Journal of Materials Chemistry A



Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Fluorine-substituted Mg(BH4)2•2NH3 with improved dehydrogenation properties for hydrogen storage

Journal:	Journal of Materials Chemistry A
Manuscript ID:	TA-ART-09-2014-004765.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Oct-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Yang, Yanjing; Zhejiang University, Department of Mateials Science and Engineering Liu, Yongfeng; Zhejiang University, Department of Mateials Science and Engineering Li, You; Zhejiang University, Department of Mateials Science and Engineering Gao, Mingxia; Zhejiang University, Department of Materials Science and Engineering Pan, Hongge; Zhejiang University, Department of Materials Science and Engineering



RSCPublishing

ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Fluorine-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ with improved dehydrogenation properties for hydrogen storage

Yanjing Yang,^{*a,b*} Yongfeng Liu,^{*a*}* You Li,^{*a*} Mingxia Gao,^{*a*} Hongge Pan^{*a*}*

The F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ was successfully prepared for the first time by mechanochemically reacting Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ and LiBF₄ based on the structural and chemical similarity of [BH₄]⁻ and [BF₄]⁻ anions. The results indicate that the dehydrogenation properties of Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ are significantly improved by the partial substitution of fluorine for hydrogen. Hydrogen release from the F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ is initiated at approximately 70 °C, which is an 80 °C decrease in comparison with the pristine sample. At 150 °C, the 15 mol% F-substituted sample releases ~ 5.2 wt% of hydrogen within 40 min. However, only 1.2 wt% of hydrogen could be desorbed from the pristine Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ under identical conditions. Mechanistic investigations reveal that the B-H bonds in Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ are strengthened after F-substitution, which induces more ionised H^{δ-} in the ammoniate and consequently facilitates the local H^{δ+}-H^{δ-} combinations within the Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ molecule. In addition, the F-substitution weakens the Mg-B bonds in Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃, which favours the generation of B-N bonds during dehydrogenation. These factors are the most important reasons for the improved dehydrogenation properties of F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃.

Introduction

Hydrogen is widely regarded as a green and alternative source of energy.^{1,2} However, the lack of safe, efficient and economical hydrogen-storage technologies hinders its practical applications, especially in proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs). Compared with traditional compressed gas and liquefied hydrogen storage, storing hydrogen in solid materials results in considerably safer operating conditions and higher volumetric capacities, making storage in solid materials a more favourable storage technology and thus the focus of the present investigation.³ Among the solid materials developed for hydrogen storage, metal borohydrides containing [BH₄]⁻ anions have been attracting great interest because of their high gravimetric hydrogen capacity.4 Unfortunately, metal borohydrides as hydrogen storage materials suffer from high thermal stability, slow dehydrogenation/hydrogenation kinetics and poor reversibility.4,5 Extensive work has been done to address these thermodynamic and kinetic limitations, including catalyst doping,^{6,7} reactive composites,^{8,9} size-modification^{10,11} and cation-/anion-substitution,^{12,13} etc. However, none of the borohydride-based systems developed to date can satisfy all the requirements for practical hydrogen-storage materials.

Recently, several promising hydrogen-storage systems involving the combination of H^{δ^+} - H^{δ^-} during dehydrogenation

were discovered to possess good hydrogen storage properties. These hydrogen-storage systems include ammonia borane (AB),^{14,15} metal amidoboranes (MABs),^{16,17} and amide-hydride.¹⁸⁻²² In addition, the formation of metal borohydride ammoniates by adducting NH₃ groups to metal borohydrides also creates an environment of local H^{δ^+} - H^{δ^-} coexistence, thereby possessing dehydrogenation properties superior to the corresponding metal borohydrides.²³⁻²⁷

Magnesium borohydride diammoniate (Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃), which has a gravimetric hydrogen capacity as high as 16.03 wt% and a low dehydrogenation temperature of approximately 150 °C, has considerable potential as a practical hydrogen storage material for PEMFCs.^{25,26} However, the hydrogen released from this ammoniate suffers from contamination by ammonia, which is a poison for PEMFCs.²⁶ In addition, the dehydrogenation temperature is still too high for practical applications. Considerable work has been conducted to improve the dehydrogenation properties of metal borohydride ammoniates by changing the coordination number of NH₃ or forming mixed-cation borohydride ammoniates for hydrogen storage.²⁶⁻²⁸ Recently, we investigated Mg(BH₄)₂·xNH₃ (x=1, 2, 3, 6) as hydrogen storage materials and discovered the close correlation between the dehydrogenation properties and the coordination numbers of NH3.26 In addition, several mixedcation borohydride ammoniates, including LiMg(BH₄)₃·2NH₃,

More interestingly, previous studies demonstrated that TiF₃ is notably superior to TiCl₃ in improving the hydrogen storage properties of LiBH₄. This superiority is attributed to the possible substitution of fluorine for hydrogen in LiBH₄ and LiH to generate LiBH_{4-x}F_x and LiH_{1-x}F_x, respectively, during dehydrogenation.^{6,29,30} Further density functional theory (DFT) calculations revealed a linear reduction in the enthalpy change of the decomposition of Li₈B₈H_{32-x}F_x with increasing the substitution level from x = 1 to x = 4.³¹ These results suggest the feasibility of tuning the hydrogen-storage properties of metal borohydride-related materials through the substitution of fluorine for hydrogen in [BH₄] anions. However, a key challenge is how to successfully introduce F into the $[BH_4]^$ groups. As early as the 1950s and 1960s, Brown et al. proposed a synthetic route to MBHX₃ compounds via the reaction between MH and BX₃ (M: metal, X: halide).^{32,33} In 1961, KBH₃F was successfully obtained by Aftandilian et al. through the reaction of KF and B₂H₆.³⁴ More inspiringly, the theoretical calculation indicated that F-substitution in LiBH₄ was more thermodynamically favourable than the formation of LiB(H,F)₄ solid solutions when mixing LiBH₄ and LiBF₄.³⁰ Therefore, taking into consideration the similarity in chemical properties and ionic sizes between the $[BF_4]^-$ anion (2.4 Å) and $[BH_4]^$ anion (2.03 Å),³⁵ as well as the F⁻ anion (1.33 Å) and H⁻ anion (1.47 Å),³⁶ we believe that it is feasible to partially substitute hydrogen in a [BH₄]⁻ anion with fluorine by interacting metal borohydrides and borofluorides. Such a conjecture was preliminarily validated by Heyn et al., who reported the formation of potassium fluoroborohydrides $K(BH_rF_{4-r})$ (x=0-4) by reacting KBH₄ and KBF₄.³⁷ In addition, the hydrogenfluorine exchange in the NaBH₄-NaBF₄ systems also induced the formation of fluorine-substituted sodium borohydride NaBH₂F₂, which exhibits a lower decomposition temperature in comparison with the pristine NaBH₄.³⁸

In this work, to achieve the manipulation of the intrinsic dehydrogenation thermodynamics of $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$, lithium borofluoride (LiBF₄) was employed as the fluorine source and an F-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$ was successfully prepared for the first time through the mechanochemical reaction of $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$ and LiBF₄. The effects of F-substitution on the dehydrogenation properties of $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$ and the corresponding mechanisms were systematically studied and elucidated.

Experimental Section

Materials and Sample Preparation

The commercially available chemicals, sodium borohydride (NaBH₄, Alfa Aesar, 98%), anhydrous magnesium chloride (MgCl₂, Alfa Aesar, 99%), lithium borohydride (LiBH₄, Sigma

Aldrich, 95%), lithium borofluoride (LiBF₄, Sigma-Aldrich, 99.99%), and magnesium fluoride (MgF₂, Alfa Aesar, 99%) were purchased and used as received. Anhydrous diethyl ether was delivered from Sinopharm Chemical and further dried with calcium hydride (CaH₂). Anhydrous ammonia gas (NH₃) was also used as received. Magnesium borohydride (Mg(BH₄)₂, 96%) was synthesised via the metathesis reaction between sodium borohydride and magnesium chloride in diethyl ether as described in our previous report.³⁹ Magnesium borohydride hexaammoniate (Mg(BH₄)₂·6NH₃) was obtained by ball milling Mg(BH₄)₂ under 6 bar of an ammonia atmosphere, and Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ was prepared by ball milling the mixture of $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 6NH_3$ and $Mg(BH_4)_2$ with a molar ratio of 1:2 in an Ar atmosphere.²⁶ The F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ samples with different substitutions (expressed by the $F^{\delta-}/(F^{\delta-}+H^{\delta-})$) ratios) of 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 mol% were prepared via ball milling the corresponding chemicals on a planetary ball mill (QM-3SP4) rotating at 500 rpm for 3 h. A gas valve that can be connected to a pressure gauge for measuring the inside pressure was mounted on the cover of the milling jar. To ensure even mixing and milling and to limit the temperature rise during milling, the mill was set to rotate for 0.2 h in one direction, followed by a pause of 0.1 h and subsequent rotation in the reverse direction. No obvious temperature rise was observed owing to the ventilation device inside the compartment of the mill.

Structural Characterisation

Phase identification was conducted using powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) on a Phillips X'Pert Pro X-ray Diffractometer with Cu K α radiation at 40 kV and 40 mA. Data were collected from 10° to 90° (2 θ) with step increments of 0.02° at ambient temperature. A specially designed sample container was adapted to protect samples from contamination from the oxygen and moisture during sample transfer and testing.

Vibrational characteristics of the B-H and N-H bonds were determined by a Bruker Tensor 27 Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometer. The FTIR spectra of all the samples (as KBr pellets with a KBr-to-sample weight ratio of approximately 100:1) were acquired in the range of 4000-400 cm⁻¹, and the transmission mode was adopted with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹.

Property Evaluation

Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) measurements were performed on a custom-designed apparatus attached with an online mass spectrometer (MS, Hiden QIC-20). Approximately 40 mg of sample was loaded into a specially designed tube reactor that allows the purge argon gas to get through upon heating. The temperature of the reactor was gradually elevated from room temperature to 600 °C at a ramping rate of 2 °C min⁻¹.

Quantitative hydrogen desorption behaviour of the Fsubstituted $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$ samples was evaluated with a custom-designed Sieverts-type apparatus. Typically,

ARTICLE

approximately 80 mg of sample was loaded into a stainless steel reactor in the glove box and then connected to the Sieverts-type apparatus. After evacuating the system to 10^{-3} bar, the sample was heated to a desired temperature at 2 °C min⁻¹ (initially in vacuum). The pressure and temperature data were recorded automatically. The amounts of hydrogen desorbed were calculated according to the pressure change in the system using the equation of state.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was conducted to determine the heat flow under a gas flow of 50 ml Ar min⁻¹ using a Netzsch DSC 200 F3 thermal analyser. Approximately 2-3 mg of sample was loaded into an Al crucible covered by a lid. The crucible was then put into the equipment and heated from room temperature to 600 °C at 10 °C min⁻¹.

Results and Discussion

Six F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ samples with the molar ratios for $F^{\delta-}/(F^{\delta-}+H^{\delta-})$ (F-substitution contents) of 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 mol% were prepared by ball milling the corresponding mixtures of Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ and LiBF₄. After the ball-milling treatment, pressure increases were detected for the F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃, indicating the occurrence of gas release caused by the reactions between Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ and LiBF₄. Only hydrogen was detected by means of MS in the gas released during ball milling. According to the equation of state, the hydrogen desorption amounts were calculated to be 0.137, 0.19, 0.25, 0.36, 0.53 and 0.66 wt% for the composites with the $F^{\delta}/(F^{\delta}+H^{\delta})$ molar ratios of 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 mol%, respectively. However, further increasing the Fsubstitution to 50 and 75 mol% induces a severe decrease in the stability of Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ because nearly all of the hydrogen in the Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ was evolved after ball milling (4.30 wt% hydrogen for 50 mol% F-substitution, and 2.06 wt% hydrogen for 70 mol% F-substitution), which is rather unfavourable for practical hydrogen-storage applications.

The as-prepared Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-LiBF₄ composites as well as the pristine Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ and LiBF₄ treated under the same conditions were first subjected to XRD measurements. As shown in Fig. 1, both the post-milled $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$ and LiBF₄ exhibit sharp diffraction peaks, indicating their good crystallinity. For the Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-LiBF₄ composites with 2.5 and 5 mol% fluorine, Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ was the only phase detected by XRD although their peak intensities were distinctly decreased. However, LiBF₄ was invisible in these two samples, possibly due to its low content and/or the consumption during ball milling. As the F content was increased to 10 mol%, only the strongest diffraction peak of Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ at 16.9° (20) could be detected. At the same time, a new diffraction peak at 22.7° (2 θ) was also observed. Increasing the F content to 15 and 20 mol% led to the complete amorphisation of the corresponding Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-LiBF₄ composites because no apparent diffraction peaks were observed in the XRD profiles. Upon further increasing the F content to 25 mol%, several broad and weak peaks of MgF₂ could be identified at 27.3, 40.4, 53.5, and 68.2° (20). In addition, a series of new peaks emerged at 20.2, 24.0 and 24.5° (20), which could not be assigned to any known phases. Therefore, we speculate that a novel F-containing ammoniate may be formed through mechanochemically reacting Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ with LiBF₄. Specifically, for the Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-LiBF₄ composite with a $F^{\delta_{-}}/(F^{\delta_{-}}+H^{\delta_{-}})$ molar ratio of 25 mol%, the nominal composition of the product can be described as MgLi_{2/3}(BH₃F)_{8/3}·2NH₃.



Fig. 1. XRD patterns of the post-milled $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$ -LiBF₄ mixtures with different fluorine content.

Further FTIR examinations were conducted to identify the B-H and N-H bonds in the pristine Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ and postmilled Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-LiBF₄ composites. The results are shown in Fig. 2. There were clearly eight absorption bands detected in the FTIR spectrum of the pristine Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃. The absorbance bands at 3357, 3277 and 3215 cm⁻¹ could be assigned to the N-H stretching modes, and those at 2355, 2269 and 2193 cm⁻¹ belong to three B-H stretching modes. Lastly, those at 1234 and 1108 cm⁻¹ are attributed to B-H bending modes.40 After introducing 2.5 mol% fluorine, no obvious changes were detected for the B-H and N-H bonds of $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$. In particular, when the F content was increased to 5 mol%, a blue shift was observed for the B-H stretching mode from 2269 to 2273 cm⁻¹, which is possibly due to the substitution of F for H in the $[BH_4]$ anions, although the B-H bending and N-H stretching modes remain unchanged. Further increasing the fluorine content to 10 mol% induced an additional blue shift to 2288 cm⁻¹ for the B-H stretching mode. Such a blue shift in the B-H stretching modes indicates the strengthening of the B-H bonding. In addition, two new B-H stretching absorbance modes at 2224 and 2342 cm⁻¹ were also detected, further confirming the alteration in the chemical environment of B and H upon fluorine substitution. These observations suggest that there is an interaction between the [BF₄] and [BH₄] anions, which possibly results in the formation of a novel F-substituted borohydride ammoniate. As for the 20 and 25 mol% fluorine-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃, an appreciable change in the N-H bonds was observed as three

new N-H bands at 3254, 3278 and 3316 cm⁻¹ appeared. In addition, a new B-H stretching band at 2448 cm⁻¹ originating from the $[B_{12}H_{12}]^{2-}$ anions⁴¹ and a B-N band at 1383 cm⁻¹ were also discernible. These findings indicate that the newly formed fluorine-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ may partially decompose during ball milling, which matches well with the pressure increase mentioned above. The occurrence of partial decomposition represents a significant decrease in the stability of the fluorine-substituted magnesium borohydride diammoniate. Moreover, the main B-F absorbance of the Fsubstituted $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$ was observed at 1064 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectra of the 20 and 25 mol% F-substituted samples, exhibiting a 6 cm⁻¹ blue shift relative to the pristine $LiBF_4$ (1058 cm⁻¹). However, for the 5, 10 and 15 mol% F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ samples, only a shoulder was detected at the same position, possibly due to their lower fluorine content. Further investigations into the F-substitution mechanism revealed that there was no obvious change in the B-H and N-H bonds when mixing Mg(BH₄)₂ and MgF₂ through a procedure identical to the procedure applied to the Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-LiBF₄ composites (Fig. S1[†]). More importantly, no B-F bonds were detected in all the Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-MgF₂ systems. These results reveal that the [BH₄]⁻ anions and NH₃ groups retain their integrity in the Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-MgF₂ systems. In other words, no chemical reactions took place between MgF₂ and Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃. Therefore, we deduce that the successful substitution of H in $[BH_4]^-$ with F from $[BF_4]^-$ can be attributed primarily to the similarity in chemical properties and ionic radii between the $[BH_4]$ anion and $[BF_4]$ anion, as well as the F anion and H⁻ anion.



Fig. 2. FTIR spectra of the post-milled Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-LiBF₄ with different fluorine content.

Fig. 3 shows the TPD-MS curves of the post-milled Fsubstituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃. Both the pristine and F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ present a stepwise thermal decomposition process. For the pristine Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃, the NH₃ was detected at 105-225 °C upon decomposition, and hydrogen release began at 150 °C and peaked at 218 and 402 °C. After 2.5 mol% Fsubstitution, the NH₃ release was completely depressed, which is very favourable for its practical applications in FEMFCs. Moreover, the onset dehydrogenation temperature was significantly reduced to 90 °C, representing a 60 °C reduction in comparison with the pristine Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃. However, except for this subtle hydrogen-release process, the two main hydrogen-release processes were still found to exist between 150 to 300 and 400 to 500 °C. As for the 5 mol% F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃, an additional broad dehydrogenation peak was observed at 75-140 °C. With further increase in the Fsubstitution content, the dehydrogenation process at 75-140 °C was split into two peaks. The corresponding peaks were gradually broadened, and their intensities were increased. In particular, the dehydrogenation process at 75-165 °C dominated the TPD-MS curve, and those peaks at higher temperatures are nearly invisible when the F-substitution content was higher than 15 mol%. Only two overlapping dehydrogenation peaks were detected at 104 and 156 °C for the 25 mol% F-substituted thermal sample. The decomposition behaviour of Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ was clearly changed with the presence of fluorine.



Fig. 3. TPD-MS curves of the F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃.

To evaluate the dehydrogenation behaviour quantitatively, the volumetric release curves of the F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ samples were measured and are shown in Fig. 4. As reported previously,²⁶ the pristine Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ starts to evolve hydrogen at approximately 140 °C, amounting to approximately 14.8 wt% at 600 °C. After F-substitution, the onset dehydrogenation temperatures were lowered to below 90 °C. Hydrogen desorption amounting to 14.95, 14.40, 12.46, 11.20, 9.71 and 8.67 wt% was observed for the samples with Fsubstitution content of 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 mol%, respectively. The total dehydrogenation amount of Fsubstituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ was gradually decreased with the

increase in the content of LiBF₄ because LiBF₄ does not contribute to hydrogen content. On the contrary, the dehydrogenation amount of the 2.5 mol% F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ was slightly larger than the dehydrogenation amount of the pristine ammoniate. Such a phenomenon may be attributed mainly to the depressed NH₃ evolution due to Fsubstitution, which results in an increase in the dehydrogenation amount. Moreover, taking into consideration the amounts of hydrogen released during ball milling, the total dehydrogenation amounts were calculated to be 15.88, 16.00, 15.96, 15.90, 16.00 and 15.96 wt% for the samples with Fsubstitution content of 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 mol%, respectively, when excluding the LiBF₄ amounts. These results are in excellent consonance with the theoretical hydrogen capacity of 16.03 wt% for Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ and higher than the dehydrogenation amount of pristine Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃. This observation quantitatively confirms the increase in hydrogen release due to the effective depression of NH₃ evolution after Fsubstitution.



Fig. 4. Volumetric release (a) and extent of reaction (b) curves of the F-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2$ ·2NH₃.

For the F-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$ samples, an appreciable low-temperature shift was found by increasing the

F-substitution content by plotting the extent of the reaction against temperature as shown in Fig. 4b. The 25 mol% Fsubstituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ released 65% of its hydrogen capacity when heated to 150 °C. However, no appreciable hydrogen release was observed for the pristine ammoniate under the same conditions. The positive effect of F-substitution on decreasing the dehydrogenation operating temperatures was further confirmed by comparing the volumetric-release curves of the F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ with the volumetricrelease curves of the newly designed Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-LiBH₄ system. As shown in Fig. S2,† the onset and terminal dehydrogenation temperatures of the Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-LiBH₄ system are nearly identical to the onset and terminal dehydrogenation temperatures of the pristine Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃, although a part of the hydrogen release moved slightly to lower temperatures. This result indicates that the presence of LiBH₄ does not distinctly change the thermal decomposition behaviour of Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃. As the sole discrepancy is the $F^{\delta-}$ anion of LiBF₄ in comparison with LiBH₄, we believe that the significantly improved dehydrogenation properties of the Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-LiBF₄ system originate from the substitution of F for H.

The effect of F-substitution on the isothermal dehydrogenation kinetics of Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ was further elucidated by taking the 15 mol% F-substituted samples as an example. As shown in Fig. 5, the 15 mol% F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ sample released more than 4 wt% of hydrogen within 100 min at 120 °C. When the dehydrogenation was conducted at 150 °C, hydrogen desorption from the 15 mol% Fsubstituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ resulted in more than 5.2 wt% within only 40 min, whereas only 1.2 wt% was observed for the pristine sample. By analysing the tangent slope of the initial dehydrogenation stage, the rate constant of the 15 mol% Fsubstituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ was determined to be 0.33 wt%·min⁻¹ at 120 °C, which is 20.6 times the pristine sample $(0.016 \text{ wt\%min}^{-1})$. Moreover, the dehydrogenation rate of the 15 mol% F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ at 150 °C (0.60 wt%·min⁻¹) was 7.45 times faster than the pristine sample (0.071)wt%·min⁻¹). Obviously, the F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ exhibits superior dehydrogenation kinetics to the pristine ammoniate.

To understand behind the improved the reasons F-substituted dehydrogenation properties of the Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃, the 25 mol% F-substituted sample was selected as an example to analyse the heat flow information and structural changes during dehydrogenation. For the 25 mol% Fsubstituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃, the [BH₄]⁻ anions were converted to new anions with the nominal composition of [BH₃F]. Fig. 6 demonstrates the DSC curves of the 25 mol% F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃. Four thermal events in the DSC curve of the pristine $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$ were observed in the testing temperature range (30 - 550 °C). A sharp endothermic peak is followed by an exothermic peak and two other endothermic peaks. According to the previous report,42 the first endothermic peak should originate from the minor ammonia-release process of $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$, and the other three heat flow peaks are all



Fig. 5. Isothermal dehydrogenation curves for the pristine and 15 mol% F-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2$ -2NH₃.

attributed to hydrogen release. Interestingly, only a broad exothermic signal peaked at 155 °C with a shoulder near 120 °C was detected with the disappearance of other heat flow peaks for the 25 mol% F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃. Specifically, the absence of endothermic events originating from the breakdown of Mg-N bonds matches well with the depressed NH₃ evolution during in the dehydrogenation of Fsubstituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃. Combined with the TPD-MS results in Fig. 3, the exothermic event corresponds to hydrogen release, which appears at much lower temperatures than in the pristine Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃, suggesting that there is a more favourable combination for H^{δ^+} and H^{δ^-} with the presence of F in [BH₄]⁻ anions. However, no appreciable changes in the heat flow behaviour were found for the MgF₂- and LiBH₄-added samples, which possess the same F and Li contents as the 25 mol% F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃, in comparison with the pristine Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃. This fact further confirms the effectiveness of F-substitution for H in [BH₄]⁻ anions through the interactions between the $[BH_4]^-$ and $[BF_4]^-$ anions.



Fig. 6. DSC curves of the Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ with different additives.

Fig. 7 presents the XRD patterns of the decomposition products of the 25 mol% F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ at different stages. As shown in Fig. 3, the first and second dehydrogenation peaks of the 25 mol% F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ overlapped with each other. Therefore, to obtain the first-step dehydrogenation product, the sample was heated to 100 °C and then maintained there for a sufficient amount of time. The completion of the first-step dehydrogenation was confirmed as no dehydrogenation signal was detected below 150 °C in the TPD-MS curve of the dehydrogenation product at 100 °C (Fig. S3[†]). As shown in Fig. 7, after dehydrogenation at 100 and 200 °C, only several weak and broad diffraction peaks from MgF₂ were identified at 27.27, 40.42, 53.52, and 68.15° (20) with the disappearance of the sharp peaks of MgLi_{2/3}(BH₃F)_{8/3}·2NH₃. Upon further heating the sample to 600 °C, the typical diffraction peaks of MgF₂ and LiF dominated the XRD profile of the dehydrogenated product.



Fig. 7. XRD patterns of the 25 mol% F-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$ dehydrogenated at different stages.

Fig. 8 shows the FTIR spectra of the 25 mol% F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ dehydrogenated at different stages. For the sample dehydrogenated at 100 °C, the FTIR result indicated the existence of N-H and B-H stretching bands in the wavenumber range of 3400-3100 cm⁻¹ and 2500-2000 cm⁻¹, respectively. At the same time, an appreciable change in the B-H bending modes was also observed, as the absorbance peaks in the wavenumber range of 1000-1300 cm⁻¹ merged into one broad signal peaking at approximately 1199 cm⁻¹. In addition, a new B-N absorbance at 1441 cm⁻¹ with a higher relative intensity is also discernible in comparison with the peak at 1383 cm⁻¹ in the as-prepared sample, suggesting the formation of novel compounds containing B-N bonds. These results further indicate that the first-step dehydrogenation should originate mainly from the local combination of $H^{\delta-}$ in $[BH_3F]^-$ anions and H^{δ^+} in NH₃ groups, which gives rise to B-N bond formation.



Fig. 8. FTIR spectra of the 25 mol% F-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2{\cdot}2NH_3$ dehydrogenated at different stages.

After dehydrogenation at 200 °C, the absorbance of B-N bonding was intensified with a red-shift to 1429 cm⁻¹. However, the N-H stretching bands in 3500-3000 cm⁻¹, B-H stretching bands in 2500-2000 cm⁻¹ and B-H bending bands in 1400-1000 cm⁻¹ disappeared, indicating the complete consumption of the B-H and N-H bonds. These findings further reveal that the hydrogen release of the F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ is most likely driven by the combination of H^{δ^+} in NH_3 and H^{δ^-} in [BH₃F], which consumes the B-H and N-H bonds and generates the BN compound. In addition, there is still a small amount of H bonded because the B-N absorbance appears at 1429 cm⁻¹, as reported previously.²⁵ When the 25 mol% Fsubstituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ was heated to 600 °C, only two absorbance peaks at 1393 and 802 cm⁻¹ were observed in the testing wavenumber range, which can be attributed to the B-N bonds in boron nitride (BN).43

Combining XRD and FTIR analyses, we conclude that upon heating, the F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ liberated hydrogen by the interaction between H^{δ +} and H^{δ -} to convert to MgF₂, LiF and BN. Moreover, boron is also likely to be involved in the final decomposition product because the nominal composition of the 25 mol% F-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$ is $MgLi_{2/3}(BH_3F)_{8/3} \cdot 2NH_3$. In summary, the overall decomposition process of the 25 mol% F-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$ can be described as reaction (1). Hydrogen release in reaction (1) amounts to 9.37 wt%, which is in good agreement with the experimental result (9.21 wt%). Such a hydrogen capacity is quite high and sufficient for hydrogen storage applications.

$$3MgLi_{\frac{2}{3}}(BH_{3}F)_{\frac{8}{3}} \cdot 2NH_{3} \to 3MgF_{2} + 2LiF + 6BN + 2B + 21H_{2}$$
(1)

As mentioned above, a blue shift of the B-H vibration, which represents the strengthened B-H bonds in the Fsubstituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ occurred after F-substitution. The bonding energy of the B-H bond was increased after F substitution. The polarity of a covalent bond is known to be closely related to the bond energy: the higher the bond energy, the higher the polarity of the covalent bond. As a consequence, the $H^{\delta-}$ in $[BH_4]^-$ anions of the F-substituted Mg(BH_4)₂·2NH₃ are more negative than the H^{δ} in the pristine sample. This property should facilitate the combination of H^{δ^+} and H^{δ^-} for hydrogen release as its driving force originates from the electrostatic interaction between $H^{\delta-}$ and $H^{\delta+}$. In addition, there are two competing processes during the decomposition of Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃: hydrogen desorption driven by the local combination of H^{δ^+} and H^{δ^-} and ammonia evolution caused by the breakdown of Mg-N bonds.²⁶ Thus, the preferred $H^{\delta-}H^{\delta+}$ combination in F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ consumes the NH₃ groups preferentially. This effect may explain the remarkably depressed ammonia release.

To further evaluate the mechanisms of F-substitution in improving the dehydrogenation properties of Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃, TPD-MS and TG measurements were conducted on the $Mg(BH_4)_2$ -(2/3)LiBF₄ system (25 mol%) F-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2$). As shown in Fig. 9, B_2H_6 is evidently detected in the temperature range of 100-175 °C for F-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2$, whereas hydrogen is the sole gaseous product when heating the pristine Mg(BH₄)₂. In addition, combining the volumetric-release and TG results (Fig. S4⁺), the H₂ to B₂H₆ molar ratio was determined to be 1:1 for the F-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2$, indicating a substantial amount of diborane release. A similar phenomenon was also discovered in the decomposition of Al(BH₄)₃ and some transition metal borohydrides.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ These results suggest that the Mg-B bond in Mg(BH₄)₂ was weakened after F-substitution. As a consequence, we believe that the Mg-B bonds in Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ were also weakened after F-substitution, when the bonds are more readily broken, thus favouring the generation of B-N bonds during the thermal decomposition. The NH₃ is known to serve as a "stabilising agent" to stabilise the aluminium borohydride and transition metal borohydrides with the formation of corresponding ammoniates.^{45,47} In this case, the NH₃ groups in the F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ should play a similar role. Thus, the weakened Mg-B bonds and

the higher magnitude of charge on the $H^{\delta-}$ are reasonably responsible for the improved dehydrogenation properties of F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃.



The fully dehydrogenated product was subjected to hydrogenation at 500 °C with an initial hydrogen pressure of 100 bar. However, hydrogen desorption from the F-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2$ ·2NH₃ is exothermic in nature (Fig. 6), and no hydrogen uptake was observed under the present conditions. Therefore, further modification of the thermodynamics should be conducted to achieve reversible hydrogen storage.

Conclusions

In this work, a F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ was synthesised by ball milling Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ with LiBF₄ based on the interaction between $[BH_4]^-$ and $[BF_4]^-$ anions. The operating temperatures for hydrogen desorption from Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ were determined to be remarkably reduced, and the evolution of NH3 was effectively depressed after F-substitution. The onset dehydrogenation temperature of the 25 mol% F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ samples was only approximately 70 °C, which is decreased by 80 °C in comparison with the pristine $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$. During the heating of the 25 mol% Fsubstituted Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ to 150 °C, approximately 65% of the hydrogen capacity was released. However, no appreciable hydrogen release was observed for the pristine sample under the same conditions. Structure analyses revealed a blue shift for the B-H vibration after F-substitution, representing the strengthening of the B-H bonds. The strengthened B-H bonds offered a higher magnitude of charge on $H^{\delta-}$, which facilitated the combination of H^{δ^+} and H^{δ^-} for hydrogen release and accordingly depressed the ammonia release. Conversely, the Fsubstitution weakened the Mg-B bonds in Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃, which thus facilitated the formation of BN during dehydrogenation. Both the increased magnitude of charge on H^{δ} and the decreased strength of Mg-B bonds contribute to the

improved dehydrogenation properties of F-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$. However, no hydrogen uptake was detected at 500 °C and 100 bar of hydrogen pressure for the fully dehydrogenated product. Therefore, further thermodynamic modification is warranted to achieve reversible hydrogen storage.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge financial support received from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51171170, 51222101, 51025102), the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (20130101110080, 20130101130007), the Program for Innovative Research Team in the University of Ministry of Education of China (IRT13037), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2014XZZX003-08).

Notes

^aState Key Laboratory of Silicon Materials, Key Laboratory of Advanced Materials and Applications for Batteries of Zhejiang Province and Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China. E-mail: <u>mselyf@zju.edu.cn</u> (Y.F.L.), <u>hgpan@zju.edu.cn</u> (H.G.P.); Fax: +86 571 87952615; Tel: +86 571 87952615

^bScience and Technology on Combustion and Explosion Laboratory, Xi'an Modern Chemistry Research Institute, Xi'an 710065, China

 \pm Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: FTIR spectra of Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-MgF₂, Volumetric release curves of Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃-LiBH₄, MS curves of 25 mol% F-doped Mg(BH₄)₂·2NH₃ and its decomposition product, Volumetric-release and TG curves of F-substituted Mg(BH₄)₂. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

References

- 1 I. Jain, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2009, 34, 7368-7378.
- 2 M. Felderhoff, C. Weidenthaler, R. von Helmolt and U. Eberle, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **9**, 2643-2653.
- 3 L. Schlapbach and A. Züttel, *Nature*, 2001, 414, 353-358.
- 4 S.-i. Orimo, Y. Nakamori, J. R. Eliseo, A. Züttel and C. M. Jensen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 4111-4132.
- 5 H.-W. Li, Y. Yan, S.-i. Orimo, A. Züttel and C. M. Jensen, *Energies*, 2011, 4, 185-214.
- 6 Z.-Z. Fang, X.-D. Kang, Z.-X. Yang, G. S. Walker and P. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 11839-11845.
- 7 J. E. Chen, Y. Zhang, Z. T. Xiong, G. T. Wu, H. L. Chu, T. He and P. Chen, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2012, **37**, 12425-12431.
- 8 E. G. Bardají, Z. Zhao-Karger, N. Boucharat, A. Nale, M. J. van Setten, W. Lohstroh, E. Röhm, M. Catti and M. Fichtner, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 6095-6101.
- 9 J. J. Vajo, S. L. Skeith and F. Mertens, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 3719-3722.
- 10 M. Fichtner, Z. Zhao-Karger, J. Hu, A. Roth and P. Weidler, Nanotechnology, 2009, 20, 204029.
- Y. P. Pang, Y. F. Liu, M. X. Gao, L. Z. Ouyang, J. W. Liu, H. Wang, M. Zhu and H. G. Pan, *Nat. Commun.* 2014, **5**, 3519; Y. G. Yan, Y. S. Au, D. Rentsch, A. Remhof, P. E. de Jongh and A. Züttel, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 11177-11183; J. M. Huang, Y. R. Yan, L.

Z. Ouyang, H. Wang, J. W. Liu and M. Zhu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 410-413.

- 12 L. H. Rude, E. Groppo, L. M. Arnbjerg, D. B. Ravnsbaek, R. A. Malmkjaer, Y. Filinchuk, M. Baricco, F. Besenbacher and T. R. Jensen, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2011, **509**, 8299-8305.
- 13 E. A. Nickels, M. O. Jones, W. I. David, S. R. Johnson, R. L. Lowton, M. Sommariva and P. P. Edwards, *Angew. chem. Int. Edi.*, 2008, 47, 2817-2819.
- 14 Z. Huang and T. Autrey, Energy Enciron. Sci., 2012, 5, 9257-9268.
- 15 P. Wang, Dalton Trans., 2012, 41, 4296-4302.
- 16 K. Wang, J. G. Zhang, T. T. Man, M. Wu and C. C. Chen, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2013.
- 17 Z. T. Xiong, C. K. Yong, G. T. Wu, P. Chen, W. Shaw, A. Karkamkar, T. Autrey, M. O. Jones, S. R. Johnson and P. P. Edwards, *Nat. Mater.*, 2007, 7, 138-141.
- 18 P. Chen, Z. T. Xiong, J. Z. Luo, J. Y. Lin and K. L. Tan, *Nature*, 2002, **420**, 302-304.
- 19 Z. T. Xiong, G. T. Wu, J. J. Hu and P. Chen, Adv. Mater., 2004, 16, 1522-1525.
- 20 Y. F. Liu, K. Zhong, K. Luo, M. X. Gao, H. G. Pan and Q. D. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 1862-1870.
- 21 G. P. Meisner, M. L. Scullin, M. P. Balogh, F. E. Pinkerton and M. S. Meyer, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2006, 110, 4186-4192.
- 22 X. Y. Chen, Y. H. Guo and X. B. Yu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 17947-17953.
- 23 W. W. Sun, X. W. Chen, Q. F. Gu, K. S. Wallwork, Y. B. Tan, Z. W. Tang and X. B. Yu, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 6825-6834;
- Y. J. Yang, Y. F. Liu, Y. Li, M. X. Gao and H. G. Pan, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 16326-16335; H. L. Chu, G. T. Wu, Z. T. Xiong, J. P. Guo, T. He and P. Chen, Chem. Mater., 2010, 22, 6021-6028; Y. H. Guo, Y. X. Jiang, G. L. Xia and X. B. Yu, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 4408-4410.
- 25 G. Soloveichik, J.-H. Her, P. W. Stephens, Y. Gao, J. Rijssenbeek, M. Andrus and J.-C. Zhao, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 47, 4290-4298.
- 26 Y. J. Yang, Y. F. Liu, Y. Li, M. X. Gao and H. G. Pan, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2013, 8, 476-481.
- 27 Y. J. Yang, Y. F. Liu, H. Wu, W. Zhou, M. X. Gao and H. G. Pan, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 135-143.
- 28 Y. H. Guo, H. Wu, W. Zhou and X. B. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 4690-4693.
- 29 L. C. Yin, P. Wang, Z. Z. Fang and H. M. Cheng, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, **450**, 318-321.
- 30 M. Corno, E. Pinatel, P. Ugliengo and M. Baricco, J. Alloys Compd., 2011, 509, S679-S683.
- 31 I. Saldan, Cent. Eur. J. Chem., 2011, 9, 761-775.
- 32 H. I. Schlesinger, H. C. Brown, J. R. Gilbreath and J. J. Katz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1953, 75, 195-199.
- 33 H. C. Brown, *Hydroboration*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1962.
- 34 V. D. Aftandilian, H. C. Miller and E. L. Muetterties, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1961, 83, 2471-2474.
- 35 A. Finch, P. J. Gardner and N. Hill, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1975, 357-359.
- 36 E. Baughan, in Coordinative Interactions, Springer, 1973, pp. 53-71.

- 37 R. H. Heyn, I. Saldan, M. H. Sørby, C. Frommen, B. Arstad, A. M. Bougza, H. Fjellvåg and B. C. Hauback, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013.
- 38 L. H. Rude, U. Filsø, V. D'Anna, A. Spyratou, B. Richter, S. Hino, O. Zavorotynska, M. Baricco, M. Sørby and B. Hauback, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, 15, 18185-18194.
- 39 Y. F. Liu, Y. J. Yang, Y. F. Zhou, Y. Zhang, M. X. Gao and H. G. Pan, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2012, **37**, 17137-17145.
- 40 P. A. Chater, W. I. David, S. R. Johnson, P. P. Edwards and P. A. Anderson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 2439-2441.
- 41 E. L. Muetterties, J. H. Balthis, Y. T. Chia, W. H. Knoth and H. C. Miller, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1964, **3**, 444-451.
- 42 Y. J. Yang, Y. F. Liu, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, M. X. Gao and H. G. Pan, J. Alloys Compd., 2014, 585, 674-680.
- 43 D. P. Kim, K. T. Moon, J. G. Kho, J. Economy, C. Gervais and F. Babonneau, *Polym. Advan. Technol.*, 1999, **10**, 702-712.
- 44 T. J. Marks and J. R. Kolb, Chem. Rev., 1977, 77, 263-293.
- 45 Y. H. Guo, X. B. Yu, W. W. Sun, D. L. Sun and W. N. Yang, *Angew. chem. Int. Edi.*, 2011, **50**, 1087-1091.
- 46 O. Friedrichs, A. Remhof, A. Borgschulte, F. Buchter, S. Orimo and A. Züttel, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 10919-10922.
- 47 Z. W. Tang, F. Yuan, Q. F. Gu, Y. B. Tan, X. W. Chen, C. M. Jensen and X. B. Yu, *Acta Mater.*, 2013, 61, 3110-3119.

Graphical contents entry:



Fluorine-substituted $Mg(BH_4)_2 \cdot 2NH_3$ possesses significantly improved dehydrogenation properties.