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Zinc Oxide Films grown by Galvanic Deposition from 99% Metals Basis Zinc Nitrate Electrolyte

S. Calnan, W. Riedel, S. Gledhill, B. Stannowski, R. Schlatmann, M. Ch. Lux-Steiner

The use of relatively low purity zinc nitrate for electrochemical deposition of compact ZnO films is attractive for large scale production because of the cost saving potential. ZnO films were grown on SnO$_2$:F and magnetron sputtered ZnO:Al templates using a three electrode potentiostatic system in galvanic mode. The electrolyte consisted of a 0.1 M zinc nitrate solution (either 99.998 % or 99 % purity) and 1mM aluminium nitrate for extrinsic doping, when required. Moderate deposition rates of up to 0.9 nm/s were achieved on ZnO:Al templates with lower rates of up to 0.5 nm/s on SnO$_2$:F templates. Observation of SEM images of the films revealed a wall-like morphology whose lateral thickness (parallel to the substrate) reduced as aluminium was added to the system either in the electrolyte or from the substrate. However, pre-deposition activation of the template by applying a negative voltage (~ -2 V) allowed the growth of compact films even for low purity electrolyte. The optical band gap energy of intrinsically doped films was lower than that of the Al doped films. The composite electrical conductivity of all the films studied, as inferred from sheet resistance and Hall effect measurements of the ZnO/template stacks was much less than that of the uncoated templates. A strong E$_2$(high) mode at around 437 cm$^{-1}$ was visible in the Raman spectra for most films confirming the formation of ZnO. However, both the Raman modes and XRD reflexes associated with wurtzite ZnO diminished for the Al doped films indicating a high level of mainly oxygen related defects. Based on this data, further studies are underway to improve the doping efficiency of aluminium, the crystalline structure and thus the conductivity of such films.

Introduction

Zinc oxide is a technologically important material that is used as a transparent conductor in solar cells, thin film transistors, light emitting diodes and as an emitter in all oxide solar cells. Typically for each application, a specific restriction on the deposition method may be imposed such as an upper limit on the processing temperature to avoid damaging the already existing materials in the devices. In an industrial setting, there is an additional requirement to minimise fabrication costs by using high deposition rates and/or lower priced equipment and low raw material costs.

The best combination of high transmittance and conductivity in ZnO films is usually obtained by vacuum based physical vapour deposition PVD processes such as pulsed laser deposition PLD [1] and magnetron sputtering [2]. However, more flexibility in doping the material may be achieved by use of chemical vapour deposition under low pressure where the use of toxic or pyrophoric [3] precursors is almost unavoidable. Since around 2000, interest has risen in solution based methods to grow ZnO films such as electrochemical deposition ECD [4-14], chemical bath deposition [15, 16], and sol-gel based deposition techniques [17] to overcome the restrictions of physical and chemical vapour based production. Electrochemical deposition in particular, is a mature technology for industrial metal plating of items ranging from car parts to semiconductor devices. It follows naturally that researchers and producers of metal oxides find interest in this technique. Indeed, by using electrochemical deposition, the morphology of ZnO can be tuned from 2-dimensional films to 3-dimensional nano-structures such as rods, leaflets, sheets, walls, etc. [18]. As a result, electrochemically deposited ZnO, in its diverse shapes, has found application in different types of photovoltaic devices for example, thin film silicon solar cells [19], all oxide solar cells [20], CuInGa(S,Se)$_2$ [9, 21, 22], organic solar cells [23] and dye sensitized solar cells [24]. However, the two main drawbacks of electrochemical depositions are the requirement for a conductive substrate and high sensitivity to the purity of the precursors.

For application as an electrode in optoelectronic devices such as solar cells, it is important that the ZnO film is highly conductive to minimise resistive losses. A survey of electrical
parameters reported in the literature for electrochemically grown ZnO films is presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precursor</th>
<th>Precursor purity</th>
<th>Dopant</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>(N_d) (cm(^{-3}))</th>
<th>(\mu) (cm(^2)/Vs)</th>
<th>(\rho) ((\Omega) cm)</th>
<th>Surface morphology</th>
<th>Reference, comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zn(NO(_3)_2)</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
<td>Al(NO(_3)_3)</td>
<td>InO(_x)Sn</td>
<td>glass</td>
<td>8.3 \times 10(^{15})</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>fused needles compact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(NO(_3)_2)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>CBD grown</td>
<td>ZnO:B on glass</td>
<td>4.2 \times 10(^{17})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.8 \times 10(^{-3}) (Hall)</td>
<td>compact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(NO(_3)_2)</td>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>intrinsic</td>
<td>Polished stainless steel</td>
<td>10(^{-7}) - 10(^{11})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>porous</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(NO(_3)_2)</td>
<td>Reagent grade</td>
<td>intrinsic</td>
<td>SnO(_2):F</td>
<td>2 \times 10(^{14}) - 6 \times 10(^{15})</td>
<td>0.5-12 (Hall)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>compact</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(NO(_3)_2)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>intrinsic</td>
<td>SnO(_2):F</td>
<td>7.4 \times 10(^{15})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>compact</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnCl(_2)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>KC</td>
<td>SnO(_2):F</td>
<td>3.9 \times 10(^{19})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>compact</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnClO(_4)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>KCIO(_4)</td>
<td>iZnO: CdS:CIGS</td>
<td>2 \times 10(^{20})</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3 \times 10(^{-2})</td>
<td>compact</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(NO(_3)_2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>intrinsic</td>
<td>gold coated mica</td>
<td>10(^{-2}) - 10(^{3})</td>
<td>0.005-0.01 (Hall)</td>
<td>10(^{-2})</td>
<td>compact</td>
<td>[14] annealed in air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(NO(_3)_2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>intrinsic</td>
<td>gold</td>
<td>10(^{-15}) - 10(^{6})</td>
<td>0.005-0.01 (Hall)</td>
<td>(\geq 10^3)</td>
<td>compact</td>
<td>[14], as grown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: charge carrier density, \(\mu\): majority carrier mobility, \(\rho\): electrical resistivity, ND – not disclosed

The resistivity of intrinsically doped ZnO films grown by electrochemical deposition ranges from 3-1000 \(\Omega\) cm [12, 14] and even after vacuum annealing the value of 3 \times 10\(^{-2}\) \(\Omega\) cm [14] remains much higher than that demanded for device application as an electrode because of the low carrier concentration and mobility. The data for extrinsically doped films are very scarce, but values of 2 \times 10\(^{-7}\) - 10\(^{-5}\) \(\Omega\) cm were reported using chlorine [9] and boron [6]. We note that the lower value of 2 \times 10\(^{-2}\) \(\Omega\) cm was determined indirectly from sheet resistance measurements [9] while the higher value was obtained for a ZnO:B film grown on a less conductive substrate [6]. Unfortunately, no independent group has reported a replication of the latter result till now. The survey illustrates the well-known fact that the electrical conductivity of solution processed ZnO is relatively poor. It also provides evidence that although intrinsic ZnO grown by ECD presents compact crystalline films, the mobility is rather lower than would be expected.

On the contrary, very few reports on aluminium doped ECD-grown ZnO films have been published. Most work about ECD-grown ZnO:Al tends to focus on the surface morphology of the films and does not address the possible reasons for the disruption of the crystalline structure by the inclusion of aluminium. In most cases the authors observed a disruption of the crystalline film structure and morphology as Al was introduced to the electrolyte for doping [11, 13]. Only very few reports address the electrical properties of ECD-grown ZnO:Al films [13].

The ability to optimise high conductivity together with the structure of electrochemically deposited ZnO films is a delicate balance act since on one hand it is attractive to flexibly change the morphology as required, but on the other hand, the parameter window for a specific morphology is rather narrow. The high sensitivity of the process to impurities is particularly challenging because semi-conducting films rely on intentionally added impurities to enhance specific properties. For example, to achieve the near metal conductivity usually required in solar cells, ZnO must be extrinsically doped to ensure a temperature stable conductivity at least around room temperature. Additionally, although compact films can be easily obtained via high purity precursors, the cost can be exorbitant. The use of relatively low purity zinc nitrate for electrochemical deposition of compact ZnO films is attractive for large scale production because of the cost saving potential. Therefore, this study investigates the possibilities of growing extrinsically doped conductive compact ZnO films by electrochemical deposition using low cost, low purity precursor.

**Experimental Methods**

Glass sheets coated with SnO\(_2\):F and magnetron sputtered ZnO:Al of dimensions 8.75 cm\(^2\), to be used as templates, were successively cleaned in an ultra-sonic bath using acetone, ethanol and ultrahigh purity water (18.2 M\(\Omega\)) then dried with nitrogen. The templates were then coated with ZnO using galvanic deposition in a three electrode electrochemical cell using a platinum wire (\(E_0 = +1.2\) V versus a standard hydrogen electrode) as a pseudo-reference electrode and a platinum sheet as the counter electrode. All chemicals were purchased from Alfa Aesar and the different electrolytes consisted of a 0.1 M zinc nitrate solution (either 99.999% or 99% purity) and 1 mM aluminium nitrate (99.999% purity) for extrinsic doping, when required. We chose high purity aluminium nitrate as the doping salt since apart from aluminium, no other foreign elements are introduced in the electrolyte. Additionally, although the survey shows that chlorine doping appears to provide compact films with large crystalline grains, the conductivity tends to deteriorate with time after exposure to the environment [9]. All solutions were made using ultrapure water with an electrical resistance of 18.2 M\(\Omega\) from a Millipore Milli-Q purifier. The electrolyte was heated to 62 °C and was constantly stirred at 90 rpm using a magnetic stirrer during the ZnO film depositions. A
schematic of the electrochemical deposition set-up used to grow the ZnO films is shown in Fig. 1.

![Schematic of the electrochemical deposition set-up used for the ZnO films](image)

**Fig. 1** Schematic of the electrochemical deposition set-up used for the ZnO films presented here.

For one set of films, a current density of -0.5 mA/cm² was driven through the template for 900 s while for the second set, an activation step at -2.06 V for 10 s was introduced before applying a current density of -0.25 mA/cm² for 1200 s. The application of a negative potential prior to the deposition, which forms an ultra-thin metallic zinc layer on the template, was done to increase the nucleation density for ZnO growth [5, 13].

After deposition, all films were rinsed with de-ionised water and the heated in air for 30 minutes at 300°C to completely convert any Zn(OH)₂ to ZnO. In addition, cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed on the two types of templates at a sweep rate of 0.1 V/s with a step size of ± 3 V with the same variation of electrolyte, as above, but without stirring. All galvanic depositions and CV studies were carried out using a Compactstat potentiostat and IviumSoft software for process control, both, from Ivium Technologies.

The deposited film thickness was determined by stylus profilometry (D-120, KLA-TENCOR) at a step etched through the ZnO. Transmittance spectra were collected using a spectrophotometer (LAMBDA 1050 from PerkinElmer) fitted with an integrating sphere. Sheet resistance was measured using a RM3-AR four point probe from Jandel Engineering Ltd.

Room temperature Hall effect measurements of the layer stack were made in the Van der Pauw configuration using a 0.56 T magnetic field for selected films on an HEM-3000 Hall Measurement System (Ecopia, S. Korea). Four point probe and Hall effect measurements on multilayer films such as those in this study, made under the assumption of a constant carrier concentration along the film thickness, were not expected to be quantitatively accurate but were used as a qualitative indicator of trends. The surface and cross sections of the ZnO films after deposition were examined using a scanning electron microscope (S-4100 from HITACHI).

X-ray diffraction measurements were carried out on a Bruker X8 diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) to study the crystal structure of the films. Grazing incidence at 0.25° was used to increase the signal from the layers of interest by partially suppressing the much stronger reflexes from the SnO₂:F templates. Raman spectra were acquired at room temperature using a Dilor/ISA LabRAM 010 system using an argon ion laser excitation at 458 nm.

**Results**

**Cyclic Voltammetry in different Electrolyte Solutions**

The cyclic voltammograms for the different types of electrolyte solutions used to grow ZnO films in this study are shown in Fig. 2. The CV curves exhibit distinct differences depending on the purity of the Zn(NO₃)₂ precursor regardless of the substrate type. During the first scan from 0 to +3 V an anodic peak can be seen around +2.4 V on ZnO:Al and +2.6 V on SnO₂:F using the 99% purity electrolyte. When the voltage sweep was then reversed between +3 V and 0 V, a corresponding anodic peak can be seen at a slightly lower positive voltage. At least one additional anodic peak is visible only on the SnO₂:F cathode implying that the reaction with low purity ZnO also includes species from the template. In contrast, on the addition of Al, no extra peaks are seen either on ZnO:Al or on SnO₂:F, regardless of the electrolyte purity. In the reverse scan from +3 V to -3 V, the onset of high cathodic current shifts to more negative voltages for the electrolytes without Al⁺⁺ compared to the doped case, indicating that a less conductive ZnO film is formed on the surface. Also in this region, the cathodic peaks for the low purity electrolytes are fewer than the corresponding anodic peaks seen in the previous sweep indicating that some species in the electrolyte were irreversibly oxidized during the anodic step. Since the anodic peaks do not appear for the high purity films, they can be attributed to impurities. According to the supplier’s analysis certificates, the 99% Zn(NO₃)₂ contained relatively high amounts of sulphates (1000 ppm) and lead (500 ppm). Neither impurity could be traced in either the 99.998% Zn(NO₃)₂ or the 99.999% Al(NO₃)₃ by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry for both, as well as by atomic absorption spectroscopy for the former.

**Film Thickness and Opto-Electronic Properties**

The film thickness and deposition rate of all films prepared in this study as well as the sheet resistance, carrier concentration and Hall mobility of selected films are presented in Table 2.
Using a current density of 0.5 mA/cm², moderate deposition rates of up to 0.9 nm/s and 0.7 nm/s were achieved on ZnO:Al and SnO₂:F templates, respectively, which reduced to 0.3 nm/s on both templates for a current density of 0.25 mA/cm². In general, the film thickness is lower for the same zinc nitrate purity once Al³⁺ ions are introduced to the electrolyte. Sheet resistance on the films could only be measured for the samples treated with an activation step prior to deposition and with doping. The sheet resistance values were about 100-1000 kΩ with the values on the lower end observed for high purity Zn(NO₃)₂ precursors. The effective carrier concentration of the ZnO/template stacks was less than that of the bare templates. Slight increases in the effective carrier concentration could be observed for samples made with electrolyte containing aluminium. The effective Hall mobility of the ZnO/SnO₂:F stacks was lower than that of the bare SnO₂:F while that on the ZnO/ZnO:Al stacks was higher than on bare ZnO:Al template by roughly 10%.

Table 2 Template type, zinc precursor purity, molar amount of Al dopant added to electrolyte, pre-deposition template activation time t, deposition rate RD, film thickness d, four point sheet resistance RS, majority carrier concentration Ne and Hall mobility μ of the different electrochemically grown ZnO films. The thickness of the template was added to that of the electrochemically grown ZnO to determine the Hall parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Template</th>
<th>Zn(NO₃)₂ purity</th>
<th>Al(NO₃)₃ (%)</th>
<th>t (s)</th>
<th>RD (Å/s)</th>
<th>d (nm)</th>
<th>RS (kΩ)</th>
<th>Ne (10⁺² cm⁻³)</th>
<th>μ (cm²/Vs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SnO₂:F</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.998</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO₂:F</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO:Al</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO:Al</td>
<td>99.998</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO:Al</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The total transmission spectra of selected ZnO films grown by electro-deposition on SnO$_2$:F and ZnO:Al templates are presented in Fig. 3.

![Transmittance spectra of electrochemically deposited ZnO films](image)

The spectra of the templates are also shown for the respective group of electrochemically grown ZnO films. Note that while the ZnO:Al template had a smooth surface, the SnO$_2$:F surface was rough and thus the measured total transmission was slightly reduced by scattering losses [25]. All electrochemically films were transparent in the visible range although the transmittance, as measured for some films, was reduced by diffuse scattering losses from the high roughness of the final ZnO surface. Pre-deposition activation caused an increase in the measured transmittance of the films as the diffuse scattering was slightly reduced by the smoother surface morphology as will be shown later in the results for SEM. The films grown in high purity Zn salt exhibited a much smaller optical band-gap compared to the template and the films grown in less pure electrolyte and with Al$^{3+}$ doping.

**Surface Morphology**

The SEM images of a ZnO film grown with high purity Zn electrolyte on SnO$_2$:F as well as films grown with lower purity Zn electrolyte, with and without doping, are shown in Fig. 4.

![Top view SEM images of ZnO films](image)

For comparison, the SEM images of the ZnO:Al and SnO$_2$:F templates are also included. It can be seen that reduced Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ purity or the addition of Al ions to the electrolyte resulted in less compact films characterized by a wall-like structure whose lateral thickness reduced as aluminium was added to the system either in the electrolyte or from the substrate. In contrast, the film grown from the high purity Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ on SnO$_2$:F formed closed spaced...
grains that grew compactly as seen in the top view and cross
section view in Fig. 4 (d) and 4 (h), respectively.
The SEM images of various ZnO films grown with a 10 s
potentiostatic activation step prior to galvanic deposition are
shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen that the wall-like structure for
less pure electrolyte and with doping was replaced by more
compact films although the Al doped films have an irregular
surface coverage with wall-like structures in some places (see
for example the top left hand corner of Fig. 5(c)).

Fig. 5. Cross-section SEM images, with a 30° tilt, of ZnO films grown on SnO₂:F templates from (a) 99.998% Zn (NO₃)₂, (b) 99% Zn (NO₃)₂, (c) 99.998% Zn (NO₃)₂ with Al doping, (d) 99% Zn (NO₃)₂ with Al doping and (e) a bare SnO₂:F template for reference. The deposition conditions were 10s potentiostatic activation, -0.25 mA/cm² applied current density, electrolyte temperature of 62 °C with constant stirring at 90 rpm. The thick white bar in (a) represents a length of 1 µm and all images are of the same magnification.

Crystalline Microstructure

The XRD patterns for ZnO films grown by electrochemical deposition with a pre-deposition activation step on SnO₂:F are presented in Fig. 6.

Fig. 6 X-ray diffraction patterns for electrochemically grown ZnO films on SnO₂:F templates. Peak positions for wurtzite ZnO are indicated by dotted lines while those corresponding to SnO₂ are labelled with asterisks. Prior to the deposition, the substrate was activated by applying a negative bias of -2.06V relative to a platinum pseudo reference electrode for 10s.

The respective XRD patterns for ZnO grown on ZnO:Al were also measured but are not presented since the strong c-axis orientation of the substrate prevented the observation of peaks attributable to the electrochemically grown films. The XRD peak positions corresponding to SnO₂ and wurtzite ZnO are labelled using data from literature [26, 27]. Reflexes corresponding to the (100), (002) and (101) planes of wurtzite ZnO are visible for the films grown using the higher purity Zn(NO₃)₂ precursor. The XRD reflection peak intensity especially for the reflexes of the (002) plane diminishes significantly for Al doping during deposition as also observed by other groups [11, 113]. The intrinsic film grown using 99% (Zn(NO₃)₂ only shows a reflection peak for (002) texture that is shifted to lower angles and addition of Al to the solution completely suppresses the ZnO reflexes (not shown).

Raman Spectroscopy

The Raman spectra of selected ZnO films grown on SnO₂:F and ZnO:Al templates without pre-deposition activation are shown in Fig. 7.

Fig. 7 Raman spectra for ZnO:Al films grown without pre-deposition activation on (a) SnO₂:F and (b) ZnO:Al templates. The labels on the curves correspond to films grown from (I) 99% purity electrolyte with Al doping, (II) 99% purity electrolyte without doping and (III) 99.998% purity electrolyte without doping. The peak positions for ZnO are indicated by the vertical dotted lines while those for SnO₂ are marked by asterisks. Measurements were taken at room temperature with a Raman excitation wavelength of 458 nm.

The positions of the Raman peaks originating from the SnO₂:F are marked with asterisks using data taken from literature [28]. The peak positions of Raman modes for wurtzite ZnO [29] as well as anomalous modes that are usually not allowed for incidence perpendicular to the c-axis [30] are marked using vertical dotted lines. A E₂ (high) mode at 437 cm⁻¹ is observed for all films grown by intrinsic electrolytes, on SnO₂:F as a prominent peak, and on ZnO:Al as an increase in the E₂(high) /A₁(LO) intensity ratio compared to the template. The E₂ (high)
mode broadens in the case of Al doping on both templates. A weak $A_1$(TO) mode at 380 cm$^{-1}$ is also observed for the intrinsic films on SnO$_2$:F but cannot be resolved for films on ZnO:Al. A localized vibration mode at 277 cm$^{-1}$ (denoted as LVM1) was also visible for all the films. The Raman spectra for ZnO films grown with pre-deposition activation of the template are presented in Fig. 8. Again a strong $E_2$ (high) response was observed for intrinsic films which then reduced with doping. Weak $A_1$(TO) modes were also seen for all films regardless of the type of electrolyte or template. A so-called additional mode AM at 468 cm$^{-1}$ was observed for films grown on both substrates for the intrinsic low purity electrolyte and in the case of doping. Weak peaks corresponding to LVM1 at 277 cm$^{-1}$ were observed for all films especially more clearly on the ZnO:Al template while only weak LVM2 peaks around 508 cm$^{-1}$ were observed for all the Al-doped films.

![Fig. 8 Raman spectra for ZnO:Al films grown with pre-deposition activation on (a) SnO$_2$:F and (b) ZnO:Al templates. The spectra labels are assigned as follows: (I) 99.998%, intrinsic; (II) 99%, intrinsic (III) 99.998%, Al doped; (IV) 99%, Al doped. The peak positions for ZnO are indicated by the vertical dotted lines while those for SnO$_2$ are marked by asterisks. Measurements were taken at room temperature with a Raman excitation wavelength of 458 nm.](image)

**Discussion**

For ZnO film growth without activation, electrolytes of low purity and with Al$^{3+}$ ions resulted in a fibrous thin walled structure with weak $E_2$(high) Raman modes. The presence of Al$^{3+}$ dopant enhanced the conductivity and shifted the optical bandgap to lower wavelengths. The use of pre-deposition template activation resulted in more compact films for both low purity and doped electrolyte. The ZnO films grown from intrinsic electrolytes exhibited both XRD reflexes and Raman modes characteristic of wurtzite ZnO, whose intensity decreased for lower electrolyte purity and the films were highly resistive. For Al doped ZnO films, the crystalline order broke down and the $E_2$(high) Raman modes diminished although the conductivity was slightly improved compared to the intrinsically doped films.

We would like to emphasise that in this study, we do not consider the intrinsically doped ZnO films grown from high purity precursors as a benchmark TCO material. Firstly, the conductivity is insufficient despite the compact nature of the films and secondly the transmission onset occurs at longer wavelengths than for films grown from solutions containing more impurity which is undesirable for use in devices such as photovoltaic cells. These films were only included in the study to illustrate one of the desirable physical properties of TCO films, i.e. a compact structure caused by fused large sized grains which may promote high electron mobility. In general, a film with a compact structure and high crystallinity but low carrier concentration, does not achieve a conductivity value high enough for application as a low resistivity electrode. This effect was observed for intrinsically doped ZnO films grown by ECD [8, 12, 14], CVD [3] and even PLD on sapphire [31]. The only way to appreciably enhance the conductivity in ZnO is by doping it with an impurity and this is the route we have taken in this study.

The deposition rate of the films in this study was generally reduced as Al$^{3+}$ ions were added to the electrolyte. The reduced deposition rate for ZnO:Al compared to intrinsically doped ZnO has been attributed to promotion and hindrance, respectively, of growth in the $a$-direction (parallel to the substrate) and $c$-direction (perpendicular to the substrate), respectively for chemical bath deposited films [16].

Compact ZnO films have been grown on SnO$_2$:F coated substrates from zinc nitrate by other groups using a high purity (99.999% purity) zinc plate as an active anode [4]. In that study, the lowest sheet resistance of 200 $\Omega$ cm was obtained with a very low current density of 0.05 mA/cm$^2$ during the film deposition and since the film thickness was 2 $\mu$m, such a deposition is expected to last several hours. Similarly to our case, the sheet resistance measured for those films included the contribution of the substrate and for comparable current densities, our values of sheet resistance on ZnO:Al were an order of magnitude higher because they were much thinner.

In general, the study of the electrical conductivity of electrochemically grown ZnO films has been largely neglected in the past because of the presence of a higher conductivity substrate. In the survey of reported electrical properties of such films presented in Table 1, one can notice that these data are incomplete as they are mostly derived from indirect measurements such as Mott Schottky analysis of impedance spectroscopy measurements [7, 8, 13], current-voltage characteristics [9, 21] and the four point probe method [4, 9]. In a few cases [12, 14, 32], the films were transferred to an insulating substrate via a lift off technique developed by von Windheim [33]. The transferred films could then be directly characterised by Hall effect measurements but the method is only reliable for transferring thick films $> 5 \mu$m without damage [14, 32]. Apart from the unusual result by Ishizaki *et al.* [6], none of the films shows appreciable conductivity although the carrier concentration of the films is close to that of high conductivity films grown by sputtering [2] and CVD [3]. In our films as well as those presented in Table 1, Al$^{3+}$ doping...
provides extra free electrons to the film, however, the resistivity remains rather high compared to physical or chemical vapour grown films as a result of the rather low mobility. This effect has also been observed for films grown by CBD [16].

The location of the band gap edge at lower energies for intrinsically doped ZnO compared to those extrinsically doped with aluminium, is well known and has been observed for films grown by various methods such as electrochemical deposition [13], sputtering [2], laser deposition [34], chemical vapour deposition [3] and sol gel processing [35]. Comparisons of optical spectra from different sources are difficult, since it is normally not disclosed whether the reported transmission includes or excludes the substrate, however, a qualitative comparison can be made. On this basis, the visible transmittance of our films was similar to or even better than that observed by others [5, 13 and 23]. Since the films grown from the higher purity intrinsic Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ solution showed a transmission onset at around 370 nm, irrespective of the use or omission of the pre-deposition activation step, we can conclude that their carrier concentration was well below 10$^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ [36] while that of impurity doped films and those made with low purity Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ including the intrinsically doped ones was higher. Films with a rough surface morphology, caused by large grains tend to be hazy and thus there are errors associated with measuring the total transmission of the films as already mentioned.

Other authors have also observed, just like we did, the tendency for electrochemically deposited ZnO:Al to grow as sheets or walls rather than as nano-rods or compact films [11, 13]. The disruptive influence of Al doping on ZnO crystal growth is not limited to ECD alone but has also been observed for crystals deposited from solutions via hydrothermal growth [37]. Also, Käsibsch et al. [38] observed that the nanostructure morphology of ZnO grown by PLD varied from closely packed hexagonal vertical rods to nano walls when the aluminium content of the nucleation layer was increased from 0 to 2.0 at. %.

During electrodeposition of ZnO, the Zn$^{2+}$ ions from the metal salt, combine with (OH)$^-$_i ions from the reduction of NO$_3^-$_i in water. A Zn(OH)$_2$ complex is formed at the cathode and can then be decomposed to ZnO at temperatures above roughly 50 °C. The results of a previous study of Zn in H$_2$SO$_4$ with different impurities suggested that the impurities are co-deposited with Zn at the substrate forming local cells, which enhance hydrogen reduction and zinc dissolution at these points, thus interfering with the deposition at the cathode [39].

Our CV data of the 99 % purity electrolyte are in agreement with that study since an irreversible oxidation of additional species on the template was observed and it is expected that these species are also active during the deposition which led to ZnO growth with poor nucleation. Also, notable is the similarity of our film morphology to that of ZnO made from electrolytes containing sulphates [10, 40]. The use of a potentiostatic pre-deposition of zinc to increase the density of nucleation sites led to the subsequent growth of more compact ZnO films and correlates well with the effect observed by others [5, 13]. It has been reported that lead (Pb) impurities, on the other hand, adsorb on the cathode and promote the deposition of zinc there [41]. Therefore, the sulphate impurities dominate the disruption of the zinc oxide growth at the cathode from the 99% Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ electrolyte.

Raman modes corresponding to propagation both parallel and perpendicular to the substrate, were observed in all our films indicating crystalline defects which were also confirmed by the deviation of the relative XRD peak intensities from those of highly crystalline wurtzite ZnO. The addition of aluminium to the electrolyte caused the characteristic ZnO Raman peaks to either broaden or disappear which is a sign of further crystalline structure disorder as confirmed by the disappearance of XRD reflexes. The Raman peaks corresponding to LVM1 have been observed in intrinsically doped ZnO films with high crystalline structural disorder [30] as well as those with an excess of Zn [42]. Like in our case, both LVM1 and LVM2 peaks have also been observed by excitation of both intrinsically and extrinsically doped ZnO films [30, 42]. Previously, the LVM1 vibrations were attributed to vibrations of Zn$_2$O$_2$ complexes [43] although these modes are also detected in ZnO films doped by other foreign atoms and have been attributed to vibrations of Zn atoms caused by lattice disorder induced by impurities [30].

More detailed theoretical and experimental studies of the Raman spectra of ZnO using Zn isotopes have now shown that the LVM1 vibrations involve only Zn atoms at interstitial sites [42]. For all the films grown on ZnO:Al, the LVM1 peaks were probably enhanced by aluminium diffusing from the template into the films that then additionally activated local scattering. This reasoning is also corroborated by the fibrous wall-like morphology of the films which is typical of electrolyte solutions containing aluminium [11, 44]. The LVM2 peak has been attributed to vibrations of Zn$_2$O$_2$ complexes [42] but its intensity in our films is rather weak. However, the absence of appreciable peaks at the A$_1$(LO) mode at (577 cm$^{-1}$) and E$_2$(LO) mode at (588 cm$^{-1}$) indicates that there is no electron donation from excess zinc and/or oxygen vacancies in all our films, [43]. An oxygen surplus and the presence of defects caused by O$_i$ sites (and the related breakdown of crystalline order) can be expected in our films since they were grown in an aqueous solution and then heated in air to convert any Zn(OH)$_2$ to ZnO. Similar to our observation, a previous study reported the simultaneous presence of both the LVM2 peak and an additional mode at 468 cm$^{-1}$ in Al doped ZnO samples and attributed this to phonon modes that are highly localized near the grain boundaries [45]. As already discussed, oxygen deficiency in our films can be ruled out and therefore the only source for free electrons would be Al doping. These arguments indicate that the ZnO:Al films grown by electrochemical deposition may be conductive on a microscopic scale but electron transport from one grain to the other is severely restricted by the imperfect crystalline structure.

There is clearly a need to overcome the problem of poor crystalline structure, induced by (sometimes useful) impurities, which up to now can only be partially circumvented by adapting the deposition parameters or using substrate
activation. The use of additives in the electrolyte to suppress the negative effects of certain impurities, as is done for metal plating, is expected to lead to further improvements in the growth kinetics of ZnO films grown by electrochemical deposition.

For the extrinsically doped films we can also not rule out, especially for highly crystalline films, that the Al\(^{3+}\) donors may segregate at the surface of the grains which are then compensated by trap states there [46]. Lastly, it is likely that although well-formed crystalline grains may be formed during ECD, because of the low deposition temperature there is insufficient energy to coalesce the grains together to form a truly compact film as is the case for films grown by highly energetic processes such as sputtering and pulsed laser deposition, or high temperature chemical vapour deposition processes. Additionally, the low electron mobility values reported in literature (see Table 1) even for apparently well crystallised compact films indicate that electrical charge transport in the lateral direction is limited. However, the successful application of similar films in various types of photovoltaic solar cells [9, 24, 23], despite the poor electrical properties measured using methods probing the charge transport parallel to the substrate, is evidence that they have appreciable carrier mobility in the direction perpendicular to the substrate. One explanation could be that for extrinsically doped ECD grown films ZnO films with grains oriented perpendicular to the substrate, the intra-grain electron mobility is high enough such that the films have a higher conductivity in the transverse direction (perpendicular to the substrate) than in the lateral direction (parallel to the substrate). Such anisotropic electrical transport has also been reported for boron doped ZnO grown by LPCVD for use as intermediate reflecting layers in multi-junction thin film silicon solar cells [47].

Solutions to improve the lateral mobility and thus electrical conductivity include post deposition laser crystallisation of the zinc oxide to completely fuse the crystallites [48] and/or using a different dopant such as gallium or boron.

Conclusions

Intrinsically and extrinsically doped ZnO films were grown on both SnO\(_2\):F and ZnO:Al templates using galvanic deposition. By use of a potentiostatic activation step, in the cathodic direction prior to galvanic deposition, compact films could be grown from both high purity (99.998\%) and low purity (99\%) Zn(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) electrolyte. Doping of the films was attempted using high purity (99.999\%) Al(NO\(_3\))\(_3\) as a source of electron donor impurities. Although the films were highly transparent, their electrical resistivity was rather high even for those that were compact. By correlating the crystalline structure from XRD, Raman shift studies and the trends in resistivity, we conclude that the poor conductivity of the electrochemically deposited films was caused by structural defects that favour the formation of interstitial complexes that suppress the doping action of aluminium and limit the electron mobility in the direction parallel to the substrate.
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