

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Thin film fabrication and characterization of proton conducting lanthanum tungstate

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Kristin Bergum,^a Anna Magrasó, Helmer Fjellvåg and Ola Nilsen,

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Thin films of the proton conducting lanthanum tungstate phase, $\text{La}_{28-x}\text{W}_{4+x}\text{O}_{54+\delta}\text{V}_{2-\delta}$, were fabricated by atomic layer deposition (ALD) and characterized by impedance spectroscopy. The films were prepared by combining the processes for deposition of La_2O_3 and WO_3 using $[\text{La}(\text{thd})_3+\text{O}_3]$ and $[(^t\text{BuN})_2(\text{Me}_2\text{N})_2\text{W}(\text{VI})+\text{H}_2\text{O}]$. Deposition of WO_3 by the said precursor combinations is investigated in the range 250 – 375 °C proving ALD growth for temperatures 275 – 325 °C. $\text{WOCl}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ was evaluated for WO_3 deposition. The observed surface sensitive growth resulted in limited film growth on selected substrates. The process for lanthanum tungstate deposition was optimized with respect to control of stoichiometry and 0.9 and 1.2 μm thick films were deposited on MgO and Pd for cross-plane and in-plane impedance spectroscopy measurements, respectively. Due to short-circuiting of films on Pd after heat treatment, an alternative deposition procedure was devised which reduced short-circuiting significantly. The films show primarily ionic conductivity in wet condition. Oxide ions are the dominating conductors above 650 °C, while protons become more dominant at lower temperatures. There is a significant contribution from n-type electronic conductivity in highly reducing atmospheres.

Introduction

Proton conducting materials are important in energy related applications, from electricity generation in proton conducting fuel cells (PCFC), H_2 generation in steam electrolyzers, and as membranes for H_2 gas separation. The proton conducting lanthanum tungstate (LWO), previously known as $\text{La}_6\text{WO}_{12}$ ¹⁻³ and recently reformulated to $\text{La}_{28-x}\text{W}_{4+x}\text{O}_{54+\delta}\text{V}_{2-\delta}$ ($0.74 < x < 1.08$)^{4, 5}, is a promising candidate for application in both PCFCs and H_2 permeable membranes. At intermediate temperature LWO has a high proton conductivity and negligible electronic conductivity below 750 °C (3×10^{-3} S/cm at 750 °C)^{3, 6, 7}. At temperatures above 800 °C, in reducing or oxidizing atmosphere, the material exhibits mixed ionic and electronic conductivity^{3, 7}. A high H_2 permeability of 0.14 mL min⁻¹cm⁻²^{8, 9} has been measured in an asymmetric LWO membrane at 1000 °C, confirming the possibility for application of LWO as a H_2 permeable membrane. LWO has also shown high chemical stability to CO_2 -rich and sulphur-containing atmospheres⁹, high mechanical stability¹⁰ as well as a thermal expansion coefficient of $\sim 11\text{-}12 \cdot 10^{-6}$ K⁻¹¹¹⁻¹³, making it compatible with device manufacturing. Chemical compatibility with established cathode materials such as $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_{3-\delta}$, $\text{La}_{0.75}\text{Sr}_{0.25}\text{Cr}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ ¹³ and Pr_2NiO_4 ¹⁴ has also been demonstrated.

For both fuel cell and hydrogen membrane applications, the proton-conducting electrolyte should be as thin as possible while still retaining its pin-hole free character. Atomic Layer

Deposition (ALD) is a thin film deposition technique that inherently creates uniform, pin-hole free films even on geometrically complex surfaces. It is therefore perfectly suited for deposition of membranes and electrolytes. The film thickness is highly controllable by ALD in the low nm range, opening up for designs where thin membranes can be used to realize high fluxes at lower temperatures. This is a major advantage, as it allows application of materials which traditionally would be regarded too resistive. Application of thin film designs does, however, introduce challenges with respect to mechanical instability, possible faster degradation, and higher overall leak currents. Such effects have to be taken into consideration in designing the new electrolytes. An alternative approach in realization of proton-conducting membranes is to take advantage of the inherent capability of the ALD method to coat evenly on 3D substrates while still retaining the same quality of the films. The ALD technique can therefore be implemented as a subsequent step to traditional techniques in order to cover any remaining pin-holes on an already established electrolyte or H_2 -permeable membrane.

It may be challenging to obtain the targeted accurate stoichiometry during depositions of complex oxides by the ALD technique. Such materials are typically prepared by combining two or more binary oxide processes sequentially¹⁵. The amount of material deposited by each binary ALD cycle is process dependent and will often be affected by the surface chemistry of the preceding cycle. In the current work, we combine processes for deposition of the binary oxides La_2O_3

and WO_3 to obtain films within the La – W – O system. Deposition of La_2O_3 is previously well known and is summarized in a recent comprehensive review¹⁶. There are, comparably, limited reports on deposition of WO_3 by ALD^{17,19}. We currently expand and report on novel attempts and routes for deposition of WO_3 .

The main aim of the current work is to demonstrate the feasibility to use ALD for deposition of proton conducting oxide materials within the relevant La:W molar range of 5.3-5.7 : 1⁵. A second target is to reliably measure the protonic conductivity by impedance spectroscopy, a task which frequently encounters major challenges, mostly due to formation of cracks or delamination in either film or contacts.

Experimental

All films were deposited in an F120-SAT research - type ALD reactor (ASM Microchemistry) using a combination of different processes for formation of tungsten and lanthanum oxides. The lanthanum oxide process was based on $\text{La}(\text{thd})_3$ and ozone, according to the procedure described by Nieminen *et al.*²⁰. The $\text{La}(\text{thd})_3$ was sublimed inside the reactor at 180 °C and introduced into the reaction chamber using inert gas valves. The ozone was produced by a BMT 803N from OSTI inc, with oxygen from an ATF Oxygen Concentrator Module from Sequal Technologies. Tungsten oxides were deposited using either a combination of WOCl_4 and H_2O or $(\text{tBuN})_2(\text{Me}_2\text{N})_2\text{W}$ (hereafter termed BMW) and H_2O . The H_2O was evaporated from an external container at room temperature. The WOCl_4 was sublimed inside the reactor at 100-180 °C using inert gas valves, while the BMW precursor was delivered from an externally heated bubbler using a pressure cycling procedure consisting of filling the 50 ml bubbler with 0.5 bar of inert gas and delivering this into the reaction chamber. The precursor temperature was subjected to optimization and 60 °C was applied for the remainder of the experiments. The inert gas for the reactor was produced from a Schmidlin Sirocco 5 N_2 generator (<99.999%) at a total rate of 500 sccm resulting in an overall reactor pressure of approximately 4 mbar. The films were deposited on Si (100), Mg (100) and Pd. The substrates were cleaned using ethanol and pressurized air and exposed *in situ* to pulses of O_3 prior to the depositions.

The cation atomic composition (cat%) of the films deposited on Si substrates were determined by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) using a Philips PW2400 XRF and analyzed by the Uniquant program. A J.E Woolham Alpha-SE spectroscopic ellipsometer was used to measure the thickness of the films by modelling the experimental data to a Cauchy function. X-ray diffractograms (XRDs) were measured with a Bruker AXS D8 Discover powder diffractometer equipped with a $\text{Ge}(111)$ monochromator providing $\text{CuK}\alpha_1$ radiation and recorded with a LynxEye detector. Scanning electron microscopy (Nova NanoSEM 650 from FEI) was used to obtain images of the films. *In situ* quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) measurements were conducted using home-made holders and recorded with a Maxtek TM400 unit.

Electrical measurements were conducted using two different configurations. For the in-plane measurements, an insulating MgO substrate ($1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$) with the LWO film on top was coated with two stripes of ~500 nm of Pt separated by 0.5 mm by sputtering, using a Cressington 308R coating system. For the

cross-plane measurements, an electron conducting and H_2 permeable dense Pd substrate (20 mm diameter, 0.3 mm thickness) was used. In this case, several contact points of 3 mm and ~500 nm thick Pt were coated by sputtering as a counter electrode, and the electrodes that did not short-circuit after annealing were measured. In all cases, Pt grid and wires were attached to either electrode in a 2-point-4-wire setup. Impedance spectra were collected at different temperatures and atmospheres using an impedance analyzer (Novocontrol Alpha A, Novocontrol Technologies) in the 0.1 Hz - 1 MHz frequency range with an AC perturbation of 50 mV. The thicknesses of the LWO films were approximately 1.2 μm on Pd and 0.9 μm on MgO . The film and electrode were annealed at 800 °C for 30 min prior to the analysis to increase film crystallinity and electrode adherence.

Results and discussion

As mentioned in the introduction, the aim of the current project is to develop thin films in the La-W-O series by combining processes for deposition of binary lanthanum and tungsten oxides. The process for deposition of lanthanum oxide was adopted from Nieminen *et al.*²⁰ and is described in more detail in the section on La – W – O depositions.

WO_3 deposition

The prior experience in deposition of tungsten oxides is relatively limited. We chose to investigate the possible application of WOCl_4 and BMW due to their availability and possible simplicity. WOCl_4 should on first impression be a simple type of precursor, however, with potential inclusion of chlorine during deposition. While chlorine incorporation is not a challenge with BMW, its current cost is considerably higher. Application of $\text{WCl}_6 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ as a precursor combination was not considered practical due to likely formation of volatile WOCl_4 , in a similar manner as reported for application of NbCl_5 with H_2O ²¹. In the same work by Knapas *et al.*, however, they show that NbOCl_3 can be applied for formation of films of Nb_2O_5 . Previous work on deposition of WO_3 films by WF_6 ¹⁷ has revealed limited film formation due to film etching in the same manner as mentioned above, whereas in-situ generated WO_yF_x proved more successful and a much higher growth rate was obtained. It is therefore likely that the same will apply to the chloride analogue. Previous studies have also shown successful deposition of WO_3 using the BMW precursor¹⁸, which we have expanded upon in terms of growth temperatures, in addition to further investigation into the ALD/CVD process.

WOCl_4

The attempts to deposit WO_3 using WOCl_4 as a cation precursor yielded at first no growth on Si substrates, despite variations of precursor temperature (100 – 180 °C) substrate temperature (150 – 300 °C), reactant (H_2O , O_3 , $\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_3$), and pulsing time (3 – 25 s). However, signs of deposition were observed when depositing on films of La_2O_3 or Al_2O_3 on Si substrates.

An *in situ* QCM analysis, Figures 1 and 2, was conducted at 275 °C to obtain some information on the role of the substrate during deposition, specifically on La_2O_3 . A thin layer of WO_3

was deposited on the QCM crystal as a nucleation layer using 20 cycles of the BMW + H₂O process described later. The thickness of WO₃ was estimated from the WO₃ growth rates to be less than a monolayer (1.6 Å), leaving the Au surface of the QCM only partially covered. No film was observed when WOCl₄ + H₂O were pulsed directly on this surface (Figure 1(a) and 2(e)). Interestingly, while no growth was seen when a long wait time was added between deposition of the nucleation layer and the WOCl₄ + H₂O process, some film formation was detected when pulsing WOCl₄ + H₂O directly after the BMW + H₂O process (Figure 2(c)). These results were reproducible. Thereafter, a thin layer of La₂O₃ (13 Å) was deposited using 40 cycles of the La(thd)₃ + O₃ process before the WO₃ nucleation layer and the WOCl₄ + H₂O process was repeated. This resulted in formation of a limited amount of material. The growth rate after an initiation period of a few cycles was reasonably high but non-linear (Figure 1), before tapering off to a very low but linear growth rate within the range studied (Figure 2(d)). While the growth did not cease during the limited number of cycles applied in the QCM measurements, prolonging the exposures of the WOCl₄ + H₂O pair on La₂O₃ from 1000 to 3000 cycles did not reveal any additional film formation. A similar experiment on Al₂O₃, where the number of cycles on the Al₂O₃ film increased from 1000 to 2500, gave the same negative result. The film formation was limited to less than two nanometers. An XRF study of the deposited film revealed a significant amount of chlorine in the films deposited on La₂O₃ resulting in near equiatomic compositions of W and Cl (54 at% Cl when considering only Cl and W, excluding the La and O content). Chlorine was, however, not detected in the films deposited on Al₂O₃.




Figure 1: QCM analysis of variations in mass during deposition of WOCl₄ with, and without a layer of La₂O₃ on the QCM crystal. In both cases, a 1.6 Å layer of WO₃ was initially deposited using the BMW+H₂O process described in the text.




Figure 2: In situ QCM analysis of longer pulsing sequences (10 s/10 s for all pulse/purge) of different combinations of the WOCl₄ + H₂O and BMW + H₂O processes. The graphs shown from top: (a) BMW + H₂O process without initial La₂O₃ layer, (b) BMW + H₂O with initial La₂O₃ layer, (c) [BMW + H₂O] + [WOCl₄ + BMW] process without initial La₂O₃ layer, (d) WOCl₄ + H₂O process with initial La₂O₃ layer, (e) WOCl₄ + H₂O process without initial La₂O₃ layer. The QCM data represents an average of 16 subsequent cycles, and the standard deviation from this procedure is shown as grey lines for all sequences.

To conclude, WO₃ appears to grow on the surfaces of selected substrates, however, the growth is severely limited as WO₃ appears not to grow on itself. The WOCl₄ + H₂O process was not pursued further due to limited incorporation of W and notable contents of Cl in the deposited films.

BMW

The applicability of the BMW+H₂O precursor pair for deposition of WO₃ was thereafter investigated. The temperature of the precursor was varied from room temperature to 70 °C, using a substrate temperature of 275 °C and a pulsing sequence of 3 s BMW, 3 s purge, 3 s H₂O, 3 s purge. The growth rates are shown in Figure 3. Tests proved that the vapor pressure of the BMW precursor was sufficiently high for complete saturation at a precursor temperature of 60 °C with the current set-up. This temperature was therefore chosen for all further depositions. Lower precursor temperatures were not adopted, although possible, due to the wish to be well within a regime of ALD growth combined with possibilities of later reducing the pulsing time. The pulsing parameters were verified for saturative conditions by simple variations, and were utilized in the remainder of the experiments for growth of pure WO₃.

In situ QCM analysis of the BMW process, Figure 2 (a-b), shows a slightly surface enhanced growth on La₂O₃ relative to the pure substrate. The slope per cycle increased from 5.0 to 6.6 ng/cm², averaged over 20 cycles. Comparing the two QCM recordings, it appears that the increase in growth rate stems from a larger uptake of the BMW precursor, while the decrease of mass during the water pulse remains nearly the same. It is likely that the decrease in mass observed in the QCM data is dominated by temperature changes brought about by the water

pulse itself, making the data unreliable for analyzing the leaving groups. We can therefore only conclude with a higher density of BMW precursor during its pulse.

The growth rate of WO_3 as function of deposition temperature was investigated in the range from 250 to 375 °C, as presented in Figure 4. No growth was observed at 250 °C while a steadily increasing ALD-type growth was found for deposition temperatures from 275 to 325 °C. CVD-type growth was present at and above 350 °C.




Figure 3: Growth rate of WO_3 from the BMW + H_2O process at 275 °C as function of the BMW precursor temperature using a pulsing sequence of 3 s BMW, 3 s purge, 3 s H_2O , 3 s purge.




Figure 4: Growth rate of WO_3 as a function of deposition temperature for the BMW + H_2O process using a pulsing sequence of 3 s BMW, 3 s purge, 3 s H_2O and 3 s purge.

The CVD-type growth was observed as increased film thickness on extended pulsing time, and by achieving film growth by pulsing of only BMW. Similar tests at 325 °C proved clearly growth of the ALD-type as no change in film thickness occurred with increasing pulsing time and no growth was observed by pulsing only BMW. It should be noted, however, that the CVD deposition of WO_3 was remarkably uniform and reproducible.

XRD analysis revealed all as-deposited WO_3 films to be amorphous. RTP annealing caused crystallization of a multitude of WO_3 phases at 600 °C.

La - W - O depositions

The La - W - O system was investigated by combining the deposition processes for La_2O_3 and WO_3 . The number of La cycles [$\text{La}(\text{thd})_3 + \text{O}_3$] between each W cycle (BMW + H_2O) was varied from 10 (91 pulse %) to 17 (94.5 pulse %). The pulse and purge parameters used for this investigation was higher than those sufficient for saturation and ensured ALD growth, and were set at 3/6/3/10 s for La_2O_3 and 5/5/3/5 s for WO_3 . In particular, the BMW precursor appears to react strongly with any remaining ozone from the La-cycle, and an extra purge of 60 s was used before introducing the BMW precursor.

The average growth rate increase with La-content, as seen in Figure 5. This is expected due to the higher growth rate of La_2O_3 as compared to WO_3 . The observed growth rates were, however, lower than a direct combination of the growth rates of the binary oxides. As discussed previously, a surfaced enhanced growth of WO_3 is seen when deposited on La_2O_3 . Therefore, these results suggest that the growth of La_2O_3 is surface inhibited when deposited on WO_3 .




Figure 5: Growth rate of La-W-O films as a function of the La-pulse percent using the precursor combinations $x[\text{La}(\text{thd})_3 + \text{O}_3] + [\text{BMW} + \text{H}_2\text{O}]$.

The correlation between the pulsed and the deposited La:W composition was further investigated, as presented in Figure 6. It appears that a La-pulsing between 91.3 and 92.4% give products within the composition range for the proton conducting LWO phase. These correspond to pulsing ratios of 10.5 : 1 and 12 : 1, where 10.5 : 1 is achieved by alternating 10 : 1 with 11 : 1. The compositional interval for achieving the proton conducting phase is very small, and the experimental uncertainties in both sample acquisition and analysis is challenging. RBS measurements were performed on selected samples, although outside the pulsing range in Figure 6. These differ with approximately 2-4 cat% points from the XRF values. Repeated depositions lead to variations in the order of up to 2 cat%.

As an additional note, the exact relationship between the pulsed and deposited composition depends also on the ozone concentration. Initial attempts using a generator providing a lower ozone concentration (OT-020 from Ozone Technology,

using >99.9% O₂) gave the desired composition at a La-pulse % of approximately 94% as compared to approximately 92 pulse % for the current case. This issue was not subject to further studies.

The expected correlation between pulsed and deposited composition can be calculated with basis in the individual growth rates and densities of the binary oxides, and marked with the dashed line in Figure 6. Our LWO films appear to contain more W than expected from the individual growth rates. As previously discussed, deposition of WO₃ on La₂O₃ films indicated a surface enhanced growth of WO₃ on La₂O₃, while La₂O₃ depositions experience a surface inhibited growth on WO₃, which is in accordance with these findings.




Figure 6: Deposited content of La cat% as a function of the La-pulse %. The targeted compositions are located in the interval 84 - 85 % La. The dashed line indicate the calculated compositions on the basis of growth rates of the binary oxides.

La_{28-x}W_{4+x}O_{54+δ}V_{2-δ} depositions for electrical measurements

Pulsing of 91.4 % La(thd)₃ cycles (pulsing relationship 10.67 : 1) gave films close to the LWO54 composition (5.4 : 1 ratio for La : W molar ratio). This pulsing ratio was achieved by alternating the macrocycles 10 : 1 and 11 : 1 in a 1 : 2 ratio.

A 0.9 μm thick LWO film was deposited on MgO (100) for in-plane measurement and a 1.2 μm thick film was deposited on polycrystalline Pd for cross-plane measurements. This thickness of the films allows the resistance through the film to be reliably measured. For Pd substrates, two different methods were used to obtain thick LWO films, termed method 1 (M1) and method 2 (M2). M1 was the same as for MgO substrates, using continuous ALD depositions, only stopping the reactor to refill La(thd)₃ precursor every 22 h. With M2, 40 nm LWO were initially deposited on the Pd substrates by ALD and heat treated at 850 °C for 30 min in air. These were subsequently used as substrates for further deposition of approximately 1.16 μm of continuous LWO deposition as in the first method. The second method was established to attempt avoiding cracking and short-circuiting of the film during measurements.

The SEM images in Figure 7 of the as-deposited crystalline LWO films show smooth and crack-free films, appearing to be pinhole-free (Figure 7a, b). After annealing for 30 min at 850 °C, the films show an increase in roughness at high magnifications (Figure 7c, d). The films on MgO maintained

their pinhole-free character, but cracks of approximately 1 μm width appeared in the film deposited on Pd using M1 (Figure 7e). Cracks also appeared after heat treatment using M2, however, the separation between the cracks was much larger than for M1 (Figure 7f).




Figure 7: SEM images of (a) as-deposited on Pd, M1 (b) as-deposited on MgO, M1 (c) annealed 30 min at 850 °C on Pd, M1 (d) annealed 30 min at 850 °C on MgO, M2 (e) annealed 30 min at 850 °C on Pd, M1 (f) LWO-3 on Pd after annealing 30 min at 850 °C, and electrical measurements with multiple heat cycles up to 650 °C.

All Bragg reflections of both as-deposited and annealed LWO films were ascribed to the proton-conductive fluorite-type phase or to the substrate/deposited contacts when deposited on Pd or MgO, see Figure 8. The deposited film shows a strong preferred orientation based on the high intensity of (400) compared to the scarcely visible (222). For a randomly oriented sample the (400) is 44% of the intensity of the (222). After annealing, the (222) becomes more intense, and the FWHM of all peaks increase. This is indicative of a crystallization of remaining amorphous LWO material, or a recrystallization. The new small crystallites will broaden the peak significantly as well as cause new reflections to appear.

The unit cell dimension *a* was found as 11.3 Å, space group *Fm* $\bar{3}$ *m*¹². This is larger than the bulk value *a* = 11.18 Å^{4, 5, 12}. The positions of all reflections shift towards lower 2θ angles (larger d-spacings) upon annealing in air, as is clearly shown in the insets to Figure 8. This behavior is different than expected since thin films normally tend to relax toward bulk values upon annealing. It is therefore possible that deposited material is

influenced by other chemical parameters, such as hydration or impurities.




Figure 8: XRD patterns of LWO films on (a) MgO and (b) Pd before and after annealing at 850 °C for 30 minutes. The sharp non-identified peaks are substrate reflections or contact reflections from MgO, Pd, PdO, Ag and Pt, while the identified reflections correspond to the LWO phase. The insets show the most intense reflection (400).

LWO electrical measurements

The conductivity σ as measured both by cross-section and in-plane geometry of the films was calculated using the equation

$$\sigma = \frac{L}{A} \cdot \frac{1}{R} \quad (1)$$

where L is the distance between the electrodes, R is the film resistance, and A is the area of the cross section of the conducting path. The geometrical factor for the two different configurations differs largely: $A/L_{in\ plane} \sim 10^{-3}$ cm; $A/L_{cross\ plane} \sim 6 \cdot 10^2$ cm.

Two assumingly identical samples of LWO films deposited on MgO (labeled as LWO-1 and LWO-2) were measured using the in-plane configuration in the temperature range from 650 to 900 °C. The lowest temperature included in these measurements was based on the instrumental resolution for the highest resistive measurements. Representative Nyquist plots (Figure

S1) and an interpretation of the data is included as supplementary material. The conductivity of the film includes the contribution from both grain interior and grain boundaries and cannot be separated in this geometry, in accordance with other works^{22,23}.

The variation of the conductivity of the film with temperature under different atmospheres is shown in Figure 9. LWO-1 was used for a quick assessment of the conducting properties, while LWO-2 was measured to gain further insight on the dominating charge carriers. The data was recorded on cooling.






Figure 9: Variation of the (total= grain interior + grain boundary) conductivity with temperature a) for LWO-1 and b) for LWO-2. The list of atmosphere in the legend is in chronological order with respect to conducted data collections (top to bottom).

Figure 9a (LWO-1) shows that the conductivity in wet Ar is lower than in wet air and in wet 5%H₂/Ar. This is an indication that some electron hole and electronic conductivity exists in the LWO thin films, under oxidising and reducing conditions, respectively. This is due to an increased concentration of electron holes at high pO₂ (eq. 2), and a higher concentration of electrons at low pO₂ (eq. 3), in accordance with the following defect chemical equations:



The activation energy of the conductivity is essentially independent of the atmosphere and about 1 eV. A change from wet to dry Ar (last measurement) at 650 °C did not show significant variation in the conductivity, suggesting that protons are not the main charge carriers at this temperature.

The conductivities of LWO-2 (Figure 10b) in wet conditions are quite comparable to those of LWO-1, although the LWO-2 conductivities are, in general, slightly higher. The conductivity of LWO-2 is weakly dependent of both pO₂ (in wet conditions), and pH₂O (in Ar). The variation of the conductivity with pH₂O was measured isothermally at 650 °C to clarify the role of protons in LWO thin films deposited by ALD, and is reported in Figure S2 in supplementary information. Some protonic


conductivity exists at this temperature, but protons are not the main charge carriers.

The activation energy for the conductivity is in the range 0.8 - 1 eV (for wet O₂ and Ar atmospheres), while it increases to ~1.1 eV in reducing conditions (see Figure 10). The conductivity increases significantly from wet to dry 5%H₂/Ar, suggesting that n-type conductivity becomes more significant due to the lower pO₂ in dry reducing conditions.

From the in-plane conductivity measurements of both LWO-1 and LWO-2, we may conclude that LWO thin films deposited on MgO behave mainly as an oxide ion conductor between 650 and 900 °C, with a minor contribution from p-type conductivity in oxidizing conditions, protons under wet conditions, and more significant contribution from n-type electronic conductivity in highly reducing atmospheres.

A third LWO film sample deposited on a conducting Pd substrate (LWO-3) was measured using the cross-plane configuration in the temperature range 400 - 650 °C. Only samples produced according to method 2 (annealed 40 nm LWO film on Pd prior to remaining LWO film deposition) could be utilized, as all the samples from method 1 systematically short-circuited after annealing. Representative Nyquist plots (Figure S3) and a system description can be found in the supplementary material. In this configuration, the highest temperature measured was 650 °C in order to prevent short-circuiting between the top and bottom electrodes. The contact resistance in the same cell, used for low impedance measurements²⁴, was determined to be ~1 mΩ at room temperature, and ~3 mΩ at 850 °C. Therefore, it is assumed that the contact resistance in this configuration is negligible.

The variation in conductivity with temperature under different atmospheres for LWO-3 is shown in Figure 10. The measurements are recorded on cooling, except for the first ramps in Ar, which were taken upon heating. It is remarkable that the conductivities upon heating and cooling in Ar are virtually identical, demonstrating high reproducibility of the results. The conductivity is essentially independent of pO₂ from inert to oxidizing conditions, but dependent on pH₂O. The conductivity increases with increasing pH₂O, and the dependence increases with decreasing temperature. This reflects that the concentration of protons and, accordingly, their contribution to the total conductivity, increase with decreasing temperature and increasing water vapor pressure, in accordance with the exothermic hydration reaction:



It is interesting to note that the difference between wet and dry Ar at 650 °C corresponds well to the cross-plane measurements. At the lowest temperature measured here, the conductivity is essentially proportional to pH₂O^{1/4}, supporting dominance of proton conductivity at this temperature in wet conditions.




Figure 10: Variation of the (grain interior) conductivity with temperature for LWO-3. The list of atmospheres in the legend is given in chronological order for conducted measurements.

The activation energy for the conductivity for LWO-3 decreases from ~1.1 eV in dry Ar to ~0.5-0.6 eV in wet conditions at the lowest temperatures (c.f. Fig. 13). This is in accordance with a change in the dominating conducting species depending on atmosphere and temperature: in dry conditions or wet conditions and high temperatures, the material is essentially dehydrated. Ionic conductivity is therefore dominated by oxide ions, in accordance with an activation energy of ~1 eV. Under wet conditions and lower temperatures, the LWO film becomes increasingly hydrated, and protons become the main charge carrier. This is in accordance with a lower activation energy (0.5-0.6 eV) and the value expected based on recent literature reports (activation energy of mobility of protons in LWO56 is determined to be 0.6 eV)²⁵. The conductivity does not change significantly when turning from wet air to wet 5%H₂/Ar at 650 °C, although a complete temperature ramp could not be performed due to a short-circuit in the system when cooling from 650 to 600 °C.

From the cross-plane conductivity measurements, we may conclude that the LWO thin film deposited on Pd behaves mainly as an ionic conductor between 650 and 400 °C, with increasing dominance of protons under wet conditions at lower temperatures, and with a negligible contribution from electronic defects.

The conductivity characteristics of the LWO thin films are compared in Figure 11 with bulk data of LWO54⁵. First, it is interesting to highlight that the measurements of both in-plane and cross-plane measurements are very consistent with each other, essentially reproducing the overall behavior and activation energies. From the combined conductivity ramps, we may conclude that the LWO thin films in wet Ar mainly show ionic conductivity, with protons dominating at the lowest temperatures (~400 °C), and oxide ions at higher temperatures (>650 °C). Although this is in general agreement with the grain interior properties of LWO, the temperature where dehydration occurs is much lower in the thin film, compared to the bulk. This indicates that the hydration reaction described in equation 2 is less exothermic for the thin film. Another important

difference between the film and the bulk material is the magnitude of the conductivity. It is evident from Figure 11 that the conductivity is one or two orders of magnitude lower at high and low temperatures, respectively. Vøllestad *et al.*²⁶ recently reported that LWO thin films deposited on a Pd substrate by pulsed laser deposition exhibited lower conductivities than bulk, however, their values $\sim 3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ S/cm at 600 °C²⁶ are higher than those currently reported ($\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ S/cm at 600 °C) for wet conditions.




Figure 11: Comparison of electrical conductivity in wet Ar of ALD grown LWO thin films and bulk LWO54 as reported by Magrasó *et al.*⁵.

One may speculate whether the oxygen vacancies in the film are trapped, compared to the situation in the bulk material. A more plausible explanation may be related to doping. From the overall conductivity behavior it seems that a donor doping effect is present in both in-plane and cross-plane measurements: both the oxide ion and protonic conductivity are severely depleted while n-type electronic conductivity is enhanced. It has been reported that tungsten in LWO acts as a self-dopant^{4, 25}. The donor doping effect of tungsten has been reported to decrease the ionic conductivity about one order of magnitude⁵, in a similar manner as encountered here at high temperatures. This, however, requires further investigation to be resolved.

Conclusions

Thin films of lanthanum tungstate (LWO) were produced using atomic layer deposition by combining the binary deposition processes for La_2O_3 and WO_3 . The precursor $\text{La}(\text{thd})_3$ in combination with ozone was used for deposition of La_2O_3 . Depositions of WO_3 using the cation precursors WOCl_4 and $(\text{tBuN})_2(\text{Me}_2\text{N})_2\text{W}(\text{VI})$ (BMW) were investigated, where WOCl_4 was found to provide growth on La_2O_3 , Al_2O_3 and soda-lime glass, but not on itself, and was therefore not useful for LWO. The cation precursor BMW in combination with water gave ALD-type growth between 275 and 325 °C, and was therefore utilized in the LWO deposition. A series of La–W–O films were deposited, for exploring the change in achieved composition with pulsing percentages. Films with La-pulsing percent between 91.3 and 92.4% appeared to fall within the composition range for the proton conducting LWO phase, or a

pulsed relationship of 10.5:1 and 12:1 between $\text{La}(\text{thd})_3$ and BMW.

1.2 and 0.9 μm thick crystalline films with a La-pulsing percent of 91.4% were deposited on Pd and MgO, respectively. These were confirmed as proton-conducting phase of LWO by XRD. The films were pin-hole free as-deposited. The LWO film on Pd cracked during annealing, causing short-circuiting in all cells for electrical characterization. An alternative deposition procedure was therefore devised. A thin layer of LWO film (40 nm) was deposited and subsequently annealed before a 1.16 μm LWO film was thereafter deposited on top. This procedure was successful in avoiding short-circuiting of LWO films during electrical measurements, and the electrical properties were reproducibly measured both in cross-plane and in-plane configurations.

The LWO films behave mainly as an oxygen ion conductor at high temperatures, but mainly as a proton conductor at lower temperatures and at wet conditions, with some contributions from p-type conductivity in oxidizing conditions, and a more significant contribution from n-type electronic conductivity in highly reducing atmospheres. The in-plane and cross-plane measurements for films on MgO and Pd, respectively, are consistent. The magnitude and the temperature dependence of the conductivity in LWO films grown by ALD differ from the bulk values. This may be attributed to internal doping effects.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Department of Geosciences at UiO for use of the XRF equipment, Annett Thøgersen at SINTEF and Susmit Kumar at UiO for SEM images. This work is part of the EMALD (195233) KPN-project, funded by the Research Council of Norway, Protia, Innotech Solar and Baldur Coatings.

Notes and references

^a Centre for Materials Science and Nanotechnology (SMN), Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1033 Blindern, N-0315 Oslo, Norway, kristin.bergum@smn.uio.no

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Representative nyquist plots, system descriptions and isothermal conductivity measurements. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

1. M. Yoshimura and J. F. Baumard, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 1975, **10**, 983-988.
2. T. Shimura, S. Fujimoto and H. Iwahara, *Solid State Ionics*, 2001, **143**, 117-123.
3. R. Haugsrud, *Solid State Ionics*, 2007, **178**, 555-560.
4. A. Magrasó, J. M. Polfus, C. Frontera, J. Canales-Vazquez, L.-E. Kalland, C. H. Hervoches, S. Erdal, R. Hancke, M. S. Islam, T. Norby and R. Haugsrud, *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 2012, **22**, 1762-1764.
5. A. Magrasó, C. Frontera, D. Marrero-Lopez and P. Nunez, *Dalton T*, 2009, 10273-10283.
6. R. Haugsrud and C. Kjolseth, *J Phys Chem Solids*, 2008, **69**, 1758-1765.
7. A. Magrasó, *Journal of Power Sources*, 2013, **240**, 583-588.
8. V. Gil, J. Gorauskis, C. Kjolseth, K. Wiik and M. A. Einarsrud, *Int J Hydrogen Energ*, 2013, **38**, 3087-3091.
9. S. Escolastico, C. Solis, T. Scherb, G. Schumacher and J. M. Serra, *J Membrane Sci*, 2013, **444**, 276-284.

Journal Name

10. J. J. Roa, A. Magraso, M. Morales, P. Nunez and M. Segarra, *Ceram Int*, 2011, **37**, 1593-1599.
11. V. Gil, R. A. Strom, L. J. Groven and M. A. Einarsrud, *J Am Ceram Soc*, 2012, **95**, 3403-3407.
12. A. Magrasó, C. H. Hervoches, I. Ahmed, S. Hull, J. Nordstrom, A. W. B. Skilbred and R. Haugrud, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2013, **1**, 3774-3782.
13. E. Quarez, K. V. Kravchyk and O. Joubert, *Solid State Ionics*, 2012, **216**, 19-24.
14. E. Quarez, Y. Oumellal and O. Joubert, *Fuel Cells*, 2013, **13**, 34-41.
15. O. Nilsen, E. Rauwel, H. Fjellvag and A. Kjekshus, *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 2007, **17**, 1466-1475.
16. V. Miikkulainen, M. Leskela, M. Ritala and R. L. Puurunen, *J Appl Phys*, 2013, **113**.
17. P. Tägtström, P. Martensson, U. Jansson and J. O. Carlsson, *J Electrochem Soc*, 1999, **146**, 3139-3143.
18. R. Liu, Y. J. Lin, L. Y. Chou, S. W. Sheehan, W. S. He, F. Zhang, H. J. M. Hou and D. W. Wang, *Angew Chem Int Edit*, 2011, **50**, 499-502.
19. J. Malm, T. Sajavaara and M. Karppinen, *Chem Vapor Depos*, 2012, **18**, 245-248.
20. M. Nieminen, M. Putkonen and L. Niinisto, *Appl Surf Sci*, 2001, **174**, 155-165.
21. K. Knapas, A. Rahtu and M. Ritala, *Chem Vapor Depos*, 2009, **15**, 269-273.
22. M. Gerstl, E. Navickas, G. Friedbacher, F. Kubel, M. Ahrens and J. Fleig, *Solid State Ionics*, 2011, **185**, 32-41.
23. H. Bae, J. Choi and G. M. Choi, *Solid State Ionics*, 2013, **236**, 16-21.
24. J. Froitzheim, A. Magraso, T. Holt, M. W. Lundberg, H. F. Windisch, R. Berger, R. Sachitanand, J. Westlinder, J. E. Svensson and R. Haugrud, *Ecs Transactions*, 2013, **57**, 2187-2193.
25. S. Erdal, L. E. Kalland, R. Hancke, J. Polfus, R. Haugrud, T. Norby and A. Magraso, *Int J Hydrogen Energ*, 2012, **37**, 8051-8055.
26. E. Völlestad, A. Gorzkowska-Sobas and R. Haugrud, *Thin Solid Films*, 2012, **520**, 6531-6534.