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#### Abstract

Nano-sized $\mathrm{LiMn}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( $\mathrm{x}=0$ and 0.1 ) was prepared by a solvothermal method in a mixed solvent of water and ethanol. $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-polyacene (PAS) composite exhibits a high conductivity ( $0.15 \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) resulting in an excellent rate performance and good cycle life. The $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS composite delivers a discharge capacity of 161,141 , and $107 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at $0.1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ and 10 C , respectively. The well-distributed conductive polyacene surrounding the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ nanoplates enhances the electronic contact of the nanosized crystalline particles and suppresses the manganese dissolution related to the structure evolution during cycling. Specifically, the manganese dissolution, electrolyte decomposition and the antisite defects are the significant factors that impact the capacity degradation of olivine iron-doped lithium manganese phosphate cathode materials.


## Introduction

Rapid consumption of fossil fuels and raising environmental concerns bring great scientific and technological challenges for developing the sustainable energy storage and conversion systems. During the past decades, lithium ion batteries (LIBs), due to their high energy density, safety and good cycleability, have been dominating the market of power sources for electronic devices, such as laptop and smart phones, and extending the application in electric vehicles (EVs), hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) and energy storage systems. ${ }^{1,2}$ Herein, the orthorhombic structured phosphor-olivine $\mathrm{LiMPO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}$, Mn , Co and Ni ) materials have been intensively investigated as an attractive positive electrode material for high-performance lithium ion batteries owing to their high capacity, thermal stability, environmental benignity and low cost since the first literature on lithium transition metal phosphates was reported by Padhi et al. in 1997. ${ }^{3,4}$ The strong covalent P-O bonds in the tetrahedral $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)^{3-}$ anion restrain oxygen loss and improve the structure stability of LiMnPO4. ${ }^{5}$ Among olivine cathodes, $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ is a good alternative to $\mathrm{LiFePO}_{4}$ with similar specific capacity ( $170 \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ ) but higher operating voltage ( 4.1 V vs. $\mathrm{Li}^{+} / \mathrm{Li}$ ) from the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+} / \mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ redox couple. ${ }^{3}$ However, $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ suffers from the low electronic conductivity $\left(<10^{-10} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$, compared with $1.8 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\left.\mathrm{LiFePO}_{4}\right),{ }^{6}$ high surface energy barrier for Li diffusion, ${ }^{7,8}$ a large kinetic barrier to ion and electron hopping at the phase boundary resulting from the Jahn-Teller distortion ${ }^{6,9-11}$ and meta-stability of the delithiated phase. ${ }^{12,}{ }^{13}$ To date, a comprehensive understanding of the structure evolution and capacity fading mechanism has not yet been established, and fortunately the improved electrochemical performance of lithium manganese phosphate cathode materials has been reported extensively. Previous results on enhancing the electrochemical performance of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ mainly focused on (i) particle size minimizing, which reduces the diffusion length for lithium ion transport and also offers high surface area leading to the enhanced rate capability and power density; ${ }^{13-16}$ (ii) surface coating with electronically conductive material, which improves the electronic conductivity and allows electrons to tunnel through the active materials. Herein, most researchers adopted abundant carbon of over $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ to
enhance the electrochemical performance of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}{ }_{4}^{17-21}$ (iii) guest ion doping such as $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}, \mathrm{V}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Co}^{2+} \cdot{ }^{22-27}$ For the cation doping that have been explored, the iron-substituted $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ materials denoted as $\mathrm{LiMn}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ with large range of substitution ( x from 0 to 1 ) have thus far provided the best electrochemical performance. ${ }^{28}$

In order to compensate the intrinsic low electronic conductivity of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$, the conductive carbon coating is the straightforward method for limiting the crystalline growing size and enhancing the electronic contact among the nanocrystals to subsequently achieve the good rate performance and cycleability. S. M. Oh et al synthesized the $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ material containing $30 \mathrm{wt} \%$ carbon by ultrasonic spray pyrolysis followed by ball milling, and this material achieved a discharge capacity of $158 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at the rate of $\mathrm{C} / 20 .{ }^{17} \mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4} / \mathrm{C}$ cathode materials were synthesized by a supercritical ethanol process, and the resulting material showed good capacity and delivered a practical capacity of $156 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at $0.01 \mathrm{C} .{ }^{29}$ More recently, X. Rui et al developed a liquid-phase exfoliation approach combined with a solvothermal lithiation process for the fabrication of ultrathin $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ nanosheets (thickness: $3.7-4.6 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) with exposed (010) surface facets, and the materials showed an excellent performance and delivered an initial discharge capacity of $157 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}, 119 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$, and 63 $\mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at $0.2 \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{C}$ and 20 C , respectively. ${ }^{30} \mathrm{~A}$. Yamada et al reported a $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.6} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.4} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ solid solution system and indicated that the Fe-doped $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ materials showed better electrochemical performances in comparison with $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ on account of improving the kinetics during lithiation/delithiation. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{H}$. Wang et al developed a two-step synthesis approach of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.75} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.25} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ nanorods $/ \mathrm{rmGO}$ with a total content of $26 \mathrm{wt} \%$ conductive carbon, and the resulting hybrids of nanorods and graphene showed high specific capacities of $132 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ and $107 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at high discharge rates of 20 C and $50 \mathrm{C} .{ }^{18} \mathrm{~B}$. Ding et al synthesized $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.7} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} / \mathrm{C}$ with interspersed carbon particles by solidstate reaction, and the material showed a discharge capacity of $164 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at 0.05 C and $107 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at $5 \mathrm{C} .{ }^{32}$ However, owing to massive carbon ( $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ) and Fe ( $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.7} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} / \mathrm{C}$ has a relatively low energy density. Based on the above results, we believe that the crystalline size, the
cationic doping of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ and the rational carbon coating are the important factors in determining the reversible capacity and rate capability of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$.

In this study, we report the synthesis and electrochemical performance of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-polyacene ( $\mathrm{x}=0$ and 0.1 , hereafter referred to as $\mathrm{LiMn}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS) prepared by the solvothermal method followed by a sintering process. The nanocrystals show a moderate crystallite size of $50 \sim 100 \mathrm{~nm}$, which ameliorates the solid state diffusion of lithium within the prepared materials. The effects of polyacene on structure and performance of lithium manganese ion phosphates were extensively investigated. Introducing polyacene to integrate the nanocrystals improves the electronic conductivity of the composite significantly. Furthermore, the capacity fading of the cathode materials during cycling were studied systematically.

## Experimental

$\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ nanomaterials were prepared by an ascorbic acid-assisted solvothermal method. All the precursors were purchased without further pre-treatment or purification. Stoichiometric amounts of $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{MnSO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with a molar ratio of 3:1:0.9:0.1 were dissolved in $1: 1(\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$ ethanol-water solvent under stirring, and then ascorbic acid was added (molar ratio of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ : ascorbic acid $=1: 2.6$ ) into the solution. After 5 h vigorous stirring, the solution was transferred into a 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave, and the autoclave was put into a preset electric furnace and maintained at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The obtained solution was filtered and washed with ethanol and distilled water several times and finally dried at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in vacuum for 5 h . The $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS composite was prepared as follows: $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and the desired amount of phenolic resin were mixed firstly. The mixture was ball-milled at 350 rpm for 5 h , followed by heat treatment in a tubular furnace at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , and then heated at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h under a $\mathrm{Ar} / \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Ar}: \mathrm{H}_{2}=95\right.$ : 5) atmosphere to obtain the final $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS composite. For comparison, $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$-PAS composite was prepared by the same method.

The X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns of the products were determined by X-ray powder diffractometer (Empyrean) equipped with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation over the $2 \theta$ range of $10^{\circ}-130^{\circ}$. Rietveld refinement for XRD patterns was performed using the GSAS/EXPGUI package. The manganese dissolution was evaluated by the elemental analysis using OPTIMA 5300DV ICP Optical Emission Spectrometers. Morphological profiles and Energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) were observed by field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, FEI Helios Nanolab600i). Highresolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images and selected-area electron microscopy (SAED) were taken using a FEI Tecnai $G^{2}$ F30 transmission electron microscope. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded using a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 (USA) over the range of 400$4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with spectral resolution of $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The conductivity of the composites was measured by SB120/2 four-point probe method. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS, Thermo Scientific K-alpha X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy) were examined to identify the surface chemistry of the $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$-PAS electrode after cycling. The amount of polyacene in the composite was determined by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) from the room temperature to $650{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a heating rate of $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ using a Simultaneous Thermal Analysis (DSC, Netzsch STA449F3) in $\mathrm{Ar} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{Ar}: 60 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, \mathrm{O}_{2}: 20 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ )
atmosphere. The BET specific surface area was calculated from nitrogen adsorption isotherms using $3 \mathrm{H}-2000 \mathrm{PS} 1$ surface area and pore analyzer at 77 K .

Electrochemical measurement was carried out by the assembly of 2016 coin-type cell with lithium metal foil as the counter and reference electrode. The slurry was obtained by mixing $80 \mathrm{wt} \%$ active material, acetylene black ( $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ), and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF, $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ) in N methylpyrrolidone (NMP) solvent, and then coated on the aluminum foils ( $\varphi=14 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) to form the working electrode. The mass loading in the electrode was around $2.0 \mathrm{mg} .1 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{LiPF}_{6}$ in a mixed solvent of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) with 1:1 in volume ratio was used as the electrolyte. All cells were assembled in an Ar-filled glove box in which both moisture and oxygen levels were less than 1 ppm . The cells were examined using a battery testing system (Neware, BST-5V3mA) at different charge/discharge rates between 2.5 and 4.6 V . The cells were charged at a CCCV protocol, i.e., first charged at a constant current of 0.1 C to 4.6 V followed by holding at 4.6 V for 30 min . Electrochemical impedance spectra (frequency range: $0.01-10^{5} \mathrm{HZ}$ ) of electrodes in coin-type cells were performed with an electrochemical workstation (PARSTAT 2273).

## Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows the synthesis illustration of the polyacenecoated $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ materials. Pure phase $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ nanoplates were prepared by an ascorbic acid-assisted solvothermal method. ${ }^{22}$ Subsequently, the mixture of pure phase $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ nanoplates and phenol formaldehyde resin were ball-milled using ethanol as the dispersing agent. The as-prepared powders were annealed at $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , and then heated at $600{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h under $\mathrm{Ar} / \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Ar}: \mathrm{H}_{2}=95: 5\right)$ atmosphere. The obtained PAS not only acts as a conductive coating on the surface of nanoplates in amorphous phase but also supplies a conductive matrix for the secondary $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ particles. Furthermore, the phenolic resin adsorbed on the surface of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ nanoplates can suppress grain aggregation during the heat treatment. The amorphous PAS in the composite enhances the electronic conductivity by allowing electrons to tunnel quickly among the active materials. In addition, the ball milling process of the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ nanoplates and phenol formaldehyde resin also can reduce the particle size of the composite, which can shorten the solid-state diffusion path and break the barrier of the kinetic limitation for these lithium transition metal phosphates.

Figure 2 shows the X -ray diffraction patterns and Rietveld refinements of as-prepared $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, respectively. All the diffraction patterns can be clearly indexed to an orthorhombic space group pnma (JCPDS No. 74-0375) and indicative of the perfect crystalline structure of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$. From Figure 2a we can see that the Fe -doped sample shows a very similar diffraction profile to that of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ except for the slight shift of peaks to higher diffraction angles as illustrated by (020) peak(inset of Figure 2a). In order to further explore the structural evolution affected by the Fe doping, a Rietveld refinement was conducted for the two samples (Figure 2b). The refinement results shown in Figure 2b exhibit good consistency for the experimental and the calculated XRD patterns. The reasonably small $R w p$ factor $\left(4.91 \%\right.$ for $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ and $2.91 \%$ for $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ) manifests that perfect crystalline olivine structure is obtained and no impurity phases can be detected. The structural parameters of the two samples
obtained from the refinement are listed in Table 1. The volume of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ decreases due to the smaller ionic radius of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(9.2 \AA)$ than that of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(9.7 \AA)$, which means the decrease of the average $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mn}$ and Fe$)$ bond length after Fe substitution. The short average bond length decreases the ionicity of the $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ compared with pristine $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$, which can improve the electron polaron hopping between adjacent cationic centers. ${ }^{32}$ These above observations indicate that Fe partial substitution is successfully achieved to form the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ solid solution.

Figure 3a-b show that the $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ nanoplates are $100 \sim 150 \mathrm{~nm}$ in length and $\sim 30 \mathrm{~nm}$ in thickness. The size of the samples is $50-100 \mathrm{~nm}$ after ball-milling, which means that the nanoplates are small enough to provide a sufficiently large surface area $\left(65.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right.$ for $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ and $71.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, Figure S 1 ) for $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ transportation. Figure $3 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ present the $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS powder consisting of the secondary particles. Interestingly, among the particles, the lamellar structure of alternating plate (Figure 3d) is formed after dehydration and dehydrocyclization during pyrolysis of the phenolic resin. The PAS contents of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS are $5.5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and $5.6 \mathrm{wt} \%$, respectively (Figure S2). From Figure S3 and S4, it can be seen that the increasing PAS content makes the agglomeration exacerbated and lowers the conductivity of the materials (Table S1). While the $3 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PAS in the composite cannot supply the integrated conducting layer on the surface of nanoplates and the conductive network among the particles, resulting in the low conductivity for the composite. From the above mentioned, the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS composite with $5.6 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PAS, which exhibits the largest electrical conductivity among the samples with different content of polyacene, is expected to possess the good electrochemical performance.

The element mappings of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ (Figure 4) illustrate that all the elements, such as $\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}$ and B , show a fairly uniform distribution in $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS. Specifically, it can be deduced from the element distribution that the PAS is uniformly dispersed among the primary $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ particles, which can be confirmed from the pleated PAS sheets coating on the surface of the particles in TEM image of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS (Figure 3e). The conductive PAS is well decentralized among $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ nanoplates and on the surface of the primary nanocrystals. Furthermore, the nanoplates are coated by a layer of uniform amorphous PAS with the thickness of 2.5 nm as shown in Figure 3f. These results indicate that $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ nanoplates are in intimate contact with the dispersed conductive PAS, and the primary $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ particles are interconnected by a network of pleated PAS nanosheets (Figure 3 b and 3 c ).

In order to further understand the pleated structure of conductive PAS matrix, we investigated the pyrolysis process of phenol-formaldehyde resin. Figure 5 shows the FT-IR spectra of the phenolic resin and pyrolyzates. The peaks at 3384, 2926 (2844), 1644 (1423), 1274, 1100 and $754 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ of the phenolic resin FT-IR spectrum are in reference to the O-H
stretching vibrations, the absorption peaks of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ inplane vibrations of aromatic system, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ stretching vibrations attached to the aromatic ring, the absorption peaks of C-B and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ out-of-plane deformation vibrations, respectively. The presence of C-B peaks illustrates that the phenol-formaldehyde resin is modified by boron doping, which can enhance the conductivity of the pyrolyzed phenolic resin. ${ }^{33}$ We can see that the frequencies at $3384 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ fade quickly as the temperature increases and lower up to the minimum at $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The peak at $3492 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is attributed to the water in the air. The strength of the absorption peaks of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ascends at first and then descends with the increase of temperature. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ stretching vibrations attaching to the aromatic ring and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ out-of-plane deformation vibrations are almost disappeared after heat treatment. At $650{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $700{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, only the absorptions at about 1644 and $1423 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (indicated by dashed line) assign to the stretching vibration of the aromatic ring $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ are retained, which indicates that the phenolic resin possesses aromatic cyclization after the heat treatment between 600 and $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Therefore, it can be concluded that the PAS is formed at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ completely, which is depicted graphically in Figure S5 in the supporting Information. ${ }^{34}$ These results also suggest that the intermolecular dehydration of phenolic resin happens with the consequent process of dehydrocyclization. ${ }^{35}$

The initial charge/discharge curves of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS are shown in Figure 6a-b. Each cell was charged at a constant current rate of 0.1 C to 4.6 V , kept at 4.6 V for 30 min , and then discharged at a certain rate to 2.5 V . The $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS composite material demonstrates an excellent rate capability with discharge capacities of 161,147 , $141,115,107$, and $79 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at $0.1 \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{C}$, and 20 C , respectively. While the $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$-PAS sample only delivers the discharge capacities of $138,127,119,95,73$, and $53 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at the above mentioned rates, respectively. The discharge curves of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ demonstrate a reversible potential plateau of around 4.0 V and a slightly inclined potential plateau at 3.5 V at 0.1 C , which corresponds to the $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+/ 2+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+/ 2+}$ redox reactions, respectively. ${ }^{9}$, $10,18,19$, ${ }^{22,32,36}$ For $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$-PAS, there is only one potential plateau at around 4.0 V for the $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+/ 2+}$ reaction.

The cycle performance of the $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS composites at room temperature is shown in Figure 6c. It can be seen that the reversible discharge capacity after 100 cycles of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$-PAS decreases by about $26 \%$ and $28 \%$ at 10 C and 20 C , respectively. However, $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS nanoplates still retain the reversible discharge capacities of 96 and $71 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at the $100^{\text {th }}$ cycle at 10 C and 20 C , which corresponds to $89.7 \%$ and $89.8 \%$ of their initial discharge capacities, respectively. The rate performance of the samples is shown in Figure 6d. The discharge capacities of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ composite electrode are 138,104 and $76 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at discharge rates of $1 \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{C}$ and 20 C , respectively. After 55 cycles, the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS delivers a reversible discharge capacity of $144 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ which is higher than $125 \mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$-PAS electrode when the discharge rate is reduced back to 0.1 C . These results indicate
that the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS composite has an excellent rate performance due to the iron doping and the conductive PAS matrix for $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ cathode material. Moreover, compared with our previous work on $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ coated by $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ of carbon, ${ }^{22}$ the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ with only $5.6 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{PAS}$ exhibits the superior electrochemical performance. The excellent electrochemical performance of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ composite is mainly attributed to (i) the well-distributed conductive PAS matrix supplying the electrically conductive paths for electrons; (ii) a smaller nanometer-scale primary $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ particles shortening the diffusion length for the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ions, ${ }^{16}$ and improving the state-of-charge metastable stability; ${ }^{37}$ (iii) the improvement in the (electronic and ionic) transport properties of the nanocrystals related to the Fe substitution. ${ }^{18,19,37}$

## The Capacity Degradation Analysis of Olivine-type phosphate cathodes

From Figure 6, it can be seen that the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ - PAS ( $\mathrm{x}=0$ and 0.1 ) composites still show a slight capacity decay during cycling. We prepared the $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ PAS composite to further comprehend the capacity evolution in term of the manganese dissolution firstly. For comparison, the $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ - C was also prepared by the same method using glucose as carbon source. All the materials were prepared with similar morphology, particle size and carbon content. The Mn dissolution analysis was performed on a fully charged electrode using the ICP technique, and Mn dissolution rate in the electrolyte at room temperature was investigated by aging the electrode after soaking the charged $(4.6 \mathrm{~V})$ in the electrolyte at room temperature for 30 days. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that Mn dissolution is retarded by the PAS coating and the Fe -doping. The Mn dissolution concentration in $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-$ PAS was 4.613 ppm which is much lower than that in $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{C}(9.352 \mathrm{ppm})$. Such a tendency is suggestive of the fact that the PAS on the surface of the nanocrystalline and among the particles can also avoid the electrode contact with electrolyte directly, which protects them against the HF attack during cycling and thus reduces the Mn dissolution into the electrolyte. ${ }^{38}$ Among the three electrodes, the $\mathrm{LiMn} 0.9 \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-$ PAS electrode has the lowest amount of Mn dissolution in comparison with the $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$, which indicates that the introduced Fe in the solid solution can improve the transport (electronic and ionic) properties of the nanocrystals. Moreover, the Fe-doping can supress the JahnTeller distortion of active $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ ions which gives a big structural misfit at the phase boundary between lithiated and delithiated phases. ${ }^{23,37}$

In general, the high charging potential will accelerate the decomposition of electrolyte. In order to further understand this phenomenon about the two electrodes, the EDS of the two electrodes before and after 50 cycles at 0.5 C were measured (Figure 7a-d). From Figure 7e-f we can see that no obvious variations are observed for both electrodes on the content of C, O, P and Mn elements after cycling. However, the contents of F
element on the surface of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ - PAS and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-$ PAS electrodes increase dramatically from $5.86 \%$ and $6.17 \%$ to $10.66 \%$ and $9.55 \%$ respectively, which means that some side reactions related to the electrolyte have occurred during the charge-discharge process.

The $\mathrm{LiMn}_{1-x} \mathrm{Fe}_{x} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ ( $\mathrm{x}=0$ and 0.1 ) electrodes before and after cycling were examined by performing XPS analysis to understand the content change of $F$ element (Figure 8). Before cycling the F 1s peaks in both compounds (Figure 8c) only show a binding energy of 686.5 eV , which is in good agreement with the reported result for $\mathrm{PVDF}^{39,40}$. However, this F 1s peak can be deconvoluted into two different peaks centred at 686.5 and 684.5 eV after cycling, corresponding to PVDF and $\mathrm{LiF}^{41}$, respectively. The P 2 p peak (Figure 8 d ) on the two electrodes shows a binding energy of 133.2 eV before cycling, which is the characteristic of the tetrahedral $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ group ${ }^{42,43}$. In addition, P-F peak $(136.4 \mathrm{eV})^{40,41}$ corresponding to $\mathrm{PF}_{5}$ which was formed due to the $\mathrm{LiPF}_{6}$ decomposition during chargedischarge process ${ }^{41}$, is observed on both electrodes after cycling. No significant changes are observed on the two samples in Mn 2p core spectrum (before cycling Figure 8e and after cycling Figure 8 h ) which splits into two peaks due to spinorbit coupling ( $\mathrm{Mn} 2 \mathrm{p}_{1 / 2}: 653.0 \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{Mn} 2 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}: 641.4 \mathrm{eV}$ with a "shake-up" satellite at 646.4 eV$)^{44,45}$, and so is the same for the Fe 2 p core spectrum ( $\mathrm{Fe} 2 \mathrm{p}_{1 / 2}: 724.7 \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{Fe} 2 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}: 710.8$ $\mathrm{eV})^{43}$ in the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS composite. From the above mentioned, it can be concluded that the capacity degradation of olivine-type lithium manganese/iron phosphate materials is related to the side reactions of the electrolyte decomposition during the charge-discharge process ${ }^{41}$.

As we all know, the structure of material has an important effect on the properties. In order to further explore the structural changes during delithiation/lithiation, a Rietveld refinement was performed on $\mathrm{LiMn}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{x} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ ( $\mathrm{x}=0$ and 0.1 ) electrodes before and after cycling (Figure 9). We can see that after cycling both samples give the very similar diffraction profiles. All the diffraction patterns can be clearly indexed to an orthorhombic space group pnma, and no impurities are detected for the two electrodes. The structural parameters of the two samples obtained from the refinement are listed in Table 3. The reasonably small $R_{w p}$ factors manifest that perfect crystalline olivine structure is maintained during the charge-discharge process and no other impurities are formed. The antisite defects $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{Li}}$ of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ - PAS electrode just have a slight increase by $4 \%$ after cycling from $6.7 \%$ to $7.9 \%$ in comparison with the $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ electrode. The relatively small increase of antisite defects for $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS manifests that the $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ in the crystal structure can stabilize the crystals during charge-discharge and enhance electrochemical performance of this kind of cathode material. ${ }^{46}$

In order to further confirm the increasing antisite defects, the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS ( $\mathrm{x}=0$ and 0.1 ) electrodes were characterized by FT-IR (Figure 10). In olivine-type materials, the $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedron will be affected by the surrounding $\mathrm{MnO}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{LiO}_{6}$ octahedrons. Hence, the $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{Li}}$ antisite defects may induce the change of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in the $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedrons,
which reflects a shift of the vibration frequencies of P-O bonds on the FT-IR curves. The red shift of P-O symmetric stretching vibration peak means an increase in the antisite defect concentration. ${ }^{47}$ From Figure 10, we can see that the peaks at approximate 970 and $1041 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ originate from the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ symmetric and asymmetric stretching vibrations of the olivine phosphate groups, respectively. The pink asterisks correspond to the peaks of PVDF. The P-O symmetric stretching vibration peak of the $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$-PAS shifts to $975.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ from $970.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ after cycling, which indicates that the defect concentration of $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{Li}}$ in the composite increases. However, the P-O peak of the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS electrode only shifts by $0.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ relatively. These results agree well with the XRD patterns (Figure 9). Hence, the raised $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{Li}}$ antisite defect in the crystal structure is a significant cause of the performance degradation during the charge-discharge process.

In order to observe the antisite defects directly, the $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ composite before and after cycling was charactered by TEM, and the images are shown in Figure 11. The selected area electron diffraction patterns of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}^{-}$ PAS after cycling (Figure 11a-b) are similar to that of the materials before cycling, which indicates that the single-crystal structure of the composites is maintained. The HRTEM images of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ show the interplanar spacing of $3.9 \AA$ (before cycling, Figure $11 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and $2.8 \AA$ (after cycling, Figure 11f, g) which can be referred to the crystallographic directions of (210) and (301), respectively. In addition, HRTEM images further verify the highly ordered single crystalline structure for the two samples. However, from the FFT images, we can see that additional diffraction spots (indicated by yellow circle) corresponding to the $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{Li}}$ antisite defects are detected for cycled material (Figure 11h), indicating the lower degree of crystallinity compared with the $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ without cycling. Schematic illustration of the highly ordered single crystalline structure of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ before cycling and the $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{Li}}$ antisite defects in the structure after cycling are shown in Figure 11i-j.

The resistances of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ ( $\mathrm{x}=0$ and 0.1 ) electrodes before and after cycling were measured, and the resulting electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) are presented as Nyquist plots in Figure 12. As can be seen from Figure 12a, each EIS profile exhibits a semicircle in the high frequency region and an inclined line in the low frequency region. An intercept at the $Z^{\prime}$ axis in high frequency represents the ohmic resistance of the aqueous electrolyte $\left(R_{e}\right)$. The semicircle in the high frequency range corresponds to the charge transfer process, and the diameter of the semicircle on the $Z^{\prime}$ axis corresponds to the charge transfer resistance $\left(R_{c t}\right)$. The Rct of both electrodes increases after cycling, which is correlated with by-products (Figure 8) on the electrode surface and the increasing $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{Li}}$ antisite defects in the structure. The straight line in the low frequency is attributed to the Warburg impedance $\left(Z_{w}\right)$, which denotes the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$diffusion resistance through the active cathode material. Therefore, the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$diffusion coefficient ( $D$ ) of the cathode material can be calculated according to the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
D=\frac{R^{2} T^{2}}{2 n^{2} A^{2} F^{4} C^{2} \sigma^{2}}  \tag{1}\\
Z^{\prime \prime}=\sigma \omega^{-1 / 2} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where, $R$ is the gas constant, $T$ is the absolute temperature, $A$ is the surface of the cathode (its value calculated by BET method, Figure S 1 ), $n$ is the number of electrons involved in the redox process ( $n=1$ for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+} / \mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ redox pair), $F$ is the Faraday constant, $C$ is the shuttle concentration, and $\sigma$ is the Warburg factor which is related to $Z^{\prime \prime}$. Figure 12b illustrates the linear relationship between $Z^{\prime \prime}$ and the inverse square root of angular frequency. According to the slope of the line and eqs (1) and (2), we can calculate the $D$ of the two electrodes before and after cycling as listed in Table 4. Obviously, the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$diffusion coefficients for both electrodes increase after cycling, which is mainly due to the raised concentration of antisite defects in the crystal structure.

## Conclusions

High-performance nanostructured $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ powders were prepared by a solvothermal method. Simultaneously, the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ nanocrystalline was successfully coated with the uniform conductive PAS layer by pyrolysis of the phenolic resin, and the secondary particles consisting of primary $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ nanocrystals with PAS matrix formed. The $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS composite with high conductivity delivers a discharge capacity of $161,147,141,115,107$, and 79 $\mathrm{mAh} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at $0.1 \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{C}$, and 20 C , respectively, and it can retain $90 \%$ of the initial reversible capacity even after 100 cycles at a rate of 20 C . The improved electrochemical performance is ascribed to the homogeneous conductive PAS coating and the well dispersed PAS network, which protects the $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS against HF attack, and leads to an obvious reduction of Mn dissolution and a greatly enhanced electronic conductivity. The $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{Li}}$ antisite defects, Mn dissolution and electrolyte decomposition are considered as the determining factors for capacity fading during charge-discharge process of the lithium manganese phosphate class cathode materials.
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Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the preparation of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$.


Figure 2. (a) XRD patterns of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ samples; (b) Rietveld refinement of the XRD patterns of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$.


Figure 3. SEM images of (a) $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$; (b) $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$; (c) $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$; (d) $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$. (e) TEM image of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS; (f) HRTEM image of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS.


Figure 4. Element mappings of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$.


Figure 5. FT-IR absorption spectra of PAS at different temperatures and phenolic resin.


Figure 6. Initial charge/discharge curves of (a) $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ and (b) $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$; (c) Cycling performance of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS at 10 C and 20 C ; (d) Rate performance of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS.


Figure 7. The EDS images of the electrode: $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ electrode (a) before cycling and (b) after cycling; $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ electrode (c) before cycling; (d) after cycling. The element content of (e) $\mathrm{LiMnPO} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}^{2}$ electrode; (f) $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$-PAS electrode.


Figure 8. XPS spectra of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ electrode (a-c) before cycling; (d-f) after cycling and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ electrode ( $\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{i}$ ) before cycling; ( $k-n$ ) after cycling.












Figure 9. The XRD patterns of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ electrode (a) before cycling; (b) after cycling and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ electrode (c) before cycling; (d) after cycling.


Figure 10. (a) The FT-IR curves of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$; (b) the magnification of the rectangle area.


Figure 11. SAED images of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ electrode: (a) before cycling and (b) after cycling. HRTEM and FFT images of LiMnPO $4_{4}$-PAS electrode: (c-e) before cycling and (f-h) after cycling. Crystal structure of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ : (i) before cycling and (j) after cycling.


Figure 12. (a) Nyquist plots ( $Z^{\prime}$ vs. $-Z^{\prime \prime}$ ) of the samples; (b) the relationship plot of $Z^{\prime}$ vs. $\omega^{-1 / 2}$ at low-frequency region.


Table 1. Structure parameters of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ samples.

| Samples | $a / \AA$ | $b / \AA$ | $c / \AA$ | $V / \AA^{3}$ | $R w p / \%$ | $R p / \%$ | $\chi^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$ | 10.471 | 6.109 | 4.766 | 304.87 | 4.91 | 3.20 | 1.504 |
| $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | 10.449 | 6.095 | 4.749 | 302.44 | 2.91 | 2.11 | 1.484 |

Table 2. Mn dissolution in electrolyte of $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ and $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ electrodes.

|  | $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}-\mathrm{PA} \mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PA}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | S | S |
| Mn dissolution (ppm) | 9.352 | 4.613 | 1.147 |

Table 3. The lattice parameters of the samples.

| Samples |  | $a / \AA$ | $b / \AA$ | $c / \AA$ | $V / \AA^{3}$ | $R_{w p} / \%$ | $R_{p} / \%$ | $\chi^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{Li}} \cdot / \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$-PAS | Before cycling | 10.465 | 6.113 | 4.751 | 303.93 | 2.12 | 1.56 | 1.454 | 5.1 |
|  | After cycling | 10.458 | 6.109 | 4.760 | 304.10 | 2.46 | 1.91 | 1.337 | 9.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Before cycling | 10.439 | 6.100 | 4.744 | 302.08 | 2.48 | 1.77 | 1.394 | 6.7 |
|  | After cycling | 10.438 | 6.098 | 4.747 | 302.15 | 2.01 | 1.63 | 1.265 | 7.9 |

Table 4. The $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$diffusion coefficients of the electrodes.

|  | Samples |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{LiMnPO}_{4}$-PAS | Before cycling $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~S}^{-1}$ |  |
|  | After cycling | $5.96 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| $\mathrm{LiMn}_{0.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{PAS}$ | Before cycling | $4.21 \times 10^{-15}$ |
|  | After cycling | $1.75 \times 10^{-13}$ |

