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ABSTRACT

We investigate the interaction of molecular hydrogen with light element based $n$-doped hexagonal boron nitride ($h$-$BN$) nanostructures and moreover explore the bond exchange mechanism for spillover of atomic hydrogen using dispersion-corrected density functional theory (DFT-D) calculations. A number of doped configurations were tested and it has been found that co-doping of C and O on $h$-$BN$ sheet significantly increases the adsorption energy of molecular $H_2$. The charge transfer from the $n$-doped $h$-$BN$ surface to $H_2$ is found to be the reason for the higher interactions that boosted the binding energy. In addition, the doped $h$-$BN$ surfaces act as catalysts and dissociate the $H_2$ molecule with very low activation barrier, but the migration of the resulting H atoms on the surface requires high energy. In order to facilitate easy and fast migration of H atoms, we introduce the bond exchange mechanism using external mediators i.e. borane ($BH_3$) and gallane ($GaH_3$) molecules which serve as a secondary catalysts and help in lowering the migration barrier, leading to the formation of hydrogenated surface. The partially hydrogenated surface in turn can also act as a hydrogen storage material, with a higher propensity to adsorb hydrogen molecules when compared to the unhydrogenated surface. Hence the surface proposed in this work can be used to store substantial quantity of hydrogen as energy source with easy adsorption and desorption kinetics.
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1 Introduction

Hydrogen as energy source can be stored mainly in two forms, one as hydrogen molecule (H$_2$) adsorbed weakly on various surfaces (mainly physical adsorption)$^{1-3}$ and another as atomic hydrogen (H) bonded strongly with other elements (chemical bonding)$^{4-6}$. H$_2$ storage via physical adsorption is fully reversible and follow fast kinetics in comparison to the chemically bonded H atom, which usually needs high release temperatures. As per the concern of reversibility and stability, the H$_2$ binding energy should be in certain energy window i.e. about 0.2-0.7 eV.$^7$ From various studies it has been observed that the adsorption energy of H$_2$ on transition metal (TM) clusters supported on graphene and other 1D/2D nanostructure is in the desirable range.$^{8-13}$ But the major problem during hydrogen storage using metal adatoms/clusters via physical interaction is low gravimetric ratios at ambient conditions.$^{14,15}$ So to store the H$_2$ with high gravimetric density, the host material should only consist of light elements such as Be, B, C, O, N etc.$^{16-19}$ However storing of H$_2$ in the light element based materials with moderate binding energy remains a challenge. Overall, current research is mainly focused on designing efficient hydrogen storage materials to reach the department of energy (DOE) targets viz. gravimetric density of 9.0 wt %, volumetric density of 81g/L, operating temperature in the range of -40 to 85°C and applied pressure in the range of 1-100 atm.

There are several motivating works on carbon based nanomaterials (such as carbon nanotube (CNT), graphene, and fullerene) and metal organic frameworks (MOF) that illustrate them as possible materials for hydrogen storage.$^{20-24}$ Recently Chen et al. reported that Mg doped graphene oxide (GO) enhances the storage capacity upto 5.6 wt % at a temperature of about 200 K. The binding energy of H$_2$ with GO is found to be mainly dependent on the polarization interaction between Mg and O.$^{22}$ Another study signifies that boron doped graphene is a good medium for hydrogen storage when decorated with alkaline
earth metals (AEM) like Mg and Ca. The H₂ interaction on this medium shows a crossover between Kubas and multipole Coulomb effect depending on the ionic state of AEM and the number of adsorbed H₂ molecules. Durgun et al. have found that substitutional doping of Be into single wall carbon nanotube (SWNT) improves the H₂ binding energy up to 0.40 eV, which is very high compared to the pure SWNT (60 meV). The charge transfer from Be (~1.2 e) to nearest carbon atoms (~0.4 e to each neighbors) is established to be the cause of the enhanced binding strength. Hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) nanostructures also originate as good medium for hydrogen storage, when their electronic structure is perturbed. For instance, carbon doped boron nitride cages are proven to have promising potential as hydrogen storage materials with a storage capacity of 7.43 wt %. The activation energy for hydrogenation and dehydrogenation from B_{11}N_{12}C surface is found to be about 2 eV at room temperature and 10 bar applied pressure, with the repulsion between the electrons of the hydrogen and π electron of B_{11}N_{12}C reducing the energy barrier for dehydrogenation. It has been reported that Li decorated boron nitride atomic chain (BNAC) show a high storage capacity of 25.4 wt % because of strong hybridization between lithium 2p orbital and nitrogen 2p orbital along with the polarization interaction between H₂ molecule and Li decorated BNAC. On the other hand Han et al. explained that the spillover mechanism using a secondary catalyst can help in the formation of partially hydrogenated graphene surface with very low barrier energy and the number of atomic hydrogen bound to the substrate is a predominant factor to tune the magnitude of H₂ binding energy value.

In this work, we extensively study the H₂ interaction with pure and C, O, 2C, C-O and 2C-O doped h-BN monolayer and boron nitride nanocage (B_{12}N_{12}). Our calculations reveal that among the entire doping configuration, C-O doping synergistically enhances the chemical activity of h-BN nanostructures, leading to a higher value of adsorption energy. The
donation of electrons from \( p_z \) (of doped surface) to \( 1\sigma^* \) orbital of \( \text{H}_2 \) is assigned to be the cause of high binding energy as compared to pure \( h\text{-BN} \) case. We found that there is a probability of \( \text{H}_2 \) dissociation on doped \( h\text{-BN} \) surface with low activation energy barrier. However, the migration of the dissociated hydrogen atom along the doped and pure \( h\text{-BN} \) surfaces is unfavorable. We propose that secondary mediators such as borane (\( \text{BH}_3 \)) and gallane (\( \text{GaH}_3 \)) can help in the migration of H atom along the surface with very low barrier energy via bond exchange spillover mechanism. So during the \( \text{H}_2 \) adsorption and desorption process a partially hydrogenated surface is possible to form. Interestingly, it has been found that this partially hydrogenated \( h\text{-BN} \) monolayer has the tendency to bind \( \text{H}_2 \) molecule with higher binding energy value, and also could be considered as a \( \text{H}_2 \) storage surface.

### 2 Computational Details

We performed the calculations using spin polarized density-functional theory as implemented in the Cambridge Serial Total Energy package (CASTEP). The Vienna ab-Initio simulation package (VASP) has also been used for further verification. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was employed for exchange and correlation effect at Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) and Perdew and Wang’s 1991 (PW91) level. The potentials of the atoms were described by the ultrasoft pseudopotentials approach and projected augmented wave (PAW) method. The plane-wave basis set was considered with energy cutoff of 400 eV. Brillouin zone sampling was made with the Monkhorst Pack scheme and a K-Point grid of 7x7x1. For long-range van der Waals interaction, the parameter-free Tkatchenko–Scheffler (TS) and Ortmann Bechstedt and Schmidt (OBS) method were used with PBE and PW91 functional respectively (denoted as PBE+D or PBE+TS and PW91+D or PW91+OBS from here). All the structures were optimized until the total energy converged to less than \( 10^{-5} \text{eV/atom} \) and the maximum force converged to lower than 0.01
The complete linear and quadratic synchronous transit method (LST/QST) implemented in CASTEP was used to search for the Transition states. The nudged elastic band (NEB) method was also employed to estimate the transition states for further verification. We used (4×4) and (5×5) supercells (along a and b axis) and 20 Å vacuum along c-axis as the model geometry to explain our results. The binding energy (E_{B.E}) between the H\textsubscript{2} molecule and the doped surface is defined as

\[ E_{B.E} = E(\text{doped } h-BN + nH_2) - E[\text{doped } h-BN^+(n-1)H_2] - E(H_2), \]  

where \( E(\text{doped } h-BN + nH_2) \) is the total energy of the doped BN system with \( n \) H\textsubscript{2} molecules adsorbed, \( E[\text{doped } h-BN^+(n-1)H_2] \) is the energy of the same system with \( (n-1) \) H\textsubscript{2} molecules adsorbed and \( E(H_2) \) is the total energy of an isolated H\textsubscript{2} molecule.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1 Single H\textsubscript{2} adsorption

Hexagonal boron nitride monolayer and B\textsubscript{12}N\textsubscript{12} nanocage were chemically modified by substitutionally doping with carbon and oxygen atom. We substitute the C and O atom for B and N in \( h-BN \) surfaces correspondingly (an example of \( n \)-doped system). As the formation energy of an O atom replacing a B atom of \( h-BN \) is very high, this structure is predicted to be highly unstable, and hence we did not investigate this case. In Table S1 and Fig. S1 of supplementary information (SI), the formation energies of different configurations of doped \( h-BN \) sheet are summarized. Moreover, we have reported that doping enhances the activity of the \( h-BN \) monolayer. In order to test the storing capacity of hydrogen molecule on this class of surfaces, we first made a single H\textsubscript{2} molecule to interact with monolayer of doped \( h-BN \) and B\textsubscript{12}N\textsubscript{12} nanocage. Since the interaction energy of nonpolar H\textsubscript{2} molecules with the substrates containing light elements is mainly in the physisorption (London dispersion) range, we
consider the van der Waals interaction corrected total energy term to get more accurate results. We mainly use PBE+D and PW91+D approach to serve this purpose.\textsuperscript{43}

The optimized structures of a single H\textsubscript{2} molecule adsorbed on various doped h-BN monolayer are shown in Fig. 1. The H\textsubscript{2} binding energies and H\textsubscript{2}-surface distances (d\textsubscript{a}) are shown in the inset of each subfigure of Fig. 1. First, we examined the role of adsorption site of different doped h-BN surfaces. Mainly four different sites were tested, the top site of C, O, N and B atoms. We confirm that for doped systems, B and C atoms anchor the H\textsubscript{2} molecule with a slightly higher binding energy as compared to O and N atoms, as shown in the Fig. 1 and Fig. S2 of the SI. The adsorbed geometry of a H\textsubscript{2} molecule on C doped h-BN (CBN) is shown in Fig. 1a. Using the PW91+D functional the binding energy value is found to be about -0.16 eV, which is higher than the value obtained using PBE+D functional (E\textsubscript{B.E} = -0.06 eV). Next we have taken into account the oxygen doped h-BN (OBN) surface, shown in Fig. 1b for H\textsubscript{2} adsorption. A similar trend has been observed in this surface, the PW91+D functional showing a higher binding energy value compared to PBE+D functional. The higher binding energy of H\textsubscript{2} on OBN (E\textsubscript{B.E} = -0.18 eV using PW91+D) as compared to the CBN surface indicates that O doping enhances the interaction energy of h-BN system to capture H\textsubscript{2} molecules, which has been also experimentally observed by Lei et al.\textsuperscript{44} This may be attributed to the fact that, upon doping with oxygen, the h-BN surface undergoes structural modification and unequal amounts of charge are imparted to the surrounding boron atoms,\textsuperscript{41} which causes the B atom adjacent to the O dopant to become highly active. Next we assessed the H\textsubscript{2} adsorption ability of co-doped (C and O both) h-BN monolayer (COBN)\textsuperscript{40}. We choose the para configuration of C and O co-doped h-BN surface based on lowest formation energy (please see Fig. S1 in the SI). The H\textsubscript{2} adsorption is even stronger in the COBN surface, with E\textsubscript{B.E} = -0.28 eV (optimized structure is shown in Fig. 1c) and is higher than the other surfaces. In this case the H\textsubscript{2}-surface distance is about 2.58 Å. For this system the binding
energy value is larger than the required chemical potential of H₂ to store at 30 °C and 100 bar pressure. Next we increase the number of C dopants to two (2CBN) (optimized structure shown in Fig. 1d). The binding energy of H₂ on this system is higher than single-atom doping, but is less than the OBN and COBN systems. In order to increase the hydrogen storage ability of this surface, we doped an O atom onto the 2CBN system (2COBN) (Fig. S3). Our results reveal that the binding energy of H₂ on 2CO doped h-BN surface is higher than C, O and 2C doped h-BN system, but is still lesser than that of COBN system. These results indicate that the COBN structure is highly efficient in storing the hydrogen molecule as compared to all other doping configurations studied.

In order to find out the role of functionals on the H₂-surface interaction energy value, single hydrogen molecule binding energies are calculated for all type of configurations using PBE, PBE+TS, PW91, PW91+OBS, PBEₚₒḷ functionals and are tabulated in Table 1. Examination of Table 1 reveals that the binding energy values estimated using PW91 functional are mostly negative and higher than the \( E_{\text{B,E}} \) using PBE functional. In these surfaces the PBE functional underestimates the binding energy values, agreeing well with the reported results of Wang et al.\textsuperscript{29} Also Sun et al.\textsuperscript{45} accentuate that PW91 functional can estimate the proper binding energy in case of hydrogen adsorption. Similarly considering the dispersion energy term it has been found that the magnitude of binding energies are higher for the PW91+OBS compared to PBE+TS functional. Both the functionals follow the same trend in binding energy for different surfaces. It can be interpreted that PW91+OBS functional treats van der Waals force more correctly in these cases.\textsuperscript{46} So we used PW91+OBS functional for the further studies and the functional-dependent binding energy of H₂ on various doped h-BN configurations have been elaborately discussed in the later section. From the Table 1, it can also be inferred that the H₂ adsorption is highly preferred on COBN and
2COBN systems (in view of all functionals), suggesting these surfaces to be efficient materials for hydrogen storage.

Now we consider one more example of BN surface (BN-nanocage, B\textsubscript{12}N\textsubscript{12}) for finding the trend of H\textsubscript{2} binding energy value as a function of dopants. The B\textsubscript{12}N\textsubscript{12} is recognized to be a stable form of boron nitride\textsuperscript{28}, and its high surface to volume ratio can be employed to store larger number of hydrogen molecules. Similar to the monolayer case, the BN-nanocage was doped with C and O atoms, and the optimized structures are shown in Fig. 2. Binding energy of single H\textsubscript{2} adsorption on C doped BN-nanocage (CB\textsubscript{11}N\textsubscript{12}, we consider the similar notation from here for all the cases) is $E_{B.E} = -0.12$ eV (see Fig. 2a) (the value is similar to the previous work\textsuperscript{28}). In case of OB\textsubscript{12}N\textsubscript{11} the $E_{B.E} = -0.14$ eV, the increment in binding energy can be attributed to the fact that, O doping on B\textsubscript{12}N\textsubscript{12} leads to slight structural distortion of the host material, thereby increasing its ability to adsorb hydrogen molecules (see Fig. 2b). C-O co-doped on BN-nanocage (COB\textsubscript{11}N\textsubscript{11}) is shown in Fig. 2c, here the $E_{B.E} = -0.15$ eV, which is very low compared to COBN but is slightly higher than OB\textsubscript{12}N\textsubscript{11} and CB\textsubscript{11}N\textsubscript{12} and the distance between H\textsubscript{2} and the surface is about 2.83 Å. Using the enthalpy $\Delta H$ (i.e binding energy), the estimated free energy change ($\Delta G$) during hydrogenation (H\textsubscript{2} adsorption) on (COB\textsubscript{11}N\textsubscript{11}) surface at room temperature and 10 bar pressure shows better storage medium compare to the (CB\textsubscript{11}N\textsubscript{12}) surface\textsuperscript{28}. If we increase the number of carbon dopants to two in the BN-nanocage (2CB\textsubscript{10}N\textsubscript{12}), the binding energy is found to be -0.13 eV, the structure is shown in Fig. 2d. The CB\textsubscript{11}N\textsubscript{12} and 2CB\textsubscript{10}N\textsubscript{12} doping configurations of the nanocages exhibit similar adsorption energy values because of low charge transfer from doped surface to H\textsubscript{2} molecule. In order to promote the interaction of H\textsubscript{2} with the cages, we doped one more oxygen into 2CBNcage (2COB\textsubscript{10}N\textsubscript{11}) and the binding energy increases to -0.16 eV with optimized $d_a = 2.410$ Å (see Fig. S4). 2COB\textsubscript{10}N\textsubscript{11} can be regarded as the best
storage medium among the doped BN cages considered here as its interaction with H\textsubscript{2} surpasses the others.

3.2 Multi H\textsubscript{2} adsorption

Next we systematically investigated the interaction of more than one H\textsubscript{2} molecule on the various doped h-BN monolayer (COBN, 2CBN, 2COBN). We used the PW91+D functional for this purpose as it provides consistent energy values, described earlier in the text. In Fig. 3, the binding energy is presented as a function of the number of the adsorbed H\textsubscript{2} molecules. We added H\textsubscript{2} molecules to the surface one by one, relaxing the structure each time (taking into account that H\textsubscript{2} molecules adsorb on the surface one by one, during storage). Since lateral interactions between H\textsubscript{2} molecules may contribute significantly to the binding energy (discussed in detail in later section), we placed the H\textsubscript{2} molecules at a distance of \~{}3 Å from each other to ensure that H\textsubscript{2}-H\textsubscript{2} interactions are minimal. The optimized structure of two hydrogen molecules on the COBN surface is shown in Fig. 3a. Here the binding energy per H\textsubscript{2} on the COBN surface is about -0.23 eV, which is comparatively smaller than the single H\textsubscript{2} adsorbed on the COBN surface. Three H\textsubscript{2} molecules adsorbed on the COBN surface is shown in Fig. 3b, here the estimated E\textsubscript{B,E} per H\textsubscript{2} is about -0.25 eV, which is slightly higher than the case of two H\textsubscript{2} adsorbed on the COBN surface. Now on addition of the fourth H\textsubscript{2} molecule on COBN (see Fig. 3c) the E\textsubscript{B,E} per H\textsubscript{2} increases to about -0.28 eV. Trends in the estimated results indicate that for COBN surface the binding energy per H\textsubscript{2} increases with the total number of H\textsubscript{2} molecules per supercell, which is contrary to the case of metal-based systems wherein the binding energy per H\textsubscript{2} decreases with number of H\textsubscript{2} molecules\textsuperscript{29,47}. We also note that the bond length of free H\textsubscript{2} molecule is d\textsubscript{0} = 0.75 Å which is elongated to 0.78 Å in case of H\textsubscript{2} (first molecule) adsorbed on COBN system. Next, all the B and C sites of the COBN surface (only considered the single side of the COBN surface) were covered with H\textsubscript{2} molecules (16H\textsubscript{2}) in order to assess the ultimate binding energy limit. In fact, our results
reveal that the binding energy per H$_2$ molecule for 16H$_2$ molecules adsorbed on COBN surface increases to -0.42 eV. This increase of binding energy behavior clearly indicates that COBN surface is a good medium for H$_2$ uptake at ambient conditions. In the same way, we follow the multi hydrogen molecule adsorption on 2CBN and 2COBN surfaces and plotted the binding energy of all these configurations as a function of number of H$_2$ molecules as shown in Fig. 3d. The trend of binding energy obtained is similar for all the configurations. We need additional studies to understand the nature of interaction among the H$_2$ molecules and the surface. Also it has to be noted that increasing C-O co-doping concentration (ex: 2C2O, 3C3O per unit supercell are considered here) enhances the binding energy of H$_2$ molecule, which is an obvious phenomena and also a simple way to tune the binding energy(for more details see Fig. S5).

3.3 Interaction I: Partial density of states (PDOS)

In order to gain a deeper insight into the cause of binding between the H$_2$ molecule and the COBN monolayer, we plot the spin polarized partial density of states (PDOS) of (one, two, three and sixteen) H$_2$ adsorbed COBN systems, shown in Fig. 4. Here we consider the density of states only for C, O and B atoms (nearest to the H$_2$ molecule) for simplicity (indicated by the solid line), and PDOS of the H$_2$ molecules are depicted using a filled area plot, for easier interpretation. The donor electronic state (of both minority and majority carriers) at about -0.8 eV below the Fermi level (E$_f$) of the COBN surface is a clear indication of two–electron doping. The non-magnetic ground state of C-O co-doped h-BN is more favorable as per the functional used in this case. Before adsorption, the $1\sigma^*$ orbital of free H$_2$ molecule is empty and lies above the Fermi level. From the PDOS for the single H$_2$ adsorbed on B site of COBN in Fig. 4a, it is clear that there is electron donation from the surface donor state to the $1\sigma^*$ orbital of the H$_2$ molecule, making it partially occupied. The inset shows a closer view of PDOS near the Fermi level. The hybridization of states between defect levels
and $1\sigma^*$ orbital of H$_2$ molecule can be inferred to be the cause of increased binding energy of surface-H$_2$ due to doping. Fig. 4 (b) (c) and (d) show the PDOS of two, three and sixteen H$_2$ molecules adsorbed on the same surface (COBN). The Fig. 4 clearly demonstrate that, relatively the partial occupancy of state of H$_2$ molecules below the Fermi level is increasing as compared to single H$_2$ case. This phenomenon explains why the binding energy per H$_2$ molecule on such surfaces remains similar even when the concentration of H$_2$ increases. Also it has to be noted that the partial occupancy of $1\sigma^*$ orbital of the H$_2$ molecule increases in case of higher percentage C-O co-doping on the surface.

3.4 Interaction II: Isosurface and electron density difference

In order to analyze the nature of interactions that contributes to the total energy of the system, we make two models: In the first case, H$_2$ molecules are adsorbed on four boron sites and are made to interact with each other with an average distance of 2 Å (henceforth named as 4H$_2$-cluster) and in the second case, we remove a single H$_2$ molecule from the most active B site and place it far from the other 3H$_2$ molecules at a distance of ~ 4Å (termed as farH$_2$-3H$_2$cluster). The binding energy per H$_2$ molecule was calculated for the two cases. The binding energy per H$_2$ molecule in case of 4H$_2$-cluster is about -0.31 eV (the structure is shown in the Fig. 5a). For farH$_2$-3H$_2$ cluster case we found that the binding energy per H$_2$ decreases to about -0.22 eV (see Fig. 5b). This result clearly indicates that an active B site, near or far from C-O doping area plays a vital role in determining the adsorption energy of H$_2$. We believe that as H$_2$ clustering is more favorable in this case, the H$_2$-H$_2$ self-interaction also contributes to the enhancement in binding energy (discussed later). Now we examine the origin of larger binding of H$_2$, particularly with C-O co-doped $h$-BN monolayer and provide more insight into the cause of difference in binding energy of the H$_2$-cluster and the farH$_2$-3H$_2$ cluster with the surface, by performing isosurface and charge redistribution analysis. We
study mainly how the electronic charge density gets modified upon adsorption of H\textsubscript{2} molecule. More specifically, we plot isosurfaces using the equation

\[ \Delta \rho = \rho (\text{COBN + H}_2) - \rho (\text{COBN}) - \rho (\text{H}_2) \]  

(2)

where the terms on the right-hand-side (from left) of the equation are the electronic charge densities of the combined system, the doped h-BN alone, and the hydrogen molecule alone respectively. In Fig. 5c & d, we have plotted the isosurfaces of 4H\textsubscript{2}-cluster and far H\textsubscript{2}-3H\textsubscript{2} cluster adsorbed on COBN surface. The green lobes correspond to an accumulation of electrons, and the yellow lobes correspond to a depletion of electrons. The value we have chosen for plotting the isosurface is $2 \times 10^{-3} \text{e/bohr}^3$ for both the cases. The permanent dipole moment on the COBN substrate induces charge redistribution in the hydrogen molecules, which results in the formation of dipole moment in each H\textsubscript{2} molecule. This induced dipole on H\textsubscript{2} molecules signifies that it is the electrostatic interaction that binds the H\textsubscript{2} molecules to the COBN surface.\textsuperscript{48} From Fig. 5c it is clear that the magnitude of the charge contained within the green lobe is higher than that of yellow lobe. The estimated results reveal that charge has been transferred from the surface to the H\textsubscript{2} molecules. Moreover, in the 4H\textsubscript{2}-cluster case a green lobe is formed (indicated with solid red circle in Fig. 5c) between H\textsubscript{2} molecule and the C site, which helps to increase the binding between the particular H\textsubscript{2} with the surface. Formation of this green lobe clearly indicates that the charge has been removed from the $\pi$ orbital of carbon atom and is accumulated in the free region instead of any sites. It is also worth noting that the H\textsubscript{2} molecule indicated by the blue dotted circle in Fig. 5d (farH\textsubscript{2}-3H\textsubscript{2}cluster case) has a different orientation of the green and yellow lobes as compared to the other H\textsubscript{2} molecules.

Charge density differences were also calculated for the same two cases (4H\textsubscript{2}-cluster and farH\textsubscript{2}-3H\textsubscript{2}cluster) and are shown in Fig. 5e & f, respectively. A planar slice considered
along the direction parallel to the COBN surface touches all the four H$_2$ molecules, which helps to understand the interaction between H$_2$-H$_2$ molecules (if any) and also with the surface. The electron enrichment is indicated by red color, loss of electrons is shown by blue color and white color indicates the region with very small change in the electron density. The dotted black circle around the H$_2$ molecule indicates a large gain of electronic charge from the COBN surface. This is consistent with the insight obtained from the PDOS and isosurface analysis of the previous sections. The H$_2$-H$_2$ self interaction is higher in the case of 4H$_2$-cluster compared to the farH$_2$-3H$_2$ cluster, as can be inferred from the Fig. 5e and f. This clearly suggests that the self interaction energy also contributes to the binding energy value. For more insight we performed a test calculation of only 4H$_2$-cluster and farH$_2$-3H$_2$cluster without considering the surface (COBN). In this case the total energy of 4H$_2$-cluster is found to be more negative than the farH$_2$-3H$_2$ cluster. This energy difference signifies that there is a contribution to the binding energy due to H$_2$-H$_2$ self-interaction along with the partial charge transfer from the surface to H$_2$ molecules. The results indicate that sharing of electrons of bonding orbitals of H$_2$ is the cause of this phenomenon. Our results hence suggest that, as the binding value is in the physisorption range here, the H$_2$-H$_2$ self-interaction energy also needs to be considered while computing the binding energies. The details of H$_2$ adsorption on the different surfaces and the various means used to explain the interaction are tabulated in Table 2.

3.5 Bond exchange mechanism

Here we investigate the possibility and limitation on hydrogenation of pure and doped h-BN monolayer and discuss how an external mediator can assist in the spillover of hydrogen atom via bond exchange mechanism. First we elaborately study the H$_2$ interaction with partially hydrogenated surfaces considering the surfaces are highly stable and can be realized.
It has been found that the $\text{H}_2$ binding with partially hydrogenated COBN surface is high compared to all the other surfaces discussed earlier. The optimized structures of $\text{H}_2$ molecule on partially hydrogenated COBN surface is shown in Fig. 6a. The binding energy value is -0.32 eV and the distance $d_a$ is found to be 2.53 Å. Similar fact has also been observed for the case of partially hydrogenated, undoped $h$-$BN$ surface.

In order to realize the hydrogenation, the first step is the dissociation of the $\text{H}_2$ molecule. On pure $h$-$BN$ surface the energy required to dissociate the $\text{H}_2$ molecule into two H atoms is more than 2.29 eV, suggesting that dissociation at ordinary temperatures is quite unlikely. Similar fact has been observed on a pristine graphene surface, wherein the dissociation barrier for $\text{H}_2$ is quite high, but the dissociation of $\text{H}_2$ and hopping of H atom to the nearest carbon atom is feasible by using a metal cluster that acts as a catalyst and lowers the energy barrier ($E_a$) to about 0.65 eV. However, in our case the doped COBN system is itself acting as a catalyst. In order to calculate the dissociation barrier, we performed transition state search calculations considering a single $\text{H}_2$ molecule adsorbed on COBN surface as the initial state (I.S.) and two hydrogen atoms adsorbed on atop of boron atoms as the final state (F.S.), the structures of which are shown in the inset of Fig. 6b. Our calculations reveal that the energy required for the bond breaking of $\text{H}_2$ on COBN surface is about 0.78 eV, the transition state (T.S.) structure is shown in the inset of Fig. 6b. The reaction energy in this case is about -2.75 eV, indicating the high stability of H atom as compared to $\text{H}_2$ molecule on COBN surface. Also it has to be noted that the binding energy of the atomic hydrogen adsorbed on COBN is very high compared to that on pure $h$-$BN$ system. These results clearly indicate that dissociation of $\text{H}_2$ on COBN surface is possible at low temperature, whereas on pure $h$-$BN$ surface it needs very high temperature.
Now after dissociation, the migration of hydrogen atom with low energy barrier is required for easy and fast kinetics at low temperature to realize the hydrogenation of surface. Partially hydrogenated pure $h$-$BN$ and COBN surfaces are considered for our purpose to find the migration energy barrier. First we discuss the case of H atom migration on pure $h$-$BN$ surface. The I.S., F.S. and the T.S. structures are shown in the inset of Fig. 6c. In the inset of Fig. 6c (see the I.S. structure) the small bended red arrow is used to depict the H migration direction, pointing to one of the closest and unoccupied B atom on the surface from its I.S. The dragging of H atom from paired chair like site to the nearest B site needs very high barrier energy of about $E_a = 2.35$ eV. The reaction energy in this case is highly endothermic indicating that clustering of H atom is more favorable.\textsuperscript{30} Similarly considering the COBN surface, the energy barrier to migrate the H atom is $E_a = 3.05$ eV (the energy barrier is defined as the energy of T.S. in reference to the energy of I.S, shown in Fig. 6d), which is higher than the pure hydrogenated case. In this case also the reaction energy is endothermic in nature. The corresponding T.S. structure is illustrated in the inset of Fig. 6d, in which the H atom is away from the boron sites with an average B-H distance of about 2.4 Å. The barrier energy in both the cases indicates an impractical migration of hydrogen atom at ambient condition. We also considered the single H migration just after the $H_2$ dissociation near the active site. For this case the barrier energy is about 2.32 eV (the I.S., F.S and the calculated barrier energy are demonstrated in the Fig. S6). It can be concluded from this study that although dissociation is possible in COBN surface, the migration of H atom by its own needs very high temperature (looks not viable).

To solve the problem for easy and fast migration of H atom at ambient conditions we proposed a new mechanism that can be termed as bond exchange mechanism using external catalysts or agents. Here we introduce two examples of external catalyst, borane ($BH_3$) and
gallane (GaH$_3$) molecules. A BH$_3$ molecule near to one of the dissociated H atoms adsorbed on COBN surface is considered as I.S. (completely relaxed structure) and a BH$_3$ molecule on close proximity with a H atom adsorbed on a neighboring B atom has been considered as F.S. (structures are shown in the insets of Fig. 6e). So here we introduce bond exchange spillover mechanism i.e. H atom exchange at the same ring via migration of H atom using bond breaking (from surface) and swapping of one of the H atom of BH$_3$ to the surface, this is clearly seen from the structure of I.S. and F.S. In a sense, the H atom (neutral form) spillover through secondary catalyst via bond exchange is similar to the Grotthus-proton exchange in the water network.$^{39,58}$ The bond exchange barrier energy to migrate the H atom using BH$_3$ molecule is about $E_a = 0.57$ eV, which is very low compared to the energy required to desorb BH$_4$ by breaking the surface-H bond far from the COBN surface (about 2.56 eV). The reaction energy is about 0.38 eV, indicating the route is slightly endothermic in nature. This is an obvious fact, as during bond exchange spillover, the H atom is moving away from the active site. It is also worth noting that while BH$_3$ interacts quite strongly with a H atom that is adsorbed near to the doping site, the interaction energy of BH$_3$ is not so strong with a H atom adsorbed far from the dopant site. Hence we can infer that the complete dissociation of BH$_4$ is less favorable compared to the H atom migration using bond exchange mechanism. Similar results have been observed by considering GaH$_3$ as a secondary catalyst. The structures of reaction states (I.S., F.S. and T.S.) to explain the bond exchange mechanism using the GaH$_3$ as secondary catalyst on hydrogenated COBN surface are shown in the inset of Fig. 6f. In this case the maximum energy required for the bond exchange is about $E_a = 0.65$ eV and the reaction energy is about 0.35 eV. Hence the bond exchange mechanism sufficiently decreases the activation barrier ($E_a < 0.65$ eV) for H migration on the substrate. We also considered NH$_3$ and SiH$_4$ as secondary catalysts, but found them not to be suitable candidates to initiate bond exchange spillover (see Fig. S7).
4 Conclusions

In summary, first principles theory based DFT calculations explain significantly the increased binding strength for H$_2$ molecule with n-doped h-BN surface compared to the pristine form. To find out the best storage medium, we checked various types of h-BN nanostructures and finalize that some particular systems like COBN, 2COBN, 2COB$_{10}$N$_{11}$ nanocage and partially hydrogenated COBN surfaces are superior media for the purpose. It has been observed that electron donation from the surface donor state to the 1$\sigma^*$ orbital of the H$_2$ molecule, is the governing reason for the stronger binding. The 6.25 % C-O doping is enough to store 7.4 wt % of H$_2$ which is quite acceptable according to DOE target. On COBN surface the barrier energy to split the H-H bond is estimated to be about 0.78 eV. But the spillover of H atom needs very high temperature, which seems quite unfeasible for the hydrogenation of such surface. We proposed that easy H atom migration along the surface is possible via bond exchange mechanism using some external mediators (ex: GaH$_3$, BH$_3$). The hydrogen atom can migrate via bond exchange mechanism in the same hexagonal ring with energy barrier of about 0.57- 0.65 eV. Also it has been found that the partial hydrogenation on the surfaces can enhance the binding of molecular hydrogen. Our findings conclude that metal free light element based C and O doped h-BN nanostructure is an efficient medium to store hydrogen energy and the bond exchange mechanism can be used as an effective approach for adsorption and desorption of hydrogen atoms.
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H$_2$ molecule interaction with additional doped surfaces and also the case of higher doping percentage has been considered. Explain the possibility of bond exchange mechanism using ammonia (NH$_3$) and silane (SiH$_4$) molecules as an external mediator.
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Table 1. Binding energies (E_{B.E}) of single H\textsubscript{2} adsorbed on different surfaces are calculated using various exchange and correlation functional. Gray color shading indicates the highest binding of H\textsubscript{2} with C and O co-doped h-BN surface compare to other systems. All the energy values are in eV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>E\textsubscript{B.E} (PBE)</th>
<th>E\textsubscript{B.E} (PBE+TS)</th>
<th>E\textsubscript{B.E} (PW91)</th>
<th>E\textsubscript{B.E} (PW91+OBS)</th>
<th>E\textsubscript{B.E} (PBE\textsubscript{sol})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>h-BN</td>
<td>+0.04</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-h-BN</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-h-BN</td>
<td>+0.04</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-h-BN</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.045</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C-h-BN</td>
<td>+0.11</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2CO-h-BN</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>(E_{B.E}) (eV)</td>
<td>Wt%</td>
<td>(d_a) (Å)</td>
<td>(d_b) (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal as adsorbent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg doped Graphene oxide\textsuperscript{22}</td>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg and Ca doped graphene complexes\textsuperscript{23}</td>
<td>LDA &amp; GGA</td>
<td>0.31(0.21)</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be substituted SWNT\textsuperscript{24}</td>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum doped BNNT\textsuperscript{25}</td>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum doped graphene\textsuperscript{47}</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clustering of Ti on (\text{C}_{60}) surface\textsuperscript{49}</td>
<td>PW91</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc or Ti decorated on B doped fragmented graphitic shells (FGS)\textsuperscript{50}</td>
<td>LDA and GGA</td>
<td>0.3-0.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallo-carbohedrenes(M(<em>8)C(</em>{12})) (M=Ti, Sc and V)\textsuperscript{51}</td>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>0.15-0.46</td>
<td>10.96-15.06</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti(Sc) decorated boron-carbon-nitride sheet\textsuperscript{52}</td>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>0.13-0.56</td>
<td>7.6-7.8</td>
<td>1.85 (2.21)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-elements as adsorbent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN sheet\textsuperscript{53}</td>
<td>BLYP</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNNT(stone-Wales defect)\textsuperscript{54}</td>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charged fullerene ({\text{C}<em>{28}^{55} \text{ &amp; C}</em>{60}^{6+}}) \textsuperscript{55}</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Li}<em>{12}\text{C}</em>{60})\textsuperscript{56}</td>
<td>PW91</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2\text{COB}<em>{10}\text{N}</em>{11}) (Current Study)</td>
<td>PW91+OBS</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially hydrogenated (\text{COBN}) (Current Study)</td>
<td>PW91+OBS</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Bond lengths between \(\text{H}_2\)-surface \((d_a)\) and \(\text{H}-\text{H} \((d_b)\)\), exchange and correctional functional used, binding energy \((E_{B.E})\), weight percentage, storage mechanisms during the \(\text{H}_2\) adsorption on different classes of materials.
Fig. 1. Optimized geometries for single H$_2$ adsorption on (a) CBN (b) OBN (c) COBN and (d) 2CBN sheets. The red, gray, pink and blue balls represent the oxygen, carbon, boron, nitrogen atoms. Hydrogen molecule is represented by white balls. Binding energies ($E_{B.E}$) are in eV and are calculated using two different functional. H$_2$-surface distance ($d_s$) are in Å. Black dotted line is for guide to the eye to show nearest active sites.
Fig. 2. Single H$_2$ molecule adsorption on different doped B$_{12}$N$_{12}$ nanocages (a) CB$_{11}$N$_{12}$, (b) OB$_{12}$N$_{11}$, (c) COB$_{11}$N$_{11}$, (d) 2CB$_{10}$N$_{12}$. All colors and symbols follow the same convention as those used in Fig.1. Binding energies ($E_{B.E}$) are in eV and H$_2$-surface distance ($d_a$) are in Å.
Fig. 3. Optimized structures for (a) two (b) three and (c) four hydrogen molecules adsorbed on COBN monolayer. The numbers preceding each H\textsubscript{2} represent the order in which H\textsubscript{2} is added and the numbers on the surface denote the corresponding adsorption site of each molecule. (d) Graph demonstrates the binding energy as function of number of H\textsubscript{2} molecules desorbed on different surfaces. Binding energies (E\textsubscript{B,E}) are in eV.
Fig. 4. Partial density of states of the $\text{H}_2$ molecules and the C, O and B atoms (adsorb sites) of (a) single $\text{H}_2$ molecule adsorption on COBN system, (b) two $\text{H}_2$ adsorption on COBN, (C) three $\text{H}_2$ adsorption on COBN and (d) 16 $\text{H}_2$ adsorption on COBN. The insets are magnified view of PDOS near the Fermi level.
Fig. 5. Energy values and optimized structures of (a) 4H$_2$ cluster, (b) farH$_2$-3H$_2$ cluster on COBN surface. Redistribution of electronic charge upon adsorption of (c) 4H$_2$ cluster, (d) farH$_2$-3H$_2$ cluster on COBN surface. Green and yellow lobes correspond to a gain and depletion of electronic charge, respectively. The isosurfaces value 2×10$^{-3}$ electrons/bohr is consider for all the cases. Charge density difference value is same for the two cases, a slice along the direction parallel to the COBN surface touches all the four H$_2$ molecules are demonstrate in (e) & (f). Gain of electron is indicated by red color, loss of electron is shown by blue color and white color indicates the region with very small change in the electron density. Binding energies (E$_{B,E}$) are in eV.
Fig. 6 Optimized structure for (a) H$_2$ adsorption on partially hydrogenated COBN surface. The binding energy (eV) and H$_2$-surface distance (Å) are written in the inset; (b) shows the barrier energy for the dissociation of H$_2$ on COBN surface. The barrier energy curve during the migration of H atom from the paired configuration on pure $h$-BN and COBN surfaces are shown in (c) and (d) respectively. The energy barrier curve during the hydrogen spillover on COBN surface via bond exchange mechanism using secondary mediator BH$_3$ and GaH$_3$ are shown in (e) and (f) respectively. In (b) to (f) the inset shows the relaxed structure of initial state (I.S.), transition state (T.S.) and final state (F.S.). The black line connecting I.S., T.S. and F.S. are only for guide to the eye.
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