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Two fluorescent metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) \([\text{Zn(dcbpy)(DMF)}] \cdot \text{DMF}\) and \([\text{Dy(dcbpy)(DMF)}_2(\text{NO}_3)] \cdot \text{DMF}\) were synthesised solvothermally and structurally characterised. Uniform shape and sized microcrystals of \([\text{Zn(dcbpy)(DMF)}] \cdot \text{DMF}\) were also produced using microwave synthesis. The frameworks give organic linker-based fluorescence emission and demonstrate very different detection capabilities towards the explosive taggant 2,3-dimethyl-2,3-dinitrotbutane (DMNB) and trinitrotoluene (TNT) derivatives; 2,4-dinitrotoluene (2,4-DNT), nitrobenzene (NB) and para-nitrotoleune (p-NT). These differences are attributed to the variation in the overall framework architecture between the two MOFs. This paper reiterates the key importance of MOF porosity in sensing applications, and highlights the value of uniform microcrystals to sensitivity.

1 Introduction

The increase in terrorism related explosive attacks in recent years has led to the urgent need in detection methods that successfully identify explosives or explosive related materials on a person, surface or as a vapour. Particularly desired are vapour phase detection methods that have good sensitivity, selectivity, reproducibility, rapid response times and instrumental portability and stability. The difficulty in the detection of explosives arises from their extremely low vapour pressures, especially in the case for commercial explosives such as 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT). As a result, explosive sensing methods frequently detect precursors or derivatives of explosives. Another taggant of interest is 2,3-dimethyl-2,3-dinitrotbutane (DMNB), an unavoidable by-product in the manufacturing of TNT, has a much higher vapour pressure than its parent compound, and thus is often a focal point for TNT sensing (Table 1). Other precursors for TNT include 2,6-dinitrotoluene, para-nitrotoluene (p-NT) and nitrobenzene (NB), all of which are markers of the presence of explosive materials. Furthermore, dinitrotulenes and nitrobenzene are known toxic, organic pollutants that are frequently discharged into the environment by industrial production processes, and the detection of these materials is of paramount importance. Another taggant of interest is 2,3-dimethyl-2,3-dinitrotbutane (DMNB). This material is mandated by law to be included in military plastic explosives formulations for detection purposes.

Traditional explosive detection methods include sniffer dogs, as well as instrumental techniques such as gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, ion mobility spectrometry and Raman spectroscopy. Although such instrumental methods have proven extremely effective, they are often very expensive and not readily portable. New chemical sensing tools are therefore being explored for the detection of explosives in the field. Chemical sensors such as electronic noses, biological assays and colorimetric sensors have attracted particular attention. In addition, numerous fluorescent-based chemical sensors have recently been explored. Fluorescent conjugated polymers have dominated this field, producing some of the technologies used by the security industry.

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), a relatively new class of porous and crystalline materials, have demonstrated promise in a number of applications including gas storage and separation, catalysis, and drug delivery. More recently MOFs are emerging as auspicious candidates for fluorescence-based explosives detection owing to their ease of synthesis, tuneability of pore size and functionality, high surface areas and surface chemistry, all of which make MOFs excellent luminescent explosives-detecting materials.
Table 1 Table of explosive vapour pressures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Vapour Pressure at 25 °C/Torr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT) 35</td>
<td>nitroaromatic military explosive</td>
<td>5.5 x 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4 dinitrotoluene (2,4 DNT) 35</td>
<td>nitroaromatic TNT derivative / toxic organic pollutant</td>
<td>2.6 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,6 dinitrotoluene (2,6 DNT) 35</td>
<td>nitroaromatic TNT derivative / toxic organic pollutant</td>
<td>6.2 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>para-nitrotoluene (p-NT) 35</td>
<td>nitroaromatic TNT derivative / proposed toxic organic pollutant</td>
<td>4.9 x 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nitrobenzene (NB) 35</td>
<td>nitroaromatic TNT derivative / toxic organic pollutant</td>
<td>3.1 x 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-dimethyl-2,3-dinitrotoluene (DMNB) 6</td>
<td>nitroaliphatic explosives taggant</td>
<td>2.1 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A number of MOFs have demonstrated successful detection of explosives based on fluorescence-quenching. The high fluorescence intensities of certain MOFs attenuate on exposure to explosives or explosive-related analytes, yielding a detectable intensity change. Pioneering work in this field was performed by Li et al. who demonstrated the sensitive detection of DMBN and 2,4-DNT in the vapour phase. This work inspired a number of other researchers who subsequently demonstrated the successful detection of explosives, with some selectivity, using zinc, cadmium, lithium, indium, europium, and terbium containing metal-organic frameworks. However, the majority of the published research reports the detection of explosives using MOFs through solution-based titrations. Although this allows for excellent proof-of-concept experiments, it limits the use of these materials for portable in-field detection of explosives.

Here we report two novel highly fluorescent metal-organic frameworks [Zn(dcbpy)(DMF)]·DMF (1) and [Dy(dcbpy)(DMF)]2(NO3) (2) (dcbpy = 2,2'-bipyridine-4,4'-dicarboxylate; DMF = dimethylformamide) for the vapour-phase detection of explosive derivatives and related compounds.

Owing to the constantly evolving explosive threat, we believe that the ease at which MOFs can be tailored (through judicial choice of organic linker and metal), for the potential targeting of a system towards a particular analyte, adds great value to their use within the security industry. Thus, we have explored how alteration of one component of our particular MOF system can affect its sensing towards specified nitroaromatic and nitroaliphatic compounds. MOFs 1 and 2 have both been constructed from the same electron rich organic ligand H2dcbpy, but vary in metal composition. As a consequence, the frameworks demonstrate different architectures and sensing towards nitroaromatic and nitroaliphatic explosive analytes. The use of a lanthanide was employed with the aim to increase the system’s luminescence. This is to our knowledge the first dysprosium-based MOF reported for the successful sensing of explosives related analytes. Finally we demonstrate a rapid synthesis of uniform [Zn(dcbpy)(DMF)]·DMF microcrystals (1M) via microwave synthesis, and show how these homogenous microcrystals give increased sensing sensitivities compared to their solvothermally synthesised counterparts.

2 Experimental

2.1 Synthesis

Synthesis of [Zn(dcbpy)(DMF)]·DMF (1)

MOF 1 was synthesised via a typical solvothermal method. Zn(NO3)2·6H2O (0.4 mmol, 119.0 mg) and H2dcbpy (0.4 mmol, 97.8 mg) were dissolved in DMF (15 mL) with stirring in a glass vial. The vial was sealed and placed in an oven set to 100 °C for 6 days, affording the colourless rectangular plate-like crystals of 1.

Microwave synthesis of [Zn(dcbpy)(DMF)]·DMF (1M)

Zn(NO3)2·6H2O (0.2 mmol, 60.0 mg) and H2dcbpy (0.4 mmol, 48.8 mg) were dissolved in DMF (12 mL) in a glass vial under stirring and a low heat of approximately 40 °C for approximately 10 minutes. After the majority of the contents had dissolved, 3 mL of the cloudy reactant solution was syringed into a new glass vial, this glass vial was sealed and placed in a 700 W microwave operating at 40% power output. The sample was irradiated initially for 30 s, followed by three more 30 s cycles. This afforded a clear solution and a microcrystalline MOF precipitate (1M).

Synthesis of [Dy(dcbpy)(DMF)]2(NO3) (2)

Metal-organic framework 2 was synthesised solvothermally as for 1. Dy(NO3)2·5H2O (0.4 mmol, 175.6 mg) and H2dcbpy (0.4 mmol, 97.9 mg) were dissolved in DMF (15 mL) with stirring in a glass vial. The vial was sealed and placed in an oven set to 100 °C for 6 days, affording pale pink rhombohedral crystals of 2.

2.2 Washing regimes to afford active MOFs

The crystals of MOFs 1, 1M and 2 were immersed in solutions of methanol, followed by dichloromethane and subsequently...
dried under vacuum, yielding ‘active MOFs’ 1’, 1M’ and 2’.

2.3 Generation of MOF thin films

Thin films of 1’, 1M’ and 2’ were prepared prior to vapour phase sensing experimentation. The films were fabricated on microscope slides, onto which, finely ground crystals of the MOF were compacted until firmly in place and any excess residue was tapped from the slides, giving the MOF thin films of typically 10 µm thickness (†ESI).

2.4 Fluorescence sensing methodology

Each thin film’s fluorescence was measured initially three times and averaged, giving a stable base line (Intensity = $I_0$), ensuring that any quenching of the system observed was not a result of MOF material loss. Then after exposure to the vapour headspace of a particular analyte for 10 s the fluorescence was measured ($I$). The films were further exposed to analytes for 30 s, 60 s, 120 s and 300 s, and the fluorescence intensity was re-measured after each time period. Analyte vapours of DMNB, 2,4-DNT, $p$-NT and NB were generated by depositing small amounts of the analytes into sealed tubes, creating a static headspace. The MOF-thin films were rapidly placed inside the sealed tubes for fixed amounts of time during the sensing procedure.

2.5 Characterisation Instrumentation

Fluorescence was measured on an Edinburgh Instruments time-correlated single photon counter (TCSPC) with laser excitation at 405 nm and emission measured between 420 and 750 nm. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns were collected on an STOE Stadi-P transmission diffractometer system, CuKα.
(λ = 1.54184 Å) radiation source, operating at 40 kilowatts and 30 milliampere. SEM images were collected on a field emission Jeol 6700F FEG SEM operating at 5 kV. Thermogravimetric analyses of the samples were performed on a Netzsch Jupiter thermal gravimetric analyser. The samples were purged with air and ramped from room temperature to 500 °C at 10 °C/min. Microwave synthesis was undertaken using a conventional microwave oven with a 700 W and 2450 MHz output. The microwave was operated at a 40% power output. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data for MOFs 1 and 2 were collected at 150.0(10) K on a SuperNova, Dual, Cu at zero, Atlas diffractometer, with CuKα (λ = 1.54184 Å) radiation. Using Olex2, the structures were solved with the Superflip software and refined with the ShelXL refinement package using Least Squares minimisation.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Characterisation

Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis on [Zn(dcbpy)(DMF)]·DMF 1 disclosed a three-dimensional framework belonging to the monoclinic space group P2₁/n. The overall architecture of this MOF is governed by the cyclic secondary building units (SBUs) that are formed. The eight-membered SBUs located in this MOF are constructed from the monodentate carboxylates of two dcbpy ligands, two centrosoymmetrically related dcbpy ligands (coordinated to two zinc metals through the N-donor moieties) and two DMF solvent molecules. A representation of the SBU is given in Fig. 1a.

The SBU nodes are further linked to other secondary building units through the carboxylate and N-donor functionalities of the dcbpy ligands; the dcbpy ligands act as structural pillars that form the overall 3D topology of this MOF. Figure 1b shows that ovaloid one-dimensional channels that are approximately 10.0 Å x 8.8 Å wide run throughout the structure of this framework. From the crystallographic data, it was found that DMF solvent molecules reside within the cavities of MOF 1 (although these have been omitted from the representation given in Fig. 1b, for clarity).

Single crystal X-ray diffraction confirmed the structure of 3D MOF 2 to be in the triclinic space group P-1. The secondary building units (SBUs) contained within this framework are significantly different to those of 1, and are constructed from three dysprosium metals, the monodentate carboxylates of six dcbpy ligands, four DMF solvent molecules and two nitrate molecules (Fig. 1c). The dcbpy ligands act as pillars to other SBUs, forming one-dimensional chains that run throughout the three-dimensional structure of this MOF (Fig. 1d).

Space filling diagrams of 2 indicated this MOF has minimal porosity, thus no solvent molecules are able to permanently reside in the pores of this MOF, unlike in MOF 1 (ESI†).

Powder X-ray diffraction analysis (PXRD) of the synthesised bulk MOF 1 and 2 samples was in good agreement with the simulated PXRD patterns (as obtained from single crystal data), confirming the homogeneity of the synthesised materials (Fig. 2). Additionally the PXRDS of the microwave synthesised microcrystals of 1M are also in accordance with that of the simulated PXRD pattern, verifying that [Zn(dcbpy)(DMF)]·DMF was successfully yielded with microwave synthesis. PXRD patterns of MOFs 1' and 1M' demonstrated some distortions in the activated MOF frameworks as compared to the synthesised 1 and 1M materials, this is most likely an artefact of DMF solvent loss. MOF 2' was observed to lose some crystallinity post activation.

Scanning electron microscopy images of the crystalline materials of 1 and 1M are illustrated in Figure 3. The crystals present in these solvothermally synthesised sample 1 (Fig. 3a)
molecular orbital (SOMO*) of the electron rich fluorescent MOFs, is able to donate an electron into low-lying, lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMOs) of guest analytes (quenchers). Explosives and their related materials, particularly nitroaromatics, are highly electron deficient compounds with low-lying π* orbitals (stabilised by the NO₂ groups through conjugation), thus they act as effective acceptors of the excited state electrons provided by the metal-organic frameworks (electron donors). Analytes with high-lying non-bonding orbitals of energy above the SOMO* of MOFs, are able to donate electrons into these orbitals leading to MOF fluorescence enhancement, this typically occurs for highly electron rich compounds. The amount of quenching or enhancement observed inherently depends on the strength of interaction between the frameworks and the analytes. The most important interactions are those, in which there is significant electron-donor/electron-acceptor orbital overlap.¹⁵

From the crystallographic data obtained for MOF 1, it is known that solvent DMF molecules permanently reside in the cavities of this MOF. As the presence of solvent guest molecules in framework pores can limit response speed and intensities, the crystalline material of MOF 1 and 1M was washed (as described in Section 2.2). Washing regimes were also conducted on the crystals of 2. The resultant active MOFs were designated 1', 1M' and 2'.

MOF 1' was observed to demonstrate organic linker-based fluorescence emissions (fESI). Figure 5a shows the time dependent fluorescence quenching of 1' by the analytes DMNB, 2,4-DNT, p-NT and NB. The figure shows the quenching percentages of the MOF upon exposure to the analytes as calculated by Eqn. 1 (I₀ = original peak maximum intensity; I = maximum intensity after exposure to analyte). The maximum intensities (I₀) were obtained from the fluorescence emission data, the wavelengths at which the I₀ occurred were the fixed points from which the maximum intensities after analyte ex-
Fig. 5 (a) Fluorescence percentage quenching graphs for $I^*$ upon exposure to DMNB, NB, $p$-NT and 2,4-DNT. (b) Fluorescence percentage quenching graphs for $1M^*$ upon exposure to the same analytes. (c) Fluorescence emission profile for $1M^*$ upon exposure to DMNB for 0, 10 s, 30 s, 60 s, 120 s and 300 s. (d) Interference quenching percentages upon exposure to $I^*$ for 300 s.

The quenching percentages were found to follow the order of DMNB > NB > $p$-NT > 2,4-DNT and were 11.5%, 10.9%, 9.2% and 7.9% respectively, for 300 s of exposure. These results indicate $I^*$ has substantial potential as a sensory material for DMNB. This is of great significance as very few materials have been noted to detect this analyte particularly in the vapour phase,37 ESI† Section 7 details the previously reported MOFs that have been able to detect this analyte in either vapour or solution phase. Such difficulty in detecting this analyte arises as a result of its unfavourable reduction potential coupled with its aliphatic structure that cannot form $\pi - \pi$ interactions with the electron rich frameworks of the MOFs.

To gain further insight into the quenching process, we have calculated the geometry and electronic structure of $I^*$ and the 6 analytes in Table 1. All our calculations were performed using the periodic density functional theory code VASP.57 We utilized the PBE0 functional,58 which has been shown to provide excellent descriptions of both solid state and molecular systems.59,60 The ionization potential (IP) and electron affinity (EA) of $I^*$ was calculated using the method recently developed by Butler et al. Our PBE0 band gaps and HOMO/LUMO positions for the analytes are in excellent agreement with those calculated by Adamo and co-workers using the same functional but a different code.62 These HOMO (IP) positions, however, are underestimated by approximately 1.6 eV compared to experimentally determined IPs.63 Therefore in Figure 6 we have used the experimental IPs for TNT, $p$-NT, 2,4-DNT and 2,6-DNT, combined with the PBE0 calculated HOMO-LUMO separation to yield the EA. For DMNB and NB, we have shifted our PBE0 calculated HOMO positions by 1.6 eV, with the EA determined as above.

Figure 6 clearly demonstrates that based on the band edge positions of $I^*$ versus the analytes, quenching should occur, as electrons in the SOMO of $I^*$ should drop into the LUMO of each of the analytes. This analysis, however, does not explain the relative percentages shown in Figure 5, as it cannot account for the effect of interactions between the molecules and the MOF.

The successful response of $I^*$ towards DMNB, and the other analytes can therefore be rationalized based on the topology of the metal-organic framework, namely the porosity of the MOF as well as analyte reduction potentials and vapour pressures.
Fig. 6 Schematic of the PBE0 calculated valence band maximum (VBM) and conduction band minimum (CBM) positions for I and the IPs and EAs for the 6 analytes.

Thicker films of I’ demonstrated limited responses upon exposure to the analytes, rationalised on the basis of restricted diffusion of the analytes into the MOF, in line with previous research. 37 (Representative example given in †ESI.)

In an attempt to increase the quenching percentage of MOF [Zn(dcbpy)(DMF)]-DMF towards DMBN an alternate method was employed for the synthesis of this MOF. To produce more uniform crystals with greater surface areas, a rapid microwave synthesis was applied. The crystalline material (1M) afforded by this synthesis (Fig. 3b and c) was washed to produce 1M’, and tested for its sensing capabilities against the same analytes, results of which are given in Figure 5b.

With more uniform crystals the sensitivity of the material towards DMBN is significantly enhanced (Fig. 5c), contending with some of the previously reported quenching percentages (†ESI Section 7). The quenching order of the other analytes follows that of I’, with quench percentages of 46.4%, 15%, 8% and 6.4% for DMBN, NB, p-NT and 2,4-DNT respectively. The maximum quenching for NB also appears enhanced. It is posited that the flatters and more uniform crystals give greater access to the material on the sensing substrate, improving responses.

MOF 2’ appears to also demonstrate linker-based emission (Fig. 7a) and not dysprosium metal emissions, evidenced by the absence of the characteristic Dy3+ peaks which are typically located at 475 nm, 570 nm, 660 nm and 750 nm respectively. We rationalize the absence of these peaks as a result of the inefficient charge transfer from the dcbpy ligands to the Dy3+, due to the faster fluorescence emissions of the ligands in comparison to that of Dy3+.

As shown by the quench percentage plots, (Fig. 7b) 2’ gives very different responses upon exposure to the analytes than I’ and 1M’. MOF 2’ is only significantly quenched by analyte NB (13.1% quench) and arguably quenched by p-NT (3.1% quench), and demonstrates negligible response towards DMBN and 2,4-DNT. These results can be rationalized on the basis of the minimal porosity of 2’. Owing to the absence
of cavities within this framework, it is proposed that surface based interactions are the predominant cause of quenching for this MOF, unlike 1’ where analytes are able to penetrate into MOF pores. Nitrobenzene, which has the highest vapour pressure of the analytes tested, appears to be able to form surface based interactions with the MOF during the testing time, yielding a detectable response. p-NT which also has a relatively high vapour pressure does not quench the system to a similar effect, and this can be possibly rationalized on the basis of its lower electron deficiency than nitrobenzene owing to the presence of the CH$_3$ electron donating group on the molecule. DMNB and DNT, with the lowest vapour pressures of the tested analytes, are not able to adequately interact with the MOF and therefore give no response. These findings highlight the importance of porosity on a MOF sensing system.

To confirm the selective detection of the explosive analytes using MOFs 1’ and 2’ were an artefact of the highly electron rich extended MOF structures and not simply a result of analyte and free H$_2$debpby linker interactions, a proof of concept experiment was undertaken whereby H$_2$debpby was exposed to DMNB. Results showed no changes in the fluorescence intensity of the linker when exposed to the analyte for varying amounts of time. (†ESI).

3.3 MOF-thin film recyclability

The thin films of 1’ were tested for their recyclability and results suggest this MOF to be regeneratable. A thin film was used for the sensing of NB (300 s) and then placed on a bench top at room temperature. The material was left for an hour and sensing was repeated ($I_0$ and $I_{300s}$ were taken). The same procedure was repeated after 3 and 16 hours of regeneration of the material at room temperature. As shown in Figure 8 the material was still responsive to NB. Although $I_0$ values slightly decrease after the experiment at 1 h and 3 hrs, at 16 hrs of room temperature regeneration the material appears to start regaining its initial fluorescence intensity, and has a quenching percentage (9.4%) approaching the initial quenching response of this material at time = 0 hrs (10.9%). This suggests that not only is 1’ recyclable at room temperature, it is also not affected by typical moisture in the atmosphere within this timeframe. This is an important consideration for the use of this metal-organic framework as a sensory material for the detection of in field explosives. Further, previously reported recyclable MOF materials for explosives detection have only been achieved after heating samples at elevated temperatures (> 150 ºC) which hinders the practical use of these materials, thus the regeneration of 1’ at room temperature is significant.

3.4 Thermal stability of MOFs 1’ and 2’

The thermal stability of active MOFs 1’ and 2’ was tested. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of MOF 1’, showed this framework to be stable up to 400 ºC. MOF 2’ was observed to be stable up to 460 ºC. These temperatures are comparable to those for other metal-organic frameworks synthesised for explosives detection. Furthermore, the successful removal of DMF by the washing stage was confirmed by the absence of weight percentage loss at around 150 ºC. (†ESI)

4 Conclusions

In summary, two novel, highly fluorescent, metal-organic frameworks [Zn(debpby)(DMF)]$_2$.DMF (1) and [Dy(debpby)(DMF)$_2$(NO$_3$)]$_2$ (2) were synthesised for explosives detection applications. Both frameworks were constructed from the same linker ligand but varied in metal composition. Despite this, both demonstrated similar linker-based fluorescence. The frameworks were tested against explosives-related compounds DMNB, 2,4-DNT, p-NT and NB in the vapour phase, and exhibited very different responses.

Porous MOF 1’ was able to detect the challenging analyte DMNB, as well as NB, p-NT and 2,4-DNT. We attribute the successful detection of these analytes to their ability to be encapsulated into the framework cavities of MOF 1’.

Non-porous MOF 2’ was shown to be selective to the nitroaromatic compounds NB and p-NT.
The differences in sensing between these metal-organic frameworks were rationalized by the different nature of their overall framework architectures. This research highlights the importance that the topology of a system plays on its sensing capabilities. More specifically the importance of porosity on analyte detection. Through slight variations in one component of a MOF system, two very different structures can be made, greatly impacting on the selectivity of these MOFs towards explosive related compounds.

Further to this, uniform shape and sized microcrystals of [Zn(dcbpy)(DMF)]-DMF (1M) were synthesised rapidly using a microwave assisted method reducing syntheses time from days to minutes. These demonstrated greater sensitivities of quenching responses when exposed to DMNB and NB than the non-homogenous microcrystals of 1'. Thus this paper also draws attention to the need for uniformity in crystals that are to be used as sensory materials.

The frameworks demonstrated high thermal stabilities and 1' was proven to be recyclable after regeneration at room temperature. These are both important factors in the application of these materials for infield detection of explosives.

Crystal Data for C_{18}H_{29}N_{2}O_{2}Zn (M = 454.09 g/mol): monoclinic, space group P2_1/n (no. 14), a = 9.3725(2) Å, b = 14.7643(3) Å, c = 14.7153(3) Å, β = 101.058(2)°, V = 1998.47(7) Å³, Z = 4, T = 150.00(10) K, µ(CuKα) = 2.090 mm⁻¹, Dcalc = 1.509 g/mm³, 14313 reflections measured (8.832 ≤ θ ≤ 51.878), R = 0.0321 (all data). CCDC 992713 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. The data is available free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif).

Crystal Data for C_{18}H_{25}DyN_{2}O_{6} (M = 612.89 g/mol): triclinic, space group P-1 (no. 2), a = 9.2414(5) Å, b = 10.3040(5) Å, c = 12.8291(6) Å, α = 76.388(4)°, β = 69.431(4)°, γ = 86.377(4)°, V = 11113.37(10) Å³, Z = 2, T = 149.90(15) K, µ(CuKα) = 18.524 mm⁻¹, Dcalc = 1.831 g/mm³, 16503 reflections measured (8.832 ≤ θ ≤ 149.79), 4464 unique (Rint = 0.0997) which were used in all calculations. The final R₁ was 0.0358 (I > 2σ(I)) and wR₂ was 0.0950 (all data). CCDC 1026241 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. The data is available free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif).
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