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Carbon dioxide sensor based on a surface acoustic wave device with a graphene/nickel/L-
alanine multilayer film

Sheng Xu, a Cuiping Li, b Hongji Li, c Mingji Li, b Changqing Qu, b and Baohe Yang ab

Surface acoustic wave (SAW) sensors for carbon dioxide (CO₂) detection containing graphene/nickel (Ni)/L-alanine composite sensing films were investigated. ST-cut quartz SAW resonators were modified with L-alanine, Ni nanoparticles and graphene by electrodeposition. The presence of graphene markedly enhanced the CO₂ sensing properties of the sensor. The performance of the sensor containing a graphene/Ni/L-alanine composite film depended both on the pH of the solution used to deposit the L-alanine sensitive layer and operation temperature. The CO₂ sensing mechanism of the SAW sensor is based on the adsorption of CO₂ and H₂O gas molecules by graphene, the catalytic reaction of Ni nanoparticles, and the reaction between L-alanine and CO₂ gas molecules; that is, the three materials in the sensitive layer have a synergistic effect. From analysis of changes in acoustic signals, exposure of the sensor to CO₂ not only changed the conductivity of the film but also produced a additional capacitance, which ultimately changed the equivalent capacitance of the sensor.

1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is present in Earth’s atmosphere at concentrations close to 397.82 ppm.1 As the primary greenhouse gas emitted through human activities, the atmospheric concentration of CO₂ has increased approximately 30% since preindustrial times, which plays a critical role in climate change.2, 3 Concern about climate change has greatly stimulated research on the detection, capture and storage of greenhouse gases, health care, indoor air-quality control, and fire detection systems. Various types of CO₂ sensors have been developed including solid electrolyte,6 capacitive, resistive,7, 8 optical9-12 and surface acoustic wave (SAW) sensors.13, 14

Recently, SAW gas sensors have attracted substantial attention because of their advantages of high sensitivity, speed, and accuracy, as well as good reliability, and low cost.14, 15 The core component of a SAW gas sensor is the sensing film. This sensitive membrane that serves as the feedback element of an oscillator circuit is deposited on the acoustic propagation path of each SAW device. A change in the conductivity of the sensitive film causes a frequency shift of the SAW device.16 In gases, the conductivity of the sensitive layer is directly affected by gas concentration. Acoustoelectric coupling of the travelling electric potential wave associated with the SAW with charge carriers in the film decreases the velocity of the acoustic wave, altering the oscillation frequency of the circuit. Among different types of sensing films available, polymer,7, 17-19 dye,16 carbon nanotube,13, 20, 21 and semiconductor films15, 22 have mostly been used in gas sensors because of their high sensitivity and selectivity, and fast response time to changes in gas/vapor atmospheres. Electrochemical deposition is a simple, efficient, and inexpensive method to deposit various materials as a gas-sensitive layer for sensors containing SAW devices.17, 23, 24

Graphene is a monolayer of carbon atoms packed to form a two-dimensional 2D honeycomb lattice.25-27 The large surface area and unique properties of graphene, including its sensitivity to single absorbed molecules, mean that it lends itself to integration with SAW devices, although relatively little work on this topic has been reported to date.28 Thalmeier et al.29 and Zhang et al.30 both made theoretically studied the change in SAW propagation on a piezoelectric substrate caused by interaction with charge carriers in graphene. Acoustic charge transport, which exploits this piezoelectric interaction, has been reported from graphene transferred onto piezoelectric substrates.31, 32 Nash and co-workers investigated the effects of argon and air loading on quartz SAW devices, which have the advantage of greater temperature stability than other substrates, with and without graphene in the acoustic path.28 Nash et al. studied the acoustoelectric charge transport in graphene, and found that the acoustoelectric current was proportional to both...
the SAW intensity and the attenuation of the wave caused by charge transport. In addition, Nash et al. investigated the temperature dependence of the acoustoelectric current in graphene. At high SAW frequencies, the acoustoelectric current decreases with decreasing temperature. Xuan et al. reported ZnO/glass SAW humidity sensors with a graphene oxide sensing layer that have high sensitivity and fast response. However, little research on the SAW propagation, interaction and sensing ability of graphene structures has been reported.

Amino acid molecules are zwitterions, and the pH of their solution determines whether the form of the amino acid is the zwitterion, anion, or cation. In addition, altering the pH of a solution is a way to control crystal polymorph; larger needle-like crystals at pH 3.1–4.5, mesocrystals at pH 7.5, short tubes and acoustic aperture (W) of 144 µm. The central frequency of the sample was approximately 434.1544 MHz.

In this work, we use graphene, alanine and Ni nanoparticles to develop a CO₂ gas SAW sensor. The sensor contains a graphene/Ni/α-alanine sensing layer fabricated by electrochemical deposition and oscillator circuits were not used. We investigate the selectivity, sensitivity, reversibility and chemical characteristics of this sensor at room temperature.

2. Experimental

2.1. Fabrication of the graphene/Ni/α-alanine/SAW sensor

A single-port SAW resonator was fabricated on ST-cut quartz (3.45×0.90×0.37 mm) with the SAW propagation direction perpendicular to the crystallographic x-axis (90°-rotated) and an acoustic velocity of 3,158 m s⁻¹. Each sensor consisted of an IDT containing 171 pairs of electrodes (1.8 µm) with a pitch of 3.6 µm and two reflector banks each containing 309 electrodes, and acoustic aperture (W) of 144 µm. The central frequency of the SAW resonators was approximately 434.1544 MHz.

Electrochemical deposition of the sensitive layer was performed in a conventional three-electrode cell consisting of a SAW resonator as the working electrode, a platinum sheet as the counter electrode, and an Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode (Fig. 1a). The SAW resonators were treated by potential scanning between 0.2 and 1.2 V at 100 mV s⁻¹ for 30 cycles in 0.1 M Phosphate buffer solutions (PBSs) at various pH containing 0.01 mol L⁻¹ α-alanine. PBSs with pH ranging from 4.0 to 10.0 were prepared by mixing stock solutions of 0.1 M NaH₂PO₄ and 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, and the pH was adjusted with H₂PO₄ (1 mol L⁻¹) and NaOH (1 mol L⁻¹) solutions. The SAW resonators were then rinsed with ethanol and water to give the α-alanine-modified SAW (α-alanine/SAW) sensor. Electrodeposition of Ni nanoparticles onto the α-alanine/SAW sensor was carried out in a solution of Na₂SO₄ and NiSO₄ (both 0.1 mol L⁻¹) by potential cycling between -0.6 and 0.6 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹ for 30 cycles. Finally, the electrodeposition of graphene was performed in a solution of 0.4 mg mL⁻¹ graphene and 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0) at 1.5 V for 5 min in a two-electrode cell. The sensor was rinsed with ultrapure water and dried under N₂ gas. Graphene oxide was prepared from natural graphite using a modified Hummers method, and reduced graphene oxide (hereafter referred to as graphene) was produced via chemical vapor deposition.

2.2. Characterization

The sensor was characterized by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM; JEOL JSM-6700F, Japan), transmission electron microscopy (TEM; JEOL, JEM-2100, Japan), Raman spectroscopy (Thermo Scientific, DXR, USA) and atomic force microscopy (AFM; Agilent 5500, USA).

2.3. Gas sensing measurements

The detection platform of the SAW gas sensor is shown in Fig. 1b. The SAW sensor was placed in the test chamber with a volume of 180 mL facing the gas inlet. The total gas flow rate was maintained at 500 mL min⁻¹ by a mass flow meter. Before gas sensing, the sensing SAW device was preheated to 200 °C for 1 h to remove any gas absorbed on the sensitive membrane surface, which may interfere with the subsequent gas sensing. The SAW sensor was placed in an N₂ environment for 1 h to equilibrate. A blend of CO₂ and air/N₂ gas was delivered to the gas chamber for different periods, followed by desorption of CO₂ by purging the system with high-purity N₂ gas to recover the center frequency of the SAW sensor. The frequency resonance was tested by a network analyzer (E5070B, Agilent ENA, USA).
Fig. 1 (a) Schematic diagram of electrodeposition system. (b) Structure of detecting platform using the SAW device. (1) Cylinder. (2) Gas flow control system. (3) Vacuum pump. (4) Heating system. (5) Network analyzer. (6) Test chamber. The SAW sensor was positioned inside the quartz chamber to face the gas inlet. (7) SAW sensor. The SAW sensor was plugged into the test shielding box. (8) IDT electrodes of SAW device.

When a SAW sensor is exposed to the target gas, its electrical and mechanical characteristics change according to the absorption capacity of the active sensing region, resulting in a frequency shift.\(^{44}\) Considering the effects of perturbation by mass loading including elastic and electric loading, the propagation characteristics of the surface wave are affected as follows:\(^{15, 20}\)

\[
\frac{\Delta f}{f_0} = \frac{\Delta v}{v_0} = -c_m f_0 \rho_s + c_e f_0 \sigma_s \left\{ \frac{(4\mu/v_0^2)(\eta + \mu)}{\eta + 2\mu} \right\} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left[ \frac{\sigma_s^2}{\sigma_s^2 + \nu_{ss}^2} \right] \]

(1)

where \(v_0\) is unperturbed wave velocity, \(c_m\) and \(c_e\) are the sensitivity coefficients for mass and elasticity, \(\rho_s\) is the mass per area, \(\mu\) and \(\eta\) are the shear and bulk moduli of the film, \(\sigma_s\) is the sheet conductivity of the film, \(C_s'\) is the capacitance per length of the SAW substrate material, and \(f_0\) is the center frequency of the SAW device. In the case of a sensor with a three-layer sensitive membrane, \(C_s'\) is the sum of the capacitance of the sensitive layers and static capacitance for the IDT. Therefore, the sensitivity for CO\(_2\) gas is mainly related to the response of the sensitive membrane layer, which not only changes the film conductivity, but also produces an additional capacitance (the capacitance of the sensitive layer), which ultimately changes \(C_s'\). Such a signal generation mechanism is distinctly different from those of previously reported sensors, which are generally based on changes in the electrical conductivity of sensors under the influence of gas molecules.\(^{45}\)
3. Results and discussion

Fig. 2 shows three-dimensional AFM images and line profiles of the SAW devices with various sensitive membranes. The average surface roughness of the IDT electrode and substrate was estimated from the AFM images, as well as its dependence on the type of modified sensitive membrane. The modified SAW devices possessed coarser microstructure than the pristine one. The measured root-mean-square (RMS) roughness of the IDT electrodes on bare SAW, L-alanine/SAW, Ni/L-alanine/SAW, and graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW devices was 1.46, 1.59, 1.58, and 1.88 nm, respectively. Obviously, the RMS values were markedly larger than that of the bare IDT electrode after modification with a sensitive membrane. The thickness of the IDT and substrate roughness also changed (Fig. 2b), indicating that each of the sensitive layers was successfully deposited onto the SAW devices.

Fig. 3a shows SEM images of IDT electrodes of bare SAW, L-alanine/SAW, Ni/L-alanine/SAW and graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW devices. The SEM image of the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW device reveals dots on the device surface, which could be aggregates of graphene sheets. Fig. 3b shows a TEM image and Raman spectrum of graphene, and SEM image of the IDT electrode on the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW device at higher resolution than the images in Fig. 3a. The TEM image of graphene shows the graphene sheets are flake-like and transparent, indicating that we successfully prepared high-quality graphene sheets, consistent with a previous report. The Raman spectrum of graphene is dominated by a G band at 1,572.7 cm\(^{-1}\), D band at 1,343.2 cm\(^{-1}\), and 2D band at 2,700.8 cm\(^{-1}\). The D band is induced by local defects and disorder, and has been previously observed along the edges of graphene and graphite. For graphene, the 2D peak is a single sharp peak, while that of graphite consists of two peaks, D\(_1\) and D\(_2\). Elemental mapping in scanning SEM mode and the corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) mapping in Fig. 3c indicates the presence of Al, N, Ni, C, Si and O elements in the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW device. In this structure, Al originates from the IDT electrode, Si and O from the piezoelectric film, N from the catalyst layer, and C from L-alanine and graphene. These maps confirm that the IDT electrode and substrate were completely covered with a highly uniform graphene/Ni/L-alanine sensitive layer.
Fig. 3 (a) SEM images of IDT electrodes on bare SAW (a1), L-alanine/SAW (a2), Ni/L-alanine/SAW (a3), and graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW devices (a4). (b) TEM image (b1) and high-resolution SEM image (b2), and Raman spectrum of graphene (b3) of the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/IDT electrode. (c) EDS element mapping of Al, N, Ni and C for the Ni/L-alanine/graphene/SAW device.

Fig. 4 shows the frequency responses of bare SAW, L-alanine/SAW, Ni/L-alanine/SAW, graphene/L-alanine/SAW and graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW devices in air and CO$_2$ at room temperature. After L-alanine was electrodeposited onto the SAW device, a negative shift in frequency was observed. A positive frequency shift was found after electrodeposition of Ni, and the frequency shifted from positive to negative appeared after deposition of graphene. For the bare SAW device, the curves measured in air and CO$_2$ overlapped, so this device is unsuitable for sensing purposes. The frequency of the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW structure was higher in CO$_2$ than in air. The inset of Fig. 4 shows that the frequency shift for the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW device between air and CO$_2$ is larger than those of the other structures. Therefore, the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW device is the best suited for CO$_2$ sensing of the devices examined here. The resonant frequency ($f$) of SAW devices can be correlated to the effective SAW velocity ($v_e$) and the wavelength ($\lambda$) or pitch ($p$) of the device using the following relationship:

$$f = \frac{v_e}{\lambda} = \frac{v_e}{2p} \quad (2)$$

Theoretically, $\lambda$ does not change, so the frequency response is related to $v_e$. The perturbations in $v_e$ may be expressed as:

$$v_e = v_f + \Delta v_e + \Delta v_M \quad (3)$$

where $v_f$ is the free-surface velocity, $\Delta v_e$ is the change in velocity caused by electrical loading, and $\Delta v_M$ is the change in velocity caused by mechanical loading. L-Alanine is insulating so its acoustoelectric interaction is neglected. For the IDT electrode modified with an L-alanine layer, the mass loading effect dominated the frequency response, causing the observed negative frequency shift.$^{49}$ Ni nanoparticles and graphene nanosheets are conductive materials, so both the acoustoelectric interaction and mass loading effect are considered. When the device is modified with both Ni and graphene layers, the metallization ratio ($\eta$=IDT width ($a$)/$p$) will be affected, as shown in Fig. 2b.
Fig. 4 Frequency characteristics of (a) bare SAW, (b) L-alanine/SAW, (c) Ni/L-alanine/SAW, (d) graphene/L-alanine/SAW and (e) graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW devices in air (red curves) and CO₂ (blue curves) at room temperature. The inset shows the frequency shift between CO₂ and air for the devices.

Fig. 5 shows the frequency response curves of the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW sensor in air and CO₂ at temperatures of 25 to 200 °C and pH of 4 to 10. Figure 6a shows the corresponding dependence of frequency response on temperature at various pH. The solution pH value has an important influence on frequency response. Under air and CO₂, a very clear frequency shift depending on temperature was observed in the range of 25–200 °C. The thermal stability of the frequency of the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW sensor is characterized by its temperature coefficient of frequency (TCF), which is defined as the relative change in frequency with temperature and is usually expressed in ppm K⁻¹.

\[ TCF = \frac{1}{f} \frac{df}{dT} \]  

Equations 5 and 6 show the functional relationship between the frequency of the SAW sensors and experimental temperature, which is plotted in Fig. 6b. TCF of the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW sensor in air is \(-1.22 \text{ ppm K}^{-1}\), which is higher than \(-1.61 \text{ ppm K}^{-1}\) of the bare SAW device, showing that the composite device has higher thermal stability than the bare one. This is advantageous to improve the sensitivity of the sensor. In addition, the frequency response of the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW sensor containing L-alanine layers deposited at different pH was investigated. As the pH was increased from 4.0 to 7.0 during deposition of L-alanine, the center frequency of the SAW sensor shifted to more negative value. Conversely, with increasing pH from 7.0 to 10.0, the center frequency of the SAW sensor shifted to more positive value. For the Ni-graphene/L-alanine/SAW sensor, the maximum frequency shift for CO₂ gas is observed at pH 10.0 and 200 °C (Fig. 6a). Taking into account the stability and sensitivity of this sensor, we selected conditions of 0.1 M PBS at pH 10.0 and 200 °C for subsequent experiments.

Graphene has high intrinsic electrical conductivity, large specific surface area, and high chemical stability, so graphene layers can readily capture gas molecules such as CO₂ and H₂O. In the electrodeposition of graphene, the Ni nanoparticles act as nuclear sites and a reducing agent, and the oxygen-containing groups on graphene can form strong bonds with Ni. In addition, Ni nanoparticles may also catalyze the hydrolysis of CO₂, which would enhance the selectivity of this sensor. L-Alanine molecules can be stabilized in different forms, as shown in Fig. 7. Between pH 4.5 and 7.5, L-alanine is almost fully zwitterionic (d). Below pH 1.5, more than 90% of molecules are in the cationic form (c), whereas above pH 11, more than 90% of L-alanine molecules in aqueous solution are anionic (d).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3\text{CH(NH}_3\text{)}\text{COO}^- + \text{H}^+ & \rightleftharpoons \text{CH}_3\text{CH(NH}_3\text{)}^+\text{COO}^- \\
\text{CH}_3\text{CH(NH}_3\text{)}\text{COOH} + \text{H}^+ & \rightleftharpoons \text{CH}_3\text{CH(NH}_3\text{)}^+\text{COOH} \\
\text{CH}_3\text{CH(NH}_3\text{)}\text{COOH} + \text{OH}^- & \rightleftharpoons \text{CH}_3\text{CH(NH}_3\text{)}\text{COO}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\end{align*}
\]

L-Alanine is bonded to the metal IDT electrodes and piezoelectric film surface by either the N atom or the O atoms of the carboxylate group (-COOH). Under basic conditions (pH 10), the reactions between CO₂ and the various types of L-alanine in the SAW sensor are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{[CH}_3\text{CH(NH}_2\text{)}\text{COO}^-\text{-SAW}] + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightleftharpoons \text{[CH}_3\text{CH(NH}_2\text{)}\text{COOH-SAW}] + \text{HCO}_3^- \\
\text{[CH}_3\text{CH(NH}_3\text{)}^+\text{COOH-SAW}] + 2\text{CO}_2^2- & \rightleftharpoons \text{[CH}_3\text{CH(NH}_3\text{)}^+\text{COO}^-\text{-SAW}] + \text{CO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{[CH}_3\text{CH(NH}_3\text{)}^+\text{SAW}] + \text{CO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightleftharpoons \text{[CH}_3\text{CH(NH}_3\text{)}^+\text{SAW}] + \text{CO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\end{align*}
\]
Fig. 5 Frequency response curves of the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW sensor in air (red) and CO₂ (blue) at temperatures of 25–200 °C and pH of 4–10.

Fig. 6 (a) Frequency shift of the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW sensor as a function of temperature with L-alanine layers deposited at various pH values. (b) Linear decrease of frequency with increasing temperature for the graphene/Ni/L-alanine/SAW sensor in air.
Fig. 7 Interaction of L-alanine with CO$_2$ at different pH. (a) Nonionic, (b) zwitterionic, (c) cationic, and (d) anionic. Red, oxygen; blue, nitrogen; dark gray, carbon; light gray, hydrogen.

Fig. 8 illustrates the response of the graphene/Ni$_4$/L-alanine/SAW sensor to different concentrations of CO$_2$ gas at 200 °C. We assumed here that the response and recovery times corresponded to the times when the sensor output reached 90% of the final value and 10% above the baseline value, respectively. The evaluation of the response of the sensor was carried out for different periods. The sensor was exposed to CO$_2$ for 60 s each time and then purged with air. For almost all concentrations of CO$_2$, the sensor recovered in less than 20 s to 50% of its initial signal and in 50 s to >90% of its initial signal. In addition, the reproducibility of the Ni-graphene/L-alanine/SAW sensor was further investigated for 4–7 exposure cycles. The exposure cycles measured for each concentration exhibited very similar curves. The fluctuation of frequency shift was less than 10%, and the response and recovery times were similar for the consecutive tests, indicating the results were reliable and the sensor shows good reproducibility.

Fig. 9 shows the frequency shifts of the graphene/Ni$_4$/L-alanine/SAW sensor as a function of CO$_2$ concentration. The response of the sensor increased rapidly with increasing CO$_2$ concentration in the range from 0 to 38,500 ppm. When the CO$_2$ concentration was increased from 0 to 2,000 ppm and 2,000 to 38,500 ppm, the responses showed almost linear increases with slopes of 2.07 and 0.377 Hz ppm$^{-1}$, respectively. A frequency shift of 667 Hz was obtained at a low concentration of CO$_2$ gas of 200 ppm, while a frequency shift of 4,200 Hz was observed for a CO$_2$ concentration of 2,000 ppm. The sensitivity for CO$_2$ concentration was measured as 2.51 MHz ppm$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$ (0 to 2,000 ppm) and 0.46 MHz ppm$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$ (2,000 to 38,500 ppm). The detection limit of the sensor could reach 200 ppm.

For CO$_2$ gas, the baseline shift of the sensor was low and could be neglected as shown in Fig. 10. The sensor did not have a marked response to 500 ppm air, Ar, O$_2$ or ethanol (C$_2$H$_5$OH) gases, showing frequency shifts of approximately 0.9, 0.2, 0.15 and 225 kHz, respectively. Although the interference of ethanol is relatively large, these results suggest that the sensor has strong selectivity for CO$_2$. 
because of their ability to promote the adsorption of gas and best sensing properties of the devices examined. This sensor showed a positive frequency shift of 667 Hz to CO$_2$ at a concentration of 200 ppm, as well as excellent selectivity, stability and reproducibility. By comparing the responses of 500 ppm CO$_2$, air, Ar, O$_2$, and ethanol gases.

4. Conclusions

SAW sensors coated with graphene/Ni$_x$-alanine composite films to detect CO$_2$ were developed. The sensor containing the composite film exhibited the roughest surface morphology and best sensing properties of the devices examined. This sensor showed a positive frequency shift of 667 Hz to CO$_2$ at a concentration of 200 ppm, as well as excellent selectivity, stability and reproducibility. By comparing the responses of sensors containing an$_x$-alanine film and graphene/Ni$_x$-alanine composite, the presence of Ni nanoparticles and graphene nanosheets was found to enhance the sensitivity of the sensor because of their ability to promote the adsorption of gas and catalytic reactions.
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Fig. 10 Dynamic frequency shifts of the graphene/Ni$_x$-alanine/SAW sensor to 500 ppm CO$_2$, air, Ar, O$_2$ and ethanol gases.