# Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsA

**ARTICLE TYPE**

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

# **Electrospun TiO2 Nanofibers Integrating Space-separated Magnetic Nanoparticles and Heterostructrues for Recoverable and Efficient Photocatalyst**

**Dahubaiyila,** *a,b* **Xiaohui Wang,** *a,b* **Xin Li** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Baosharileaodu** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Xiaotian Li, \****<sup>a</sup>*  **Liang Xu** *<sup>b</sup>* **, Zongrui Liu** *<sup>b</sup>* **Limei Duan, \****<sup>b</sup>*  **and Jinghai Liu \****<sup>b</sup>* 5

*Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX*  **DOI: 10.1039/b000000x** 

 $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers integrating space-separated magnetic nanparticles and heterostructures have been fabricated to construct multifunctional photocatalysts with excellent photocatalytic activity and magnetic

- 10 recoverability. Electrospinning technique has been employed to prepare the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  embedded TiO<sub>2</sub>  $(Fe_3O_4@TiO_2)$  magnetic nanofibers. TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS heterostructures (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS) formed by depositing CdS nanoparticles on the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofiblers have been obtained by a hydrothermal process. The heterostrucutres improve the photocatalytic activity and widen the range in solar light response. Under the visible light irradiation, photocatalytic activity of  $Fe_3O_4@TiO_2/CdS$  nanofibers is 5.11 times higher than
- 15 that of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers, and is 1.22 times of CdS nanoparticles. And, it exhibits a slight increase under the simulated solar light with the photocatalytic degradation ratio of 1.1 times higher than that under visible light. The loading amounts of CdS only have effects on the photocatalytic activity under visible light and simulated solar light. Besides, the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofiber exhibits the saturation magnetization strength of 1.572 emu  $g^{-1}$ , and can be effortlessly separated and recovered by applying a <sup>20</sup>contactless magnetic field. The cycling stability is durable after the magnetic separation and recycling.

#### **1 Introduction**

 $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers are fascinating fibrous morphology nanomaterials with many applications in environmental and energy issues, such as photocatalysts <sup>1-3</sup>, Dye-sensitized solar 25 cells (DSSC)<sup>4-6</sup>, Li-ion battery<sup>7-10</sup> and membrane filtration<sup>11</sup>. For applications in photocatalysis, the nanofiber morphology exhibits advantages in providing large external surface area and the inner space in the fiber to integrate functional components to construct efficient photocatalysts with high activity and <sup>30</sup>recoverable separation for large scale water treatment. Electrospinning technique is a low-cost and convenient approach to fabricate the one-dimensional (1D) nanostructure and assemblies with hierarchical and core-shell structures <sup>12-14</sup>.

Magnetic  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers as heterogeneous photocatalysts are 35 easily separated from the reaction solution system after utilization by applying a contactless magnet. The traditional strategy to endow  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers with magnetism is to decorate the surface of the nanofibers with iron-based magnetic compounds.  $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$ <sup>15</sup> and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>16</sup> are loaded on the surface of the nanofibers by <sup>40</sup>combining electrospinning with co-precipitation or hydrothermal treatment. However, the external surface of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofiber as a photocatalyst is extremely important to the photocatalytic activity and the construction of hierarchical heterostructures. Thus, we need to embed the magnetic functional components into <sup>45</sup>the nanofiber to form a core-shell structure. The one-step

electrospinning of a solution containing poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP) and alkoxide precursors of titanium and iron oxides is a direct method to achieve the embedded morphology. But, a direct contact between the embedded  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofiber would  $\epsilon$ <sub>50</sub> lead to the Fe<sup>3+</sup>-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> after the calcination, which has negative effects on the photocatalytic activity. An approach to resolve this problem is to coat the magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles with an isolating shell. Silica  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$  is usually chosen as a versatile shell in the configuration of the core-shell <sup>55</sup>structure. The extended Stöber method has been applied to fabricate a uniform and porous  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  shell on magnetic  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  core <sup>17-19</sup>. This technique paves the way for constructing embedded magnetic  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  inside the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofiber by direct electrospinning of the mixed dispersion of precursors,  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  with  $60$  SiO<sub>2</sub> shell and PVP solution.

Heterostructrues design on the surface of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers is an effective means to improve the photocatalytic activity by forming heterojunctions. Semiconductors, plasmonic nanoparticles and photosensitive metal complexes are deposited or assembled on 65 the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers to form heterojunctions, such as SrTiO<sub>3</sub><sup>1a</sup>,  $\text{SnO}_2$  <sup>20-22</sup>,  $\text{SnS}_2$ <sup>23</sup>,  $\text{WO}_3$ <sup>24</sup>,  $\text{Ba}_4\text{Ti}_3\text{O}_{12}$ <sup>25</sup>,  $\text{CeO}_2$ <sup>26</sup>,  $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ <sup>27</sup>,  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  $28$ , Ag and Au nanoparticles  $29, 30$  and copper (II) phthalocyanine <sup>31</sup>. CdS is a typical narrow band gap semiconductor with a band gap of 2.4 eV and a more negative conduction band (C.B.)  $\sigma_0$  electrochemical potential than that of TiO<sub>2</sub><sup>32, 33</sup>. When the  $CdS/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  composite is exposed to the low energy photons of visible light, in principle, the electrons which are photo-excited to

the C.B. of CdS are transferred to that of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . Accordingly, the electrons and holes produced in  $CdS/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  heterostructure are separated and its spectrum response is extended to visible light, which could contribute to improve the catalytic activity.

- $5$  Herein, we reported the fabrication of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers integrating embedded magnetic  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles and  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  heterostructures as efficient photocatalysts with magnetic recoverability. The  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  embedded TiO<sub>2</sub>  $(Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>)$  magnetic nanofibers were prepared through
- 10 electrospinning process combined with heat treatment at 753 K. The CdS nanoparticles were then deposited on the surface of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS nanofiber) through a hydrothermal process. The XRD pattern shows that  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ nanofibers are converted to anatase phase after heat treatment.
- <sup>15</sup>The characteristic SEM and TEM morphologies directly illustrate that the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles are completely encapsulated in TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers with the CdS nanoparticles well dispersed on the surface. The UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-Vis DRS) show that the light absorption of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS)$  nanofiber is
- <sup>20</sup>enhanced, and adsorption edge is extended to the visible spectrum range. The photocatalytic degradation ratio of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-$ 3 nanofibers for Rhodamine B (RhB) is 97.65% under UV irradiation, which is similar to the control sample of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ nanofibers with photocatalytic degradation ratio of 92.06% and
- 25  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers with the one of 97.86%. Under the visible light irradiation, photocatalytic activity of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers is 5.11 times higher than that of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers, and is 1.22 times of CdS nanoparticles. And, it exhibits a slight increase under the simulated solar light
- <sup>30</sup>with the photocatalytic degradation ratio of 1.1 times higher than that under visible light. The loading amounts of CdS only have effects on the photocatalytic activity under visible light and simulated solar light. Besides,  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS)$  nanofibers exhibit strong magnetism and can be easily separated and <sup>35</sup>recovered by adding a contactless magnetic field. The cycling
- stability is durable after the magnetic separation and recycling.

## **2 Materials and Methods**

## **2.1 Preparation of Fe3O4@SiO<sup>2</sup> core-shell structure**

 $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles were prepared by solution precipitation 40 according to the literature  $34$ . FeCl<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O (1.817g, analytic purity, Sonochemical Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd) was mixed with  $FeCl<sub>2</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O$  (1.113g, analytic purity, Sonochemical Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd) under  $N_2$  atmosphere to react for 5 hours at 50  $^{\circ}$ C. After 0.5 hour, concentrated ammonia

- <sup>45</sup>(15ml, analytic purity, Sonochemical Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd) was added to precipitate the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ . For the preparation of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@SiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.4 g Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was added in the mixed$ solution containing 80 mL absolute ethanol (analytic purity, Sonochemical Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd) and 20 mL
- <sup>50</sup>deionized water. After ultrasonic dispersion, 1mL of concentrated ammonia (28 wt%) was added into the solution. The mixed solution was stirred and, at the same time, 0.6 mL tetraethyl orthosilicate (analytic purity, Sonochemical Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd) and 5 mL absolute ethanol were added into the
- <sup>55</sup>mixed solution which was stirred constantly in the water bath at  $30^{\circ}$ C for 8 h. The product was recovered with a magnet and

washed with deionized water and absolute ethanol three times, followed by drying at 80 for 2 h in vaccum oven.

## **2.2 Preparation of magnetic Fe3O4@TiO2 nanofibers**

- $60$  1.5 g PVP (MW 1300000, Aladdin Chemistry Co. Ltd) was dissolved in 15 mL anhydrous ethanol (> 99 wt%) and stirred until the solution turned transparent.  $0.1$  g Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were added into the above solution. After ultrasound treatment, the dispersion was intensively stirred for 24
- <sup>65</sup>h. The obtained dispersion was signed as A. 2 mL tetrabutyl ortho titanate was added dropwise into the mixed solution of 4 mL anhydrous ethanol and 4 mL acetic acid (99.5%). The mixed solution was stirred for 30 min, and the formed yellow solution named B. Solution B was slowly added into A and strongly <sup>70</sup>stirred for 4 h. The above gel was injected into a syringe of the self-made electrospinning apparatus with a copper electrode that inserted into the syringe. Then aluminum foil as cathode was placed in front of the nozzle with a distance of 20 cm and operated at 9 kV. After 10 h, a large fiber mat was produced. <sup>75</sup>After hydrolyzing completely in the air, the products were dried
- under vaccum at 80  $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h. The products were then heated in a muffle furnace with heating rate of  $1 \degree C/\text{min}$  from room temperature to 480  $^{\circ}$ C and kept at 480  $^{\circ}$ C for 10 h to obtain  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers.

#### **2.3 Integrating TiO<sup>2</sup> /CdS heterostructures on Fe3O4@TiO<sup>2</sup>** 80 **nanofibers (Fe3O4@TiO<sup>2</sup> /CdS)**

The  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS)$  nanofibers were synthesized by a hydrothermal method. Three portions (each 0.050 g) of magnetic  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(QTiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers were added into the three reaction <sup>85</sup>solutions composed of 20 mL of deionezed water (DI water), cadmium acetate and thiourea with the molar ratio of cadmium acetate and thiourea of 1:3, while the cadmium acetate are 0.1 mmol, 0.5 mmol and 0.8 mmol, respectively. The three solutions were transferred to Teflon-lined stainless autoclaves. The 90 hydrothermal treatment was conducted at 130 °C for 12 h and then cooled at room temperature. The magnetic products were collected by a magnet, and were washed with DI water and ethanol three times followed by drying at 80  $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h. The obtained products were denoted as  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-1,$ 95  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-2, and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-3 nanofibers.$ 

## **2.4 Characterization**

 X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a D8 Tool diffractometer using Cu Ka  $(\lambda=0.15418 \text{ nm})$ . SEM images were performed on a JEOL JSM-6700F field emission scanning 100 electron microscope. The TEM images were observed on H-7650 transmission electron microscope operated at 120 kV. UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were recorded on a DWS 003 spectrophotometer. The magnetization curve was obtained on LaKe Shole7304 ibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM). The <sup>105</sup> concentration of cadmium ion  $(Cd^{2+})$  in the solution was tested on a prodigty XP ICP-AES.

## **2.5 Adsorption and photocatalytic process**

 The photocatalytic activity was tested by examining the degradation of RhB in aqueous solution. The ultraviolet (UV) 110 light was illuminated by a 50 W high-pressure mercury lamp. Visible light and simulated solar light were illuminated by a Xe

lamp with UV cut-off filter and simulated solar light filter. Samples (0.010 g and 0.020 g) was added into 100 mL of the new prepared Rhodamine B (RhB) solution  $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1})$  and stirred for 10 min at room temperature to obtain a good <sup>5</sup>dispersion. Then, the system was kept in the dark to reach saturation adsorption for 1 hour. The irradiation started and the reaction system temperature was kept at  $23\pm3$  °C by water cooling. After centrifugation to remove the photocatalyst, the concentration of RhB in the solution was measured by UV-Vis 10 spectroscopy.

#### **3. Results and discussion**

 The crystalline phase of the as-prepared samples was analyzed by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD). Fig.1 presents the XRD pattern of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles,  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers, and the 15 CdS deposited  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers  $(Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-2)$ nanofibers). All of the diffraction peaks in Fig. 1a can be indexed to the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  structure (JCPDS 75-1609) which illustrate a high purity and crystallinity of the prepared  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles. As shown in Fig. 1b, the intensity of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  diffraction peaks <sup>20</sup>weakens apparently and the identified peak at 25.4° is in good agreement with that of anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . The result in Fig. 1c shows the characteristic peaks of CdS at 26.80°, 28.08°, 43.90° and 52.20 $\degree$  (2θ), which is corresponded to (002), (101), (110) and (201) crystal faces and agreed with the standard peaks of <sup>25</sup>hexagonal crystal of CdS (JCPDS 10-077-2306). According to the Scherrer equation, the average CdS particle size along (002) is 16.08 nm. Therefore, it is concluded that integration of magnetic nanoparticles and heterostructures on the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers were successfully fabricated by the <sup>30</sup>combination of an electrospinning technique with a hydrothermal synthesis.



**Fig.1** XRD pattern of the electrospun  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers integrating spaceseparated magnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles and TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS heterostructures. 35(a) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles. (b) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers. (c) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-2 nanofibers.

 Magnetic nanoparticles and heterostructures space-separated morphology of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS)$  nanofibers with different CdS loading amounts was examined. Fig. 2 shows typical <sup>40</sup>scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images. It can be clearly seen in Fig. 2a that the diameter of uniform nanofibers is in the

range of 150-200 nm. The surface of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofiber is relatively smooth with fiber lengths above 10 µm. The scanning electron microscope (SEM) images from Fig. 2b to Fig. 2d reveal 45 that  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers are composed of numerous highly dispersed CdS nanoparticles with size of 15 nm attached onto the surface of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofiber, thus resulting in the hierarchical heterostructures with a rough surface. To investigate the effects of CdS loading amounts on the so photocatalytic activity, we have prepared  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS)$ nanofibers with different CdS loading amounts. As the concentrations of cadmium acetate and thiourea increase under the hydrothermal process, the loading amount of CdS on the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(QTiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofiber increases. The results in Fig. 2c exhibit <sup>55</sup>that when the amount of CdS reaches 59.1 wt%, the fiberous morphology is well retained with a little increase in the diameter due to CdS nanoparticles loading and with a rough surface. When the amount of CdS reaches 70.0 wt%, the loading density of CdS nanoparticles on nanofibers increases, and simultaneously the <sup>60</sup>aggregation of CdS nanoparticles occurs, as shown in Fig. 2d.



Fig. 2 SEM images of the electrospun TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers integrating spaceseparated magnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles and TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS heterostructure. (a)  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(a) TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers. (b)  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(a) TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-1$  nanofibers with CdS 65 22.4 wt%. (c)  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(QTiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-2$  nanofibers with CdS 59.1 wt%. (d) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-3 nanofibers with CdS 70.0 wt%.



**Fig.3** TEM images of the electrospun  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers integrating spaceseparated magnetic  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles and TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS heterostructures. 70 (a) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers. (b) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-2 nanofibers

 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) has been used to investigate the functional components space-separated morphology of the typical  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-2$  nanofibers. As shown in Fig. 3a, it can be observed that the surface of the

nanofiber appears smooth, and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles were uniformly embedded in the nanofibers. The TEM images in Fig. 3b clearly show the rough surface of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS)$ nanofibers with small branches. They are the uniformly dispersed <sup>5</sup>CdS nanoparticles, which is in accordance with the SEM image of Fig. 2c.

 Fig. 4 shows the UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-Vis DRS) of the electrospun  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers with embeedd magnetic Fe3O<sup>4</sup> nanoparticles and surface CdS heterostructures. The light

10 absorption of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers is extended to 510 nm, and the absorption edge shows an apparent red-shift. Moreover, its absorption intensity is enhanced by comparasion with magnetic  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers. This result indicates that the photo-response range after CdS surface deposition is extended 15 and photoabsorption ability is enhanced.



**Fig.4** UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-Vis DRS) of the electrospun TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers integrating space-separated magnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles and TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS heterostructures. (a) TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers (TiO<sub>2</sub> 20 NF). (b) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> NF). (c) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-2 nanofibers (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-2 NF).

Photocatalytic activities of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers with different CdS loading amounts were investigated through examining the degradation of RhB in aqueous solution (See Fig. <sup>25</sup>S1, ESI†). The adsorption and photocatalytic activity of

- $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers under UV light, visible light and simulated solar light were also examined by employing  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ nanofibers,  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers and CdS nanoparticles as control to justify the contribution of heterostructures to the
- $30 \text{ Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{Q}$ TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS nanofibers. The results in Fig. 5a and Table 1 (See ESI<sup>†</sup>) show that the CdS on the surface of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(a)TiO<sub>2</sub>$ nanofibers has little effects on the increase of photocatalytic activity under UV irradiation. The photocatalytic degradation ratio of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-3$  nanofibers for RhB is 97.65%, which
- $35$  is similar to the control sample of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers with photocatalytic degradation ratio of 92.06% and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(a)TiO<sub>2</sub>$ nanofibers with the one of 97.86%. And, the variable CdS loading amounts for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-1$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-2)$ nanofibers also exhibit little contribution to the photocatalytic <sup>40</sup>activity under UV irradiation.

 We consider that the reason would be ascribed to unexcited CdS under UV irradiation, and the photocatalytic activity is derived from  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers. To further investigate this

hypothesis, we carried out adsorption and photocatalytic 45 experiments for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(QTiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS)$  nanofibers under visible light and simulated solar light by using CdS nanoparticles,  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers and TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers as control. The results in Fig. 5b show that the photocatalytic activity of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers is 5.11 times higher than that of  $50 \text{ Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{Q}$ TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers, and is 1.22 times of CdS nanoparticles. The increased photocatalytic activity of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS)$ nanofibers under visible light irradiation is ascribed to the heterojunctions between the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers and CdS

- nanoparticles, which benefits the separation of excited holes and <sup>55</sup>electrons. Besides, the photocatalytic degradation ratio of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers for RhB ascends from 71.27% to 90.25% as the loading amounts of CdS nanoparticles increases from 22.4wt% for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(QTiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-1$  to 70.0 wt% for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-3.$
- <sup>60</sup>To further demonstrate the roles of heterostructures in improving the photocatalytic activity and widening the range of solar light response, we have also compared the activity of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  for degrading RhB solution under the irradiation of simulated solar light with that under visible light. 65 The Fig. 5c shows that the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers as photocatalysts exhibit higher photocatalytic degradation ratio for RhB under simulated solar light irradiation. The photocatalytic activity of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers is 1.68 times higher than that of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers, and is 1.36 times of CdS <sup>70</sup>nanoparticles. Moreover, the photocatalytic degradation ratio of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers for RhB ascends from 71.61% to 92.55% as the loading percent of CdS nanoparticles increases from 22.4wt% for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(QTiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-1$  to 70.0 wt% for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(QTiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-3)$ . The photocatalytic degradation ratio of 75 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers for RhB is 3.6 times of that under visible light irradiation. In contrast with the CdS control,  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers exhibit a slight increase in photocatalytic activity under the simulated solar light with photocatalytic degradation ratio of 1.1 times higher than that <sup>80</sup>under visible light irradiation.



**Fig.5** Adsorption and photocatalytic activity of the electrospun  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ nanofibers integrating space-separated magnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles and TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS heterostructure (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS) for degradation of RhB.

 $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q)TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-1$  with CdS 22.4wt%,  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q)TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-2$  with CdS 59.1wt% and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-3 with CdS 70.0 wt%. (a) UV irradiation (High pressure Hg lamp) with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers (TiO<sub>2</sub> NF), Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> NF) as control. (b) Visible light irradiation (Xe

- <sup>5</sup>lamp with visible light cut-off filter (>400 nm)) with CdS nanoparticles, TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers (TiO<sub>2</sub> NF), Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> NF) as control. (c) Solar light irradiation (Xe lamp with simulated solar light filter) with CdS nanoparticles,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers (TiO<sub>2</sub> NF), Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> NF) as control. (d) Cycling stability of
- <sup>10</sup>Fe3O4@TiO2/CdS-3 NF under solar light irradiation (Xe lamp with simulated solar light filter) (A: adsorption and I: irradiation under solar light). The minus value in the time axis denotes the adsorption in the dark.

The cycling stability of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS)$  nanofibers as a magnetically recoverable photocatalyst was also investigated. As

- <sup>15</sup>shown in Fig. 5d, adsorption in the dark and photocatalytic activity under simulated solar light irradiation are retainable during the 3 cycles. But, photocatalytic degradation ratio of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers for RhB decreases from 85.6% at  $3<sup>th</sup>$  cycle to 61.8% at  $5<sup>th</sup>$  cycle (See Fig. S2, ESI†).
- 20 As a concern that cadmium ion  $(Cd^{2+})$  would remain in the solution after the adsorption and photocatalytic process, we have checked the  $Cd^{2+}$  in the solution by ICP-AES after separating the powder photocatalysts with a high speed centrifugation. Under the same adsorption and irradiation conditions for the
- 25  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-3 nanofibers with CdS 70 wt% and the CdS$ control, The Cd<sup>2+</sup> concentration (C<sub>Cd</sub><sup>2+</sup>) in the solution after adsorption and simulated solar light irradiation is 56.86 mg  $L^{-1}$ for CdS control and 53.2 mg  $L^{-1}$  for Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-3 nanofibers. Fortunately, the  $C_{\text{Cd}}^{2+}$  in the solution declines during
- 30 the following cycles, and it decreases to 9.7 mg  $L^{-1}$  after the 4<sup>th</sup> cycle (See Fig. S3, ESI†).





**Fig.6** Magnetization and magnetic field induced separation and recoverability of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS nanofibers. (a) Magnetization curve of <sup>35</sup>Fe3O4@TiO2/CdS nanofibers. (b) Photo images of separation and recoverability of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS nanofibers by a contactless magnet.

Magnetization curve of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers exhibits near-zero coercivity and remanence, suggesting a super

paramagnetic nature as shown in Fig. 6a. The saturation  $40$  magnetization strength is 1.572 emu g<sup>-1</sup>. It can be separated and recovered by applying a contactless magnetic field. As shown in Fig. 6b, the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS)$  nanofibers were separated and collected from the solution with a magnet placed outside the bottle. Comparatively, the technology of contactless magnetic <sup>45</sup>separation is easier to operate than the methods of traditional filter and centrifugal separation, and shows a promising potential in large scale industrial application.

#### **Conclusions**

In this work, anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers integrating space-separated  $50$  magnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles and TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS heterostructrues with magnetic recoverability and enhanced photocatalytic activity have been fabricated. Magnetic  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanopartilces with  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ shells have been successfully embedded in the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofiber  $(Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>)$  through an electrospinning process. TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS 55 heterostructures constructed by depositing CdS nanoparticles on the surface of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofiber has been formed through a hydrothermal process. The heterostructures increases the photocatalytic activity of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS)$  nanofibers, and the loading amounts of CdS nanoparticles only show positive <sup>60</sup>effects on the photocatalytic activity under visible light and simulated solar light irradiation. The embedded magnetic  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ nanopartilces allow the integrated  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS)$  nanofibers to be effortlessly separated and recovered by applying a magnet. The strategy of integrating space-separated functional <sup>65</sup>components on nanofibers provides a promising approach to design multifunctional components integration on fibrous morphology towards advanced photocatalysts with higher activity, effortless recoverability and durable recyclability.

#### **Acknowledgements**

<sup>70</sup>We thank the funding support from the National Natural Science Funding of China (21076094, 21061010, 21261014 and 21303080). Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia (2013MS0211, 2013MS0216). Open project from Key Laboratory of Nanodevices and Applications, Suzhou Institute of <sup>75</sup>Nano-Tech and Nano-Bionics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (13ZS03). Cooperative Project of Tongliao-IMUN (SXYB2012027, SXYB2012072). Ph.D Initiative Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia University for the Nationalities (BS288). Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia University for 80 the Nationalities (NMD1314).

## **Notes and references**

- *a Department of Material Science and Engineering, Jilin University, Chuangchun, 130012, P. R. China. E-mail: xiaotianli@jlu.edu.cn*
- *b* <sup>85</sup>*Institute of Functional Materials, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Inner Mongolia University for The Nationalities, Tongliao, 028000, P. R. China.. Fax +86 (0)475-8313162: Tel: +86 (0)475- 8251135;*

*E-mail: jhliu2008@sinano.ac.cn; duanlmxie@126.com*  90

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [**Fig.S1** UV-Vis absorption of RhB during photocatalytic process. **Fig.S2** Cycling performances of adsorption and photocatalytic activity of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS-3 nanofibers. **Fig.S3** Cadmium ion  $(Cd^{2+})$  concentration (C<sub>Cd2+</sub>) in the solution. **Table 1** Comparison of adsorption and photocatalytic activity of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(QTiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS)$  nanofiber (NF) and control samples.]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- <sup>5</sup>1 (a) T. P. Cao, Y. J. Li,C. H. Wang, C. L. Shao, Y. C. Liu,*Langmuir*, 2011, **27**, 2946. (b) J. W. Fu, S. W. Cao, J.G. Yu, J. X. Low, Y. P. Lei, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, DOI: 10.1039/C4DT00181H. (c) W. H. Ryu, Y. W. Lee, Y. S. Nam, D. Y. Youn, C. B. Park, Il-D. Kim, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014,**2**, 5610-5615. (d) K. Liu, Y. C. Hsueh, H. S. <sup>10</sup>Chen, T. P. Perng, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 5387-5393.
- 2 (a) Y. H. Yang, J. W. Wen, J. H. Wei, R. Xiong, J. Shi, C. X. Pan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5** , 6201. (b) X. Zhang, X. Li, C. Shao, J. Li, M. Zhang, P. Zhang, K. Wang, N. Lu, Y. Liu, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2013, **260**, 892-900. (c) Z. Y. Zhang, A. R. Li, S. W. Cao, M.
- <sup>15</sup>Bosman, S. Z. Li, C. Xue, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 5217-5222. (d) Y. Q. Dai, Y. B. Sun, J. Yao, D. D. Ling, Y. M. Wang, H. Long, X. T. Wang, B. P. Lin, T. Y. Helen Zeng, Y. M. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 1060-1067.
- 3 (a) H. P. Li, W. Zhang, B. Li, W. Pan, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2010, **93**, 2503. (b) W. Li, Y. Bai, W. Zhuang, K. Y. Chan, C. Liu, Z. H. Yang, X. Feng, X. H. Lu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 3049–3055. (c) Z. D. Li, C. H. Yao, Y. H. Yu, Z. Y. Cai, X. D. Wang, *Adv. Mater*., 2014, DOI: 10.1002/adma.201303369. (d) L. Zhang, D. W. Jing, X. L. She, H. W. Liu, D. J. Yang, Y. Lu, J. Li, Z. F. Zheng, L. J. Guo, *J.*  <sup>25</sup>*Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 2071-2078.
- 4 E. Ghadiri, N. Taghavinia, S. M. Zakeeruddin, M. Grätzel, J.-E. Moser, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10** ,1632.
- 5 D. Regonini, A. C. Teloeken, A. K. Alves, F. A. Berutti, K. Gajda-Schrantz, C. P. Bergmann, T. Graule, and F. Clemens, *ACS Appl.*  <sup>30</sup>*Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 11747.
- 6 X. X. Wang, G. F. He, H. Fong, Z. T. Zhu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 1641.
- 7 H. Han, T. Song, J.-Y. Bae, L. F. Nazar, H. Kim, U. Paik, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 4532.
- <sup>35</sup>8 J. Choi, S. Lee, J. Ha, T. Song, U. Paik, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 3230.
- 9 V. Aravindan, J. Sundaramurthy, P. S. Kumar, N. Shubha, W. C. Ling, S. Ramakrishna, S. Madhavi, *Nanoscale*, 2013,**5**, 10636.
- 10 X. Zhang, P. S. Kumar, V. Aravindan, H. H. Liu, J. Sundaramurthy, S. G. Mhaisalkar, H. M. Duong, S. Ramakrishna, S. Madhavi, *J. Phys.*  <sup>40</sup>*Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 14780.
- 11 V. Thavasi, G. Singh, S. Ramakrishna, Energy Environ. Sci., 2008, 1, 205–221.
- 12 (a) Z. Y. Zhang, C. L. Shao, X. H. Li, L. Zhang, H. M. Xue, C. H. Wang, Y. C. Liu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 7920. (b) Z. Y. Zhang, C. L. Shao, X. H. Li, C. H. Wang, M. Y. Zhang, Y. C. Liu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2010, **2**, 2915.
- 13 D. Li, Y. N. Xia, *Nano Letters*, 2003, **3**, 555.
- 14 (a) R. Ostermann, D. Li, Y. D. Yin, J. T. McCann, Y. N. Xia, *Nano Letters*, 2006, **6**, 1297. (b) Z. Lou, F. Li, J. N. Deng, L. L. Wang, T. <sup>50</sup>Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 12310.
- 15 (a) V. Pongsorrarith, C. Srisitthiratkul, K. Laohhasurayotin, N. Intasant, *Materials Letters*, 2012, **67**, 1. (b) C. J. Li, J. N. Wang, B. Wang, J. R. Gong, Z. Lin, *Materials Research Bulletin*, 2012, **47**, 333.
- <sup>55</sup>16 H. G. Wang, X. L. Fei, L. Wang, Y. P. Li, S. F. Xu, M. D. Sun, L. Sun, C. Q. Zhang, Y. X. Li, Q. B. Yang, Y. Wei, *New J. Chem.*, 2011, **35**, 1795.
	- 17 W. Li, D. Y. Zhao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 142.
- 18 W. R. Zhao, J. L. Gu, L. X. Zhang, H. R. Chen, J. L. Shi, *J. Am. Chem.*  <sup>60</sup>*Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 8916.
- 19 Y. H. Deng , D. W. Qi , C. H. Deng , X. M. Zhang , D. Y. Zhao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008 , **130**, 28.
- 20 Z. Liu, D.D. Sun, P. Guo, J.O. Leckie, *Nano Letters*, 2007, **7**, 1081.
- 21 C. H. Wang, C. L. Shao, X. T. Zhang, Y. C. Liu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, <sup>65</sup>**48**, 7261.
- 22 R. Zhang, H. Wu, D. D. Lin, W. Pan, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2009, **92**, 2463.
- 23 Z. Y. Zhang, C. L. Shao, X. H. Li, Y. Y. Sun, M. Y. Zhang, J. B. Mu, P. Zhang, Z. C. Guo, Y. C. Liu, *Nanoscale*, 2013,**5**, 606.
- <sup>70</sup>24 L. Zhang, Y. G. Li, Q. H. Zhang, H. Z. Wang, *CrystEngComm,* 2013, **15**, 5986.
	-
- 25 T. P. Cao, Y. J. Li, C. H. Wang, Z. Y. Zhang, M. Y. Zhang, C. L. Shao, Y. C. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 6922.
- 26 T. P. Cao, Y. J. Li, C. H. Wang, L. M. Wei, C. L. Shao, Y. C. Liu, <sup>75</sup>*Materials Research Bulletin*, 2010, **45**, 1406.
- 27 J. B. Mu, B. Chen, M. Y. Zhang, Z. C. Guo, P. Zhang, Z. Y. Zhang, Y. Y. Sun, C. L. Shao, Y. C. Liu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, **4**, 424.
- 28 Y. Wang, Y. R. Su, L. Qiao, L. X. Liu, Q. Su, C. Q. Zhu, X. Q. Liu, <sup>80</sup>*Nanotechnology*, 2011, **22**, 225702.
- 29 P. Zhang, C. L. Shao, X. H. Li, M. Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Y. Sun, Y. C. Liu, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 2012, **237-238**, 331.
- 30 S. H. Xuan, Y. J. Wang, J. C. Yu, K. C. Leung, *Langmuir*, 2009, **25**,11835.
- <sup>85</sup>31 M. Y. Zhang, C. L. Shao, Z. C. Guo, Z. Y. Zhang, J. B. Mu, T. P. Cao, Y. C. Liu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2011, **3**, 369.
	- 32 R. Marschall, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* , 2013, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201303214.
- 33 L. Y. Mao, Y. R. Wang, Y. J. Zhong, J. Q. Ning, Y. Hu, *J. Mater.*  <sup>90</sup>*Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 8101.
	- 34 Z. L. Liu, Y. J. Liu, K. L. Yao, Z. H. Ding, J. Tao, X. Wang, *J. Mater. Synth. Process.*, 2002, **10**, 83.

#### **Table of Content (TOC):**

# **Electrospun TiO2 Nanofibers Integrating Space-separated Magnetic Nanoparticles and Heterostructrues for Recoverable and Efficient Photocatalyst**

Dahubaiyila, Xiaohui Wang, Xin Li, Baosharileaodu, Xiaotian Li\*, Liang Xu, Zongrui Liu,

Limei Duan\* and Jinghai Liu \*



 $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanofibers integrating space-separated magnetic  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanparticles and  $TiO_2/CdS$  heterostructure  $(Fe_3O_4@TiO_2/CdS$  nanofiber) have been fabricated to construct multifunctional photocatalyst with excellent photocatalytic activity and magnetic recoverability. The heterostrucutres improve the photocatalytic activity and widen the range in solar light response. The loading amounts of CdS only have effects on the photocatalytic activity under visible light and simulated solar light. Besides, the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(a) TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS$  nanofibers exhibit strong magnetism and can be effortlessly separated and recovered by applying a simple contactless magnetic field. The cycling stability is durable after the magnetic separation and recycling.