Analyst Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/analyst

Analyst

Tian Cai^{a,b,c}, Xiao-Ying Xu^b, Zhi-Jun Wu^{a*}

Correspondence to: Zhi-Jun Wu, Chengdu Institute of Biology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences,

Chengdu 610041, China. E-mail: wuzj@cib.ac.cn

a Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610041, China

b Chengdu Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610041, China

c University of Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing 100039, China.

Abstract

A series of diarylmethylamine compounds were analyzed using electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (ESI-QTOF-MS). $[M]^{+-}$ and $[M-H]^+$ were both observed, but shown different abundances. A possible mechanism forming $[M]^{+-}$ and $[M-H]^+$ was proposed to explicate the change rule of the ratio of $I_{[M]^{+-}/I_{[M-H]^{+-}}}$. The $[M]^{+-}$ has two structures, which can interconvert into each other in gas phase. The substituted groups on the benzene rings play a crucial role in the transfer of the two structures. Electron withdrawing groups can prevent the formation of carbocation, thus nitro-containing diarylmethylamines remain mainly structure I and were detected as $[M]^{+-}$. On the contrary, electron donating groups help to stabilize carbocation. This makes structure I transfer to structure II, and structure II prefers to further generate $[M-H]^+$ by loss of an H radical. Nuclear magnetic resonance and D-labelled MS experiments indicate that 1-C-H bond has strong activity.

Key words: diarylmethylamine, electrospray, $[M]^{+}$, $[M-H]^{+}$, Electron withdrawing group, electron donating groups

Introduction

Electrospray ionization (ESI) is one of atmospheric pressure ionization (API) methods, which produce charged ions under atmospheric pressure. ESI technique transfers the ions from solution to gas phase in a soft way, and it is an useful tool to analyze a majority of polar molecules even unstable compounds.^[1] In ESI source, analyte molecules are charged through several pathways, mainly including ionization through charge separation, adduct formation, gas-phase reactions, and electrochemical oxidation or reduction.^[2] In positive-ion mode of ESI-MS, even-electron ions are observed always, such as $[M+H]^+$, $[M+Na]^+$, $[M+K]^+$ and so on. However, in a few cases, some special ions can be detected as $[M-H]^{+}[3-4]$, $M^{+}[5-7]$, $[M+Na-H]^{+}[8]$ and [M+H]^{-[9]} ions. In our previous study, M⁺⁻ radical ions of two diarylmethylamine compounds were detected.^[7] Radical ion resulting from iodine-containing diarylmethylamines during ESI is reasonable. However, why can the nitro-containing diarylmethylamines produce the radical ions? And what is the role of nitro group in the formation of radical ions? Why did the radical ion generate from 1-C-H instead of 4-methoxyaniline group? To gain insights into these queries, a series of diarylmethylamine compounds were analyzed by ESI-MS. The formation mechanisms of $[M]^+$ and $[M-H]^+$ were proposed.

Experiment setup

High-performance liquid chromatography-grade methanol and acetonitrile were procured from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Formic acid, sodium hydroxide, and methanol-D4 were purchased from Fluka (Switzerland), Alfa Aesar

Analyst

(Ward Hill, MA, USA) and Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Andover, MA, USA), respectively. The diarylmethylamine compounds were obtained from Zhang's laboratory.^[10] Mass experiments were accomplished on a Bruker micrOTOF-Q mass spectrometer (Bremen, Germany). The mass resolution was 10,000 FWHM (full width at half maximum) at 922 u. Helium was used as the collision gas and high-purity nitrogen gas as the nebulizer and dry gas at flowing rate of 4.0 L/min and the pressure of 0.3 bar. The ESI source conditions were as follows: capillary V, -4500 V; end plate voltage, -4000 V; capillary exit voltage, 120 V and dry gas temperature, 180 °C. The isolation window was set as 1.0 Da for CID. The concentrations of the samples were 1×10^{-6} mol/L. The injection rate was set as 180 µL/h. The mass data were processed by Bruker Compass DataAnalysis 4.0. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments were completed on a Bruker Avance 600 (Bremen, Germany) NMR spectrometer.

Result and discussion

A series of diarylmethylamine compounds (compounds 1-7, Fig. 1) were dissolved in methanol and analyzed by ESI-MS in positive-ion mode. For compounds 1-4 containing nitro group, both $[M]^{+\cdot}$ and $[M-H]^{+}$ with high relative abundance were observed, while for compounds 5-7, only $[M-H]^{+}$ show high relative abundance (Fig. 2). The relative abundance of $[M]^{+\cdot}$ and for compounds 1-7 were shown in supporting information.† Obviously, although the structures of these compounds are very similar, the relative intensities of $[M]^{+\cdot}$ and $[M-H]^{+}$ vary greatly.

Herein, a possible mechanism (Scheme 1) forming $[M]^+$ ion and $[M-H]^+$ was

Analyst

proposed to explicate the differences of their relative intensities. The [M]⁺ are formed by loss of an electron and have two structures, structure I and structure II. The two structures can interconvert into each other in gas phase. Structure I is detected as $[M]^+$ and structure II prefers to further generate $[M-H]^+$ by loss of an H radical. The substituted groups on the benzene rings affect greatly the transfer of the two structures. Electron withdrawing group can prevent the formation of 1-carbocation (Scheme 1), thus nitro-containing diarylmethylamines remain mainly structure I during ESI. On the contrary, the electron donating groups contribute to stabilizing carbocation. This makes structure I transfer to structure II, and structure II further generate [M-H]⁺ ions. The ratio of $I_{[M]+}/I_{[M-H]+}$ for compounds 1-7 were shown in Fig. 3. For compound 1, there is a nitro-group in benzene ring A. Thus, the $[M]^+$ was detected as primary peak. When benzene ring B is substituted by thiophene ring for compound 2, the relative abundance of [M-H]⁺ increases. The reason is that sulfur atom of thiophene contributes two π electrons and the $\Pi 56$ conjugation system is electron richer than benzene ring.^[11] There is a methoxyl group linked to benzene ring B for compound **3**, the relative abundance of $[M-H]^+$ is higher than that of $[M-H]^+$. When benzene ring B is linked to two methyl groups in compound 4, the relative abundance of $[M-H]^+$ further increases. For compound 5-7, only the electron donating groups are linked to benzene ring A or B, therefore, the [M-H]⁺ become dominant. Interestingly, iodine can stabilize radical, and structure I is primary. Therefore, iodine-containing diarylmethylamines is detected as a $[M]^{+}$.^[7] Incidentally, when trace acid or alkali were added into solution, the ratio of $I_{[M]+}/I_{[M-H]+}$ were not affected. This implies that

Analyst Accepted Manuscript

these ions are formed in the gas phase.

Why does the loss of electron occur in 1-C-H bond? In addition to the reported experiment,^[7] nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiment indicated that 1-C-H bond exhibit strong activity. When oxidant was added into D-CHCl₃ solvent of compound **1**, the ratio of hydrogen atom in 1-C-H (5.5 ppm) and N-H (4.0 ppm) in ¹H NMR spectrum (supporting information[†]) decreased. This indicates that part of compound **1** transferred into imide soon after. Obviously, 1-C-H bond is easily oxidized. In addition, when CD₃OD was selected as solvent, the *m/z* 317 was detected instead of *m/z* 316 for compound **5** (supporting information[†]). This implies that active D atom remains in *m/z* 317 and the loss of H result from 1-C-H. Contrast MS/MS experiments of *m/z* 316 and 317 (supporting information[†]) further supported the result.

Conclusions

In this study, several diarylmethylamine compounds were analyzed using ESI-QTOF-MS, and the unexpected ions, M^+ and $[M-H]^+$, were detected. A mechanism forming $[M]^+$ and $[M-H]^+$ was proposed at the first time to explicate the change rule of the ratio of $I_{[M]^+}/I_{[M-H]^+}$. It was found that substituent effect plays a crucial role in contributing to the formation of $[M]^+$ and $[M-H]^+$. Solution acidity cannot affect the the ratio of $I_{[M]^+}/I_{[M-H]^+}$, which implies that these ions are formed in the gas phase. In summary, this finding indicates that the diversity of ionization modes in ESI source resulted in the generation of abnormal ions. What's more, the study can help to understand the nature of chemical bond breaking.

Analyst

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21305137) and the Infrastructure Projectsfor Application of Department of Science in Sichuan Province (Nos.Y4D6011100).

References

[1] J. H. Gross, Mass Spectrometry, 2nd ed. Springer: New York, 2012.

[2] N. B. Cech and C.G. Enke, Practical implications of some recent studies in electrospray ionization fundamentals, *Mass Spectrom. Rev.*, 2001, **20**, 362-387.

[3] Z. Q. Guo, D. M. Fang, J. H. Wang, G. L. Zhang and Z. J. Wu, [M-H]⁺ ions in
3-alkyl substituted indoles detected by electrospray mass spectrometry, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, 2014, 361, 54-58.

[4] G. Y. He, X. Y. Xu, D. M. Fang, S. W. Luo, L. X. Wang, G. L. Zhang and Z. J.

Analyst Accepted Manuscript

Wu, Unexpected [M-H]⁺ ions in cyclopenta[b] indoles detection by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, *J. Mass Spectrom.*, 2013, **48**, 1266-1269.

[5] R. Vessecchi, A. E. M. Crotti, T. Guaratini, P. Colepicolo, S. E. Galembeck and N.
P. Lopes, Radical ion generation processes of organic compounds in electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *Mini-Rev. Org. Chem.*, 2007, 4, 75-87.

[6] Y. H. Wu and H. Wang, Detection of oxidative species for 4-phenoxyphenol derivatives during the electrospray ionization process. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 2000, **14**, 756-764.

[7] T. Cai, X. Y. Xu, D. M. Fang, H. Y. Qi, Y. Jiang, G. L. Zhang and Z. J. Wu, Unexpected $[M]^{+}$ radical ions deriving from the cleavage of C-H bond in

Analyst

Analyst Accepted Manuscript

diarylmethylamines detected by electrospray mass spectrometry. Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 2014, **373**, 39-42.

[8] X. Hou, W. C. Yuan, D. M. Fang, S. W. Luo and Z. J. Wu, Unexpected [M-H+Na]⁺⁻ radical ions in 3-isothiocyanato oxindoles detected by electrospray mass spectrometry. *J. Mass Spectrom.*, 2013, **48**, 344–347.

[9] X. Hou, W. C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, H. Y. Qi and Z. J. Wu, [M+H]⁻ ion in isodiospyrin detected by ESI-MS, *J. Mass Spectrom.*, 2015, **50**, 592-595.

[10] X. Y. Hu, M. M. Zhang, C. Shu, Y. H. Zhang, L. H. Liao, W. C. Yuan and X. M. Zhang, Enantioselective lewis-base-catalyzed asymmetric hydrosilylation of substituted benzophenone N-aryl imines: efficient synthesis of chiral (diarylmethyl)amines. *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2014, **356**, 3539-3544.

[11] F.A. Carey and R. J. Sundberg, *Advanced Organic Chemistry, fifth edition, Part A: Structure and Mechanisms*, Springer, New York, 2007, pp 793.



Figure 1. Diarylmethylamine compounds: (1) *Mr* 334.1317; (2) *Mr* 340.0882; (3) *Mr*

364.1423; (4) *Mr* 362.1630; (5) *Mr* 317.1780; (6) *Mr* 317.1780; (7) *Mr* 331.1936.

Analyst



Figure 2. (1)-(7) were the mass spectra of compounds 1-7, respectively.



Figure 3. The ratio of $I_{[M]+.}\%/I_{[M-H]+}\%$ for compounds 1-7. $I_{[M]+.}\% = I^*_{[M]+.}\%$ (observed) - $I_{[M-H]+}\%$ (observed)× Isotope Ratio_(m+1)% (theory).



Scheme 1. The possible forming process of $[M]^+$ and $[M-H]^+$ ions of Diarylmethylamines.