
Journal of Materials Chemistry C

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



Strain effect on electrical conductance of p-type nanocrystalline silicon carbide thin film

Hoang-Phuong Phan,** a Dzung Viet Dao,* a Li Wang,* Toan Dinh,* Nam-Trung Nguyen,* Afzaal Qamar,* Philip Tanner,* Sima Dimitrijev,* a and Yong Zhu * a .

Received * * 2014 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Journal of Materials Chemistry C

This paper presents for the first time the effect of strain on the electrical conductance of p-type nanocrystalline SiC grown on a Si substrate. The gauge factor of the p-type nanocrystalline SiC was found to be 14.5 which is one order of magnitude larger than that in most metals. This result indicates that mechanical strain has a significant influence on electrical conduction of p-type nanocrystalline SiC, which is promising for mechanical sensing applications in harsh environments.

Silicon carbide (SiC) with its large energy band gap (2.3–3.4 eV) and excellent mechanical properties is a promising material for electrical devices operating in harsh environments $^{1-3}$. Recently, researchers have paid significant attention to the characterization of the strain effect on SiC for sensing applications at high temperatures. Many groups have reported the piezoresistive effect in various poly types of single crystalline SiC such as 3C-SiC, 4H-SiC, and 6H-SiC. Large gauge factors of approximately 30 were reported in both p-type and n-type single crystalline SiC, indicating that SiC is a good candidate for mechanical sensing applications 4-11. Among more than 200 poly types of crystalline SiC, cubic crystalline silicon carbide (3C-SiC) is preferable for Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS) transducers. The main advantage of 3C-SiC is the capability of growth on a silicon (Si) substrate, which reduces the cost of wafers and is more compatible with the conventional MEMS process^{2,9–12}. Nevertheless, Si is not a suitable material for hostile environment applications due to the current leakage from the epitaxy SiC layer to the Si substrate at high temperatures. Therefore, wafer bonding techniques are required to transfer single 3C-SiC to other electrical insulating substrates, which can withstand high temperatures (e.g silicon dioxide and silicon nitride)¹³. This process indeed increases the cost of wafers as well as complicates the fabrication of SiC devices.

Different from single crystalline SiC, nanocrystalline SiC (nc-SiC), with its grain size in sub-micron scale, can be grown on various substrates (e.g. silicon, silicon dioxide, silicon nitride) and therefore, it is a good candidate for MEMS trans-

ducers ^{14–16}. Nanocrystalline SiC can be grown by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) at 1100°C¹⁶, sputtering amorphous SiC at approximately 750°C followed by thermal annealing ¹⁷, and in situ crystallization of amorphous SiC at 600°C 18. Recent studies also demonstrated the possibility of synthesis nc-SiC at room temperature using the supersonic molecular beam epitaxy¹⁹. The capability of growth at low temperatures on various substrates not only simplifies the fabrication, but also allows MEMS surface processing in nc-SiC. Electrical properties of p-type nc-SiC have been intensively investigated in the last decades for applications involving the next generation of solar cells ^{20,21}. Nanocrystalline SiC films also have been utilized as an electrode material for electrochemical and biochemical applications ^{15,22}. However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has reported the piezoresistive effect of p-type nc-SiC for MEMS mechanical sensing applications.

In this paper, we report for the first time the piezoresistive effect of p-type nc-SiC. The insight gained in this study is not only important for the development of nc-SiC based mechanical sensors, but also valuable for understanding the impact of mechanical strain on nc-SiC based devices such as solar cells which are affected by various types of stress during the fabrication process and in applications.

The SiC films were deposited on n-type (100) Si wafers using the low pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) method at a low temperature of 600°C¹⁸. Prior to deposition, standard RCA cleaning was carried out to clean the surface of the Si wafers. Methylsilane (H₃SiCH₃) was used as a single precursor in a hot wall LPCVD reactor. Trimethylaluminium (TMA, Al(CH₃)₃) with a flow rate of 0.15 SCCM was introduced as a means of doping p-type SiC. Aluminum (Al) was utilized as the acceptor atom in this *in situ* doping process due to its shallow ionization energy of approximately 200 meV. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) analysis showed that the concentration of Al was 4.1 at. %, while the Si/C ratio was 0.68


The microstructures of deposited SiC films were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and x-ray diffractometry (XRD). The thickness of the nc-SiC films was 240 nm and their surface roughness was approximately 6 nm. Figure 1 shows a typical SAED pattern and High Resolution TEM (HRTEM) image. Multi-rings with spots are observed in the SAED pattern, whereas the arrayed diffraction spots are


^{*} Email of corresponding author: hoangphuong.phan@griffithuni.edu.au

^a Queensland Micro-Nanotechnology Centre, Griffith University, Queens-

^a Queensland Micro-Nanotechnology Centre, Griffith University, Queens land, Australia.

^b School of Engineering, Griffith University, Queensland, Australia.








Fig. 1 Optical characterization of nc-SiC: a) SAED pattern—the spotty rings indicate that the film contains randomly oriented nc-SiC grains; the arrayed diffraction spots are from underlying Si substrate; b) Cross-sectional HRTEM image—the presence of randomly oriented lattice grains and fringes also confirm that the film is made of nc-SiC; c) X-Ray diffraction pattern—the broad diffraction peak indicates that the the SiC grains are relatively small (average size around 13.4 nm) and exhibit some degree of misorientation. The residual stress in the film can also cause the broadening of the diffraction peak. (Fig 1. (a) and (b): Reproduced with permission from ¹⁸. Copyright [2009], AIP Publishing LLC.)

from the Si substrate beneath (Fig. 1 (a)). These spotty rings and lattice fringes (as shown in Fig. 1(b)), indicate that the deposited film is composed of SiC nano grains with different ori-

entations 18 . The broad diffraction peak at around 36.2° from $\theta-2\theta$ XRD scan indicates that the SiC(111) grains are relatively small and exhibit some degree of misorientation (Fig. 1(c)) . This result also suggests that the film might include 3C-SiC(111), 4H-SiC(004), 6H-SiC(102), and other SiC poly types, which can contribute to a diffraction peak at this 20 position. Additionally, the residual stress in the film can also cause the broadening of the diffraction peak. According to Debye-Scherrer equation 23 , the average SiC grain size was found to be around 13.4 nm. The capacitance-voltage (C-V) measurements demonstrated that the SiC is p-type conductive with a doping concentration of $2\times 10^{18}~\rm cm^{-3}$.

To investigate the piezoresistive effect in nc-SiC, we patterned SiC resistors on Si substrate using a conventional photolithography process⁹. The size of the SiC resistors was $100 \ \mu m \times 300 \ \mu m \times 240 \ nm$. Aluminum was used as the electrodes of the resistors. The current-voltage (I-V) curve of the SiC resistors shows a linear relationship between the applied voltage and measured current. Accordingly, the resistivity of the nc-SiC was found to be approximately 72 Ω cm⁻¹ which is 3 orders of magnitude larger than that of a single crystalline 3C-SiC film at the same carrier concentration ^{10,11}. This indicates that the grain boundary of nc-SiC significantly increases the electrical resistance of nc-SiC films. As the p-type nc-SiC was grown on n-type Si, it is important to investigate the contribution of Si substrate to the measurement of the piezoresistive effect in nc-SiC. The pn junction between p-type nc-SiC and n-type Si creates a depletion layer at SiC/Si interface, preventing the leakage-current from SiC to Si layer ^{9,24}. The leakage-current through SiC/Si heterojunction was measured to be 2% of the current flowing in SiC resistors. This indicates that, the substrate contributed 2% of the measured conductance of nc-SiC, which needs to be considered when calculating the gauge factor of nc-SiC. To lower the influence of substrate, we aligned the n-type Si substrate in [110] orientation, which has a smaller piezoresistive effect than [100] orientation $^{25-27}$.

The SiC wafers with SiC resistors were then diced into strips with a dimension of $60~\text{mm} \times 9~\text{mm} \times 0.6~\text{mm}$. The bending beam method was then employed to induce strain on nc-SiC film (Fig. 2). As the thickness of nc-SiC film is much smaller than that of the Si substrate, the strain of SiC can be approximately equal to that of the top surface of the Si beam, using the following equation:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{Mt}{EI} \tag{1}$$

where M is the bending moment; t is the distance from the nc-SiC layer to the neutral axis of the Si beam; E is the Young's modulus of Si; and I is the inertia moment of the Si beam. Accordingly, with an applied load varying from 0 to 2 N, the induced strain ranged from 0 to 800 ppm.

The resistance change of the SiC resistor during the bending experiment was measured by the 4 points measurement using the Agilent TM 34410A Multimeter. To reduce the influence of




Fig. 2 (a) Photograph of a nc-SiC resistor. (b) The I-V curve of nc-SiC resistor. (c) Schematic sketch of the bending experiment.

the Joule's heating effect, the resistance of nc-SiC was measured at a low current of 500 nA and a consumption power of below 0.25 μ W. Figure 3 shows the relationship between the applied strain and the relative resistance change of p-type nc-SiC, indicating that the resistance of nc-SiC increased proportionally with increasing strain. The gauge factor of nc-SiC is calculated as:

$$GF = \frac{\Delta R_{\text{SiC}}/R_{\text{SiC}}}{\varepsilon} = -\frac{\Delta \sigma_{\text{SiC}}/\sigma_{\text{SiC}}}{\varepsilon}$$
 (2)

where R_{SiC} , σ_{SiC} are the resistance and conductance of nc-SiC, respectively. Since there is a current leakage from nc-SiC layer to the Si substrate (approximately 2% of the current flowing through the SiC resistor), the influence of the piezore-sistive effect of Si substrate and SiC/Si heterojunction is necessarily taken into account. Let σ_{sub} be the conductance of the substrate. The measured conductance (or resistance) is the sum of SiC conductance and substrate conductance:

$$\sigma = \sigma_{SiC} + \sigma_{sub} \tag{3}$$

Therefore, the relative conductance change is:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\Delta \sigma}{\sigma} &= \frac{\Delta \sigma_{SiC} + \Delta \sigma_{sub}}{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma_{SiC}}{\sigma} \frac{\Delta \sigma_{SiC}}{\sigma_{SiC}} + \frac{\sigma_{sub}}{\sigma} \frac{\Delta \sigma_{sub}}{\sigma_{sub}} \\ &= \frac{98}{100} \frac{\Delta \sigma_{SiC}}{\sigma_{SiC}} + \frac{2}{100} \frac{\Delta \sigma_{sub}}{\sigma_{sub}} \end{split} \tag{4}$$

We fabricated a reference resistor to investigate the relative conductance change of the substrate during bending experiment. At an applied strain of 800 ppm on the SiC resistor, the relative conductance change of the substrate was found to be 0.1%. As a result, from Eq. 2 and Eq. 4, the substrate only




Fig. 3 The relationship between the relative resistance change of nc-SiC and applied strains.

contributed 0.2% to the measured gauge factor, which is negligible. Thus, the gauge factor of p-type nc-SiC was found to be 14.5, which is much larger than that in most metals $^{27-31}$.

We explain the piezoresistive effect in p-type nc-SiC using the model of current transport in several polycrystalline and nanocrystalline materials $^{32-34}$, in which p-type nc-SiC contains several crystalline grains, connected to each other by grain boundaries. For the sake of simplification, we describe the structure of nc-SiC in one dimension (1-D), as shown in Fig. 4. Based on this model, the total resistance of nc-SiC is the sum of grains' resistance (R_c) and resistance of boundary (R_b):

$$R_{\text{nc-SiC}} = R_{\text{c}} + R_{\text{b}} \tag{5}$$

When a strain is applied, both resistance of grains and boundaries change, causing the change of the total resistance.

Crystalline grains aligned in arbitrary orientations




Fig. 4 An one dimensional (1-D) model of the crystalline structure of nc-SiC which contains a large number of crystalline SiC grains and grain-boundary.

Firstly, we considered the piezoresistive effect in crystalline SiC grains. Based on the deformation potential model, the piezoresistive effect in p-type semiconductors is due to the splitting of heavy holes and light holes in the valence band under applied strains ^{27,35}. This band splitting leads to the redistribution of heavy holes and light holes in these two bands, which follows the rule that holes will fill lower energy levels. The re-population of holes results in the change of electrical resistivity of the crystalline SiC.

Next, we took the effect of strain to the resistance of grain boundaries into account. The resistance of boundaries can be explained based on the tunneling current between neighbor grains. At grain boundaries, atoms have twisted/strained and/or dangling bonds. These defects result in the formation of trapping states which immobilize charge carriers, creating a potential barrier $(V_b)^{32,33}$. Consequentially, the carriers can move through these potential barriers via the tunneling current which is described in the following equation 36,37 :

$$J \propto p_{\rm hh}J_{\rm hh} + p_{\rm lh}J_{\rm lh} \tag{6}$$

where $p_{\rm hh}$ and $p_{\rm lh}$ are the concentration of heavy hole and light hole; and $J_{\rm hh}$ and $J_{\rm lh}$ are tunneling current components of heavy hole and light hole which depend on the potential barrier ($\propto e^{qV_{\rm b}/kT}$, where q is electron charge; $V_{\rm b}$ is the potential barrier; k is the Boltzmann constant; and T is the absolute temperature). Under strain, heavy holes and light holes concentrations change. As a consequence, the tunneling current varies with applied strain. Thus, the piezoresistive effect of nc-SiC grain boundaries in fact is established from the piezoresistive effect of each nc-SiC single crystal.

It is well-known that the piezoresistive effect in single crystalline SiC depends on crystallography orientations. As such, in our previous work on the characterization of the piezoresistive effect in p-type 3C-SiC, we reported a large longitudinal gauge factor of 30.3 in [110] orientation, and a smaller longitudinal gauge factor of 5.0 in [100] orientation of single crystalline 3C-SiC ¹⁰. Therefore, if the applied strain does not align with the orientation corresponding to the most significant piezoresistive effect of a single crystal, the gauge factor is smaller. In other words, the random arrangement of crystalline grains in nc-SiC may have contributed to the diminution of the gauge factor of nc-SiC in comparison to that of single crystalline SiC ³³. Additionally, the grain boundary scattering is expected to play an important role in decreasing the gauge factor of polycrystalline and nanocrystalline materials ³⁸.

In conclusion, we characterized the piezoresistive effect of p-type nanocrystalline SiC grown by the LPCVD process at a low temperature of 600° C. At a carrier concentration of 2×10^{18} cm⁻³, the gauge factor of p-type nc-SiC was found to be 14.5, which is larger than metals, but smaller than single crystalline SiC. This result was explained due to the change of the resistivity of crystal grains and boundaries as well as the randomly aligned orientations of SiC crystals. Due to the capability of growing on various substrate (e.g. Si, SiO₂) at a

lower temperature than single crystalline SiC, the significant piezoresistive effect in nc-SiC makes it a good candidate for MEMS mechanical sensors used in harsh environments and bio applications.

This work was performed in part at the Queensland node of the Australian National Fabrication Facility, a company established under the National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy to provide nano and micro-fabrication facilities for Australia's researchers. This work has been partially supported by the Griffith University's New Researcher Grants.

References

- P. M. Sarro, Sens. Actuators. A, 2000, 82(1-3), 210. Y.
 Chen, X. Zhang, Q. Zhao, L. He, C. Huang, and Z. Xie, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 6398.
- 2 M. Mehregany, C. A. Zorman, N. Rajan, and C. H. Wu, *Proc. IEEE*, 1998, **86**(8), 1594.
- 3 X. Zhang, Y. Chen, W. Liu, W. Xue, J. Li, and Z. Xie, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2013, **1**, 6479-6486.
- 4 J. Bi, G. Wei, L. Wang, F. Gao, J. Zheng, B. Tang, and W. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2013, **1**, 4514.
- 5 F. Gao, J. Zheng, M. Wang, G. Wei, and W. Yang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 11993.
- 6 R. Shao, K. Zheng, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, Z. Zhang, and X. Han, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2012, **101**, 233109.
- 7 T. Akiyama, D. Briand, and N. F. Rooij, *J. Micromech. Microeng.*, 2012, **22**, 085034.
- 8 R. S. Okojie, A. A. Ned, A. D. Kurtz, and W. N. Carr, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, 1998, **45**(4), 785.
- 9 H. P. Phan, P. Tanner, D. V. Dao, N. T. Nguyen, L. Wang, Y. Zhu, and S. Dimitrijev, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, 2014, **35**(3), 399.
- 10 H. P. Phan, D. V. Dao, P. Tanner, N. T. Nguyen, L. Wang, Y. Zhu, and S. Dimitrijev, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **104**, 111905.
- 11 H. P. Phan, D. V. Dao, P. Tanner, N. T. Nguyen, J. S. Han, S. Dimitrijev, G. Walker, L. Wang, and Y. Zhu, *J Matter. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 7176-7179.
- 12 J. S. Shor, D. Goldstein, and A. D. Kurtz, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, 1993, **40**(6), 1093.
- 13 C. H. Wu, C. A. Zorman, and M. Mehregany, *IEEE Sensors J.*, 2006, **6**(2), 316.
- 14 Y. Komura, A. Tabata, T. Narita, M. Kanaya, A. Kondo, and T. Mizutani, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, 2007, **46**(1), 45-50.
- 15 B. Somogyi, V. Zolyomi, and A. Gali, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 7720-7726.
- 16 M. Eickhoff, M. Moller, G. Kroetz, and M. Stutzmann, J. Appl. Phys., 2004, 96, 2872-2879.
- 17 N. Naderi, M. R. Hashim, *Matter. Lett.*, 2013, **97**, 90-92.
- 18 L. Wang, S. Dimitrijev, P. Tanner, and J. Zou, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2009, 94, 181909.

- 19 R. Verucchi, L. Aversa, M. V. Nardi, S. Taioli, S. Beccara, D. Alfe, L. Nasi, F. Rossi, G. Salviati, and S. Iannotta, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 17400-17403.
- 20 S. Y. Myong and K. S. Lim, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2005, 86, 033506.
- 21 S. Miyajima, M. Sawamura, A. Yamada, and M. Konagai, J. Non-Cryst. Solids, 2008, **354**, 2350.
- 22 N. Yang, H. Zhuang, R. Hoffmann, W. Smirnov, J. Hees, X. Jiang, and C. E. Nebel, *Anal. Chem.*, 2011, 83(15), 5827-5830.
- 23 H. Tateyama , H. Noma , Y. Adachi , and M. Komatsu, *Chem. Mater.*, 1997, **9**(3), 766-772.
- 24 A. Qamar, P. Tanner, D. V. Dao, H. P. Phan, T. Dinh, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, 2014, *in press*.
- 25 M-D Nguyen, H-P Phan, K. Matsumoto, and I. Shimoyama, *Proc.* 26th *IEEE Int. Conf. Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS 2013)*, Taiwan, 2013, pp. 617-620.
- 26 D. V. Dao, T. Toriyama, J. Wells, and S. Sugiyama, *Proc.* 15th IEEE Int. Conf. Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS 2002), Las Vegas, USA, 2002, pp. 20-24.
- 27 A. A. Barlian, W. T. Park, J. R. Mallon Jr., A. J. Rastegar, and B. L. Pruitt, *Proc. IEEE*, 2009, **97**(3), 513.
- 28 J. Engel, J. Chen, and C. Liu, *J. Micromech. Microeng.*, 2003, **13**, 359-366.
- 29 W. K. Schomburg, Z. Rummler, P. Shao, K. Wulff, and L.

- Xie, J. Micromech. Microeng., 2004, 14, 1101-1108.
- 30 I. H. Kazi, P. M. Wild, T. N. Moore, and M. Sayer, *Thin Solid Films*, 2006, **515**(4), 2602-2606.
- 31 D. Macnamara, D. Thiel, D. James, and P. Lisner, *Proc. SPIE 6035*, *Microelectronics: Design, Technology, and Packaging II*, ed. A. J. Hariz, SPIE, 2006, pp. 603524.
- 32 V. Mosser, J. Suski, J. Goss, and E. Obermeier, *Sensors Actuators A: Physical*, 1991, **28**(2), 113-132.
- 33 P. Alpuim, M. Andrade, V. Sencadas, M. Ribeiro, S.A. Filonovich, and S. Lanceros-Mendez, *Thin Solid Films*, 2007, **515**, 7685-7661.
- 34 S. D. Janssens, S. Drijkoningen, and K. Haenen, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **105**, 101601.
- 35 K. Nakamura, D. V. Dao, Y. Isono, T. Toriyama, S. Sugiyama, "Nanowires", Chapter 15: Electronic States and Piezoresistivity in Silicon Nanowires, *In-Tech*, Vienna, Austria, 297-314. ISBN: 978-953-7619-79-4, 2010.
- 36 X. Liu, C. Shi and R. Chuai, "Polycrystalline Silicon Piezoresistive Nano Thin Film Technology," *Solid State Circuits Technologies*, 2010, ISBN: 978-953-307-045-2.
- 37 C. Chuai, B. Liu, X. Liu, X. Sun, X. Shi, and L. Wang, *J. Semicond.*, 2010, **31**(3), 032002.
- 38 L. Fang, W. L. Wang, P. D. Ding, K. J. Liao, and J. Wang, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1999, **86**(9), 5185-5193.

A significant piezoresistive effect in p-type nanocrystalline SiC with a gauge factor of 14.5 was reported. This result indicates that p-type nanocrystalline SiC is a good candidate for MEMS sensors used in harsh environments and bio applications.

