Journal of Materials Chemistry C

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsC

J. Mater. Chem. C

RSCPublishing

ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Bright and stable light-emitting electrochemical cells based on an intramolecularly π -stacked, 2-naphthyl-substituted iridium complex

Gabriel E. Schneider,^a Antonio Pertegás,^b Edwin C. Constable,^a Catherine E. Housecroft,^{*a} Nik Hostettler,^a Collin D. Morris,^a Jennifer A. Zampese,^a Henk J. Bolink,^b José M. Junquera-Hernández,^b Enrique Ortí ^{*b} and Michele Sessolo^b

The synthesis and characterization of a new cationic bis-cyclometallated iridium(III) complex and its use in solid-state light-emitting electrochemical cells (LECs) are described. The complex [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆], where Hppy = 2-phenylpyridine and Naphpby = 6-(2naphthyl)-2,2'-bipyridine, incorporates a pendant 2-naphthyl unit that π -stacks face-to-face with the adjacent ppy⁻ ligand and acts as a peripheral bulky group. The complex presents a structureless emission centred around 595–600 nm both in solution and in thin film with relatively low photoluminescence quantum yields compared with analogous systems. Density functional theory calculations support the charge transfer character of the emitting triplet state and rationalize the low quantum yields in terms of a ligand-centred triplet localized on the 2naphthyl unit that lies close in energy to the emitting state. LECs incorporating the [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] complex as the electroluminescent material are driven using a pulsed current operation mode and show high luminance, exceeding 300 cd/m², and exceptional stabilities.

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Introduction

The successful market entry of displays based on organic lightemitting diodes (OLEDs) testifies the maturity of the technology in terms of both stability and efficiency. The latter is achieved through the use of different materials designed to comply with specific functions, such as hole/electron injection and transport, exciton formation and light emission.¹⁻³ These materials are stacked in multilayer structures obtained through vacuum deposition, and use low work function cathodes that have to be protected from the environment through rigorous encapsulation to avoid fast degradation.⁴ The corresponding costs are compatible with the display market but need to be substantially reduced for lighting applications. For this reason, simple solution-processed OLEDs are still the focus of considerable attention.⁵⁻⁷ Light-emitting electrochemical cells (LECs) are also solution processed and consist of a single electroactive layer of an ionic organic semiconductor placed between two electrodes.⁸ The high concentration of ions assists both charge injection and transport, allowing the use of stable

metal electrodes and thus paving the way to a new generation of low-cost lighting sources.^{9, 10}

Ionic transition-metal complexes (iTMCs) are among the most studied active species for LECs.¹¹ They exhibit high photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY) and the emission can be easily tuned through ligand design. iTMCs can be deposited as pure layers in electroluminescent devices since the complex by itself assists charge injection and transport and is the active luminescent species.¹² The simplest LEC consists of a single active layer composed entirely of an iTMC balanced by small mobile counter anions such as $[PF_6]^-$ or $[BF_4]^-$.

Cationic heteroleptic iridium(III) complexes with the structure $[Ir(C^N)_2(N^N)]^+$, where C^N is an anionic cyclometallated ligand and N^N a neutral diimine ancillary ligand, represent the most promising candidates for long-living, stable LECs. While the optoelectronic properties can be directly tuned by varying the type of ligands and the electron affinity of their substituents, the performances in devices (i.e., the stability, efficiency and turn-on time) cannot be straightforwardly predicted. Some trends, however, exist and a few general design rules have been proposed. The introduction *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **00**, 1-3 | **1**

of bulky substituents on the periphery of the iTMC allows for an increased spatial separation between the emissive centers in a pure film and thereby for a reduction of the exciton guenching.^{13, 14} This effect enhances the PLQY of the film and therefore the overall device efficiency. On the other hand, the device lifetime has been correlated with the reactivity of the complex in the excited state, where nucleophilic molecules are able to react with the iTMCs leading to the formation of quenching species and to fast device degradation.^{15, 16} This phenomena can be limited by introducing intramolecular π - π interactions within the ligands, which close the structure of the complex and protect the metal center from nucleophilic substitution with water or residual solvent molecules in the film.¹⁷ An illustrative example is the incorporation of a pendant phenyl ring adjacent to one N-donor of the chelating N^N ligand, which results in a face-to-face π -stacking with one of the cyclometallating C^N ligands.¹⁸ This structural feature has an enormous impact on the device lifetime, which increases from a few hours to several months. The control of both interand intramolecular interactions in iTMCs has led to a new generation of LECs with enhanced lifetime and efficiency, with potential practical application in solid-state lighting.¹⁹

Within this perspective, we have synthesized and characterized the hexafluoridophosphate $[PF_6]^-$ salt of a heteroleptic iridium(III) complex with the formula $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)][PF_6]$, where Hppy is 2-phenylpyridine and Naphpby is 6-(2-naphthyl)-2,2'-bipyridine ancillary ligand. The purpose of the 2-naphthyl substituent on the bpy ligand is twofold: i) to π -stack with the ppy⁻ ligands, stabilizing the geometry of the complex in the excited state, and ii) to act as a bulky group on the periphery of the complex thus reducing the intermolecular interactions. The $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)][PF_6]$ complex was used to prepare single-layer LECs and its structural features resulted in a high device stability and efficiency.

Experimental Section

General

A Bruker Avance III-500 NMR spectrometer was used to record ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra; chemical shifts are referenced to residual solvent peaks with respect to ∂ (TMS) = 0 ppm. Electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectra were recorded using a Bruker esquire 3000^{plus} instrument. Solution electronic absorption spectra were recorded on an Agilent 8453 spectrophotometer, emission spectra using a Shimadzu RF-5301 PC spectrofluorometer and FT-IR spectra with a Shimadzu 8400S instrument with Golden Gate accessory (solid samples). Solution quantum yields were measured using a Hamamatsu absolute PL quantum yield spectrometer C11347 Quantaurus-QY, and lifetimes were measured using a Hamamatsu Compact Fluorescence Lifetime Spectrometer C11367 Quantaurus-Tau; an LED light source with excitation wavelength of 280 nm was used. Microwave reactions were carried out in a Biotage Initiator 8 reactor.

Electrochemical measurements were recorded using a CH Instruments 900B potentiostat using glassy carbon, a platinum wire and a silver wire as the working, counter and reference electrodes, respectively. Samples were dissolved in HPLC grade MeCN ($\approx 10^{-4}$ mol dm⁻³) containing 0.1 mol dm⁻³ [ⁿBu₄N][PF₆] as the supporting electrolyte; all solutions were degassed with argon. Cp₂Fe was used as an internal reference.

1-(2-Naphthyl)-3-dimethylamino propan-1-one was prepared according to a literature method.²⁰

6-(2-Naphthyl)-2,2'-bipyridine (Naphpby)

The synthesis of Naphpby has previously been described.²¹ However, we find the following method convenient although the yield is lower than that reported. 1-(2-Naphthyl)-3dimethylaminopropan-1-one (425 mg, 1.61 mmol), 1-(2-oxo-2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)pyridine-1-ium iodide (525 mg, 1.61 mmol) and NH₄OAc (10 eq) were combined in EtOH (20 mL) and the mixture was heated overnight at reflux. The resulting brown oily liquid was reduced in volume, dissolved in water and extracted into CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 25 mL). The organic solvent was evaporated and the crude material was purified twice by column chromatography (silica, CH₂Cl₂ changing to CH₂Cl₂:MeOH 100:1.5 and CH₂Cl₂:MeOH 100:0.5). Naphpby was isolated as a white solid (65.1 mg, 0.231 mmol, 14.3%). The ¹H NMR spectrum has previously been reported in DMSOd₆.²¹ ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 295 K, atom labelling as in Scheme 1) δ /ppm 8.71 (m, 2H, H^{E3+E6}), 8.64 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H, H^{G1}), 8.42 (dd, J = 6.5, 2.3 Hz, 1H, H^{F3}), 8.36 (dd, J = 8.6, 1.8 1H, H^{G3}), 8.04–7.88 (overlapping m, 6H, Hz, $H^{E4+F4+F5+G4+G5+G8}$, 7.54 (m, 2H, H^{G6+G7}), 7.37 (ddd, J = 7.5, 4.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H, H^{E5}). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 295 K) δ/ppm 156.6 (C^{E2}), 156.4 (C^{F6}), 156.2 (C^{F2}), 149.6 (C^{E6}), 138.3 (C^{F4}), 137.2 (C^{E4}), 136.8 (C^{G4a+G8a}), 134.0 (C^{G2}), 128.9 (C^{G8}), 128.1 (C^{G4+G5}), 126.9 (C^{G6+G7}), 125.1 (C^{G3}), 124.3 (C^{E5}), 121.5 (C^{E3}), 120.9 (C^{F5}).

[Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆]

A yellow suspension of $[Ir(ppy)_4(\mu-Cl)_2]$ (107 mg, 0.100 mmol) and Naphpby (56.8 mg, 0.201 mmol) in MeOH (15 mL) was heated in a microwave reactor at 120 °C for 2 h (P = 8 bar). The yellow solution was allowed to cool to room temperature, and then an excess of NH₄PF₆ (326 mg, 2.00 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature and then evaporated to dryness. The crude yellow material was purified twice by column chromatography (Fluka Silica 60; CH₂Cl₂ changing to CH₂Cl₂:MeOH 100:2). [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] was isolated as a yellow solid (92.3 mg, 0.099 mmol, 49.6%). Addition of [Bu₄N][PF₆] to a CD₂Cl₂ solution of the complex resulted in no shift of the signals arising from protons H^{E3} and H^{F3} confirming the lack of chloride impurity.²² ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 295 K) δ /ppm 8.56 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 1.3 Hz, 1H, H^{F3}), 8.52 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H, H^{F3}), 8.26 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, Journal of Materials Chemistry C

H^{F4}), 8.12 (m, 1H, H^{E4}), 7.91 (m, 1H, H^{E6}) overlapping with 7.88 (m, 1H, H^{B4/D4}), 7.80 (m, 1H, H^{B3/D3}) overlapping with 7.77 (m, 1H, $H^{B4/D4}$), 7.73 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H, H^{D6}), 7.66 (br, H^{D3}) overlapping with 7.64 (dd, $J = 7.8, 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H, H^{G7}$), 7.60 $(dd, J = 7.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H, H^{F5})$, 7.50 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H)H^{A3}), 7.48–7.37 (overlapping m, 3H, H^{B6+E5+G6}), 7.32 (v. br, $H^{G1/G3/G4/G5/G8}$), 7.23 (v. br, $H^{G1/G3/G4/G5/G8}$), 7.11 (m, 1H, H^{B5/D5}), 7.08 (m, 1H, H^{B5/D5}), 6.92 (m, 1H, H^{A4}), 6.78 (m, 1H, H^{A5}), 6.53 (v. br, H^{C3}), 6.03 (v. br, H^{C5}), 5.98 (br t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, H^{C4}), 5.89 (m, 1H, H^{A6}), 5.49 (br d, 1H, H^{C6}); not all signals for HG1/G3/G4/G5/G8 observed. 13C NMR (126 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 295 K) δ /ppm 169.1 (C^{D2}), 167.5 (C^{B2}), 166.2 (C^{F6}), 157.2 (C^{E2}), 150.8 (C^{E6}), 149.3 (C^{B6/D6}), 149.2 (C^{B6/D6}), 146.9 (C^{A1}), 143.3 (C^{A2}), 140.0 (C^{F4}), 139.7 (C^{E4}), 138.6 (C^{B4/D4}), 138.3 (C^{B4/D4}), 131.2 (C^{C6}), 131.1 (C^{A5}), 130.5 (C^{A6}), 130.4 (C^{F5}), 128.1 (C^{E5}), 127.9 (C^{G7}), 127.0 (C^{G6}), 125.4 (C^{E3}), 125.0 (C^{A3}), 123.7 (C^{B5/D5}), 124.0 (C^{F3}), 123.1 (C^{A4}), 122.7 (C^{B5/D5}), $(C^{B3/D3}),$ 120.5 $(C^{C4}),$ 120.4 120.2 $(C^{B3/D3});$ $C^{C1/C2/C3/C5/G1/G2/G3/G4G4a/G5/G8/G8a}$ not resolved. IR (solid, ν/cm^{-1}) 3998 (m), 3043 (w), 1667 (w), 1607 (s), 1582 (m), 1558 (m), 1551 (m), 1477 (s), 1447 (m), 1440 (m), 1419 (m), 1317 (w), 1269 (w), 1230 (m), 1164 (m), 1161 (m), 1124 (w), 1063 (w), 1031 (m), 1010 (w), 949 (w), 885 (w), 830 (s), 777 (m), 754 (s), 746 (s), 735 (s), 728 (s), 697 (w), 668 (m), 631 (m), 603 (s). ESI-MS m/z 783.2 $[M - PF_6]^+$ (calc. 783.2). UV-Vis λ/nm $(\varepsilon/dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1})$ (CH₂Cl₂, 1.00 × 10⁻⁵ mol dm⁻³) 271 (44200), 313 (22100, sh), 387 (4800). Emission (CH₂Cl₂, 1.00 $\times 10^{-5}$ mol dm⁻³, $\lambda_{ex} = 275$ nm) $\lambda_{em} = 598$ nm. Found: C 53.96, H 3.39, N 6.44; C₄₂H₃₀IrN₄PF₆ requires C 54.37, H 3.26, N 6.04%.

Crystallography

Data were collected on a Bruker-Nonius KappaAPEX diffractometer with data reduction, solution and refinement using APEX2²³ and SHELXL97.²⁴ The ORTEP-style diagram was generated using Mercury v. 3.0, and this program was also used for structural analysis.^{25, 26}

[Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆]

 $C_{42}H_{30}F_2IrN_4P$, M = 927.89, yellow block, orthorhombic, space group *Ccca*, a = 23.8655(10), b = 34.2298(10), c = 17.5645(5)Å, U = 14348.6(8) Å³, Z = 16, $D_c = 1.718$ Mg m⁻³, μ (Cu-K_{α}) = 8.224 mm⁻¹, T = 123 K. Total 108787 reflections, 6518 unique, $R_{int} = 0.0482$. Refinement of 5227 reflections (510 parameters) with $I > 2\sigma$ (I) converged at final $R_1 = 0.0439$ (R_1 all data = 0.0556), $wR_2 = 0.1084$ (wR_2 all data = 0.1222), gof = 1.083, CCDC 972526.

Computational details

Density functional calculations (DFT) were carried out with the D.01 revision of the Gaussian 09 program package²⁷ using Becke's three-parameter B3LYP exchange-correlation functional^{28, 29} together with the 6-31G** basis set for C, H, and

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2012

N,³⁰ and the "double- ζ " quality LANL2DZ basis set for the Ir element.³¹ The geometries of the singlet ground state and of the lowest-energy triplet states were fully optimized without imposing any symmetry restriction. All the calculations were performed in the presence of the solvent (CH₂Cl₂). Solvent effects were considered within the self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) theory using the polarized continuum model (PCM) approach.³²⁻³⁴ Time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) calculations of the lowest-lying 20 triplets were performed in the presence of the solvent at the minimum-energy geometry optimized for the ground state. The geometries of the two lowest-energy triplets (T₁ and T₂) were first optimized at the spin-unrestricted UB3LYP level and afterwards reoptimized at the more accurate TD-DFT level.



Scheme 1 Structure of the [Ir(ppy)2(Naphpby)]⁺ cation and atom numbering for NMR assignments.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and single crystal structure of [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆]

The ligand Naphpby was prepared by Kröhnke methodology,³⁵ rather than by the Jameson literature procedure.²¹ [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] was prepared using the proven methodology of treating the $[Ir(ppy)_4(\mu-Cl)_2]$ dimer with two Naphpby.³⁶ After equivalents of work-up, [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] was isolated in 49.6% yield. The base peak in the electrospray mass spectrum (m/z 783.2) arose from the $[M - PF_6]^+$ ion, and exhibited the characteristic isotope pattern of iridium. Single crystals of [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] grew upon slow evaporation of solvent from a CH₂Cl₂ solution of the compound. The complex crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group Ccca and the structure of the Λ - $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ ion present in the asymmetric unit is shown in Fig. 1. The chirality arises from the presence of the three chelating ligands bound to the octahedral Ir1 atom, and both enantiomers are present in the lattice. As is typical of a $[Ir(C^N)_2(N^N)]^+$ complex, the N-donors of the two cyclometalled ppy- ligands are trans to one another. Each ppyligand is close to planar (angles between the least squares planes of the phenyl and pyridine rings are 4.2 and 7.0°). The bpy domain is distorted (angle between the two pyridine rings =

18.5°) and the 2-naphthyl unit is twisted through 60.3° with respect to the pyridine ring to which it is connected. The deformation is associated with a face-to-face π -interaction between the 2-naphthyl unit and the phenyl ring of one of the cyclometallated ligands (Fig. 2).



Fig. 1 Structure of the Λ -[Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)]^{*} cation in [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)]PF₆] (ellipsoids plotted at 30% probability level and H atoms omitted). Selected bond parameters: Ir1–C21 = 2.007(6), Ir1–C32 = 2.019(6), Ir1–N4 = 2.037(5), Ir1–N3 = 2.064(5), Ir1–N1 = 2.150(5), Ir1–N2 = 2.215(5) Å; N1–Ir1–N2 = 75.60(18), C21–Ir1–N3 = 79.9(2), C32–Ir1–N4 = 80.4(2)°.



Fig. 2 Intra-cation face-to-face π -stacking of the 2-naphthyl unit (green) with the phenyl ring (red) of one ppy⁻ligand in Λ -[Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)]^{*}.

Dynamic behaviour of [Ir(ppy)2(Naphpby)]⁺ in solution

In $[Ir(C^N)_2(N^N)]^+$ derivatives in which the N^N ligand is a 6phenyl-substituted bipyridine (Phpby), the phenyl group undergoes hindered rotation at room temperature on the NMR timescale.³⁷⁻⁴⁰ In these complexes, the ¹H NMR spectra at 295 K show broad signals only for the *ortho*- and *meta*-protons of the 6-phenyl substituent and, upon cooling, only these signals are affected. At 295 K, the ¹H NMR spectrum of a CD₂Cl₂ solution of [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] exhibits very broad signals for the 2-naphthyl protons (not all are observed) and broadened signals for the protons of the orthometallated ring C

4 | J. Mater Chem. C, 2014, 00, 1-3

(top spectrum in Fig. 3). This is consistent with dynamic behaviour implying the ring C and G domains (see Scheme 1 for ring labels). Rings C and G correspond to those involved in the intra-cation face-to-face π -stacking (Fig. 1 and 2), and their resonances for most of the ¹³C nuclei are not resolved in the ¹³C NMR spectrum at 295 K, but are resolved at 210 K. In contrast, at 295 K, the signals for protons in the bipyridine (rings E and F) and phenylpyridine rings A, B and D are well resolved.



8.5 8.3 8.1 7.9 7.7 7.5 7.3 7.1 6.9 6.7 6.5 6.3 6.1 5.9 5.7 5.5 5.3 Fig. 3 Variable temperature ${}^{1}H$ NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) spectra of [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] (** = residual CDHCl₂). See Scheme 1 and Fig. 5 for atom labelling. Chemical shifts in ∂ / ppm.



6.9 6.8 6.7 6.6 6.5 6.4 6.3 6.2 6.1 6.0 5.9 5.8 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.4 Part of the ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) 4 Fig. spectrum of [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] at 210 K (expansion of lowest trace in Fig. 3). See Scheme 1 and Fig. 5 for atom labelling. * = major conformer; $^{\circ}$ = minor conformer. Chemical shifts in ∂ / ppm.

On cooling from 295 K to 210 K, signals for the protons in bpy rings E and F and orthometallated ring A remain largely unaffected, with the exception of the effects of signal overlap (Fig. 3). The broad signals for orthometallated ring C are resolved at 210 K into two sets of signals with relative integrals 2:1. This is illustrated in Fig. 4; the signal for the minor component of H^{C6} coincides with the signal at δ 5.32 ppm for residual CDHCl₂. Fig. 3 reveals that the two multiplets for H^{B5} and H^{D5} initially broaden upon cooling. Analysis of the COSY, HMQC and HMBC spectra recorded at 295 K and 210 K reveals that each of the signals for H^{B6}, H^{D6} and H^{D3} splits into two doublets (relative integrals 2:1).

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

The spectroscopic data are consistent with the $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ cation existing in two conformations at low temperature by virtue of the position of the 2-naphthyl substituent with respect to the cyclometallated ring C. The 2D VT-NMR spectra were not consistent with rotation of the 2naphthyl group, and we propose that the dynamic behaviour involves a change in conformation by internal rotation of the bpy ligand. Conformer 1 in Fig. 5a corresponds to the crystallographically determined structure, with the 2-naphthyl unit (rings G) lying over the cyclometallated ring C. Twisting around the inter-ring bond of the bpy ligand generates conformer 2 in which the 2-naphthyl unit retains the stacking interaction over ring C but adopts a different relative π -stacked arrangement. Fig. 5b shows the optimized geometry calculated for conformer 2 using DFT calculations (see below).



Fig. 5 Ring orientations and phenyl ring carbon atom labelling in (a) conformer 1 (X-ray structure) and (b) conformer 2 (DFT-optimized geometry) of $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^*$.

Electrochemical and photophysical properties

The electrochemical behaviour of $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)][PF_6]$ in CH_2Cl_2 solution was investigated by cyclic voltammetry. A quasi-reversible oxidation, assigned to an iridium-centred process, occurs at +0.83 V (with respect to Fc/Fc⁺), which is similar to those reported for $[Ir(ppy)_2(bpy)][PF_6]$ (+0.84 V)³⁹ and $[Ir(ppy)_2(Phpby)][PF_6]$ (+0.81 V)¹⁴ in DMF. A second unidentified species is observed after oxidation of the metal complex, the origins of which are unknown (see Fig. S1 in the ESI[†]). On the other hand, the quasi-reversible reduction wave at -1.85 V is assigned to a ligand-based process. The electrochemical band gap $(E_{1/2}^{0x} - E_{1/2}^{red})$ of 2.68 V compares with 2.61 V for $[Ir(ppy)_2(bpy)][PF_6]^{39}$ and 2.60 V for $[Ir(ppy)_2(Phpby)][PF_6]^{14}$

The absorption spectrum of $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)][PF_6]$ (Fig. 6a) is dominated by a broad and intense, ligand-centred (LC) band at 264 nm assigned to spin-allowed $\pi \rightarrow \pi *$ transitions involving both the cyclometallating and the ancillary ligands. The less intense broad absorption at 387 nm is attributed to transitions with mixed metal-to-ligand (MLCT) and ligand-to-ligand (LLCT) charge transfer character. Finally, the weak band

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2012

observed in the spectra above 450 nm is due to direct spinforbidden transitions from the singlet ground state (S₀) to the first triplet excited states of the complex, enabled by the high spin-orbit coupling constant of the iridium metal core (ζ_{Ir} = 3909 cm⁻¹).¹⁹

Excitation at 275 nm produces a broad, structureless emission centred at 598 nm (Fig. 6b). The band shape is consistent with there being significant MLCT character in the emitting state.¹⁹ The emission maximum recorded for [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] is almost identical to those reported for [Ir(ppy)₂(bpy)][PF₆] ($\lambda_{max}^{em} = 594$ nm, MeCN).³⁹ and [Ir(ppy)₂(Phpby)][PF₆] ($\lambda_{max}^{em} = 595$ nm, MeCN).¹⁸ The PLQY and the emission lifetime (τ) were determined in argondegassed CH₂Cl₂ solutions of [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆]. The PLQY and τ values recorded for [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] (7.7% and 148 ns, respectively) are lower than those reported in de-aerated MeCN solution for [Ir(ppy)₂(bpy)][PF₆] (14% and 430 ns).³⁹



Fig. 6 (a) Absorption spectrum of [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] (CH₂Cl₂, 5 × 10⁻⁵ mol dm⁻³), and (b) emission spectrum in solution (CH₂Cl₂, 1.00 × 10⁻⁵ mol dm⁻³, λ_{exc} = 275 nm) and in solid film (100 nm thick film on quartz, λ_{exc} = 310 nm).

Photoluminescence in the solid state was measured on a 100 nm thick film (Fig. 7b) obtained by spin coating a solution of the complex mixed with the ionic liquid (IL) 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate [BMIM][PF₆] on a quartz substrate (the molar ratio complex:IL was 4:1). The IL was added to mimic the formulation of the active layer in a LEC (see below). The emission spectrum in thin film consists of a broad emission centred at 596 nm that mostly matches the band observed in solution, thus confirming the charge transfer nature of the luminescence. The measured PLQY was 10.2%, a value smaller than those obtained for the analogous omplexes [Ir(ppy)₂(bpy)][PF₆] $(34\%)^{39}$ and [Ir(ppy)₂(Phpby)][PF₆] (21%).¹⁴ The origin of this reduction in PLQY is commented upon in the next section.

Theoretical calculations

To gain further insight into the structural, electrochemical and photophysical properties, the molecular and electronic structures of the $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ cation, in both ground and excited states, were investigated by performing DFT calculations at the B3LYP/(6-31G**+LANL2DZ) level in the presence of the solvent (CH₂Cl₂).

Geometry optimization of [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)]⁺ in the ground electronic state (S₀) leads to the two conformers depicted in Fig. 5. Conformer 1 corresponds to that observed in the crystal structure (Fig. 1). Even considering the differences to be expected between the calculated geometry (in CH₂Cl₂ solution) and the crystal structure due to the different media, calculations predict geometric parameters in good accord with the experimental X-ray data (see Table S1 in the ESI⁺). For instance, the values computed for the bite angle of the ancillary (73.9°) and the cyclometallating (80.1 and 80.0°) ligands agree well with the X-ray values (75.60(18), 79.9(2) and 80.4(2)°, respectively). In agreement with experiment, the ppy⁻ ligands are close to planar whereas the bpy ligand presents a dihedral N(1)-C(5)-C(6)-N(2) angle of 17.5° (X-ray value = 14.4°) to accommodate the pendant 2-naphthyl group. The 2-naphthyl unit is twisted around the C(10)-C(11) bond by 57.5° (N(2)-C(10-C(11)-C(20)))dihedral angle) slightly underestimating the X-ray value (61.1°). Calculations correctly reproduce the face-to-face π -stacking between the 2-naphthyl group and the phenyl ring of the adjacent ppy⁻ ligand. The influence of the crystal packing could explain the difference between the value calculated for the bond distance Ir-N(2)(2.356 Å) and the X-ray value (2.215(5) Å), as N(2) belongs to the pyridine to which the pendant 2-naphthyl group is attached.

Conformer 2 is mostly isoenergetic with conformer 1, the energy difference being only 0.09 kcal/mol. It mainly differs from conformer 1 in the negative value (-20.8°) of the N(1)-C(5)-C(6)-N(2) dihedral angle, which leads to a different π -stacked arrangement of the 2-naphthyl group (Fig. 5). The interconversion between conformers 1 and 2 implies the internal rotation of the bpy ligand around the inter-ring C(5)-C(6) bond and is limited by a barrier of only 1.12 kcal/mol through a transition state in which the bpy ligand is mostly planar $(N(1)-C(5)-C(6)-N(2) = -1.1^{\circ}$, Fig. S2). The small interconversion barrier justifies the dynamic behavior observed in solution from the NMR data for [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)]⁺ (see above).

Fig. 7 displays the atomic orbital compositions calculated for the highest-occupied (HOMO–2 to HOMO) and lowestunoccupied (LUMO to LUMO+3) molecular orbitals of the $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ cation, together with those obtained for the $[Ir(ppy)_2(bpy)]^+$ archetype. The MOs sketched for $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ correspond to those computed for conformer 1. Identical compositions and energies that differ in less than 0.02 eV are obtained for conformer 2. As expected, the MOs calculated for $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ and $[Ir(ppy)_2(bpy)]^+$ present similar topologies and appear at close energies (Fig. 7). The almost identical HOMO energies are in good agreement with the oxidation potentials measured for $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ (+0.83 V) and $[Ir(ppy)_2(bpy)]^+$ (+0.84 V), and the slightly higher (0.04 eV) HOMO-LUMO gap predicted for the former is in accord with the slightly greater (0.07 V)electrochemical gap reported for this complex. For both cations, the HOMO is composed of a mixture of Ir(III) $d\pi$ orbitals (t₂) and phenyl π orbitals with some contribution from the pyridine rings of the cyclometallating ligands, whereas the LUMO corresponds to the π^* LUMO of the bpy ligand. Therefore, if emission originates from the triplet state associated to the HOMO \rightarrow LUMO excitation, similar wavelengths and photophysical properties are to be expected for both complexes. However, it is worth mentioning the presence in $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ of two MOs, the HOMO-1 and the LUMO+2, that are mainly localized on the pendant 2-naphthyl group. Transitions originating from the HOMO-1 of $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ have no equivalence in $[Ir(ppy)_2(bpy)]^+$ and may appear at lower energies than those resulting from the HOMO-1 in the latter.



Fig. 7 Schematic diagram showing the electron density contours (0.03 a.u.) and energies calculated for the highest-occupied and lowest-unoccupied molecular orbitals of $[Ir(ppy)_2(bpy)]^+$ and $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$. Hydrogen atoms are omitted.

The nature of the low-lying triplet states was first investigated by performing time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) calculations at the optimized geometry of the ground state (S₀). Table 1 lists the vertical excitation energies and electronic descriptions computed for the four lowest-lying triplet excited states of $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$. A close correspondence, both in energy and electronic nature, can be made between states T₁ (2.46 eV), T₃ (2.71 eV) and T₄ (2.78 eV) of $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ and the T₁, T₂ and T₃ states of $[Ir(ppy)_2(bpy)]^+$ calculated at 2.43, 2.75 and 2.80 eV, respectively . For both systems, the lowest-lying triplet state

 (T_1) is mainly defined by the HOMO->LUMO excitation, which has a mixture of metal-to-ligand and ligand-to-ligand charge transfer (³MLCT/³LLCT) character. States T₃ and T₄ of [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)]⁺ are defined by transitions from the HOMO to the LUMO+1 and LUMO+2 and have ligand-centred (³LC) character involving the C^N ligands as it is also the case for the T₂ and T₃ states of [Ir(ppy)₂(bpy)]⁺.

Table 1 Lowest triplet excited states calculated at the TD-DFT B3LYP/($6-31G^{**}+LANL2DZ$) level for complex $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ in CH₂Cl₂ solution. Vertical excitation energies (*E*), dominant monoexcitations with contributions (within parentheses) greater than 15%, nature of the electronic transition and description of the excited state are summarized. H and L denote HOMO and LUMO, respectively.

State	$E(\mathrm{eV})$	Monoexcitations	Nature	Description
T1	2.46	$H \rightarrow L (96)$	$d_{\pi}(\mathrm{Ir}) \!\!+ \pi_{\mathrm{C}^{\wedge}\mathrm{N}} \! \to \! \pi^{*}{}_{\mathrm{N}^{\wedge}\mathrm{N}}$	³ MLCT/ ³ LLCT
T_2	2.63	$\text{H1} \rightarrow \text{L+-2} \ (28)$	$\pi_{\text{naph}} \to \pi *_{\text{naph}}$	³ LC (Naph)
		$\text{H1} \rightarrow \text{L+-5} \ (16)$	$\pi_{naph} \to {\pi^*}_{naph}$	
T ₃	2.71	$\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}{+1} \; (40)$	$d_{\pi}(Ir) \!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$	³ LC (C^N)
		$H \rightarrow L+3 (15)$	$d_{\pi}(\mathrm{Ir}) \!\!+ \pi_{\mathrm{C^{\wedge}N}} \! \to \! \pi^*_{\mathrm{C^{\wedge}N}}$	
T_4	2.78	$H \rightarrow L+3$ (36)	$d_{\pi}(Ir) \!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$	³ LC (C^N)
		$\text{H}2 \rightarrow \text{L+-1} \ (17)$	$d_{\pi}(\mathrm{Ir}) \!\!+ \pi_{\mathrm{C^{\wedge}N}} \! \to \! \pi^*_{\mathrm{C^{\wedge}N}}$	

The T₂ state of $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ is singular as it has no correspondence in the archetype $[Ir(ppy)_2(bpy)]^+$ cation. Despite its large multiconfigurational character, it mainly involves electron excitations between MOs centred on the pendant 2-naphthyl group. It therefore has a ³LC character largely localized on the 2-naphthyl substituent of the ancillary ligand. The presence of this singular T₂ state reduces the energy difference between the first and second triplet states to 0.17 eV in $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$. As the energy of both states will decrease after geometrical relaxation, the small gap between them opens the possibility that T₂ becomes competitive with T₁.

To study this possibility, the two lowest triplet states of $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ were reexamined by optimizing their geometries at the TD-DFT level. The optimized structure of T_1 presents small but noticeable differences compared with that obtained for the ground state (Table S1). The Ir–N(2) bond shortens from 2.356 to 2.249 Å in passing from S₀ to T₁ and the bpy domain becomes more planar (the N(1)–C(5)–C(6)–N(2) dihedral angle changes from 17.5 to 11.0°). These changes point to a stronger interaction between the Ir core and the ancillary ligand in T₁. For the T₂ state, the coordination sphere of the iridium center remains mostly unaffected compared to S₀ (Table S1), and the most important changes concern the pendant 2-naphthyl group (Fig. S3). The intramolecular π -stacking between the 2-naphthyl group and the phenyl ring of the adjacent ppy⁻ ligand is preserved in both excited states.



Fig. 8 Unpaired-electron spin-density contours (0.003 a.u.) calculated for the fully-relaxed T_1 (a) and T_2 (b) triplet states of $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$.

Fig. 8 displays the unpaired-electron spin density distributions calculated for the T1 and T2 states after fullgeometry relaxation. For T₁, the spin density distribution (Ir: 0.48, ppy: 0.49, bpy: 1.01, Naph: 0.02 e) perfectly matches the topology of the HOMO→LUMO excitation (Fig. 7) and indicates an electron transfer from the $Ir(ppy)_2$ moiety to the bpy ligand. It therefore corroborates the mixed ³MLCT/³LLCT character of the T_1 state. For T_2 , the spin density distribution (Ir: 0.01, ppy: 0.03, bpy: 0.15, Naph: 1.81 e) is mostly localized over the 2-naphthyl unit and confirms the ³LC (2-naphthyl) character predicted above for this triplet (Table 1). The different electronic nature obtained for T₁ and T₂ justifies the changes computed for their respective geometries. The important point is that, after full-geometry relaxation at the TD-DFT level, the ${}^{3}MLCT/{}^{3}LLCT$ T₁ state continues to be the lowest-lying triplet, although it is only 0.09 eV below the T₂ state (adiabatic energy difference = electronic energy difference between the excited states at their respective minimum-energy equilibrium geometries).

Calculations therefore suggest that the emitting T_1 state of $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ has a mixed ${}^3MLCT/{}^3LLCT$ nature in good agreement with the broad and unstructured aspect of the emission band (Fig. 6b). However, the ${}^3LC T_2$ triplet resides at energies low enough to compete with T_1 during the population process. This could be the reason for the lower PLQY measured for $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)]^+$ compared to $[Ir(ppy)_2(bpy)]^+$, for which no low-energy state equivalent to T_2 state is available. The vertical emission energy calculated for T_1 at its optimized minimum-energy geometry (621 nm) is in reasonably good accord with the emission maximum observed experimentally (598 nm).

Electroluminescent devices

The electroluminescence (EL) of the [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] complex was investigated in double layer LECs consisting of a 100 nm thick layer of the complex spin-coated from acetonitrile (2 wt.%) onto an 80 nm thick film of poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene):poly(styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT:PSS). The PEDOT:PSS layer was deposited on a pre-patterned

indium-tin-oxide (ITO)-coated glass substrate with the twofold purpose of flattening the ITO anode surface and enhancing hole injection from the ITO to the HOMO of the complex. Prior to spin-coating, the IL [BMIM][PF₆] was added to the [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] solution (the molar ratio complex:IL was 4:1), in order to enhance the ionic mobility of the emitting layer and thus reducing the turn-on time of the device.⁴¹ Finally, an aluminum cathode (100 nm) was vacuum-deposited onto the emitting layer through a shadow mask. Spin-coating was done in ambient atmosphere; the base pressure during the cathode evaporation was 10^{-6} mbar. The thickness of the films was determined with an Ambios XP-1 profi lometer. Thin film photoluminescence spectra and quantum yields were measured with a Hamamatsu C9920-02 absolute pl quantum yield measurement system. Lifetime data were obtained by applying pulsed currents and monitoring the voltage and simultaneously the luminance by a true colour sensor MAZeT (MTCSICT Sensor) using a lifetime test system designed by Botest (Botest OLT OLED Lifetime-Test System). The photocurrent was calibrated using a Minolta LS-100 luminance meter. Electroluminescence spectra were recorded using an Avantis fiber optics photospectrometer. Devices were not encapsulated and were characterized inside a glovebox. The LECs were driven using a pulsed current (block wave, 1000 Hz; duty cycle 50%; peak current per pulse 100 A/m²; average current 50 A/m^2) and their performances were recorded continuously over time (Fig. 9a-b).



Fig. 9 Typical device performance data. (a) Luminance (blue)/voltage (red) and (b) efficacy (green)/power (purple) conversion efficiency vs time for a LEC biased with a pulsed current using a block wave at a frequency of 1000 Hz, a duty cycle of 50% and a peak current density of 100 A/m². (c) Maximum efficacy (green) and correspondent current density (red) as a function of the peak luminance measured. (d) Electroluminescent spectra of the [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆] complex in the LEC.

Due to the pulsed current driving, the LECs turn on instantly delivering more than 100 cd/m² after being biased for 1 s. In the first measured hour the device luminance reaches 200 cd/m² and then grows steadily until reaching \sim 330 cd/m² where it remains stable for the duration of the experiment (350 hours) (Fig. 9a). The average voltage follows the opposite trend, starting from values above 5 V at the beginning of the measurements, and progressively decaying and subsequently stabilizing at about 2.7 V (Fig. 9a). This indicates a reduction of the device resistance, which is consistent with the formation of doped zones in the emitting layer near the electrodes.⁴² As mentioned above, the device lifetime was monitored for more than 350 hours, showing high luminance and essentially no degradation. Accelerated lifetime testing in LECs, is unfortunately not trivial as higher temperature testing would influence the ionic motion and therefore the local distribution of ions, which alters the device performance. Testing at higher luminance levels can also not be easily modeled to lower luminance driving conditions as with higher luminance the current density is increased which leads to an increase in the

Journal of Materials Chemistry C

doped region and as a result to an increase in the luminescence quenching. Due to this we were not able to extrapolate the lifetime but it is expected to be at least 10 times this initial phase leading to a stability of 3000 hours. Such a very good stability is consistent with an efficient intramolecular interaction able to stabilize the excited state of the complex and thus enhancing the device lifetime.¹⁴ The corresponding efficacy was stable at an average value of 3.2 cd/A and a power conversion efficiency of 1.8 lm/W when considering the measured potential drop at the device contacts (Fig. 9b). A series of devices was prepared and measured at different peak current density, from 25 to 100 A/m^2 . The device efficacy is essentially independent on the luminance level and scales linearly with the applied current density over this range, meaning that the LEC can be used at the desired light output without altering its efficiency (Fig. 9c). The electroluminescent spectra appears as a broad peak centered at 588 nm (Fig. 9d), very similar to what is observed in the photoluminescence spectra (Fig. 6b) and in analogous complexes reported previously.14

Conclusions

A new charged bis-cyclometallated iridium(III) complex, $[Ir(ppy)_2(Naphpby)][PF_6],$ incorporating 2-naphthyl а substituent in the 6-position of the ancillary 2,2'-bipyridine ligand has been presented. The pendant 2-naphthyl group π stacks with the adjacent cyclometallating ligand and protects the complex from undesirable degradation reactions. The complex presents a broad, structureless emission band centred around 595-600 nm both in solution and in thin film that points to an emission from a triplet state with a large charge transfer character. Theoretical calculations confirm the MLCT/LLCT nature of the lowest-energy emitting triplet and predict a second low-lying triplet state of LC nature associated with the 2naphthyl unit. The presence of this triplet is invoked as a plausible reason for the relatively low photoluminescence quantum yields displayed by the complex. Despite this shortcoming, the complex gives rise to bright and very stable solid-state light-emitting electrochemical cells. Instantaneous light turn-on and luminances above 300 cd/m² are obtained for LECs using this complex. Furthermore, no decay in luminance is observed for more than 350 hours, demonstrating their extraordinary stability.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Swiss National Science Foundation, the University of Basel, the European Union (CELLO, STRP 248043), the European Research Council (Advanced Grant 267816 LiLo), the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness MINECO (MAT2011-24594 and CTQ2012-31914), the Generalitat Valenciana (PROMETEO/2012/053) and European Feder funds (CTQ2012-31914) for financial support. A.P. acknowledges the MINECO for a FPI grant.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2012

Notes and references

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Basel, Spitalstrasse 51, CH– 4056 Basel, Switzerland. E-mail: catherine.housecroft@unibas.ch

^b Instituto de Ciencia Molecular, Universidad de Valencia, ES-46980 Paterna (Valencia), Spain. E-mail: henk.bolink@uv.es

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Fig. S1. CV of [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)][PF₆]; Table S1. Experimental and calculated bond parameters of [Ir(ppy)₂(Naphpby)]⁺; Fig. S2 Optimized structure of the transition state connecting conformers 1 and 2; Fig. S3. Optimized bond lengths calculated for the pendant 2-naphthyl group. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1. Y. Shirota and H. Kageyama, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 953-1010.
- S. O. Jeon, S. E. Jang, H. S. Son and J. Y. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, 23, 1436-1441.
- 3. H. Sasabe and J. Kido, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2013, 2013, 7653-7663.
- M. Stössel, J. Staudigel, F. Steuber, J. Simmerer and A. Winnacker, *Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process.*, 1999, 68, 387-390.
- C. D. Muller, A. Falcou, N. Reckefuss, M. Rojahn, V. Wiederhim, P. Rudati, H. Frohne, O. Nuyken, H. Becker and K. Meerholz, *Nature*, 2003, 421, 829-833.
- L. Duan, L. Hou, T.-W. Lee, J. Qiao, D. Zhang, G. Dong, L. Wang and Y. Qiu, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 6392-6407.
- C. Zhong, C. Duan, F. Huang, H. Wu and Y. Cao, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, 23, 326-340.
- Q. B. Pei, G. Yu, C. Zhang, Y. Yang and A. J. Heeger, *Science*, 1995, 269, 1086-1088.
- J. C. deMello, N. Tessler, S. C. Graham and R. H. Friend, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1998, 57, 12951-12963.
- J. D. Slinker, J. A. DeFranco, M. J. Jaquith, W. R. Silveira, Y. W. Zhong, J. M. Moran-Mirabal, H. G. Craighead, H. D. Abrũa, J. A. Marohn and G. G. Malliaras, *Nat. Mater.*, 2007, 6, 894-899.
- J. K. Lee, D. S. Yoo, E. S. Handy and M. F. Rubner, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1996, 69, 1686-1688.
- J. D. Slinker, J. Rivnay, J. S. Moskowitz, J. B. Parker, S. Bernhard, H. D. Abruna and G. G. Malliaras, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2007, 17, 2976-2988.
- C. Rothe, C.-J. Chiang, V. Jankus, K. Abdullah, X. Zeng, R. Jitchati, A. S. Batsanov, M. R. Bryce and A. P. Monkman, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2009, 19, 2038-2044.
- R. D. Costa, E. Ortí, H. J. Bolink, S. Graber, C. E. Housecroft and E. C. Constable, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2010, 20, 1511-1520.
- L. J. Soltzberg, J. D. Slinker, S. Flores-Torres, D. A. Bernards, G. G. Malliaras, H. D. Abruña, J.-S. Kim, R. H. Friend, M. D. Kaplan and V. Goldberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 7761-7764.
- J. D. Slinker, J.-S. Kim, S. Flores-Torres, J. H. Delcamp, H. D. Abruna, R. H. Friend and G. G. Malliaras, J. Mater. Chem., 2007, 17, 76-81.
- R. D. Costa, E. Ortí, H. J. Bolink, S. Graber, C. E. Housecroft and E. C. Constable, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 5978-5980.
- H. J. Bolink, E. Coronado, R. D. Costa, E. Ortí, M. Sessolo, S. Graber, K. Doyle, M. Neuburger, C. E. Housecroft and E. C. Constable, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, 20, 3910-3913.
- R. D. Costa, E. Ortí, H. J. Bolink, F. Monti, G. Accorsi and N. Armaroli, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit., 2012, 51, 8178-8211.
- F. Chung, C. Tisné, T. Lecourt, F. Dardel and L. Micouin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2007, 46, 4489-4491.
- J. G. Park and Y. Jahng, Bulletin of the Korean Chemical Society, 1998, 19, 436-439.
- G. E. Schneider, H. J. Bolink, E. C. Constable, C. D. Ertl, C. E. Housecroft, A. Pertegas, J. A. Zampese, A. Kanitz, F. Kessler and S. B. Meier, *Dalton Transactions*, 2014, 43, 1961-1964.
- I. Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, 2006, APEX2, version 2 User Manual, M86-E01078, Madison, WI.
- 24. G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallographica Section A: Foundations of Crystallography, 2007, 64, 112-122.

J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3 | 9

- C. F. Macrae, I. J. Bruno, J. A. Chisholm, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, L. Rodriguez-Monge, R. Taylor, J. Van De Streek and P. A. Wood, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 2008, 41, 466-470.
- I. J. Bruno, J. C. Cole, P. R. Edgington, M. Kessler, C. F. Macrae, P. McCabe, J. Pearson and R. Taylor, *Acta Crystallographica Section B: Structural Science*, 2002, 58, 389-397.
- M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, N. J. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09, Revision D.01*, 2009, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT.
- 28. C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B, 1988, 37, 785-789.
- 29. A. D. Becke, The Journal of Chemical Physics, 1993, 98, 5648-5652.
- M. M. Francl, W. J. Pietro, W. J. Hehre, J. S. Binkley, M. S. Gordon, D. J. DeFrees and J. A. Pople, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 1982, 77, 3654-3665.
- P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 1985, 82, 299-310.
- 32. J. Tomasi and M. Persico, Chem. Rev., 1994, 94, 2027-2094.
- C. S. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, Solvent Effects and Chemical Reactivity, 1996, Kluwer, 1-80.
- J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci and R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, 105, 2999-3094.
- 35. F. Kröhnke, Synthesis, 1976, 1.
- F. Neve, A. Crispini, S. Campagna and S. Serroni, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 1999, 38, 2250-2258.
- 37. E. Baranoff, H. J. Bolink, E. C. Constable, M. Delgado, D. Haussinger, C. E. Housecroft, M. K. Nazeeruddin, M. Neuburger, E. Orti, G. E. Schneider, D. Tordera, R. M. Walliser and J. A. Zampese, *Dalton Transactions*, 2013, 42, 1073-1087.
- A. M. Bünzli, H. J. Bolink, E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, M. Neuburger, E. Ortí, A. Pertegás and J. A. Zampese, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 2012, 3780-3788.
- R. D. Costa, E. Ortí, D. Tordera, A. Pertegás, H. J. Bolink, S. Graber, C. E. Housecroft, L. Sachno, M. Neuburger and E. C. Constable, *Advanced Energy Materials*, 2011, 1, 282-290.
- D. Tordera, A. M. Bünzli, A. Pertegás, J. M. Junquera-Hernández, E. C. Constable, J. A. Zampese, C. E. Housecroft, E. Ortí and H. J. Bolink, *Chemistry – A European Journal*, 2013, 19, 8597-8609.
- S. T. Parker, J. D. Slinker, M. S. Lowry, M. P. Cox, S. Bernhard and G. G. Malliaras, *Chem. Mater.*, 2005, 17, 3187-3190.
- S. B. Meier, S. van Reenen, B. Lefevre, D. Hartmann, H. J. Bolink, A. Winnacker, W. Sarfert and M. Kemerink, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, 23, 3531-3538.