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Branched CNT@SnO₂ nanorods@carbon hierarchical heterostructures for lithium ion batteries with high reversibility and rate capability

Shuai Chen, Yuelong Xin, Yiyang Zhou, Feng Zhang, Yurong Ma, Henghui Zhou* and Limin Qi*

A novel hierarchical heterostructure consisting of carbon-coated SnO₂ mesocrystalline nanorods radially aligned on carbon nanotubes (CNTs) was designed and fabricated by a two-step growth process. SnO₂ nanorods were first grown directly on CNTs through a facile solvothermal reaction, which were subsequently coated by a thin layer of carbon to form a branched CNT@SnO₂@carbon sandwich-type heterostructure. When used as an anode material in lithium-ion batteries, the branched CNT@SnO₂@C heterostructures exhibited highly reversible lithium storage behavior and excellent rate capability. The reversible capacity of the CNT@SnO₂@C heterostructure reached 984 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 720 mA g⁻¹, and retained 590 mAh g⁻¹ at 3.6 A g⁻¹ and 420 mAh g⁻¹ at 7.2 A g⁻¹. This superior performance may be ascribed to the improved mechanical capability and high loading content of SnO₂ of the branched architecture, the good electrical conductivity of CNT backbones and the carbon layer, and the high electrochemical reactivity of the 1D mesocrystalline SnO₂ nanorods.

Introduction

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have become the predominant power source for a wide variety of applications like portable electronics for many years due to their high energy density, long lifespan and high safety. Recently, the demand of high-energy and high-power LIBs for fast-charging electric vehicles is continually increasing, which requests new anode materials with higher capacity and better rate performance to replace the commercially used graphite. SnO₂ is a promising candidate as an anode material in LIBs due to its high theoretical capacity of 782 mAh g⁻¹ and appropriate working potential around 0.6 V (vs. Li⁺/Li). However, the practical application of SnO₂-based electrodes is significantly limited by their poor cycling stability caused by the large volume change (~240%) of SnO₂ during the alloying and dealloying processes. Furthermore, the capacity and cycling stability of SnO₂ at high current density are impaired by its low ionic diffusivity and electronic conductivity, which is unfavorable for its applications in high-power devices.

Designing hybrid materials of SnO₂ nanostructures with carbon-based materials with desirable structures to buffer the volume change and to improve the conductivity and ion diffusion is a promising strategy to overcome these disadvantages. Carbon nanotube (CNT) is a useful material in LIBs due to its excellent conductivity and mechanical properties. There have been some reports on the heterostructures of CNTs and SnO₂ nanoparticles or nanocables, which exhibited improved cycling stability in LIBs. For the growth of SnO₂ nanocrystals on the surface of CNTs, initial treatment of CNTs with HNO₃ or polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) are normally needed to modify the chemically inert surface of CNTs. Generally, these methods lead to relatively low loading contents of SnO₂ in the hybrid materials and poor contact between the active materials and the conductive carbon blacks due to the limited growth of SnO₂, thus impairing the total capacities and rate capabilities of these heterostructures. Notably, one-dimensional (1D) nanostructures have shown great potential in improving the rate performance of nanostructured electrodes because of their high surface area, good conductivity and high mechanical strength. For example, the carbon-coated α-Fe₂O₃ hollow nanohorns grown on CNTs exhibited significantly enhanced cycling stability and rate performance. Therefore, the growth of 1D nanostructure on CNTs would be able to both improve the loading mass of SnO₂ and enhance the contact with carbon blacks and electrolyte. However, it is still a challenge to grow SnO₂ 1D nanostructures directly on CNTs using the available methods. Moreover, it is noteworthy that mesocrystals, which are hierarchical assemblies of crystallographically oriented nanocrystals, are receiving increasing attention as promising electrode materials for high-power LIBs due to their unique properties of high conductivity and high porosity. It is expected that a rational combination of CNTs and SnO₂ mesocrystalline nanostructures would lead to LIB anodes with improved rate performance.

Recently, we have developed a facile solvothermal method to grow mesocrystalline SnO₂ nanorod arrays on arbitrary inert substrates by controlling the reaction kinetics. These 1D
mesocrystalline nanorods can provide good conductivity and short Li ion diffusion lengths, thus exhibiting superior rate performance as an anode material in LIBs. Herein, a novel branched CNT@SnO2@C hierarchical heterostructure was designed and fabricated by a two-step growth process (Fig. 1). First, a branched CNT@SnO2 hierarchical heterostructure consisting of SnO2 mesocrystalline nanorods grown directly on CNTs was synthesized through the kinetics-controlled method. The intersecting and highly conductive CNTs construct a three-dimensional (3D) backbone to facilitate the charge transfer, and the branched structure of SnO2 mesocrystalline nanorods grown on CNTs not only benefits from the good conductivity of the 1D structure and the short ion diffusion length of mesocrystals, but also provides good contact with electrolyte and carbon blacks in electrode. Furthermore, a branched CNT@SnO2@C sandwich-type heterostructure was fabricated by coating a thin layer of carbon on the branched CNT@SnO2 heterostructure. Thanks to the synergy of each constituent in this hybrid structure, the branched heterostructure exhibited a high reversible capacity of 984 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 720 mA g\(^{-1}\) and high rate capability (590 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 3.6 A g\(^{-1}\) and 420 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 7.2 A g\(^{-1}\)) when used as an anode in LIBs.

**Fig. 1** Schematic illustration of the formation of the branched CNT@SnO2 nanorods@carbon heterostructures

**Experimental section**

**Synthesis of branched CNT@SnO2 heterostructures**

Branched CNT@SnO2 heterostructures were solvothermally synthesized in a ternary solvent system following the reported method\(^\text{30}\) except for the use of CNTs instead of Ti foil. In a typical synthesis, NaBr (0.275 mmol) was dissolved in 1 mL of deionized (DI) water, and SnCl\(_4\)\(\cdot\)5H\(_2\)O (0.2 mmol) was dissolved in 6 mL of glacial acetic acid. Then, these two solutions were mixed with 1 mL of ethanol and 1 mg of multi-wall carbon nanotubes (110-170 nm \(\times\) 5-9 µm, Sigma-Aldrich) under ultrasonic dispersion. The mixed black sol was transferred into a 25 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave, which was then heated at 200 °C for 24 h. After the autoclave was cooled to room temperature, the resultant black powder was separated by centrifugation and washed with DI water and ethanol for several times, and dried at 70 °C overnight.

**Synthesis of branched CNT@SnO2@C sandwich-type heterostructures**

Glucose-derived carbon layer was coated on the branched CNT@SnO2 heterostructures by a hydrothermal process. 20 mg of the as-prepared branched CNT@SnO2 heterostructures was dispersed by ultrasonication in 15 mL of 4.7 g L\(^{-1}\) aqueous glucose solution. The suspension was transferred into a 25 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave, which was then heated at 180 °C for 24 h. After the autoclave was cooled to room temperature, the product was separated by centrifugation and washed with DI water for several times. After drying at 70 °C, the resulting brown powder was carbonized at 500 °C for 2 h under Ar atmosphere.

**Characterization**

The heterostructure products were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S4800, 5 kV), transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI Tecnai T20, 200 kV), high-resolution TEM (HRTEM, FEI Tecnai F30, 300 kV), and X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku Dmax-2000, Cu Kα radiation). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a Q50 thermoanalyzer with air as the carrier gas at a heating rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\). The specific surface area was determined using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method through nitrogen sorption analysis performed on a Micromeritics ASAP 2010 instrument at 77 K.

**Electrochemical measurement**

Electrochemical charge and discharge tests were made in 2032 coin cells. The CNT@SnO2 sample used as reference in electrochemical tests was calcined at 450 °C for 30 min to remove residual organic species. The anode mixture was prepared by mixing the active materials, acetylene black, and binder polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) at a ratio of 8:1:1 and was spread on a copper foil by a blade method. Prior to use, the electrode was dried under vacuum at 120 °C overnight before electrochemical tests. The electrode was assembled in an argon-filled glovebox. Li foil was used as the counter electrode, 1 M LiClO\(_4\) in propylene carbonate (PC) and dimethyl carbonate (1:1 by volume) was used as the electrolyte, and Celgard 2400 was used as the separator. The electrochemical performance was tested using an ARBIN BT2000 battery test system at room temperature (25 °C). The coin cells was discharged and charged between 2.5 V and 0.05V at a constant current. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) study was carried out on a CV autolab (Eco Chemie, PGSTAT302N). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was measured on an electrochemical workstation (Shanghai Chenhua, CHI660D) in the frequency range from 10\(^{-2}\) to 10\(^{5}\) Hz.

**Results and discussion**

Fig. 2a shows typical SEM images of the multiwalled CNTs used as backbones for the growth of SnO2 nanorods, which suggests that the CNTs with an average diameter of ~130 nm and lengths of several microns had a rather smooth surface. After solvothermal growth of mesocrystalline SnO2 nanorods at 200 °C for 24 h, branched CNT@SnO2 heterostructures were readily produced. As shown in Fig. 2b, all the CNTs exhibited coarse surfaces with their diameters increasing to around 1 µm, and they intersected with each other. The enlarged SEM image shown in Fig. 2c suggests that the CNTs were uniformly decorated by SnO2 nanorods with interspaces between each other on the entire surface, showing a novel branched morphology. Fig. 2d shows the cross-section of a fractured CNT@SnO2 heterostructure, suggesting that the nanorods with a length of 500 nm and an average diameter of 90 nm were grown radially on the surface of CNTs. The high-magnification SEM image shown in Fig. 2e suggests that each nanorod had a square cross section and the square nanorod was actually made of a bundle of primary nanorods around 10 nm in width. The
TEM image of a typical SnO$_2$ nanorod clearly shows the primary 1D subunits (Fig. 2f). The corresponding selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern exhibits the single-crystalline diffraction features of rutile SnO$_2$, indicating that each nanorod consisting of a bundle of primary nanorods is a single-crystal-like SnO$_2$ mesocrystal growing along the [001] direction with four lateral facets enclosed by the {110} planes, which is consistent with the mesocrystalline SnO$_2$ nanorod arrays grown on Ti substrate. $^{30}$ The BET surface area of the branched CNT@SnO$_2$ heterostructures was measured to be $\sim 9.7 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, which is somewhat higher than the theoretical maximum value ($\sim 7.1 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) of solid tetragonal SnO$_2$ nanorods (about 90 nm $\times$ 90 nm $\times$ 500 nm in size) with one end blocked regardless of their close packing, indicating the existence of some interstices between the rod-like subunits of the mesocrystalline nanorods. It is worth mentioning that although branched nanostructures of Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanohorns grown on CNTs, $^{29}$ ZnCo$_2$O$_4$ nanowires grown on carbon fibers, $^{33}$ and NiCo$_2$O$_4$ nanowires grown on carbon textiles $^{34}$ have been reported, this is the first report on branched heterostructures of mesocrystalline oxide nanorods grown on 1D carbon nanostructures.

The growth process of mesocrystalline SnO$_2$ nanorods on CNTs was investigated by examining the products obtained at an earlier growth stage with a reaction time of 3 h. As shown in Fig. 3a and b, a branched product consisted of small SnO$_2$ nanorods with a length of 100 nm sparsely grown on CNTs were obtained. Fig. 3c shows an HRTEM image of the nascent CNT@SnO$_2$ heterostructure, which exhibits a clear interface between CNT and a SnO$_2$ nanorod. The lattice fringes with spacings of 0.34 nm and 0.26 nm in the dark part correspond to the (110) and (101) planes of the rutile SnO$_2$, and the lattice fringe with a spacing of 0.34 nm in the light part corresponds to the (002) plane of multivalled CNTs, suggesting a direct growth of small SnO$_2$ nanorods on CNTs. It is noteworthy that the heterogeneous growth of SnO$_2$ nanocrystals on the chemical inert surface of CNTs may also provide a promising strategy to synthesize heterostructures of SnO$_2$ and other inert carbon-based materials including graphene.

The amount of CNTs in the solvothermal reaction system had significant influence on the morphology of the branched CNT@SnO$_2$ heterostructures (Fig. S1, ESI†). If the amount of CNT in 8 mL of reaction solution containing 25 mM SnCl$_4$ was decreased from 1 mg to 0.5 mg, more nanorods were grown on the surface of CNTs, leading to denser branched structures. When the amount of CNT was increased to 2 mg, sparser branched structures were obtained. When the amount of CNT was further increased to 4 mg, a large number of bare CNTs co-existed with branched CNT@SnO$_2$ heterostructures, indicating that the presence of excess CNTs was unfavorable for the uniform growth of SnO$_2$ nanorods. In this ternary solvent system, acetic acid (HAc) and NaBr played key roles in controlling the growth processes of SnO$_2$. Since HAc is a acidic, coordinating solvent, its concentration largely controlled the hydrolysis rate of Sn(IV), thus affecting the amount of SnO$_2$ grown on CNTs. As a result, the nanorods grown on CNTs became sparser when the HAc amount added in the reaction system was increased (Fig. S2, ESI†). The amount of NaBr can also affect the density and morphology of SnO$_2$ nanorods. Generally, sparser nanorods were achieved at a higher NaBr content, whereas a thick SnO$_2$ layer consisting of densely packed nanorods were grown around CNTs in the absence of NaBr (Fig. S3, ESI†), which suggests that the presence of NaBr as additive significantly influenced the growth of SnO$_2$ nanorods, probably owing to the adjusting of the ionic strength and the coordination effect of halogen ions. $^{30}$
After hydrothermal carbonization of glucose in solution, the SnO$_2$ nanorods grown on CNTs were uniformly coated by a thin layer of polymeric carbon with a thickness of 5 nm (Fig. S4, ESI†). The polymeric carbon-coated CNT@SnO$_2$ heterostructures were fully carbonized at 500 °C under Ar atmosphere. There was no apparent change in the morphology of the branched nanostructures after carbon coating, as shown in Fig. 4a. The TEM characterization shown in Fig. 4b indicates that each SnO$_2$ nanorod still consisted of a bundle of parallel primary nanorods with diameters between 5-10 nm after calcination, and it exhibited a single-crystal-like SAED pattern, confirming its mesocrystalline structure. The carbon layer became thinner after complete carbonization, with a thickness of ~4 nm (Fig. 4c), probably due to the dehydration carbonization process during calcination. The HRTEM image shown in Fig. 4d shows the outer amorphous carbon coating layer and the inner crystalline SnO$_2$ with clear lattice fringes with spacings of 0.32 nm and 0.34 nm, which correspond to the (001) and (110) planes of rutile SnO$_2$, respectively, in good agreement with the SAED pattern.

The XRD patterns of the pristine CNTs, the branched CNT@SnO$_2$ heterostructures, and the branched CNT@SnO$_2$@C heterostructures are shown in Fig. 5. The pristine CNTs exhibit a sharp reflection corresponding to the (002) plane of graphite (JCPDS No. 25-0284), suggesting the interlayer distance between graphitic layers of multiwalled CNTs. After the kinetics-controlled growth of SnO$_2$ in solution, the reflections of rutile SnO$_2$ (JCPDS No. 41-1445) appear, which suggests the formation of the CNT@SnO$_2$ heterostructures. The increased background in the 20–35° region after the growth of SnO$_2$ suggests the existence of disordered carbon in the CNTs, which was increased after carbon coating due to the existence of amorphous carbon layer.\(^1\) Due to the 1D structure of SnO$_2$, a pretty high content of SnO$_2$ in the branched CNT@SnO$_2$@C heterostructures could be achieved. The TGA curve of the branched CNT@SnO$_2$@C heterostructures after fully carbonizing is shown in Fig. 6 together with those of the hydrothermally produced amorphous carbon and the original CNTs, which indicates that the combustion of amorphous carbon layers began around 600 °C and was completed around 800 °C. The contents of amorphous carbon layer and CNTs were determined to be 6.3% and 10.1% by weight, respectively. Thus, the content of SnO$_2$ was estimated to be 83.6% by weight, which would be favorable for the overall capacity of the heterostructures as an anode for LIBs. A high theoretical capacity of about 720 mA h g$^{-1}$ can be calculated based on the mass content and theoretical capacity of SnO$_2$ and carbon (hypothesizing that all carbon-based materials have the same theoretical capacity of 372 mA h g$^{-1}$).
The lithium storage properties of the obtained branched CNT@SnO$_2$@C heterostructures as an anode material for LIBs were evaluated using the CNT@SnO$_2$ heterostructures as the reference. The lithium ion insertion properties of the branched CNT@SnO$_2$@C heterostructures were first examined using cyclic voltammetry (CV). Fig. 7a shows the CV curves of the branched CNT@SnO$_2$@C heterostructures for the first three cycles at a scan rate of 0.5 mV s$^{-1}$ in the potential range from 2.5 to 0.05 V (vs. Li$^+$/Li). The electrochemical reactions of SnO$_2$ in LIBs could be divided into two steps:

$$\text{SnO}_2 + 4\text{Li}^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow \text{Sn} + 2\text{Li}_2\text{O} \quad (1)$$
$$\text{Sn} + x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_x\text{Sn} \quad (0 \leq x \leq 4.4) \quad (2)$$

In the first reaction (Equation 1), SnO$_2$ is irreversibly reduced into metallic Sn and amorphous Li$_2$O, followed by the highly reversible alloying reaction (Equation 2), providing a high theoretical capacity of 782 mA h g$^{-1}$ (corresponding to Li$_{4.4}$Sn). Interestingly, two pairs of cathodic/anodic peaks can be clearly observed. The first pair shown at the potentials of 0.05 V and 0.7 V can be attributed to the alloying/dealloying processes of Li$_x$Sn ($0 \leq x \leq 4.4$), which is generally consistent with normal SnO$_2$-based materials. The second pair of cathodic/anodic peaks are around 0.65 V and 1.2 V, respectively. The high cathodic peak at 0.65 V observed in the first discharge CV curve can be attributed to the reduction of SnO$_2$ to metallic tin and amorphous Li$_2$O, whereas the lower cathodic/anodic peaks around 0.65 V and 1.2 V since the first charge process indicate a reversible transformation between SnO$_2$ and Sn, which suggests that the second reaction may be partly reversible in this case. Such a reversible lithium ion insertion process can provide additional capacity during electrochemical reactions, which has been reported for some heterostructures of SnO$_2$ and carbon-based materials.$^{1,18,36,37}$ In the current situation, this phenomenon could be attributed to the enhanced conductivity and good contact between Li$_2$O and Sn during the discharge/charge processes of the carbon-coated 1D mesocrystalline SnO$_2$ nanorods grown on CNTs.

The theoretical capacity of the branched CNT@SnO$_2$@C heterostructures was roughly estimated to be 720 mA h g$^{-1}$ based on the mass contents and the theoretical capacities of SnO$_2$ and carbon (including both the amorphous carbon layer and CNTs), which were taken to be 782 mA h g$^{-1}$ and 372 mA h g$^{-1}$, respectively. Fig. 7b shows the charge/discharge voltage profiles of the branched CNT@SnO$_2$@C heterostructures at a current density of 720 mA g$^{-1}$ (~1 C) with a voltage range from 0.05 to 2.5 V. The initial discharge and charge capacities are found to be 1290 and 829 mA h g$^{-1}$, respectively, corresponding to a high initial Coulombic efficiency of 64.3%. The capacity loss in the first cycle of SnO$_2$ can be mainly attributed to the irreversible reduction of SnO$_2$ to Sn and Li$_2$O (reaction 1) and the formation of solid-electrolyte interface (SEI). The discharge/charge capacities were slowly increased during the next few cycles and reached a highest reversible capacity of 984 mA h g$^{-1}$ at the fifth cycle. Such a capacity rise during cycling is common for nanostructured metal oxide hybrid electrodes, and may be attributed to the activation of the active materials which improves the lithium ion accessibility in the
hybrid during the cycling process, leading to an increased accommodation behavior for lithium.10,29,33 The capacity of SnO2 in heterostructures was estimated to be 1069 mA h g⁻¹ in this case. Such a high capacity corresponds to the insertion of 6.0 mol Li⁺ in 1 mol SnO2, which is much higher than the theoretical capacity of pure SnO2 (4.4 mol Li⁺ in 1 mol SnO2). The additional lithium ion storage can be related to the reversible reaction of Li₂O and Sn to SnO₂, which may be caused by the interfacial lithium storage in the 1D mesocrystalline structure with small subunits and high surface area, and the enhanced electrochemical activity of carbon-coated SnO₂ electrons on highly conductive CNTs, similar to the reported results on other SnO₂-based composite materials in the literature.11,18,36,37 Interestingly, it has been reported that the CNT@SnO₂@PPy (polypyrrole) coaxial nanocable consisting of SnO₂ nanoparticles exhibited high reversibility with an even higher capacity of 1486 mA h g⁻¹ for SnO₂ at a current density of 150 mA g⁻¹.35 However, due to the low mass content of SnO₂ (55%), the total capacity of the CNT@SnO₂@PPy structures was only 847 mA h g⁻¹, which was lower than the current result (984 mA h g⁻¹ at 720 mA g⁻¹). This proves the advantages of the branched structures consisting of 1D SnO₂ nanostructure grown on CNTs in terms of the total capacity of the electrode in practical applications. Due to the supporting effect of CNTs and the interspaces between SnO₂ nanorods, the branched CNT@SnO₂@C heterostructures exhibited improved cycling stability. After 40 cycles, the heterostructure retained a reversible capacity of 698 mA h g⁻¹, which is still two times higher than the capacity of graphite. The SEM observations of the CNT@SnO₂@C electrodes before and after 40 cycles at 720 mA g⁻¹ suggest that there was no clear deformation and shedding of the electrode film, and only a few small cracks appeared after cycling (Fig. S5a-c, ESI†), confirming the stability of electrode during cycling. The TEM observation of the sample separated from cycled heterostructure electrode by ultrasonic treatment shows the presence of unbroken nanorod bundles (Fig. S5d, ESI†), suggesting that the hierarchical mesocrystalline nanorods largely kept their original structure during charge/discharge processes. However, it is difficult to discern whether the nanorods still adhered to the CNTs after cycling since strong sonications was involved in the preparation of the TEM samples.

The cycle performance of the branched CNT@SnO₂@C and CNT@SnO₂ heterostructures at a current density of 720 mA g⁻¹ are shown in Fig. 7c. Compared with the branched CNT@SnO₂@C heterostructures, the branched CNT@SnO₂@C heterostructures exhibited a similar cycling stability but better discharge/charge capacities even with the higher carbon content. In contrast, the mesocrystalline SnO₂ nanorod arrays grown on Ti substrate30 synthesized by the similar method showed a capacity between those of CNT@SnO₂ and CNT@SnO₂@C at initial 20 cycles, but then a rapid capacity loss possibly due to the weaker strength and conductivity (Fig. S6, ESI†). However, it should be pointed out that the branched CNT@SnO₂@C heterostructures underwent continuous capacity loss after 40 cycles and the capacity was decreased gradually to ~116 mA h g⁻¹ after 100 cycles (Fig. S7, ESI†). This result suggests that the long-term cycling stability of the CNT@SnO₂@C heterostructures remains to be enhanced by further structural optimization; for example, the cycling performance might be enhanced by designing empty spaces between SnO₂ and the carbon layer.39 The rate performance of the branched CNT@SnO₂@C and CNT@SnO₂ heterostructures at various discharge/charge rates of 0.72 A g⁻¹ to 14.4 A g⁻¹ are compared in Fig. 7d. The branched CNT@SnO₂@C heterostructures exhibited excellent rate capability with reversible capacities of 590 mA h g⁻¹ at 3.6 A g⁻¹ and 420 mA h g⁻¹ at 7.2 A g⁻¹. Such a high rate capability has not been achieved by the reported SnO₂ nanomaterials,46,47 and hybrid materials of SnO₂ and carbon nanomaterials, such as the heterostructures of CNT@SnO₂ nanoparticles,15,16,18,20 CNT@SnO₂ nanosheets,31 CNT@SnO₂ hybrid structures,32 graphene nanosheet@SnO₂ nanoparticles,42 and different carbon-coated SnO₂ nanoparticles grown on carbon-based materials.43,44,49 For example, an ultrathin SnO₂ nanorod retained a capacity of 360 mA h g⁻¹ after 50 cycles at a current density of 0.78 A g⁻¹,40 a carbon nanotube@SnO₂-Au coaxial nanocable exhibited reversible capacities of 467 and 392 mA h g⁻¹ at rates of 3.6 A g⁻¹ and 7.2 A g⁻¹, respectively,15 and a sandwiched graphene/SnO₂ nanorod/carbon nanostructure retained a capacity of 540 mA h g⁻¹ at a current density of 3 A g⁻¹.43 The excellent rate capability of the branched CNT@SnO₂@C heterostructures can be largely ascribed to the high conductivity of CNT backbones, direct pathways for charge transport and short lithium ion diffusion length of the 1D mesocrystalline nanorods, as well as the high mass content of SnO₂ in the heterostructure. It was clearly shown that the rate performance was improved after carbon coating, indicating that a thin layer of amorphous carbon can improve the conductivity of branched heterostructures and enhance the capacity and rate performance of the electrode. To elucidate the role of the carbon layer in the heterostructures, the electrochemical impedance spectra (Nyquist plots) of the branched CNT@SnO₂ nanomaterials with and without carbon coating were measured (Fig. S8, ESI†). The equivalent electric circuit contains R₁ related to the Li⁺ transport resistance in the electrolyte, Rᵣ related to the Li⁺ migration resistance, R₂ related to the charge transfer resistance through the electrode-electrolyte interface, and a Warburg impedance (W) which is associated with the Li⁺ diffusion in solid.45 While the CNT@SnO₂ and CNT@SnO₂@C heterostructures show similar R₁ and R₂ values, the charge transfer resistance (R₂) of the CNT@SnO₂@C heterostructures (~ 39 Ω) is much smaller than that of the CNT@SnO₂ heterostructures (~ 115 Ω), suggesting a considerable improvement of conductivity after carbon coating.

Thus, each composition in the branched CNT@SnO₂@C heterostructures has provided its unique contribution during the discharge/charge processes in LIBs. First, the highly conductive CNTs formed a backbone in the electrode and provided an interconnected charge pathway, which improved the conductivity and mechanical strength of the electrode. Second, the 1D mesocrystalline SnO₂ nanorods grown on CNTs exhibited a high electrochemical reactivity due to the good conductivity of 1D nanostructures and short Li-ion diffusion length in the small primary subunits. Third, the thin layer of amorphous carbon further improved the conductivity of the whole heterostructures. Moreover, the branched architecture not only provided enough space to buffer volume expansion during cycling and good contact with conductive carbon blacks, but also brought about a high mass content of SnO₂, thus increasing the total capacity of the heterostructures.

Conclusions

We have developed a facile two-step growth method for the fabrication of unique, branched CNT@SnO₂ nanorods@carbon hierarchical heterostructures. Branched heterostructures of CNT@SnO₂ were first prepared by growing SnO₂ mesocrystalline nanorods on multiwalled CNTs through a solvothermal method. Amorphous carbon layer was further
coated by hydrothermal carbonization of glucose in solution and subsequent carbonization at 500 °C, leading to the formation of branched CNT@SnO$_2$@carbon sandwich-type heterostructures. The branched architecture can improve the mechanical strength and conductivity of the heterostructure, and provide a high loading content of SnO$_2$. Benefiting from the synergy effect of each composition, the CNT@SnO$_2$@C heterostructures exhibited highly reversible lithium storage behavior and excellent rate capability. The reversible capacity of the CNT@SnO$_2$@C heterostructure reached 984 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 3.6 A g$^{-1}$ and retained 590 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 7.2 A g$^{-1}$. The structural design of nanostructured electrodes demonstrated in this work would have implications for the fabrication of new electrode materials for the next generation LIBs for high-power applications like fast-charging electronics and electric vehicles. Furthermore, it is expected that this two-step growth method can be extended to the fabrication of various sandwich-type heterostructures consisting of 1D nanostructure arrays of active metal oxides grown on carbon-based substrates with 3D architectures.
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Graphical abstract

Novel branched CNT@SnO$_2$ nanorods@carbon hierarchical heterostructures consisting of carbon-coated SnO$_2$ mesocrystalline nanorods grown radially on carbon nanotubes were fabricated, which exhibited highly reversible lithium storage behavior and excellent rate capability.