composites were recovered using a magnet, followed by washing with ethanol and acetone several times, respectively. The particle surfaces were then activated with succinic anhydride to introduce carboxyl groups. The amino-functionalized particles (50 mg) were dispersed in absolute ethanol (100 mL) using sonication, and succinic anhydride (150 mg) and DMAP (300 mg) were added. The mixture was stirred for 8 h at 40 °C. Finally, the particles were washed three times with ethanol.

2.4. Synthesis of Fe-pamoate thin layers on pre-treated particles

The functionalized particles (50 mg) were dispersed in FeCl₃·6H₂O in DMF/water (3:1, v/v) solution (5 mL, 10 mM) for 15 min in an ultrasonic device. The particles were then magnetically separated and washed with DMF (2 mL). Pamoic acid in DMF/water (3:1, v/v) solution (5 mL, 1 mM) was then added, and the mixture was kept in the ultrasonic device for 30 min. The particles were then separated magnetically and washed with DMF (2 mL). After 20 cycles, the particles were washed three times with DMF and dried under vacuum at 120 °C [10].

2.5. Instruments

Fourier transform infrared spectra (FT-IR) were acquired in the 400–4000 cm⁻¹ region with an EQUINX 55 FTIR spectrometer (Bruker Inc., Germany) using KBr pellets. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained using a JEM-2100F microscope (JEOL, Japan). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed from room temperature to 600 °C at a ramp rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ using a Q600SDT thermal gravimetric analyzer (USA). The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area was measured in the 0.0 ≤ P/P₀ ≤ 1.0 range with an ASAP 2020M micropore physisorption analyzer (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA, USA) using nitrogen adsorption at 77 K. The
magnetic properties were measured at room temperature under a varying magnetic field from -20000 to 20000 Oe on a PMS-XL-7 superconducting quantum interference magnetic measurement system (Quantum Design, USA). The concentration of Fe (III) ions was measured on ZA3000 atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Japan).

2.6. Chromatographic conditions

HPLC analyses were performed using a Waters 1525 liquid chromatograph (Waters, USA). The column compartment was maintained at 25 °C. Separation was performed using an Agilent TC-C18 (2) column (4.6 × 250 mm, 5 µm). Mobile phase A comprised 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in acetonitrile and mobile phase B comprised 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in Milli-Q water. Gradient elution with mobile phase A was carried out as follows: 15% to 35% over 20 min, maintained for 5 min, and then back to 15% in 2 min, held for 5 min to equilibrate the column. The flow rate of the mobile phase was maintained at 1.0 mL min⁻¹, the injection volume was 20 µL, and the ultraviolet detection wavelength was 263 nm.

2.7. Extraction procedure

All extraction experiments were conducted in 20 mL glass vials. The sample solution (5 mL) was placed in a glass vial containing Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate microspheres. The resulting solution was sonicated for 2 min to disperse the microspheres, and then shaken vigorously for some time. An external magnet was then placed beside the glass vial to separate the sorbents. The aqueous solution was removed and acetone containing 0.5% aqueous ammonia (1 mL) was added to the vial as an eluent for desorption of the adsorbate, the mixture was sonicated for 5 min and the desorption procedure was repeated three times. The collected eluate was evaporated to dryness at 37 °C using a concentrator. The obtained target was dissolved to 100 µL with acetonitrile, and 20 µL aliquot was used for HPLC analysis.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of magnetic composite microspheres

Formation of the ternary Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate single core-double shell structures involved a three-step process, which is schematically illustrated in Fig. 2. First, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ composite microspheres with defined core-shell structures were obtained through a simple sol-process.
Subsequently, the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ composite microspheres were functionalized with carboxyl groups. Finally, through a step-by-step approach based on liquid-phase epitaxy, Fe-pamoate layers were grown on the surface of the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ composite microspheres to generate the ternary Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate single core-double shell structures.

The stages in the surface modification of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ were also monitored systematically via FT-IR spectroscopy (Fig. 3A). The bands at 1093 cm⁻¹ and 806 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum of unmodified Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ are respectively assigned to the typical asymmetric stretching Si-O-Si and symmetric stretching Si-O-Si bands of siliceous materials. The formation of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-NH₂ was confirmed by the presence of adsorption bands at 1497 cm⁻¹ and 1564 cm⁻¹ derived from the R-NH₂ groups, and the 2935 cm⁻¹ peak can be assigned to the C–H stretching vibrations. The peak observed at 1622 cm⁻¹ after reaction with succinic anhydride can be assigned to the C=O vibrations [23]. Fig. 3B shows the FT-IR spectra of H₂pam and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe–pamoate. It is well known, the absorption peaks of the carboxyl groups in the organic ligands are shifted to lower wave number after complexation with metal ions. In the case of Fe-pamoate, the absorption peaks of the C=O bond at 1691 cm⁻¹ and the C–O bond at 1452 cm⁻¹ in pamoic acid are shifted to 1636 cm⁻¹ and 1382 cm⁻¹, which characterizes the carboxylate groups of the framework [24].

Typical TEM images of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate were shown in Fig. 3C and Fig. 3D, respectively. From Fig. 3C, it can be seen that the dark magnetic particles were coated with a uniform light-grey SiO₂ shell. After coating with a thin SiO₂ layer, the average diameter of the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ particles was 420 nm. Fig. 3D shows that the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate magnetic microspheres is consist of a dark Fe₃O₄ core, a light-grey SiO₂ middle layer, and a grey Fe-pamoate shell with a thickness of about 100 nm, clearly demonstrating the formation of a unique ternary single core-double shell structure.

The porosity of the as-synthesized Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate material was confirmed by nitrogen sorption-desorption isotherms. Before assembly Fe-pamoate complexes, the specific surface area of the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-carboxylated microspheres was approximately 8.6 m² g⁻¹. After step-by-step assembly (20 cycles) of Fe-pamoate complexes, the specific surface area of the magnetic microspheres was increased to 173.91 m² g⁻¹ (Fig. 4A). Thus, the specific surface area of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate was derived mainly from the Fe-pamoate layers.
The thermal stability of the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate particles was shown in Fig. 4B. A weight loss of about 2% was observed in the temperature range of 23–158 °C, this loss might be due to the evacuation of one non-coordinated DMF and one water molecule from the framework. The weight remained constant between 158 and 210 °C, a weight loss of ~10% at 300 °C, which indicated decomposition of the overall structure. In addition, the stability of the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate in different pH and different solvent was measured by detecting the concentration of Fe (III) ions released from the Fe-pamoate skeleton disruption. Fig 4C shows that the concentrations of Fe (III) ions were not detected in solution at pH greater than 5, and could be detected at pH 2-5, which indicated that the framework of Fe3O4@SiO2@Fe-pamoate is unstable at acidic conditions. Fe (III) ions were not detected in different solvents (methanol, acetonitrile, acetone), which suggested that the Fe3O4@SiO2@Fe-pamoate is stable in such three solvents.

The magnetization curve was shown in Fig. 4D. The Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate microspheres exhibited typical superparamagnetic behavior and had magnetic saturation (MS) value of about 6.59 emu g$^{-1}$. In addition, after dispersing in water, the magnetic particles could be collected using a magnet within 30 s, which indicated that the magnetic microspheres could be used for magnetic separation.

### 3.2. Optimization of extraction conditions

The procedure for SAs extraction was optimized by evaluating several parameters, i.e., the amount of the adsorbent, extraction time, desorption solvent, and pH of the sample solution. The chromatographic peak area, which is related to the number of moles of analyte extracted, was used to evaluate the efficiency of extraction of the target analytes by the microspheres under different experimental conditions.

#### 3.2.1 Effect of the amount of adsorbent on extraction efficiency

The effect of the amount of the magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate particles on the extraction efficiency was investigated with 3 to 25 mg of magnetic particles in a 5 mL aqueous solution spiked and the results were shown in Fig. 5A. The peak areas of the analytes were first increased with adsorbent amount increasing from 3 to 10 mg and then no significant increase was observed. Hence, 10 mg of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate was selected as the amount of adsorbent. Furthermore, the extraction efficiencies of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate particles were compared with those of
Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ particles (Fig 5A). The results showed that Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate gave much larger extraction efficiency than the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$, which demonstrated the extraction capacity for SAs was mainly derived from the Fe-pamoate layers.

3.2.2. Effect of extraction time on extraction efficiency

The extraction time is a very important parameter, which affects the partition of the target analytes between the sample solution and adsorbent. The effect of the extraction time on the efficiency of extraction of the target analytes from the aqueous medium using the microspheres was evaluated over the course of 5–150 min using a deionized aqueous sample. The results shown in Fig. 5B, the extraction efficiency rapid increased when extraction time from 5-30 min and then had no significant change. The adsorbent possessed a thicker adsorption layer (about 100 nm), and sulfonamide antibiotics molecules were needed time entering the adsorption layer and deep pore. As a compromise of save time and good extraction efficiency, 30 min was chosen as the extraction time.

3.2.3. Effect of pH on extraction efficiency

The adsorption of the five SAs on Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate was significantly pH dependent (Fig. 5C). The highest extraction efficiency for all five SAs was obtained at pH 6.0, and then declined gradually as the pH was either increased to 8.0 or decreased to 2.0. The pH of the solution may affect the speciation of the SAs as well as the structure of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate. At pH values below 6.0, the structure of Fe-pamoate was destroyed in the acidic medium. Thus, the adsorption of the SAs was reduced with pH being decreased. At pH 6, the SAs are predominantly in the neutral form (SAs$^0$), thus hydrogen bonding between the N-containing groups of SAs$^0$ and the surface -OH of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate and π–π electron coupling were the major driving forces for adsorption. Increasing the pH from 7.0 to 8.0, more neutral molecules (SAs$^0$) were transformed to the anionic form (SAs$^-$. Hence, the resultant weakening of the hydrogen bonds and suppression of π–π electron coupling could strongly impair the adsorption process [26]. For the ensuing further studies, the sample solution was adjusted to pH 6.0 to achieve the highest extraction efficiency.

3.2.4. Effect of desorption solvent

After extraction, the analytes were desorbed from the adsorbent with an organic solvent and analysed via HPLC. Three organic solvent mixtures, i.e., MeOH containing 0.5% ammonium hydroxide, ACN containing 0.5% ammonium hydroxide, and acetone containing 0.5% ammonium
hydroxide, were evaluated to identify the optimum desorption solvent [25]. The highest extraction efficiency was achieved with acetone containing 0.5% ammonium hydroxide based on the HPLC results (Fig. 5D).

3.3. Method validation

The optimized MSPE-HPLC-UV method employing Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate magnetic microspheres was validated as summarized in Table 1. The response linearity of the technique was measured by extracting a series of standard solutions containing all five SAs. The correlation coefficients (R²) were between 0.9947 and 0.9988. The limits of detection (LODs) based on a signal-to-noise ratio of 3 were within the range of 0.08–0.12 ng mL⁻¹, and the limits of quantification (LOQs) based on a signal-to-noise ratio of 10 were within the range of 0.26–0.40 ng mL⁻¹. Thus, the MSPE technique using Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate magnetic microspheres coupled with UV detection offered the merits of excellent sensitivity, simplicity, and operational ease.

3.4. Sample analysis

The proposed method was used for the determination of SAs in tap water, river water, and rain water samples. No SAs were detected in these environmental water samples. To further validate the established method, the recoveries were determined for spiked environmental samples at three levels, i.e., 3, 10, and 30 ng mL⁻¹. Chromatograms of the blank water sample, water sample spiked 10 ng mL⁻¹ of the analytes, and the extracted solution were shown in Fig. 6. No peaks corresponding to the five SAs appeared for blank water sample. The intensity of the peaks was very low for the water samples spiked with SAs at a level of 10 ng mL⁻¹, whereas the intensity of these peaks increased significantly after extraction using the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate microspheres. The recovery ratio of the five SAs in the tap water, river water, and rain water samples were 88.3–98.9%, 86.3–99.7%, and 89.5–97.2%, respectively, as shown in Table 2. These results indicated that the proposed method is applicable for extraction of trace sulfonamides in complex environmental water samples.

4. Conclusion

Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate microspheres were successfully synthesized via a step-by-step assembly strategy. The microspheres consisted of a magnetic core, a SiO₂ middle layer, and a
Fe-pamoate complex shell, and were utilized as adsorbents for the extraction and enrichment of SAs in water samples. Strong π–π electron coupling and hydrogen bonding between Fe-pamoate and the analytes enabled extraction and enrichment of the SAs with high efficiency. Furthermore, the magnetic property of the Fe₃O₄ core facilitated easy separation of the sorbent from the water sample and eluent. The proposed procedure based on Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Fe-pamoate microspheres offers the merits of speed, simplicity, and convenience for assay of SAs in environmental water samples, and the method is prospectively applicable for the detection of other compounds.
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Figure captions

Fig. 1 Chemical structures of SAs used in this work

Fig. 2 Schematic illustration of the process of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate single core-double shell sandwich structure formation

Fig. 3 (A) FT-IR absorbance spectra of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ (a), Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$-NH$_2$ (b) and Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$-COOH (c); (B) FT-IR spectra of H$_2$pam (a) and Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate (b); and TEM images of (C) Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ and (D) Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe–pamoate.

Fig. 4 (A) Nitrogen sorption-desorption isotherms of magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate, the inset shows the corresponding pore size distribution analysis; (B) TGA curve of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate acquired under air; (C) The concentration of Fe (III) detected at different pH; and (D) Room-temperature magnetization curve of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe–pamoate.

Fig. 5 Effects of experimental conditions on the extraction and desorption of five SAs. (A) Amount of adsorbent (the inset shows the comparison of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe–pamoate with Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$), (B) extraction time, (C) pH of the solution, and (D) desorption solvent.

Fig. 6 HPLC-UV chromatograms of (A) tap water sample, (B) river water sample, (C) rain water sample. (a) and (b) before and after spiking with 10 ng mL$^{-1}$ of each compound, and (c) after extraction of 10 ng mL$^{-1}$ spiked sample using magnetic microspheres; (1) SDZ, (2) STZ, (3) SMR, (4) SDD and (5) SIZ.
Table 1

Linear ranges, regression data, limits of detection (LOD), and limits of quantification (LOQ) for determination of SAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAs</th>
<th>Linear range (ng mL(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Calibration curves</th>
<th>LOD (ng mL(^{-1}))</th>
<th>LOQ (ng mL(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>(R^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDZ</td>
<td>0.5–50</td>
<td>5830.4</td>
<td>-1095.3</td>
<td>0.9984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMR</td>
<td>0.5–50</td>
<td>49417</td>
<td>56823</td>
<td>0.9947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDD</td>
<td>0.5–50</td>
<td>58968</td>
<td>-36431</td>
<td>0.9988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZ</td>
<td>0.5–50</td>
<td>7817.5</td>
<td>38139</td>
<td>0.9988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STZ</td>
<td>0.5–50</td>
<td>7051</td>
<td>-48287</td>
<td>0.9979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAs</td>
<td>Spiking level (ng mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>Mean recovery (%)</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>River water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDZ</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>nd*</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>90.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>94.1 ± 11.7</td>
<td>89.5 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>93.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>96.5 ± 7.3</td>
<td>90.5 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>95.5 ± 5.7</td>
<td>97.6 ± 10.6</td>
<td>97.2 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMR</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>91.6 ± 7.6</td>
<td>92.4 ± 8.6</td>
<td>89.6 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>94.6 ± 3.4</td>
<td>94.6 ± 8.5</td>
<td>95.4 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>97.2 ± 8.4</td>
<td>98.3 ± 7.6</td>
<td>96.2 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDD</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>88.3 ± 12.3</td>
<td>93.7 ± 4.7</td>
<td>92.3 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>92.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>97.8 ± 4.4</td>
<td>92.6 ± 10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>96.0 ± 6.5</td>
<td>99.7 ± 6.9</td>
<td>95.7 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZ</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>93.1 ± 4.7</td>
<td>86.2 ± 8.2</td>
<td>92.5 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>97.0 ± 6.3</td>
<td>97.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>95.3 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>97.6 ± 8.9</td>
<td>98.2 ± 8.7</td>
<td>97.0 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STZ</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>90.4 ± 9.0</td>
<td>92.7 ± 9.7</td>
<td>89.5 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>95.1 ± 3.0</td>
<td>94.5 ± 5.9</td>
<td>92.7 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>98.9 ± 5.4</td>
<td>96.5 ± 11.5</td>
<td>96.7 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*nd: not detected
Fig. 1
Fig. 2
Fig. 3
Fig. 4
Fig. 5

---

**A**

- SDZ
- SMD
- SDI
- SIZ
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**B**

- SDZ
- SMD
- SIZ
- STZ

**C**

- SDZ
- SMD
- SIZ
- STZ

**D**

- SDZ
- SMD
- SIZ
- STZ

---

**X-axis:**
- Amount of adsorbent (mg)
- Extraction time (min)
- pH
- Description solvent

**Y-axis:**
- Peak area
Fig. 6
Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$@Fe-pamoate single core-double shell microspheres have been synthesized via step-by-step assembly strategy and were used to extract sulfonamide antibiotics.