Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsA

1	
2	
3	
4	Centrifugation-free and high yield synthesis of nanosized
5	H-ZSM-5 and its structure-guided aromatization of
6	methanol to 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene
7	
8	Kui Shen ^{ab} , Weizhong Qian ^a *, Ning Wang ^a , Chang Su ^a , and Fei Wei ^a
9	
10	^a Beijing Key Laboratory of Green Chemical Reaction Engineering and Technology,
11	Department of Chemical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P.R.
12	China;
13	^b School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, South China University of
14	Technology, Guangzhou 510640, P.R.China.
15	

ABSTRACT: Nanosized H-ZSM-5 has been proven an efficient way to improve 1 mass transport property with shape selectivity in many catalytic reactions. Generally, 2 the synthesis of very fine nanosized H-ZSM-5 always suffers from low product yield 3 and complicated centrifugal separation process, which severely hinder its large-scale 4 preparation and industrial applications. Herein, we report a centrifugation-free and 5 high yield synthesis route for hierarchically nanosized ZSM-5 with a wide Si/Al ratio 6 range by a combination of pre-aging process and steam-assisted conversion method 7 8 using alkalis-free powder as the ZSM-5 precursor. This facile route not only avoids 9 the energy-intensive centrifugal separation and ion-exchange process, but also greatly increases the crystallization efficiency with high yield. The obtained nanosized 10 ZSM-5 possesses ultrafine uniform size, high surface area, high total pore volumes, 11 12 tunable Si/Al molar ratio and high crystallinity. As a result, the nanosized ZSM-5 shows excellent catalytic performance when used in the catalytic conversion of 13 methanol to aromatics. Notably, the nanosized ZSM-5 with a Si/Al_{th} of 60 (NZS-60) 14 shows almost 25-fold longer catalytic lifetime as well as up to 16% higher total 15 16 aromatic selectivity when compared with conventional ZSM-5. Furthermore, the selectivity of 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene over this catalysts can be up to 44% in all 17 products and 64% in aromatics products. Characterization results of the spent samples 18 reveal that the most-improved catalytic performance and high selectivity of 1, 2, 19 20 4-trimethylbenzene over the nanosized ZSM-5 could be attributed to its small crystal size and hierarchical structure, which not only prevented the deposition of 21 polyaromatic hydrocarbon in the microspores, but also sharply increased the reaction 22 efficiency of bulky intermediate products in the surface of catalyst. 23

- 24
- 25
- 26

27 KEYWORDS: Methanol; Nanosized; ZSM-5; Zeolites; MTA reaction; Coke
28 deposition.

1 1. INTRODUCTION

The limited availability of crude oil stimulates the study of new processes using 2 other cheap or renewable resources to prepare aromatics,^[1-7] which is one of the most 3 important raw materials for chemical industry and is basically produced by 4 petrochemical processes such as reforming and cracking using crude oil.^[5, 8, 9] Among 5 these alternative processes, catalytic conversion of methanol to aromatics (MTA) is a 6 promising technology for the production of aromatics with high quality, and thus has 7 attracted extensive attention.^[10-12] ZSM-5 zeolite with MFI structure has been 8 proved to be a highly effective catalyst for the aromatization of a wide range of 9 feedstocks, because of its well-defined channels, high surface area, tunable acidity 10 and high hydrothermal stability.^[2, 5, 7, 13-15] The ZSM-5 zeolite has unique 11 12 molecular-sized micropores with sinusoidal channels of 0.55 nm x 0.51 nm in [100], and straight channels of 0.56 nm x 0.53 nm in [010], and thus shows excellent shape 13 selectivity in many aromatization reactions.^[2, 5, 7, 16, 17] However, these definite 14 micropores could cause severe diffusion resistance of larger molecules in the zeolite 15 16 and thus often result in quick deactivation by the deposition of carbonaceous residues that block the reactants from accessing the active sites.^[13, 14, 18-20] 17 Nanosized ZSM-5 zeolite has short channel in all a,b,c-axis directions and allows the 18 quick diffusion of reactant or intermediate products and, consequently, exhibited 19 20 much longer life time in high temperature catalytic processes, compared to conventional microsized ZSM-5. However, the annual amount of nanosized ZSM-5 21 produced to this date is far lower than that of microsized ZSM-5, owing to the 22 following apparent engineering challenges. First, traditional synthesis routes for 23 nanosized ZSM-5, mainly concentrating on the confined space synthesis^[21-26] and 24 clear solutions synthesis,^[27-30] is normally conducted in aqueous solutions with 25 NaOH as the mineralizer.^[21, 22] So, the as-prepared nanosized Na-ZSM-5 in 26 crystallization liquid always require repeated energy-intensive centrifugal 27 separation and ion-exchange process to obtain the final active H-form nanosized 28 29 ZSM-5. The great energy consumption and long time operation seriously retard its commercial application. Second, hydrothermal synthesis of nanosized ZSM-5 30

always suffers from low product yield and thus results in stringent environmental 1 regulations by the uncrystallized aluminosilicate and serious loss of the centrifugal 2 separation in remaining synthesis solution.^[27, 29, 31, 32] It is, therefore, highly 3 desirable to develop a novel route for nanosized H-ZSM-5 in terms of simplicity, 4 reproducibility and large-scale applicability for MTA process. 5

Herein, we report a centrifugation-free and high yield route for nanosized H-ZSM-5 6 with excellent structural properties by a combination of pre-aging process and 7 8 steam-assisted conversion (SAC) method using alkalis-free powder as the ZSM-5 9 precursor. The thorough characterization and systematic study of synthesis conditions 10 indicate that the nanosized ZSM-5 with ultrafine size (30-60 nm), wide Si/Al ratio range, high surface area (above 440 m^2/g), high total pore volumes (above 0.7 cm^3/g), 11 12 and high crystallinity can be obtained by a two-step approach with an aging time of 72 h in 90 °C and an NH₄NO₃/SiO₂ molar ratio of 0.017-0.34. Then, these obtained 13 nanosized ZSM-5 catalysts with different densities of acid sites (Si/Al_{th}=30, 60 and 90) 14 are applied as a solid acid catalyst for the catalytic conversion of methanol to 15 16 aromatics. The reaction results indicate the nanosized ZSM-5 has much better catalytic stability and higher selectivity of 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene compared to 17 conventional ZSM-5. To determine why, we study thoroughly the deactivated 18 catalysts and monitor the quantity and location of coke formation during the reaction. 19

20

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Centrifugation-free and high yield synthesis of nano-sized ZSM-5 21

22 The reactants used in the synthesis are tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS, Beijing 23 Modern Eastern Fine Chemicals Co., Ltd), tetrapropylammonium hydroxide (TPAOH, 24 25% in water, Zhejiang Ken Te Chemical Co., Ltd.), Aluminium nitrate nonahydrate (Al (NO₃)₃.9H₂O, Beijing Chemical Works) and ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃, Beijing 25 26 Chemical Works).

In this study, three samples with Si/Alth molar ratio of 30, 60 and 90 (denoted as 27 NZS-30, NZS-60 and NZS-90) are synthesized using this facile synthesis route to 28 29 certify the tunable Si/Al of our route to cater the strict operation condition of MTA reaction. In a typical synthesis of nanosized ZSM-5, 24.3 g TEOS, used as silica 30

source, is added into 25.8 g TPAOH with continuous stirring. The molar composition 1 of the gel is 92 SiO₂: 25 TPAOH: 479 H₂O: 368 EtOH. The presence of ethanol 2 (EtOH) in the mixed gel is due to the hydrolysis of TEOS with H₂O. After 3 homogenizing at room temperature for 1 h, the mixture is hydrothermally treated at 90 4 ^oC for 72 h. After cooling down, the solution containing a little water, 0.58-1.43 g 5 Al(NO₃)₃.9H₂O, and 0-0.75 g NH₄NO₃ is added to the mixture slowly. The resulting 6 mixture is further stirred for about 1 h, and then heated at 60 °C to complete dryness. 7 8 The resulting dry precursor lumps are coarsely crushed. Then, 5 g precursor powder is transferred into a 15 mL Teflon cup, which itself is placed into a 70 mL Teflon liner 9 10 with a support. 2 g of distilled water is poured at the bottom of the liner without contacting the dry gel in the inner Teflon cup. The charged liner assembly is placed 11 12 into an 80 mL steel reactor and transferred into a preheated oven at the 180°C and heated for 24 h. The crystallization reaction is subsequently quenched by cold water. 13 The obtained powder is directly dried at 110 °C overnight without centrifugal 14 separation and ion-exchange steps, and subsequently calcined in air at 550 °C for 5 h 15 16 to remove the template (TPAOH) to obtain the final H-form nanosized ZSM-5.

For comparison purposes, three conventional Na-ZSM-5 with the Si/Al_{th} of 30, 60, 17 and 90 (Fig. S2) are also synthesized according to the method reported by JC Groen et 18 al^[33] with a little modification. The corresponding H-ZSM-5 (denoted as CZS-30, 19 20 CZS-60 and CZS-90, respectively) is prepared through ion-exchange three times and calcination with conventional Na-ZSM-5 as the starting material. Detailedly, the 21 obtained conventional Na-ZSM-5 is firstly converted to the ammonium form by 22 cation-exchange in a NH₄NO₃ solution. Five grams of Na-ZSM-5 is dispersed in 100 23 24 ml NH₄NO₃ solution (1M) and vigorously stirred for 6 h. The solid products were collected by filtering separation. The exchange process is performed repeatedly 3 25 times to complete the exchange reaction, and NH₄-ZSM-5 is formed. The final 26 C-ZSM-5 product is obtained by calcining the NH₄-ZSM-5 powder at 550 °C for 5 h. 27 In order to investigate the necessity of cation-exchange process, the N-ZSM-5 28 29 obtained directly by direct synthesis route is also ion-exchanged according to the process above except using centrifugal separation with a high speed of 12000 r /min 30

1 for 30 min.

2

3 2.2. Characterization of the fresh ZSM-5 samples

X-ray diffractions (XRD) are recorded with a Rigaku D/Max-RB diffractometer 4 using Cu Ka Radiation at 40 kV and 120 mA. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) 5 images are performed by a high-resolution scanning electron microscope (JEOL, 6 7 JSM-7401) at 3.0 kV. TEM experiments are performed on a high-resolution 8 transmission electron microscope (JEOL, JEM-2010, exited at 120kV). 9 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area are recorded in a Quantachrome automated surface area and porosity analyzer with N2 as the adsorption gas. NH3-TPD 10 is recorded in a Quantachrome automated chemisorption analyzer from room 11 12 temperature to 850°C with a ramp of 10°C/min. The Si/Al of the zeolite is obtained by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES, IRIS Intrepid 13 II XSP). NMR spectra are obtained on a Varian Infinityplus-400 spectrometer. 14 Brønsted acid sites and Lewis acid sites were determined by pyridine adsorption. The 15 16 samples are first dried, in situ, by heating to 723 K under vacuum, and then were cooled to 323 K. At this temperature, the samples are exposed to pyridine vapour 17 18 using an equilibration time of 30 min. After physically adsorbed pyridine molecules being removed by outgassing at 423 K for 1 h, IR spectra are collected at 423 K with 19 4 cm⁻¹ resolution using a Nicolet FTIR spectrometer. 20

21

22 **2.3.** Catalytic conversion of methanol to aromatics

Before catalytic test, all the samples are modified with 2 wt% Zn by traditional incipient wetness impregnation using aqueous solutions of Zn $(NO_3)_2$. $6H_2O$. Then the Zn-modified samples are dried overnight, and calcinated in air at 823 K for 5 h.

The MTA reactions are performed at the conditions of 1 atm, 748 K and WHSV =0.75 h⁻¹ (under N₂ flow (10 mL min⁻¹)) in a conventional fixed bed stainless steel reactor (13.0 mm i.d) equipped with a thermocouple in the middle of the catalyst bed. 0.70 g of catalyst is placed in the fixed bed reactor. The flow rate of pure methanol and N₂ is controlled using a dual micro-plunger pump and the mass flow controllers, respectively. The products (hydrocarbons) are analyzed using two flame ionization
detector (FID). The conversion of methanol and the selectivity of different products
were calculated (carbon base) by considering oxygenates (methanol and
dimethylether) as the unconverted reactant.

5

6 2.4. Analysis of partially deactivated catalysts

7 The partially deactivated catalysts after catalytic tests are characterized by 8 TGA-DSC, nitrogen adsorption, TEM and GC-MS to investigate the factors resulting in the superior catalytic performance of the nanosized ZSM-5. TGA-DSC is 9 10 performed using a thermo gravimetric analyzer (TGA/DSC-1) in the range 30-900 °C at a heating rate of 10 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ in air. The coke species formed on the deactivated 11 12 catalysts after reactions are also characterized by gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS). The coke species are extracted by the following method 13 developed by Guisnet^[34] with a little modification. To obtain the relative 14 concentrations of the organics formed over different samples, the exactly same 15 16 amount (20 mg) of the deactivated catalyst is always dissolved in 1 mL of 15% HF solution. Organic species are then extracted with 0.8 mL C(CH₃)₂Cl₂ and analyzed 17 using GC-MS (MSD 5973, EI 70 eV) with a HP-5MS column (30 m, 0.25 mm i.d.), 18 and the graphite carbon in the water phase is characterized by TEM experiments. 19

20 Further, the location and the amount of coke in Zn/NZS-60 are analyzed as a function of the reaction time using the previously reported methods.^[35-37] The total 21 amount of coke (external+internal) within the partially deactivated Zn/NZS-60 at 22 different reaction time is obtained using TGA analysis. The amount of the internal 23 coke is estimated from the decrease in micropore volume (determined by N₂ 24 adsorption measurements) relative to the pristine sample, by assuming a coke density 25 of 1.22 g/cm^3 . The external coke is calculated by subtracting the internal coke content 26 from the total coke content. 27

28 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

29 **3.1.** Characterization of the fresh ZSM-5 samples.

30 The preparation of N-ZSM-5 is also shown schematically in Fig. 1. The steam

assisted conversion (SAC) method is a very efficient method for preparing zeolites. 1 ^[38-41] In our route using SAC method, very little water necessary for crystallization is 2 always kept separate from precursor powder during the crystallization reactions. 3 Obviously, after the crystallization process, the products are in solid phase, free of 4 alkali ions, which could be directly converted to the H-form nanosized ZSM-5 for 5 acid catalytic applications only via calcination. In addition, the avoidance of solvents 6 in the synthesis and repeated wash process for removing NaOH not only significantly 7 8 reduces the waste production, but also greatly enhances its crystallization efficiency 9 with high yield.

10 Fig. 2 shows the representative low and high magnification SEM images of the nanosized ZSM-5 prepared by our route. The SEM images clearly show that the 11 12 N-ZSM-5 is composed of many spherical crystallites with uniform sizes of about 50 nm. To further confirm the particle sizes and the structure, the nanosized ZSM-5 are 13 studied by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The TEM images (Fig. 3a-c) 14 reveal abundant mesoporous network through the assembly of these uniform 15 16 nanosized ZSM-5 crystals. Analysis with HR-TEM images in Fig. 3d-f elucidates that all the samples are completely crystalline, as the parallel lattice fringes can be clearly 17 observed and spread throughout the entire crystals. Individual particles can be 18 recognized with average sizes of about 50 nm. Obviously, the Si/Al of the ZSM-5 19 20 precursor hardly affects the size and morphology of the final products, which is different from the general pattern when using hydrothermal methods.^[42] The absence 21 of sponge-like material in the SEM and TEM pictures indicates the absence of 22 amorphous material. Since no silica and aluminium source are washed away in the 23 whole synthetic route, the yield of these nanosized ZSM-5 is nearly 100%, in stark 24 contrast to the low yields of nanoparticles usually obtained from conventional 25 hydrothermal route.^[27, 29, 42, 43] 26

The stacking of these nanosized crystals produces the substantial mesoporosity, leading to different pore structure from the conventional ZSM-5 (Fig. S2). Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms and BJH pore size distribution are shown in Fig. 4. The CZS-30 shows a typical type-I isotherm corresponding to the solely microporous

structure. However, all isotherms of the nanosized H-ZSM-5 exhibit a typical 1 adsorption curve of type I plus type IV with an apparent enhanced uptake and an 2 obvious hysteresis loop in the P/Po range of 0.8 to1, indicating its remarkable 3 micro-/mesoporous structure.^[24, 44, 45] Correspondingly, pore size analysis by the BJH 4 method using the adsorption branch of the isotherm (Fig. 4b) shows that all the 5 nanosized H-ZSM-5 possess a broad pore distribution with a mean pore size of about 6 7 20 nm. As a result, the nanosized H-ZSM-5 have a much higher BET area and a larger mesopore volume than the CZS-30. As summarized in Table 1, the BET surface area 8 increases from 388 m^2g^{-1} for the CZS-30 up to 441.5-484.0 m^2g^{-1} for nanosized 9 ZSM-5. The total pore volume increases from 0.19 cm³ g⁻¹ to 0.72-0.76 cm³ g⁻¹ and 10 mesopore volume increases from 0.04 cm³ g⁻¹ to more than 0.58-0.62 cm³ g⁻¹. It is also 11 12 worth noting that the textural properties do not noticeably change while increasing the Si/Al of the nanosized H-ZSM-5. 13

The XRD patterns of all samples (Fig. 5a) show five well-resolved peaks at 7.98°, 14 8.82°, 23.18°, 24.02° and 24.46°, which are in good agreement with high crystalline 15 MFI-structured ZSM-5 without any impurities or amorphous phase.^[30, 46] The XRD 16 line widths of all the nanosized H-ZSM-5 are much broader than those for CZS-30 17 due to their smaller crystal size.^[47] Fig. 5b shows the UV Raman spectra of the 18 samples excited at 325 nm. All samples show the characteristic bands of the MFI 19 structure with a wide band at 380 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 5b), which is associated with the 20 framework symmetric stretching vibration of a five-membered building unit in ZSM-5 21 zeolites.^[32, 48, 49] Generally, the catalytically active acid sites of ZSM-5 are always 22 correlated to the presence of intra-framework aluminum atoms. Thus, successful 23 incorporation of aluminum atoms into the silica framework of nanosized ZSM-5 with 24 wide Si/Al_{th} is verified by ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. 5c, the 25 ²⁷Al MAS-NMR spectra of all the samples show only one sharp aluminum peak at 52 26 ppm indicating that all the aluminum atoms is incorporated into the ZSM-5 27 framework with tetrahedral coordination environment.^[50] In addition, the ²⁹Si MAS 28 NMR spectra of all samples (Fig. S3) show similar features with a sharp peak at -11229 ppm and a small peak at -105 ppm. The sharp peak can be attributable to Si(0A1) 30

contribution and the small peak to the Si(1Al) contribution,^[51] which further confirm
the present of framework aluminum in the nanosized ZSM-5. Thus, the nanosized
ZSM-5 with a wide range of Si/Al can be prepared directly by this facile direct
synthesis route.

Furthermore, the different textural properties brought about by crystal sizes might 5 induce remarkable changes of surface properties, which play a crucial role in the 6 7 catalytic performance of zeolite-based catalysts. The infrared spectra of the dehydrated of CZS-30 (Fig. 5d) show no obvious peaks because of the broad 8 background peak. In sharp contrast, the infrared spectra of three nanosized ZSM-5 in 9 the region of OH stretching vibrations show a major band at 3745 cm⁻¹ attributed to 10 silanol groups, which is in agreement with its decreased crystal sizes and increased 11 12 external surface area. It is reported that this type of Si-related defective sites is very effectual to increase yields of aromatics and paraffins for MTH reaction.^[52] Besides, 13 additional spectral components of lower intensity are found over nanosized ZSM-5, 14 which could be attributed to: 1) perturbed silanols mainly located inside the zeolite 15 crystals (3727 cm⁻¹), 2) Al(OH)Si groups corresponding to strongly acidic Brønsted 16 sites (3612 cm⁻¹), and 3) a broad band corresponding to silanols interacting through 17 hydrogen bonding (3500 cm⁻¹). As for NZS-60 and NZS-90, the intensity of the bands 18 at 3745 cm⁻¹ and 3500 cm⁻¹ are more strong than NZS-30, suggesting that the Si/Al of 19 20 nanosized ZSM-5 could influence its surface characteristic significantly. There are hardly any peaks at about 3660 cm⁻¹ ascribed to OH groups bound to extra-framework 21 and/or perturbed framework Al atoms,^[52, 53] indicating successful incorporation of all 22 aluminum atoms into the silica framework of the samples. 23

In order to certify the feasibility of our synthesis route, we compare the acidic properties of pristine nanosized ZSM-5 and the samples after 3 times of ion-exchange. As shown in Fig. 6, minor differences are observed in both the TPD curves (Fig. 6a) and pyridine-absorbed FTIR spectra (Fig. 6b) between the three couples of nanosized ZSM-5, indicating energy-intensive centrifugal separation and ion-exchange process are indeed unnecessary in our route. In addition, Fig. 6a shows that the acid strength is declined sharply with the increase of Si/Al for the nanosized H-ZSM-5, and the acidity of NZS-30 is also comparable with CZS-30 because of their similar Si/Al_{ICP}
(Table 1). It is also noteworthy that the amount of L acid reduces rapidly with the
increasing of Si/Al of the nanosized H-ZSM-5 (Fig. 6b). Meantime, the intensity of
hydrogen-bonded PyH⁺ peak over nanosized ZSM-5 is much strong than CZS-30 due
to the enhanced adsorption capacity caused by its large mesopore volume.^[54]

6 **3.2. Evolution of the nanosized ZSM-5 with crystallization time**

7 To study the crystallization mechanism of NZS-60, a kinetic run is carried out by 8 varying the synthesis time from 0 h to 24 h at 180 °C. Fig. 7b shows the XRD patterns 9 of the samples at different reaction times. Before stream-assisted crystallization, the 10 sample exhibits an extremely faint XRD pattern of MFI structure. With the steam-assisted conversion at 180 °C, the intensity of the characteristic MFI-structured 11 12 peaks increases rapidly and draws the maximum as the crystallization proceeds up to 3 h, which indicates the amorphous structure of the starting powder could translate 13 into a pure ZSM-5 phase just within 3 h (Fig. 7a). Obviously, the presence of seeds 14 plays an important role in shortening the nucleation stage, which is the most time 15 consuming step in the crystallization of ZSM-5.^[49] Interestingly, further increasing 16 crystallization time leads to the slight reduction of crystallinity possibly due to the 17 destructive effect of the template on the framework of the product. It is well reported 18 that TPAOH template plays the role of scaffold forming a meso-structure during the 19 crystallization process.^[55] This is confirmed by the N_2 adsorption isotherm and the 20 corresponding pore size distribution. As shown in Fig. 7c, the isotherm of the sample 21 with 0 h crystallization has a near linear uptake over the P/P_o range from 0 to 0.4, 22 which is corresponding to micropores or small mesopores below 3 nm. Upon 23 24 increasing crystallization time from 0 h to 180 min, uptakes of the isotherms move 25 toward high P/P_0 . Correspondingly, the average pore size increases from less than 3 nm in the starting dry gel to about 11 nm in the sample at 180 min crystallization time. 26 27 Longer crystallization treatment has little effect on the mesoporous structure, since no 28 obvious changes from pore size distribution curves could be observed. Table 2 29 summarizes the textural properties and crystallinity of the samples at various crystallization times. The external surface area decreases with crystallization time, but 30

remains very high even after reaching the 100% crystallization. However, both of the
total pore volume and the mesopore volume increase within 120 min crystallization
time, and finally achieve stability, indicating the high efficiency of our route for
obtaining meso/micropore zeolite.

5

6 **3.3. Effects of gel composition and aging condition**

In our preliminary experiments, we discover that the crystal size of the products is 7 sensitive to the concentrations of NH_4^+ . Therefore, at an aging temperature of 90°C 8 for 72 h and a constant Si/Al_{th} of 60, the influence of NH₄⁺/SiO₂ is investigated and 9 the SEM images of products prepared with different NH₄NO₃/SiO₂ are shown in Fig. 10 8. When there is no NH₄NO₃ added into the synthesis mixture, the size distribution of 11 12 the product is broad and most of the ZSM-5 crystal is greater than 100 nm. Products with a uniform diameter of about 50 nm could be obtained within a NH_4/SiO_2 ratio of 13 0.017-0.034 (Fig. 8b-c). This can be explained by the fact that aluminum 14 incorporation is achieved more easily when the smaller NH_4^+ are available as charge 15 compensators, compared with when only the larger TPA⁺ are present. Further 16 increasing the NH_4^+ to 0.067, a sample with a diameter of about 300 nm and a smaller 17 BET surface area is obtained. The increased particle size and the reduced crystallinity 18 of ZSM-5 crystals obtained in this run are probably due to the excessively decreased 19 20 alkalinity caused by the hydrolysis of plentiful NH_4^+ .

21 In addition, our synthesis route includes the "pre-aging process" before stream-assisted crystallization, which is considered to produces abundant ZSM-5 22 nuclei (Fig. S1),^[56] leading to the formation of small ZSM-5. So, the effect of the 23 pre-aging time on the crystal size of the product is also investigated with other 24 conditions unchanged. Fig. 9 shows the SEM images of the products synthesized with 25 the pre-aging temperature of 90 °C for different time, which indicates that the crystal 26 size is decreased with the increase of pre-aging time. The average crystal sizes of the 27 products at pre-aging times of 0, 24, and 72 h are found to be about 160 nm, 100 nm 28 29 and 50 nm, respectively. Further extension of the aging time after 72 h has little effect on the crystalline phases and crystal size, since no obvious changes from the SEM 30

1 image could be observed.

2

3

3.4. Catalytic performances of the nanosized ZSM-5 with different Si/Al.

The methanol to aromatics reaction (MTA) is an important process for the non-oil 4 route to aromatics.^[10-12, 57] It is respected that the meso/macroporous structure and the 5 short diffusion length of nanosized ZSM-5 are favorable for the MTA reaction with 6 high stability. Therefore the catalytic performances over 2%Zn-modified nanosized 7 8 (denoted as Zn/NZS-30, Zn/NZS-60 and Zn/NZS-90) and 2%Zn-modified conventional ZSM-5 (denoted as Zn/CZS-30, Zn/CZS-60 and Zn/CZS-90) in MTA 9 10 reaction are compared in a fixed bed stainless. Fig. 10 shows an overview of the 11 activities and stabilities of three groups between nanosized and conventional ZSM-5 12 described in this contribution. It is worth noting that the comparison of catalysts performance is performed under the same Si/Alth ratios because the catalytic 13 properties are more likely to change by Al content. Under so strict operation condition, 14 all catalysts show almost the similar high initial methanol conversions of above 95%. 15 16 However, as reaction proceeds, the activities of Zn/CZS-30, Zn/CZS-60 and Zn/CZS-90 are all declined gradually just within a few hours. In sharp contrast, all the 17 nanosized ZSM-5 show much superior catalytic stability and higher total aromatics 18 selectivity than the corresponding conventional Zn/ZSM-5 with a same Si/Alth. It is 19 20 noted that Si/Alth ratios also have a remarkably effect on the catalytic performance of nanosied Zn/ZSM-5 catalysts. Among these catalysts, the Zn/NZS-60 with Si/Al_{th}=60 21 gives the slowest deactivation with a stable total aromatics selectivity and long 22 23 catalytic lifetime (defined as the time at which the catalytic conversion decreases by 24 50%, which is denoted as $t_{1/2}$) of more than 75 h, which is almost 25-fold than that of Zn/CZS-60 ($t_{1/2}\approx 3$ h). In addition, detailed initial product selectivity is also given in 25 Table S2 and Table S3. Notably, the most stable Zn/NZS-60 shows the high total 26 aromatics selectivity of 68 % with an absolute selectivity of 16 % higher than the 27 28 CZS-60 (52 %). More importantly, the selectivity of 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene over this 29 catalysts can be up to 44 % in all products and 64 % in aromatics products. Compared with previously reported results in the process of MTA,^{13, 63, 64} the high selectivity of 1, 30 13

2, 4-trimethylbenzene over nanosized Zn/ZSM-5 could decrease intensively the cost 1 and energy consumption of the subsequent separation and purification process to 2 obtain high purity 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene. Since the acid strength, acid amount, 3 Si/Al_{ICP} ratio and micropore volume are similar for Zn/NZS-60 and Zn/CZS-60 (Fig. 4 6, Table 1), the superior catalytic stability and higher 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene 5 selectivity over the former can be directly attributed to the unique mesoporous 6 structure and short diffusion length of the nanosized ZSM-5. It is well documented 7 8 that catalyst deactivation of ZSM-5 is mainly caused by the coke formation on the micropore mouths and in the micropores, which is produced via secondary 9 reactions.^[37, 58] So, the micropore blockage would occur very slowly over the 10 nanosized ZSM-5 because of its unique mesoporous structure and the abundant pore 11 12 mouths at the surface of the nanosized ZSM-5, which not only favour the coke precursor diffusion, but also sharply increase the evacuation of aromatics and make 13 the isomerization reaction of trimethylbenzene to be dynamically balanced, leading to 14 the high selectivity of 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene that is the most thermodynamically 15 16 stable product when the isomerization reaction of trimethylbenzene has reach the thermodynamic equilibrium. Due to the enlarged external surface area and short 17 diffusion path lengths, it is feasible the thermodynamically stable 1, 2, 18 4-trimethylbenzene formed inside the micropores could readily migrated to the 19 external surface. In the case of solely microporous Zn/CZS-60, the diffusion of this 20 bulk product from the micropores to the external surface would be relatively slow, 21 leading to lower aromatics selectivity and shorter lifetime in MTA process. 22 In order to verify this point, we conduct a series of characterization over the spent 23

25 In order to verify this point, we conduct a series of characterization over the spent 26 Zn/NZS-60 and Zn/CZS-60, including TG/DSC, GC-MS and TEM. The TG/DTA 27 profiles are shown in Fig. 11. It is obvious that the total weight loss over the spent 26 Zn/NZS-60 is obviously much higher than that over conventional ZSM-5, indicating 27 its much higher capacity of holding coke. However, as the reaction time of 28 Zn/NZS-60 is much longer than the corresponding Zn/CZS-60, the spent Zn/NZS-60 29 has a slow coke formation rate of 3.78 mg.g_{cat}⁻¹.h⁻¹, much lower than that of 26.33 30 mg.g_{cat}⁻¹.h⁻¹ for spent Zn/CZS-60. As shown in Fig. 11b, the DTA profiles of the spent

Zn/CZS-60 has a broad exothermic peak, implying that the coke in this catalyst is 1 composed by various carbon species deposited on active sites. However, there is 2 almost only one sharp exothermic peak around 480 °C for NZS-60, indicating that the 3 carbon species formed over this catalyst is mainly relatively single coke species, 4 which is also being confirmed by the GC-MS analysis of the retained organic species 5 in spent catalysts by 15% HF dissolution and extraction. As shown in Fig. 12a-b, only 6 a tiny amount of liberating heavy hydrocarbons could be found in the extract phase of 7 8 Zn/NZS-60, and the main phase of deposits over this sample are graphite carbon, 9 which could not be extracted by CH₂Cl₂ (Fig. 12a). However, significant amounts of 10 polyaromatic hydrocarbon are found over conventional ZSM-5, which could occlude the pore channel and lead to the quick deactivation of the catalysts (Fig. 12b). 11

12 Further, in order to understand how the particle size of ZSM-5 is related to the catalytic performance. The location and the amount of coke in Zn/NZS-60 are 13 analyzed as a function of the reaction time using the characterization method 14 described in Section 2.4. As the results in Fig. 12c shown, the coke in Zn/NZS-60 is 15 16 preferentially deposited at the stacking pores of nanosized ZSM-5 prior to the generation inside the straight and sinusoidal channels with a much slower coking rate 17 than Zn/CZS-60. The coke in the stacking pores is not completely blocked and the 18 reactants and products could still move to the active sites and escape from the 19 20 micropores. The TEM images and corresponding EDX analysis of the graphite carbon stay in are also verify the above results. As shown in Fig. 12d-e, the graphite carbon, 21 depositing on the outer surface of Zn/NZS-60, forms hollow carbon nanosphere after 22 23 the inner Zn/NZS-60 being dissolved by 15% HF solution. However, 89% of the coke 24 is formed inside the micropore for the Zn/CZS-60 with solely microporous, which is 25 the main cause of the sudden drop in catalytic activity. Therefore, we, for the first time, validate directly that the coke over nanosized ZSM-5 is preferentially deposited 26 at the outside surface prior to the intracrystalline micropores. Above all, compared 27 with conventional ZSM-5, the nanosized ZSM-5 has the following obvious 28 29 advantages when used in MTA reaction: (1) having short diffusion length, which could enhances the diffusibility of reactant and product, and prevents the deposition 30

of polyaromatic hydrocarbon in the microspores; (2) the substantial mesoporosity endows the nanosized ZSM-5 much higher capacity of holding coke than conventional one; (3) high external surface sharply increased the reaction efficiency of bulky intermediate products in the surface of catalyst, leading to high selectivity of 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene; (4) abundant surface groups (e.g. silanol groups, perturbed silanols and Al(OH)Si groups) could provide more active site for MTA reaction.

7

8 4. CONCLUSIONS

The present work reports a centrifugation-free synthesis route for hierarchical 9 10 nanosized ZSM-5 with 100% yield by steam-assisted conversion using a solid alkalis-free powder as the ZSM-5 precursor. The obtained nanosized ZSM-5 11 12 possesses excellent properties such as ultrafine uniform size, robust thermal stability, high surface area, high total pore volumes, tunable Si/Al molar ratio, and high 13 crystallinity and shows excellent catalytic performance when used in the catalytic 14 15 conversion of methanol to aromatics. The Zn/NZS-60 shows almost 25 folds catalyst 16 lifetime and up to 16% higher total aromatics selectivity compared with conventional microsized Zn/CZS-60. More importantly, the selectivity of 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene 17 18 over this catalysts can be up to 44 % in all products and 64 % in aromatics products. Analysis of partially deactivated catalysts reveal that the superior catalytic 19 20 stability and higher 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene selectivity over the nanosized Zn/ZSM-5 21 could be directly attributed to its small crystal size and mesoporous structure, which prevent the deposition of polyaromatic hydrocarbon in the micropores, but also 22 23 sharply increase the reaction efficiency of the bulky molecule. The nanosized ZSM-5 24 prepared by this route shows great potential for large scale industrial applications for 25 MTA process with high selectivity of 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene.

- 26
- 27

28 **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

29 Corresponding Author

30 * E-mail: qianwz@tsinghua.edu.cn (W. Qian).

0
0
07
σ
Τ
A
5
D
O
60
()
10
Ľ.
U
L
5
J
U

Notes
The authors declare no competing financial interest.
■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
This work was supported by the National 973 program of 2011CB932602 and NSF
key program of 51236004.
REFERENCES
[1] T.R. Carlson, Y.T. Cheng, J. Jae and G.W. Huber, <i>Energy Environ. Sci.</i> , 2011, 145.
[2] B. Valle, A.G. Gayubo, A.T. Aguayo, M. Olazar and J. Bilbao, <i>Energy & Fue</i> 2010, 24 , 2060.
[3] T.R. Carlson, J. Jae, YC. Lin, G.A. Tompsett and G.W. Huber, <i>J. Catal.</i> , 201 270 110.
[4] X. Guo, G. Fang, G. Li, H. Ma, H. Fan, L. Yu, C. Ma, X. Wu, D. Deng and Wei, Science, 2014, 344, 616.
[5] L. Yu, S. Huang, S. Zhang, Z. Liu, W. Xin, S. Xie and L. Xu, ACS Catal., 2012, 1203.
[6] Y.T. Cheng and G.W. Huber, ACS Catal., 2011, 1, 611.
[7] Y.T. Cheng, Z. Wang, C.J. Gilbert, W. Fan and G.W. Huber, <i>Angew. Chem.</i> , <i>I Ed.</i> , 2012, 51 , 11097.
[8] T.F. Degnan Jr, Top. Catal., 2000, 13 , 349.
[9] C. Marcilly, J. Catal., 2003, 216 , 47.
[10] K. Shen, W. Qian, N. Wang, J. Zhang and F. Wei, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013
52/2.
[11] R. Barthos, T. Bansagi, T. Suli Zakar and F. Solymosi, J. Catal., 2007, 247, 36 [12] Y. Ni, A. Sun, X. Wu, G. Hai, J. Hu, T. Li and G. Li, <i>Microporous Mesopore</i>
<i>Mater.</i> , 2011, 143 , 435.
[13] C.S. Cundy and P.A. Cox, <i>Chem. Rev.</i> , 2003, 103 , 663.
[14] A. Corma, <i>Chem. Rev.</i> , 1997, 97 , 2373.
[15] F. Pan, X. Lu, Y. Wang, S. Chen, T. Wang and Y. Yan, Microport
<i>Mesoporous Mater.</i> , 2014, 184, 134.
[16] D. Van Vu, M. Miyamoto, N. Nishiyama, Y. Egashira and K. Ueyama, J. Cata
2006, 243 389.
[17] M.B. Roeffaers, R. Ameloot, M. Baruah, H. Uji-i, M. Bulut, G. De Cremer, Müller, P.A. Jacobs, J. Hofkens and B.F. Sels, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 1.
5/63.
[18] V. Valtchev, E. Balanzat, V. Mavrodinova, I. Diaz, J. El Fallah and JM. Gouj
J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133 , 18950.
[19] H. JIN, M.B. ANSARI AND SE. PARK, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 7482.
[20] S.I. UNO, S.D. UNOI, J.H. KIM and G.J. KIM, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2004, 14, 49.
[21] C.H. Jacobsell, Chem. Commun. (1999) 0/5. [22] I. Sahmidt, C. Madaan and C.I. Jacobson, Juang, Chem. 2000, 20, 2270
[22] 1. Seminut, C. Iviausen and C.J. Jacobsen, <i>Inorg. Chem.</i> , 2000, 39 , 2279.

1
[23] PS. Lee, X. Zhang, J.A. Stoeger, A. Malek, W. Fan, S. Kumar, W.C. Yoo, S. Al
Hashimi, R.L. Penn and A. Stein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 133 , 493.
[24] H. Chen, J. Wydra, X. Zhang, PS. Lee, Z. Wang, W. Fan and M. Tsapatsis, J.
Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133 , 12390.
[25] W.C. Yoo, S. Kumar, R.L. Penn, M. Tsapatsis and A. Stein, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
2009, 131 , 12377.
[26] W. Fan, M.A. Snyder, S. Kumar, PS. Lee, W.C. Yoo, A.V. McCormick, R.L.
Penn, A. Stein and M. Tsapatsis, <i>Nature mater.</i> , 2008, 7, 984.
[27] R. Van Grieken, J. Sotelo, J. Menendez and J. Melero, <i>Microporous Mesoporous</i>
Mater., 2000, 39 , 135.
[28] S. Lee, C.S. Carr and D.F. Shantz, <i>Langmuir</i> , 2005, 21 , 12031.
[29] W. Song, V. Grassian and S. Larsen, Chem. Commun., 2005, 2951.
[30] YQ. Deng, SF. Yin and CT. Au, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2012, 51, 9492.
[31] J. Aguado, D. Serrano and J. Rodriguez, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2004,
75 , 41.
[32] L. Ren, Q. Wu, C. Yang, L. Zhu, C. Li, P. Zhang, H. Zhang, X. Meng and FS.
Xiao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134 , 15173.
[33] J.C. Groen, T. Bach, U. Ziese, A.M. Paulaime-van Donk, K.P. de Jong, J.A.
Moulijn and J. P érez-Ram fez, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127 , 10792.
[34] M. Guisnet and P. Magnoux, Appl. Catal., 1989, 54, 1.
[35] D. Bibby, N. Milestone, J. Patterson and L. Aldridge, J. Catal., 1986, 97, 493.
[36] F.L. Bleken, K. Barbera, F. Bonino, U. Olsbye, K.P. Lillerud, S. Bordiga, P.
Beato, T.V. Janssens and S. Svelle, J. Catal., 2013, 307, 62.
[37] M. Choi, K. Na, J. Kim, Y. Sakamoto, O. Terasaki and R. Ryoo, Nature, 2009,
461 , 246.
[38] S.P. Naik, A.S. Chiang and R. Thompson, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2003, 107, 7006.
[39] J. Yao, H. Wang, S.P. Ringer, KY. Chan, L. Zhang and N. Xu, Microporous
Mesoporous Mater., 2005, 85 , 267.
[40] K. Zhu, J. Sun, J. Liu, L. Wang, H. Wan, J. Hu, Y. Wang, C.H. Peden and Z. Nie,
ACS Catal., 2011, 1, 682.
[41] N. Ren, B. Subotić, J. Bronić, Y. Tang, M. Dutour Sikirić, T. Mišić, V. Svetličić,
S. Bosnar and T. Antonić Jelić, Chem. Mater., 2012, 24, 1726.
[42] V.B. Mortola, A.P. Ferreira, J.M. Fedeyko, C. Downing, J.M. Bueno, M.C. Kung
and H.H. Kung, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 7517.
[43] S.C. Larsen, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 18464.
[44] X. Liu, B. Tian, C. Yu, F. Gao, S. Xie, B. Tu, R. Che, L.M. Peng and D. Zhao,
Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 3876.
[45] M. Imperor-Clerc, P. Davidson and A. Davidson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122,
11925.
[46] F. Liu, T. Willhammar, L. Wang, L. Zhu, Q. Sun, X. Meng, W. Carrillo-Cabrera,
X. Zou and FS. Xiao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 4557.
[47] J. Kim, M. Choi and R. Ryoo, J. Catal., 2010, 269, 219.
[48] Y. Yu, G. Xiong, C. Li and FS. Xiao, J. Catal., 2000, 194, 487.

9	
	Manuscript
	A Accepted
	Chemistry /
	of Materials
	Journal c

 [50] TO. Do, A. Nossov, MA. Springuel-Huet, C. Schneider, J.L. Bretherton, C.A. Fyfe and S. Kaliaguine, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 14324. [51] C. Fyfe, G. Gobbi, G. Kennedy, J. Graham, R. Ozubko, W. Murphy, A. Bothner-By, J. Dadok and A. Chesnick, Zeolites, 1985, 5, 179. [52] P. Sazama, B. Wichterlova, J. Dedecek, Z. Tvaruzkova, Z. Musilova, L. Palumbo, S. Sklenak and O. Gonsiorova, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2011, 143, 87. [53] S. Zheng, H.R. Heydenrych, A. Jentys and J.A. Lercher, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2002, 106, 9552. [54] W. Song, R. Justice, C. Jones, V. Grassian and S. Larsen, Langmuir, 2004, 20, 8301. [55] J. Wang, J.C. Groen, W. Yue, W. Zhou and MO. Coppens, Chem. Commun., 2007, 4653. [56] H. Mochizuki, T. Yokoi, H. Imai, R. Watanabe, S. Namba, J.N. Kondo and T. Tatsumi, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2011, 145, 165. [57] M. Conte, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, Q. He, D.J. Morgan, Y. Ryabenkova, J.K. Bartley, A.F. Carley, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely and K. Khalid, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2012, 2, 105. [58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2004, 59, 4765. 	[49] K. Shen, W. Qian, N. Wang, C. Su and F. Wei, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2 15322.	013, 135 ,
 Fyfe and S. Kaliaguine, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 14324. [51] C. Fyfe, G. Gobbi, G. Kennedy, J. Graham, R. Ozubko, W. Murphy, A. Bothner-By, J. Dadok and A. Chesnick, Zeolites, 1985, 5, 179. [52] P. Sazama, B. Wichterlova, J. Dedecek, Z. Tvaruzkova, Z. Musilova, L. Palumbo, S. Sklenak and O. Gonsiorova, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2011, 143, 87. [53] S. Zheng, H.R. Heydenrych, A. Jentys and J.A. Lercher, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2002, 106, 9552. [54] W. Song, R. Justice, C. Jones, V. Grassian and S. Larsen, Langmuir, 2004, 20, 8301. [55] J. Wang, J.C. Groen, W. Yue, W. Zhou and MO. Coppens, Chem. Commun., 2007, 4653. [56] H. Mochizuki, T. Yokoi, H. Imai, R. Watanabe, S. Namba, J.N. Kondo and T. Tatsumi, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2011, 145, 165. [57] M. Conte, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, Q. He, D.J. Morgan, Y. Ryabenkova, J.K. Bartley, A.F. Carley, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely and K. Khalid, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2012, 2, 105. [58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2004, 59, 4765. 	[50] TO. Do, A. Nossov, MA. Springuel-Huet, C. Schneider, J.L. Brether	rton, C.A.
 [51] C. Fyfe, G. Gobbi, G. Kennedy, J. Graham, R. Ozubko, W. Murphy, A. Bothner-By, J. Dadok and A. Chesnick, <i>Zeolites</i>, 1985, 5, 179. [52] P. Sazama, B. Wichterlova, J. Dedecek, Z. Tvaruzkova, Z. Musilova, L. Palumbo, S. Sklenak and O. Gonsiorova, <i>Microporous Mesoporous Mater.</i>, 2011, 143, 87. [53] S. Zheng, H.R. Heydenrych, A. Jentys and J.A. Lercher, <i>J. Phys. Chem. B</i>, 2002, 106, 9552. [54] W. Song, R. Justice, C. Jones, V. Grassian and S. Larsen, <i>Langmuir</i>, 2004, 20, 8301. [55] J. Wang, J.C. Groen, W. Yue, W. Zhou and MO. Coppens, <i>Chem. Commun.</i>, 2007, 4653. [56] H. Mochizuki, T. Yokoi, H. Imai, R. Watanabe, S. Namba, J.N. Kondo and T. Tatsumi, <i>Microporous Mesoporous Mater.</i>, 2011, 145, 165. [57] M. Conte, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, Q. He, D.J. Morgan, Y. Ryabenkova, J.K. Bartley, A.F. Carley, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely and K. Khalid, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i>, 2012, 2, 105. [58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, <i>Chem. Eng. Sci.</i>, 2004, 59, 4765. 	Fyfe and S. Kaliaguine, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 14324.	
 [52] P. Sazama, B. Wichterlova, J. Dedecek, Z. Tvaruzkova, Z. Musilova, L. Palumbo, S. Sklenak and O. Gonsiorova, <i>Microporous Mesoporous Mater.</i>, 2011, 143, 87. [53] S. Zheng, H.R. Heydenrych, A. Jentys and J.A. Lercher, <i>J. Phys. Chem. B</i>, 2002, 106, 9552. [54] W. Song, R. Justice, C. Jones, V. Grassian and S. Larsen, <i>Langmuir</i>, 2004, 20, 8301. [55] J. Wang, J.C. Groen, W. Yue, W. Zhou and MO. Coppens, <i>Chem. Commun.</i>, 2007, 4653. [56] H. Mochizuki, T. Yokoi, H. Imai, R. Watanabe, S. Namba, J.N. Kondo and T. Tatsumi, <i>Microporous Mesoporous Mater.</i>, 2011, 145, 165. [57] M. Conte, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, Q. He, D.J. Morgan, Y. Ryabenkova, J.K. Bartley, A.F. Carley, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely and K. Khalid, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i>, 2012, 2, 105. [58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, <i>Chem. Eng. Sci.</i>, 2004, 59, 4765. 	[51] C. Fyfe, G. Gobbi, G. Kennedy, J. Graham, R. Ozubko, W. Mu Bothner-By, J. Dadok and A. Chesnick, <i>Zeolites</i> , 1985, 5 , 179.	ırphy, A.
 S. Sklenak and O. Gonsiorova, <i>Microporous Mesoporous Mater.</i>, 2011, 143, 87. [53] S. Zheng, H.R. Heydenrych, A. Jentys and J.A. Lercher, <i>J. Phys. Chem. B</i>, 2002, 106, 9552. [54] W. Song, R. Justice, C. Jones, V. Grassian and S. Larsen, <i>Langmuir</i>, 2004, 20, 8301. [55] J. Wang, J.C. Groen, W. Yue, W. Zhou and MO. Coppens, <i>Chem. Commun.</i>, 2007, 4653. [56] H. Mochizuki, T. Yokoi, H. Imai, R. Watanabe, S. Namba, J.N. Kondo and T. Tatsumi, <i>Microporous Mesoporous Mater.</i>, 2011, 145, 165. [57] M. Conte, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, Q. He, D.J. Morgan, Y. Ryabenkova, J.K. Bartley, A.F. Carley, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely and K. Khalid, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i>, 2012, 2, 105. [58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, <i>Chem. Eng. Sci.</i>, 2004, 59, 4765. 	[52] P. Sazama, B. Wichterlova, J. Dedecek, Z. Tvaruzkova, Z. Musilova, L.	Palumbo,
 [53] S. Zheng, H.R. Heydenrych, A. Jentys and J.A. Lercher, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2002, 106, 9552. [54] W. Song, R. Justice, C. Jones, V. Grassian and S. Larsen, Langmuir, 2004, 20, 8301. [55] J. Wang, J.C. Groen, W. Yue, W. Zhou and MO. Coppens, Chem. Commun., 2007, 4653. [56] H. Mochizuki, T. Yokoi, H. Imai, R. Watanabe, S. Namba, J.N. Kondo and T. Tatsumi, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2011, 145, 165. [57] M. Conte, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, Q. He, D.J. Morgan, Y. Ryabenkova, J.K. Bartley, A.F. Carley, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely and K. Khalid, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2012, 2, 105. [58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2004, 59, 4765. 	S. Sklenak and O. Gonsiorova, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2011,	143 , 87.
 106, 9552. [54] W. Song, R. Justice, C. Jones, V. Grassian and S. Larsen, <i>Langmuir</i>, 2004, 20, 8301. [55] J. Wang, J.C. Groen, W. Yue, W. Zhou and MO. Coppens, <i>Chem. Commun.</i>, 2007, 4653. [56] H. Mochizuki, T. Yokoi, H. Imai, R. Watanabe, S. Namba, J.N. Kondo and T. Tatsumi, <i>Microporous Mesoporous Mater.</i>, 2011, 145, 165. [57] M. Conte, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, Q. He, D.J. Morgan, Y. Ryabenkova, J.K. Bartley, A.F. Carley, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely and K. Khalid, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i>, 2012, 2, 105. [58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, <i>Chem. Eng. Sci.</i>, 2004, 59, 4765. 	[53] S. Zheng, H.R. Heydenrych, A. Jentys and J.A. Lercher, J. Phys. Chem.	. <i>B</i> , 2002,
 [54] W. Song, R. Justice, C. Jones, V. Grassian and S. Larsen, <i>Langmuir</i>, 2004, 20, 8301. [55] J. Wang, J.C. Groen, W. Yue, W. Zhou and MO. Coppens, <i>Chem. Commun.</i>, 2007, 4653. [56] H. Mochizuki, T. Yokoi, H. Imai, R. Watanabe, S. Namba, J.N. Kondo and T. Tatsumi, <i>Microporous Mesoporous Mater.</i>, 2011, 145, 165. [57] M. Conte, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, Q. He, D.J. Morgan, Y. Ryabenkova, J.K. Bartley, A.F. Carley, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely and K. Khalid, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i>, 2012, 2, 105. [58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, <i>Chem. Eng. Sci.</i>, 2004, 59, 4765. 	106 , 9552.	
 [55] J. Wang, J.C. Groen, W. Yue, W. Zhou and MO. Coppens, <i>Chem. Commun.</i>, 2007, 4653. [56] H. Mochizuki, T. Yokoi, H. Imai, R. Watanabe, S. Namba, J.N. Kondo and T. Tatsumi, <i>Microporous Mesoporous Mater.</i>, 2011, 145, 165. [57] M. Conte, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, Q. He, D.J. Morgan, Y. Ryabenkova, J.K. Bartley, A.F. Carley, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely and K. Khalid, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i>, 2012, 2, 105. [58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, <i>Chem. Eng. Sci.</i>, 2004, 59, 4765. 	[54] W. Song, R. Justice, C. Jones, V. Grassian and S. Larsen, <i>Langmuir</i> , 8301.	2004, 20 ,
 [56] H. Mochizuki, T. Yokoi, H. Imai, R. Watanabe, S. Namba, J.N. Kondo and T. Tatsumi, <i>Microporous Mesoporous Mater.</i>, 2011, 145, 165. [57] M. Conte, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, Q. He, D.J. Morgan, Y. Ryabenkova, J.K. Bartley, A.F. Carley, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely and K. Khalid, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i>, 2012, 2, 105. [58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, <i>Chem. Eng. Sci.</i>, 2004, 59, 4765. 	[55] J. Wang, J.C. Groen, W. Yue, W. Zhou and MO. Coppens, <i>Chem.</i> 0 2007, 4653.	Commun.,
 [57] M. Conte, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, Q. He, D.J. Morgan, Y. Ryabenkova, J.K. Bartley, A.F. Carley, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely and K. Khalid, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i>, 2012, 2, 105. [58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, <i>Chem. Eng. Sci.</i>, 2004, 59, 4765. 	[56] H. Mochizuki, T. Yokoi, H. Imai, R. Watanabe, S. Namba, J.N. Kono Tatsumi, <i>Microporous Mesoporous Mater.</i> , 2011, 145 , 165.	do and T.
 Bartley, A.F. Carley, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely and K. Khalid, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i>, 2012, 2, 105. [58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, <i>Chem. Eng. Sci.</i>, 2004, 59, 4765. 	[57] M. Conte, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, Q. He, D.J. Morgan, Y. Ryabenk	ova, J.K.
 Technol., 2012, 2, 105. [58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, <i>Chem. Eng. Sci.</i>, 2004, 59, 4765. 	Bartley, A.F. Carley, S.H. Taylor, C.J. Kiely and K. Khalid, Envi	iron. Sci.
[58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Martens and P. Jacobs, <i>Chem. Eng. Sci.</i> , 2004, 59 , 4765.	Technol., 2012, 2 , 105.	
Jacobs, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2004, 59 , 4765.	[58] L. Narasimhan, J. Thybaut, G. Marin, J. Denayer, G. Baron, J. Marte	ns and P.
	Jacobs, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2004, 59 , 4765.	

10
(1)
U
Ð
Je
he
Che
Che
Che
s Che
Is Che
als Che
ials Che
rials Che
rials Che
erials Che
terials Che
aterials Che
aterials Chei
Naterials Che
Materials Chei
Materials Chei
f Materials Chei
of Materials Che
of Materials Chei
of Materials Che
I of Materials Che
al of Materials Che
al of Materials Che
nal of Materials Che
rnal of Materials Che
Irnal of Materials Che
urnal of Materials Che
ournal of Materials Che
ournal of Materials Chei
Journal of Materials Che

1	Table 1.	lextural prope	rties and con	npositions of va	arious sample	28.
	Sample	Si/Al _{th}	Si/Al _{ICP}	BET surface	Mesopore	Total pore Mi
			. h			

• . •

Sample	Si/Al _{th}	Si/Al _{ICP}	BET surface	Mesopore	Total pore	Micropore	Mesopore
name	atomic ratio ^a	atomic ratio $^{\mathrm{b}}$	area	surface area ^c	volume	Volume ^c	Volume ^d
	$(mol mol^{-1})$	$(mol mol^{-1})$	(m^2g^{-1})	$(cm^3 g^{-1})$	$(cm^3 g^{-1})$	$(cm^3 g^{-1})$	$(cm^3 g^{-1})$
CZS-30	30	24.1	388.2	33.1	0.19	0.15	0.04
NZS-30	30	28.6	484.0	118.6	0.73	0.15	0.58
NZS-60	60	56.2	441.5	100.4	0.72	0.14	0.58
NZS-90	90	84.4	446.7	107.7	0.76	0.14	0.62

^aThe theoretical Si/Al based on the molar composition of the initial gel.

^bMeasured by ICP-OES.

^c t-plot method.

....

4

 $^{d}V_{meso} = V_{tot} - V_{micro.}$

ystallization	Crystallinity	^a BET surface	Mesopore	Total pore	Micropore	Mesopore
time	(%)	area	surface area ^c	volume	Volume ^c	Volume ^d
(min)		(m^2g^{-1})	$(\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1})$	$(cm^3 g^{-1})$	$(cm^3 g^{-1})$	$(\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1})$
0	0.04	1081.5	-	0.58	-	-
40	19.37	854.0	547.4	0.84	0.14	0.70
70	54.46	593.9	189.3	0.88	0.16	0.72
120	75.57	519.9	137.0	0.93	0.17	0.76
180	100.00	472.8	119.4	0.86	0.15	0.71
240	99.87	466.4	115.6	0.77	0.15	0.62
1440	95.10	441.5	100.4	0.72	0.14	0.58

Table 2. Textural properties and crystallinity of the NZS-60 crystallized at various time.

^a calculated via XRD result by assuming the maximum crystallinity of the product after 180 min of crystallization Journal of Materials Chemistry A Accepted Manuscript

time is 100%.

^b t-plot method.

 $^{d}V_{meso} = V_{tot} - V_{micro.}$

^c t-plot is not applicable because of the continuous distribution of pore sizes around 3 nm.

the present stud	y measured after	the reaction	ns being st	able.				
	Conversion	n Selectivity, (%)						Total
Catalysts ^a	of methanol	C_1-C_5	C ₂ -C ₅	C_6	C ₇	C ₈	C_{9+}	aromatics
	(%)	alkanes	olefins	ben.	ben.	ben.	ben.	(%)
Zn/CZS-30	99.03	20.83	28.95	1.76	11.19	36.09	1.16	50.22
Zn/CZS-60	96.80	16.77	31.23	0.64	5.29	34.57	11.49	51.99
Zn/CZS-90	95.54	16.02	27.43	0.81	7.19	31.88	16.65	56.54
Zn/NZS-30	99.47	15.06	22.80	0.48	3.71	25.88	32.07	62.15
Zn/NZS-60	99.37	24.36	7.69	0.32	2.07	14.60	50.95	67.94
Zn/NZS-90	99.41	18.12	11.32	0.50	3.20	14.62	52.21	70.55

1 Table 3. Methanol conversion and product selectivity of MTA reactions over various catalysts used in 2 the present study measured after the reactions being stable.

3 ^a The sampling time (Zn/CZS-30, Zn/CZS-60, Zn/CZS-90 : 1.2 h, Zn/NZS-6: 3.6 h, and Zn/NZS-90: 10.2)

Ŭ

ъ		
н		
л		

2 Table 4. The distribution of trimethylbenzene selectivity over various catalysts used in the present

3 study measured measured after the reactions being stable.								
		Selectivity in products, (%)		Selectivity in aromatics, (%)				
	Catalysts ^a	1,3,5	1,2,4	1,2,3	1,3,5	1,2,4	1,2,3	
		tri-ben	tri-ben	tri-ben	tri-ben	tri-ben	tri-ben	
	Zn/CZS-30	-	1.16	-	-	2.31	-	
	Zn/CZS-60	-	10.92	-	-	21.00	-	
	Zn/CZS-90	0.53	15.52	0.58	0.94	27.45	1.03	
	Zn/NZS-30	2.38	27.66	2.02	3.83	44.51	3.25	
	Zn/NZS-60	3.90	44.12	2.92	5.74	64.94	4.30	
	Zn/NZS-90	4.53	44.40	3.57	6.42	62.93	5.06	

4 ^a The sampling time (Zn/CZS-30, Zn/CZS-60, Zn/CZS-90 : 1.2 h, Zn/NZS-6: 3.6 h, and Zn/NZS-90: 10.2)

5

t

1	List of Fig.s Captions
2	Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of centrifugation-free synthetic procedures for the
3	nanosized ZSM-5 with tunable Si/Al molar ratio.
4	Fig. 2. SEM images of (a) NZS-30, (b) NZS-60 and (c)NZS-90, and
5	high-magnification SEM images of (d) NZS-30 , (e) NZS-60 and (f)
6	NZS-90, which are taken from the part surrounded with a white frame in
7	(a), (b) and (c).
8	Fig. 3. Low-magnification TEM images of (a) NZS-30, (b) NZS-60 and (c)NZS-90,
9	and high-magnification TEM images of (d) NZS-30 , (e) NZS-60 and (f)
10	NZS-90, which was taken from the part surrounded with a white frame in
11	(a), (b) and (c).
12	Fig. 4. (a) Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms and (b) BJH pore size
13	distribution curves calculated from the adsorption branch of the isotherm
14	(inset) for NZS-30, NZS-60, NZS-90 and CZS-30.
15	Fig. 5. (a) XRD patterns, (b) UV-Raman spectra and (c) 27 Al-NMR spectra of the
16	NZS-30, NZS-60, NZS-90 and CZS-30. (d) FT-IR spectra of the dehydrated
17	NZS-30, NZS-60, NZS-90 and CZS-30.
18	Fig. 6. (a) NH ₃ -TPD adsorption spectra of the NZS-30, NZS-60, NZS-90 and CZS-30.
19	(b) FTIR spectra of the dehydrated NZS-30, NZS-60, NZS-90 and CZS-30
20	Fig. 7. Investigation on the crystallization process of NZS-60. (a) SEM image of the
21	product crystallized at 180 °C for 180 min, (b) XRD patterns, (c) Nitrogen
22	adsorption/desorption isotherms, and (d) BJH pore size distribution
23	calculated from the adsorption branch of the isotherm for the samples
24	crystallized at various crystallization time.
25	Fig. 8. SEM images of the NZS-60 prepared with an NH_4NO_3/SiO_2 molar ratio of (a)
26	0, (b) 0.017, (c) 0.034, and (d) 0.067, indicating that a trace amount of
27	NH4NO3 addition to precursor gel markedly reduce the particle sizes of the
28	NZS-60.
29	Fig. 9. SEM images of the NZS-60 prepared with an aging time of (a) 0 h, (b) 36 h, (c)
30	72 h, and (d) 144h, indicating that the particle size of NZS-60 is decreased

1	with an increase in the pre-aging time.
2	Fig. 10. Methanol conversions and overall aromatics selectivity with various
3	Zn/ZSM-5 samples as a function of time-on-stream
4	Fig. 11. (a) TG and (b) DSC profiles of the spent Zn/CZS-60 and Zn/NZS-60.
5	Oxidation gas :20%O ₂ /N ₂ , heating rate 10 $^{\circ}$ C min ⁻¹ .
6	Fig. 12. (a) Photographs of the spent catalysts after being dissolved in 15% HF and
7	extracted by CCl4. (b) GC-MS chromatograms of the organic species in
8	spent catalysts extracted by CH2Cl2. (c) Coke formation over Zn/NZS-60
9	with various time-on-stream during MTA reaction. (d) and (e) TEM images
10	of the graphite carbon depositing on the outer surface of Zn/NZS-60 after
11	the inner Zn/NZS-60 being dissolved by 15% HF solution. (f) The
12	corresponding EDX spectrum of the graphite carbon.
13	





Fig. 2. SEM images of (a) NZS-30, (b) NZS-60 and (c)NZS-90, and
high-magnification SEM images of (d) NZS-30, (e) NZS-60 and (f) NZS-90, which
are taken from the part surrounded with a white frame in (a), (b) and (c).



2 Fig. 3. Low-magnification TEM images of (a) NZS-30, (b) NZS-60 and (c)NZS-90,

- 3 and high-magnification TEM images of (d) NZS-30, (e) NZS-60 and (f) NZS-90,
- 4 which was taken from the part surrounded with a white frame in (a), (b) and (c).
- 5



Fig. 4. (a) Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms and (b) BJH pore size
distribution curves calculated from the adsorption branch of the isotherm (inset) for
NZS-30, NZS-60, NZS-90 and CZS-30. The isotherms are offset vertically by 100,
200 and 300 cm³g⁻¹ and the pore size distribution curves are offset vertically by 0.8,
1.6 and 2.4 cm³ g⁻¹ for NZS-30, NZS-60 and NZS-90, respectively.





- 2 Fig. 5. (a) XRD patterns, (b) UV-Raman spectra and (c) ²⁷Al-NMR spectra of the
- 3 NZS-30, NZS-60, NZS-90 and CZS-30. (d) FT-IR spectra of the dehydrated NZS-30,
- 4 NZS-60, NZS-90 and CZS-30.



Page 32 of 38



2

Fig. 7. Investigation on the crystallization process of NZS-60. (a) SEM image of the product crystallized at 180 °C for 180 min, (b) XRD patterns, (c) Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms, and (d) BJH pore size distribution calculated from the adsorption branch of the isotherm for the samples crystallized at various crystallization time.

- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- --
- 12



2 **Fig. 8.** SEM images of the NZS-60 prepared with an NH_4NO_3/SiO_2 molar ratio of (a)

- 3 0, (b) 0.017, (c) 0.034, and (d) 0.067, indicating that a trace amount of $\rm NH_4NO_3$
- 4 addition to precursor gel markedly reduce the particle sizes of the NZS-60.



2 Fig. 9. SEM images of the NZS-60 prepared with an aging time of (a) 0 h, (b) 36 h, (c)

3 72 h, and (d) 144h, indicating that the particle size of NZS-60 is decreased with an

4 increase in the pre-aging time.



Zn/ZSM-5 samples as a function of time-on-stream (reaction temperature: 475 °C;
reaction pressure: atmospheric pressure, WHSV: 0.75 h⁻¹).

- 5
- 6



- 2 **Fig. 11.** (a) TG and (b) DSC profiles of the spent Zn/CZS-60 and Zn/NZS-60.
- 3 Oxidation gas :20% O_2/N_2 , heating rate 10 °C min⁻¹.



Fig. 12. (a) Photographs of the spent catalysts after being dissolved in 15% HF and extracted by CCl₄. (b) GC-MS chromatograms of the organic species in spent catalysts extracted by CH₂Cl₂. (c) Coke formation over Zn/NZS-60 with various time-on-stream during MTA reaction. (d) and (e) TEM images of the graphite carbon depositing on the outer surface of Zn/NZS-60 after the inner Zn/NZS-60 being dissolved by 15% HF solution. (f) The corresponding EDX spectrum of the graphite carbon.





Nanosized H-ZSM-5 with excellent structural properties is first synthesised using a centrifugation-free and high yield synthesis route. The nanosized ZSM-5 shows superior catalytic stability and higher 1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene selectivity when used in the catalytic conversion of methanol to aromatics.