Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsA

Page 1 of Journal of Materials^{Journal of Materials Chemistry A} Chemistry A

ARTICLE TYPE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

A high voltage solar cell using a donor-acceptor conjugated polymer based on pyrrolo[3,4-*f*]-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-5,7-dione

Hairong Li,^{a,b‡} Shuangyong Sun,^{a‡} Subodh Mhaisalkar,^{a,b} Melvin T Zin,^{c#} Yeng Ming Lam^{a,b}* and Andrew C. Grimsdale^{a,b}*

s Received (in XXX, XXX) XthXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

We report an improved synthesis of the electron-accepting unit pyrrolo[3,4-*f*]-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-5,7-dione(BTI) and the synthesis and characterisation of two donor-acceptor copolymers containing it: poly[6-dodecyl-4,8-bis(2-thienyl)pyrrolo[3,4-*f*]-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-5,7-dione-*alt*-9,9-dioctyl-2,7-

- ¹⁰ bis(thien-2'-yl)-9*H*-fluorene](P(BTI-F)), and poly[6-dodecyl-4,8-bis(thien-2'-yl)pyrrolo[3,4-*f*]-2,1,3benzothiadiazole-5,7-dione-*alt*-4,8-bis(octyloxy)benzo[1,2-*b*:4,5-*b*']dithiophene](P(BTI-B)). By the incorporation of an imide group onto a benzothiadiazole moiety, the HOMO level is lowered. To explore the effectiveness of conjugation along the polymer backbone, two electron donors: fluorene and benzobithiophene, were introduced. These represent a weak and a strong electron-donor, respectively.
- ¹⁵ Both polymers have low lying HOMOs and hence are thermally stable and also result in high open-circuit voltages (V_{oc}) in photovoltaic applications. Compared to P(BTI-B), P(BTI-F) has a larger bandgap due to the incorporation of a weaker donor unit and thus a deeper lying HOMO level. Devices based on P(BTI-F) and [6,6]-phenylC₆₁-butyric acid methyl ester (PC₆₁BM) can obtain a remarkably high V_{oc} of 1.11 V, but a PCE of only 1.61 %. By contrast, polymer P(BTI-B) has stronger absorption in the longer wavelength
- ²⁰ range and can achieve a well-dispersed nanomorphology with $PC_{61}BM$ for efficient exciton dissociation, achieving PCE of 3.42 %, with a J_{sc} of 9.71 mA/cm², V_{oc} of 0.75, and FF of 0.47.

Introduction

Benzo[*c*][2,1,3]thiadiazole (BT)¹⁻³⁴ and its derivatives such as benzo[1,2-*c*;4,5-*c*']bis[1,2,5]thiadiazole (BBT),³⁵⁻⁴⁶ [1,2,5]-²⁵ thiadiazolo[3,4-*g*]quinoxaline (TQ)^{2, 47-62} represent one of the most widely studied class of electron-accepting units for making donor-acceptor (D-A) polymers or small molecules. Attributed to the tunable electronic structures (HOMO, LUMO, bandgap), versatile chemical functionalization and robust properties, their

- ³⁰ electronic device applications such as organic field-effect transistors (OFET), organic light-emitting diodes (OLED), organic photovoltaics (OPV) and electrochromic devices have been extensively explored. An important structural feature of many BT-type materials is the attachment of the two flanking
- ³⁵ thienyl units at the 4,7-positions of BT, which results in large electron delocalization along the thiophene-benezene-thiophene direction due to strong quinoid character of the BT unit and reduced steric hindrance, thereby reducing the band gap and facilitating the intermolecular π - π interactions and charge
- ⁴⁰ transport.^{44, 61, 63} However, one of the main disadvantages of BTbased monomers is their poor solubility and they must be coupled with donor monomers that contain sufficiently long alkyl chain to make the polymer soluble. In earlier work we increased

the solubility of such polymers by attaching alkoxy chains on the 45 5,6-positions of BT but this resulted in strong electron localization effect within BT and hence the bandgap remained at ~2 eV even when very electron-donating units such as benzo[1,2b:4,5-b']dithiophene (BDT), dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]silole and thieno[3,2-b]thiophene were coupled to these BT polymers.⁶ To 50 address this issue we herein report the synthesis of halogenated pyrrolo[3,4-*f*]-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-5,7-dione (BTI) units enabling their more easy incorporation into polymers or oligomers. The introduction of the imide group onto BT not only facilitates the alkylation but also lowers the HOMO level to 55 possibly obtain a high solar cell open circuit voltage V_{oc} while maintaining a reduced band gap. Fluorene and benzobithiophene representing a weak and a strong electron-donor, respectively, were introduced to explore the effectiveness of conjugation along the polymer backbonein two D-A conjugated polymers, P(BTI-F) 60 and P(BTI-B), shown in Fig. 1. The promising device performance using blends of these copolymers with fullerene acceptors in bulk heterojunction (BHJ) solar cells are also reported, in comparison to the performance reported for other polymers containing BTI units.



Fig. 1Chemical structures of the two BTI based polymers.



Scheme 1Synthetic routes to the two polymers. Reaction conditions: (i) ⁵ KOH, C₁₂H₂₅Br, EtOH, reflux. (ii) SnCl₂, HCl (37 %), EtOH, reflux. (iii) Br₂, SOCl₂, CHCl₃, NEt₃, 0 °C to r.t.. (iv) 2-ThSnBu₃, PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂, THF, reflux. (v) DMF, NBS, r.t. (vi) PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂, THF, reflux. (vii) SOCl₂, CHCl₃, Pyridine, 0 °C to reflux.

Experimental section

10 Materials and equipments

Chemicals and reagents were purchased from either Aldrich or Alfa-Aesar and were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was freshly distilled over sodium wire before use. N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) was

 $_{15}$ recrystallised from distilled water before use. Column chromatography was carried out with Merck silica-gel (230 – 400 mesh) while thin layer chromatography (TLC) were performed on Merck silica-gel 60 PEG-backed plates (20 cm \times 20 cm). The

NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker DPX 400 spectrometer 20 using chloroform-d as solvent and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard. Matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu AXIMA Performance. Elemental analysis was obtained via a Thermo Scientific Flash 2000 Series CHNS 25 Analyzer. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out using a TA Instrument Q500 Thermogravimetric Analyzer at a heating rate of 10 °C/min up to 900 °C and at a nitrogen flow rate of 75 cm³/min. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was run on a TA Instrument Q10. Molecular weight of polymers was 30 determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) method in chloroform, which was performed on Agilent GPC 1100 series model G1311A. In this method, column of PL gel 5 µm, MIXED-C was used and polystyrene was used as a standard, THF was used as the eluent at 20 °C with flow rate of 1 mL/min. UV-Vis 35 absorption spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-Vis 2501 Spectrometer. Cyclic Voltammograms (CV) of the polymers were recorded on a CHI 660 electrochemical workstation in anacetonitrilesolution of tetrabutylammoniun hexafluorophosphate(Bu₄NPF₆) (0.1 M) at scanning rate of 100 40 mV/s. The experiments were carried out at room temperature with a conventional three electrode configuration consisting of a platinum working electrode coated with polymer film, a gold counter electrode, and aSCE (saturated calomel electrode) reference electrode (-4.40 eV relative to vacuum calibrated by 45 Fc/Fc⁺).⁶⁴The HOMO, LUMO energy levels and electrochemical band gaps were calculated by the following equations:

ps were calculated by the following equations.	
HOMO = -(4.40 + oxidatio onset) eV	(1)
LUMO = -(4.40 + reduction onset) eV	(2)
$E_g = LUMO - HOMO$	(3)

Single X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker AXS SMART CCD 3-circle diffractometer with a Mo-Kα radiation (0.71073 Å). The software used was SMART22 for collecting frames of data, indexing reflections, and determining lattice parameters; SAINT22 for integration of the intensity of ⁵⁵ reflections and scaling; SADABS23 for absorption correction; and SHELXTL24 for space group determination, structure solution, and least-squares refinements on F2. Structures were solved by direct methods and non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were introduced at fixed ⁶⁰ distances from carbon atoms and assigned fixed thermal parameters. All calculations were performed on a Silicon Graphics workstation, using programs provided by Siemens Pvt. Ltd.

Solar cells fabrication and characterization

⁶⁵ The active films for the solar cells are made up of polymer: [6,6]-phenyl-C₆₁-butyric-acid methyl ester (P₆₁CBM) blended at different weight ratios (1:1, 1:2 and 1:3). Indium tin oxide (ITO)/glass substrates were purchased from Kintec Company (7 Ω sq⁻¹). The ITO/glass substrates were ultrasonic-cleaned by 70 detergent, deionizer water, acetone and isopropanol for 15 min each in sequence. Following that, the ITO substrates were exposed for 2 min to air plasma. A layer of 30 nm of poly(3,4ethylenedioxythiophene)-poly(styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT-PSS) film was spin-coated on ITO and then the substrates were baked 75 at 140 °C for 10 min in a glove box. The polymer: P₆₁CBM blend solutions were then spin-coated onto the PEDOT:PSS layer.Thefilm thickness of the active layers around 70 nm. For some devices, TiO_x ethanol solution was spin-coated on the photoactive layer to form electron buffer layer and hydrolysed in

- ⁵ the ambient for 40 min. Finally, aluminiumwas deposited on the active layer under vacuum (10^{-6} torr) to form the cathode (~100 nm). The active area for each device was 0.07 cm². Current density-voltage (*J*-V) characteristics of the devices were measured using Agilent 4155C Semiconductor Parameter
- ¹⁰ Analyzer under simulated AM 1.5G illumination (100 mW/cm²). The external quantum efficiency (EQE) measurement was performed using the Merlin radiometer (Newport) with calibrated Si photodiode (Hamamatsu) as the reference. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) images were obtained on the Digital
- 15 Instruments Nanoscope IIIausing the tapping mode.

Synthesis

The synthetic route is summarized in **Scheme 1.** 5-Amino-6nitroisoindoline-1,3-dione (1)⁶⁵ and 2,7-bis(5-(tributylstannyl)thiophen-2-yl)-9,9'-bisoctyl-9*H*-fluorene (7)⁶⁶

- ²⁰ and 4,8-bis(octyloxy)-2,6-bis(tributylstannyl)benzo[1,2-*b*;3,4*b*']dithiophene($\mathbf{8}$)²⁸were synthesized according to literature procedures.
- **5-Amino-2-dodecyl-6-nitroisoindoline-1,3-dione** (2). Compound 1 (10 g,48.3 mmol), KOH (2.71, 17.0 g, 48.3 mmol) ²⁵ and $C_{12}H_{25}Br$ (12.0 g, 48.3 mmol) was dissolved in EtOH (70 mL). The solution was heated up to reflux for 1 day, cooled down and poured into water, and extracted with dichloromethane (DCM). The extract was washed with water, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated and ³⁰ the residue was purified by chromatography on silica eluting with
- dichloromethane (DCM) to yield(**2**) as a yelow solid (14.5 g, 85 %). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.62 (s, 1H, Ph**H**), 7.28 (s, 1H, Ph**H**), 6.76 (br, 2H, NH₂), 3.66 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, NC**H**₂), 1.65 (quintet, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, NC**H**₂C**H**₂), 1.24-1.31 (m, 18H, C**H**₂), 0.87 (t, J =
- ³⁵ 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 167.18, 167.11, 149.5, 138.3, 134.5, 123.7, 119.5, 114.6, 39.3, 32.6, 30.3, 30.2, 30.1, 30.0, 29.8, 29.1, 27.5, 23.3, 14.8. MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z: 375.20 (M⁺). Calcd. m/e (100 %): 375.22. Anal. calcd. for C₂₀H₂₉N₃O₄: C, 63.98; H, 7.79; N, 11.19 %. Found: C, 64.20; H, 7.67; N, ⁴⁰ 11.37 %.

5,6-Diamino-2-dodecylisoindoline-1,3-dione (3). Compound **2** (5 g,13.3 mmol) and $SnCl_2$ (5.1 g, 26.6 mmol) were dissolved in the solvent mixture of EtOH(100 ml) and 37 % HCl (40 ml), the reaction mixture was brought to reflux for 6 hr. Cooled down

- ⁴⁵ and precipitated from water, the white solid was washed thoroughly with water, MeOH and dried. The product was used immediately without further purification due to its instability in solution, though it was stable in the solid state as the 2HCl salt.
 - 6-Dodecyl-4,8-dibromopyrrolo[3,4-f]-2,1,3-
- ⁵⁰ **benzothiadiazole-5,7-dione(4).** At 0 °C, SOCl₂ (2.2 g, 18.6 mmol) was added to the 100 mL CHCl₃ solution of compound **3** (1.6 g, 4.64 mmol) followed by addition of Br₂ (2.9 g, 18.6 mmol), the solution was stirred for 0.5 hr in ice-water bath, then warmed up to r.t. and followed by addition of NEt₃ (4.7 g, 46.4
- ss mmol), after overnight the solution was poured into water, extracted with DCM. The extract was washed with water, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated

and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica eluting with DCM to yield (4)as white crystals (1.48 g, 60 %). ¹H NMR ⁶⁰ (CDCl₃) δ : 3.77 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, NCH₂), 1.73 (quintet, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.24-1.35 (m, 18H, CH₂), 0.86 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 164.5, 156.0, 130.6, 113.6, 40.0, 32.5, 30.29, 32.23, 30.1, 30.0, 29.8, 28.8, 27.5, 23.3, 14.7. MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z: 530.92 (M⁺). Calcd. m/e (100 %): 531.00. ⁶⁵ Anal. calcd. for C₂₀H₂₅Br₂N₃O₂S: C, 45.21; H, 4.74; N, 7.91; S,

6.04 %. Found: C, 45.37; H, 4.70; N, 8.13; S, 5.91 %. 6-Dodecyl-4,8-di(thien-2'-yl)pyrrolo[3,4-f]-2,1,3benzothiadiazole-5,7-dione (5). 1.2 g of compound 4 (2.26 mmol), 2.1 g of 2-tributylstannylthiophene (5.65 mmol) and 95 70 mg of Pd[PPh₃]₂Cl₂ (6 mol %) were added to a 100 mL round bottom flask purged with N2 gas, followed by addition of 50 ml of fleshly distilled THF. The reaction was stirred under reflux for 1 d. The cooled mixture was poured into water and extracted with DCM. The organic layer was collected, dried over anhydrous 75 MgSO4 and concentrated. The filtrate was evaporated and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica eluting with DCM to yield (5)as yellow crystals(1.1 g, 90 % yield). ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3 \ \delta \text{ ppm})$: 7.90 (dd, J = 4 Hz, 2H, Th**H**), 7.71 (dd, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, Th**H**), 7.28 (m, 2H, Th**H**), 3.72 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ⁸⁰ NCH₂), 1.69 (quintet, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.23-1.32 (m, 18H, CH₂), 0.87 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 166.43, 157.2, 133.7, 132.2, 130.8, 127.7, 127.5, 127.3, 39.6, 32.5, 30.28, 30.22, 30.1, 30.0, 29.8, 28.9, 27.6, 23.3, 14.7. MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z: 537.13 (M⁺). Calcd. m/e (100 %): 537.16. 85 Anal. calcd. for C₂₈H₃₁N₃O₂S₃: C, 62.54; H, 5.81; N, 7.81; S,

s Anal. calcd. for $C_{28}H_{31}N_3O_2S_3$: C, 62.54; H, 5.81; N, 7.81; S, 17.89 %. Found: 62.66; H, 5.74; N, 7.95; S, 17.76 %.

6-Dodecyl-4,8-bis(5'-bromothiophen-2'-yl)pyrrolo[3,4-*f*]-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-5,7-dione (6). Compound 5 (1 g, 1.86 mmol) and NBS (0.73 g, 4.10 mmol) were dissolved in 40 mL
DMF and stirred at r.t. overnight, the solution was poured into water and filtered, the solid was thoroughly washed with water and MeOH,then dried to yield (6) as an orange red solid in quantitative yield. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃,δ ppm): 7.78 (d, J = 4 Hz, 2H, ThH), 7.22 (d, J = 4 Hz, 2H, ThH), 3.73 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ThH), 7.22 (d, J = 4 Hz, 2H, ThH), 3.73 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, 169 (quintet, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.23-1.33 (m, 18H, CH₂), 0.87 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 166.3, 156.6, 134.7, 133.7, 130.5, 127.1, 126.6, 119.3, 39.7, 32.5, 30.29, 30.23, 30.1, 30.0, 29.8, 28.9, 27.6, 23.3, 14.7. MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z: 694.91 (M⁺). Calcd. m/e (100 %): 694.98.
100 Anal. calcd. for C₂₈H₂₉Br₂N₃O₂S₃: C, 48.35; H, 4.20; N, 6.04; S, 13.83 %. Found: 48.56; H, 4.15; N, 6.21; S, 13.90 %.

6-Dodecyl-pyrrolo[3,4-f]-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-5,7dione(9). At 0 °C, SOCl₂ (2.2 g, 18.6 mmol) was added to the 100 mL CHCl₃ solution of compound **3** (1.6 g, 4.64 mmol) ¹⁰⁵ followed by slow addition of anhydrous pyridine (3.7 g, 46.4 mmol) over 20 min, the solution was then brought to refluxstirred for 4 hr. The solution was cooled down, poured into water and extracted with DCM. The extract was washed with water, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated ¹¹⁰ and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica eluting with DCM to yield (**9**)as white crystals (1.48 g, 78 %). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 8.49 (s, 2H, Ph**H**), 3.77 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, NC**H**₂), 1.73 (quintet, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, NCH₂C**H**₂), 1.24-1.34 (m, 18H, C**H**₂), 0.87 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, C**H**₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 167.2, ¹¹⁵ 157.4, 132.3, 118.8, 39.6, 32.6, 30.3, 30.2, 30.1, 30.0, 29.8, 29.0,

27.6, 23.3, 14.8. MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z: 373.09 (M^+). Calcd. m/e (100 %): 373.18. Anal. calcd. for $C_{20}H_{27}N_3O_2S$: C, 64.31; H, 7.29; N, 11.25; S, 8.58 %. Found: C, 64.57; H, 7.22; N, 11.34; S, 8.31 %.

- $^{\rm s}$ **P(BTI-F).** Compound **6** (0.45 g, 0.753 mmol), **7** (0.85 g, 0.753 mmol) and Pd[PPh₃]₂Cl₂ (30 mg, 6 mol %) were added to a 50 mL round bottom flask purged with N₂ gas, followed by addition of 20 ml of fleshly distilled THF. The reaction was stirred under reflux for 2 d, then cooled down and poured into methanol and
- ¹⁰ filtered. The resulting solid was further purified by Soxhlet extraction using methanol, hexane and acetone to remove low MW polymers and impurities. Finally the polymers was extracted out using chloroform, which was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the polymer as a black solid (0.48 g, 62 %). ¹H
- ¹⁵ NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.05 (br, Ar**H**), 7.88 (br, Ar**H**), 7.68 (br, Ar**H**), 7.59 (br, Ar**H**), 7.38 (br, Ar**H**), 3.74 (br, NC**H**₂), 2.05 (br, 9-C**H**₂ on fluorene), 1.72 (br, NCH₂C**H**₂), 1.10-1.35 (m, br, C**H**₂), 0.87 (br, C**H**₃), 0.80 (br, C**H**₃). Anal. calcd. for (C₆₅H₇₃N₃O₂S₅)_n: C, 71.71; H, 6.76; N, 3.86; S, 14.73 %. Found: C, 71.19; H, 7.02; N,
- ²⁰ 4.08; S, 14.27 %. GPC: Mn = 11,263 g/mol, Mw = 21,560 g/mol, PDI = 1.91.

P(BTI-B) was synthesized by copolymerization of compound **6** and **8** by the same method as for polymer **P(BTI-F)**,as a black solid in 45 % yield. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.05 (br, ArH), 7.76 (br,

²⁵ ArH), 7.64 (br, ArH), 7.38 (br, ArH), 4.31 (br, OCH₂), 3.71 (br, NCH₂), 1.94 (br, OCH₂CH₂), 1.62 (br, NCH₂CH₂), 1.25-1.34 (m, br, CH₂), 0.90 (br, CH₃). Anal. calcd. for (C₅₄H₆₅N₃O₄S₅)_n: C, 66.15; H, 6.68; N, 4.29; S, 16.35 %. Found: C, 66.44; H, 6.89; N, 3.80; S, 15.85 %. GPC: Mn = 11,454 g/mol, Mw = 17,934 g/mol, ³⁰ PDI = 1.57.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization

All polymers were fully characterized and are readily soluble in chloroform, THF, chlorobenzene and 1,2-dichlorobenzene. The ³⁵ most critical synthetic intermediate is N-dodecyl-5,6-dicarboxylic imide-4,7-dibromo-benzo[c][2,1,3]thiadiazole (**4**). This compound was previously reported by us but without describing the synthetic details.⁶⁷ The synthesis which we now report here has considerable advantages over previous reports of BTI derivatives.

- ⁴⁰ The brominated dithienyl compound **6** has previously been made, and used to make some reduced bandgap polymers, including an analogue of P(BTI-B) bearing a branched alkyl chain on the BTI unit, by a totally different and less efficient synthetic route involving synthesis of the BTI unit from a different heterocycle.⁶⁸
- ⁴⁵ Our route is shorter, more efficient and more flexible as it provides access to a wider range of polymers and oligomers. Compound N-dodecyl-5,6-dicarboxylic imide-benzo[c][2,1,3]thiadiazole (9), has also previously been made by Chi *et al.* by a different method,⁶⁹ however all attempts to brominate the 4,7-
- ⁵⁰ positions of compound **9** including using bromine in strong acid at high temperature, were unsuccessful because of the deactivating imide and BT segments. Adding bromine to the reaction mixture of compound **3** before adding SOCl₂, also failed to produce **4** as the brominated diamine is too unstable to survive
- ss the annulation to form BT. Therefore, we controlled the reaction conditions so that Br₂ was added after formation of a ring

structure but before the final dehydration to form BT stimulated by base. Our TLC results showed that as long as the time and temperature were properly controlled, the major product was ⁶⁰ compound **4** with a little mono-brominated side product and a negligible amount of compound **9**, both which could be removed by chrometography on cilica

by chromatography on silica. The TGA and DSC results were shown in **Fig. 2** and summarized in **Table 1**. Both polymers showed very high thermal ⁶⁵ stability. Decomposition (T_d in **Table 1**) of P(BTI-F) starts at 400 ^oC, while for P(BTI-B) it commences at 310 °C. It is obvious that the thermal stability is largely determined by the donor part wherein the bisthienylfluorene (**F**) is much more stable than benzodithiophene (**B**). On the other hand, P(BTI-F) has a ⁷⁰ lowerglass transition temperature (T_g in **Table 1**) than P(BTI-B). Considering the same acceptor unit is in both polymers, the sp³ configuration of the fluorene C9 bearing the alkyl chains in P(BTI-F) simply creates greater separation between the polymer

chains during packing as compared to the planar 75 benzodithiophene in P(BTI-B), resulting in higher flexibility at elevated temperatures.

Fig. 2Top: TGA and DSC (inset) of the two polymers; s0 Bottom:normalized absorption spectra of the two polymers in chloroform.



Fig. 3 CV spectra of the polymers

Table 1 Thermal, optical and electrochemical properties of the polymers.
--

Polymers	P(BTI-F)	P(BTI-B)
HOMO (eV)	-5.60	-5.36
LUMO (eV)	-3.74	-3.68
Eg (eV)	1.86	1.68
λ_{max} (nm)	551, 403	610, 444, 392
$T_d (^{o}C)$	400	310
T_{g} (°C)	93	124

⁵ The absorption spectra of the polymers were recorded in chloroform solution as shown in **Fig.2**. The peak maxima (λ_{max}) and electrochemical band gaps (E_g) are summarized in **Table 1**. Comparing P(BTI-F) with P(BTI-B), the peak maximum attributed to the π - π * absorption of the conjugated polymer ¹⁰ backbone for the former (560 nm) is 50 nm shorter than the latter (610 nm), which implies the benzodithiophene in P(BTI-B) increases the intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) between donor and acceptor, causing more electronic delocalization along the polymer backbones.⁷⁰The electron rich BDTunit has been widely

- ¹⁵ incorporated into D-A polymer structures, leading to a range of the bandgaps even down to 1 eV when coupling with a variety of acceptors,^{28, 29, 71-75} it is not surprising to observe that the stronger donor-acceptor interaction in P(BTI-B) significantly lower the band gap as compared to the weaker donor in P(BTI-F) (E_g in
- 20 Table 1). Cyclic voltammetry measurements revealed that both polymers had close lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy levels, which can be attributed to the dominating effect of the electron-withdrawing BTI unit. When comparing P(BTI-F) with P(BTI-B), the stronger donor in the latter raises the level of 25 the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) significantly
- (Table 1).

A single crystal X-ray diffraction study of compound **5** revealed that the central BTI core was almost planar while the thiophene groups at 4,7-positions were twisted from the central

³⁰ core (**Fig. 4**). The sulfur atoms on both thiophene groups pointed towards the same direction relative to the plane of the central core and oriented closer to the thiadiazole side. It was interesting to observe that this molecule packed as a pair with both molecules oriented in the same direction, i.e. alkyl chain to alkyl chain, core ³⁵ to core. The measurement of torsion angle between two

thiophenes and the connected central benzene also confirmed that there were four different values: 40.84°, 44.10° for one and 39.87 °, 41.83° for the other, which also indicated that both thiophene groups at 4,7-positions in this molecule were not completely ⁴⁰ mirror symmetrical.



Fig. 4Molecular geometry of compound **5** and its crystal packing viewed from axis b (CCDC number: 965762).

45 Solar cell performance

The photovoltaic properties of both polymers were investigated using the conventional device configuration of ITO/PEDOT:PSS/Polymer blend/Al with [6,6]-phenyl C61butyric acid methyl ester (PC₆₁BM) as acceptor in the polymer 50 blend. Fig.5 shows the film absorption of P(BTI-F) and P(BTI-B) thin films blended with PC₆₁BM at their optimized weight ratios for device operation. The optimized blend ratios for the two polymers are different as the molecular structure of the two polymers, their miscibility with PC₆₁BM and the resulting film 55 morphology are different. The best weight ratio for P(BTI-F) and PC61BM solar cells is 1:2; while for P(BTI-B) and PC61BM devices, the value is 1:1. These blended polymer films were prepared from 1,2-dichlorobenzene solution without thermal annealing and the absorption profiles are normalized with respect $_{60}$ to the absorption maximum peak of PC₆₁BM at 330 nm. It should be noted that even the profiles are normalized with lower PCBM content for P(BTI-B), the absorption intensity from P(BTI-B) in P(BTI-B):P₆₁CBM composite is still stronger in the longer wavelength range than that of P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM blend film.. 65 This is very likely to generate larger electrical current under short-circuit conditions for the devices based on P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM if transport in these films is not an issue.

Fig. 6(a) shows current density-voltage (*J-V*) characteristics of P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM and P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM solar cells under AM ⁷⁰ 1.5G illumination (100 mw/cm²). The results are summarized in **Table 2.** P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM device exhibits a PCE of 1.61%, with J_{sc} of 4.36 mA/cm², V_{oc} of 1.11 V, and FF of 0.33.By contrast P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM device shows a PCE of 3.42%, with J_{sc} of 9.71 mA/cm², V_{oc} of 0.75 and FF of 0.47. Hence, our P(BTI-75 B):PC₆₁BM device is more than twice as efficient as the device based on P(BTI-F) in converting photons to electrons. The device based on P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM has a very high V_{oc} (1.11 eV) in comparison with the device based on P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM. To best of our knowledge this is one of the highest V_{oc} value reporteds for

a single-junction cell using a polymer:fullerene blend⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸ and this is mainly attributed to the lower lying HOMO energy level (5.59 eV) of P(BTI-F).⁷⁹The series resistance (R_s) of the devices were also extracted from the slope of their *J*-V curves under light near

- ⁵ the open circuit voltage. It was found that R_s for the P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM device was 86 Ωcm² and for the P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM device it was 32 Ωcm². Two possible reasons may contribute to a high series resistance – poor charge transport as a result of morphology or inherent mobility, and a rough interface between
- ¹⁰ the polymer and electrodes. From the AFM measurements (Fig. 7), it can be seen that P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM film is smoother and has larger nano-domains. The former observation suggests that the contact between the film and Al cathode should be better and the latter observation suggests that the transport of the charges should
- ¹⁵ be better. Based on the above discussion, series resistance of P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM device ought to be lower but this is not the case and therefore, the mobility of charge carriers in P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM film must be poorer compared to P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM film. The higher charge mobility together with the higher
- ²⁰ absorption of polymer P(BTI-B) result in the higher J_{sc} seen in P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM device. The FF of both devices are only around 40 %, which may indicate that the charge transport of electrons and holes is not balanced in these organic solar cells.⁸⁰ It is worth mentioning that compared to our previously reported
- ²⁵ polymers based on dicarboxylic imide-substituted isothianaphthene units, the performance of solar cells based on the structurally similar dicarboxylic imide-substituted benzothiadizole unit in this work has been significantly improved due to the stronger acceptor nature of the latter unit.⁶⁶
- ³⁰ Recently Wang *et al.* have also reported two low bandgap polymers with the same BDT-T-BTI backbone as in our polymer P(BTI-B), and that solar cells based on these two polymers also showed efficient performance.⁶⁸ This agrees with our finding that the combination of dicarboxylic imide-substituted
- ³⁵ benzothiadiazole (BTI) unit and benzodithiophene (BDT) units can be an effective D-A system to construct low bandgap polymers for efficient polymer solar cells. It can be seen from comparing their results with ours, that the photophysical properties of polymers based on BTI-BDT is very sensitive to the
- ⁴⁰ side chains attached to the main chain, so that the device performance can vary considerably depending on the number, type and size of side chains employed. For instance, when linear alky chains are adopted both for the BTI and BDT units in P(BTI-B), the polymer has a HOMO level of 5.44 eV; but a polymer
- ⁴⁵ with branched alkyl chains on both BTI and BDT units reported by Wang, has a HOMO level of 5.36 eV.⁶⁸ This shows linear alkyl chains can induce a deeper lying HOMO level (*ca*.80 meV shift) than branched alkyl chains, which may result from stronger intermolecular interactions between the main chains. One
- ⁵⁰ interesting observation is that solar cells based on the conjugated polymer with linear alkyl chains showed a J_{sc} which is more than 1.5 times higher than that of the polymer with branched alkyl chains (9.8 mA/cm² vs 5.5 mA/cm²) with similar V_{oc} and FF values, even though an inferior electron acceptor was used ⁵⁵ (PC₆₁BM vs PC₇₁BM). This implies that the polymer with linear
- ⁵⁵ ($rC_{61}BM$ vs $PC_{71}BM$). This implies that the polymer with linear alkyl chains also possesses higher hole mobility, which can be attributed to better π - π stacking of the polymer chains. On the other hand, Wang *et al.* have shown that a BTI-BDT polymer

with conjugated thienyl side groups on the BDT unit has a deeper ⁶⁰ lying HOMO energy level and much higher carrier mobility than that with branched alkyl chains.⁶⁸ All these comparisons imply that for this BTI-BDT system, more planarity and better π - π stacking are desired for better charge transportation.



65 Fig. 5Normalized UV-Vis absorbance of P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM and P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM films.

Table 2Photovoltaic performance of the polymers

Polymers	Voc (V)	Jsc (mA/cm2)	FF	PCE (%)
P(BTI-F)	1.11	4.0	0.33	1.61
P(BTI-B)	0.75	9.8	0.47	3.42





The external quantum efficiency (EQE) profiles of the devices ⁷⁵ are shown in **Fig. 6(b)**.It can be seen that photovoltaic cells based

on P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM have much better EQEs than devices based on P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM, especially between 625 nm and 750 nm. This is because that polymer P(BTI-B) absorbed more strongly in this wavelength region. As expected, the J_{sc} of devices based on 5 P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM is higher. The integrated J_{sc} calculatedfrom POET = 0.027 min.

- EQE of P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM device and P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM device are 4.0 mA/cm² and 9.8 mA/cm² respectively, which agrees well with the measured J_{sc} .
- AFM was used to study the nano-morphology of the active ¹⁰ layers of the polymer devices, which have a strong impact on their corresponding photovoltaic properties. From the topographical AFM image shown in **Fig.6**, it can be found that both films have well-distributed nano-sized domains with small film roughness. The root-mean-square(RMS) roughness (R_0) of
- ¹⁵ P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM film and P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM are 0.57 nm and 0.84 nm, respectively. This shows that both polymers are reasonably miscible with PC₆₁BM. While P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM film has larger domains; the films based on P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM has finer domains but the roughness is higher. The larger phase
- ²⁰ separation in the blend film of P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM can be linked to the bulky fluorene unit in P(BTI-F), which further highlights the role of side chains in determining the intermolecular interaction and the blend morphology thereof. These finer domains in P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BMare beneficial for the exciton separation and the
- ²⁵ rougher film does not seem to impact the series resistance negatively. As a result, the devices based on P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM showed higher short-circuit current (J_{sc}) and fill factor (FF) and hence showed higher measured efficiency compared toP(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM devices.
- ³⁰ From the model developed by Scharber et al⁷⁹, one can predict maximum PCEs for these polymers with $PC_{61}BM$ of above 7 % based on their bandgaps and LUMO levels. Our device results were well below this for various reasons. Firstly, we have yet to determine what aliphatic chains will give the best chain packing
- so as to optimise the photophysical and morphological properties, though given the superior performance of our P(BTI-B) to a similar polymer with branched chains we can already conclude that straight chains are better than branched ones; secondly, we used a standard device fabrication technique without further
- ⁴⁰ optimization steps such as adopting blocking/injection layers, additives, or using PC₇₁BM, etc..We anticipate that use of any or all of these would lead to marked improvements in device efficiencies, especially for P(BTI-F).



45 Fig. 7 AFM images of (a) P(BTI-F):PC₆₁BM and (b) P(BTI-B):PC₆₁BM blend films

Conclusions

We report an improved synthesis of pyrrolo[3,4-f]-2,1,3benzothiadiazole-5,7-dione (BTI) and the synthesis and 50 characterization of two new D-A polymers using BTIas the electron acceptor. These polymers showed high thermal stability, excellent solubility and reduced band gaps. Our preliminary photovoltaic measurements indicated these polymers have considerable potential: P(BTI-F) had a deep lying HOMO level s5 of -5.6 eV which directly led to an exceptionally large V_{oc} of 1.1 V, though the overall device performance (PCE 1.61%) was mediocre due to poor charge carrier mobility. Replacing the weak donor fluorene with dialkoxybenzodithiophene significantly increased the Jsc, FF and PCE (3.42%) but markedly reduced the 60 Voc to 0.77 V. The efficiency of the latter materials was superior to that of a similar copolymer reported elsewhere with branched instead of linear alkyl substituents suggesting that linear alkyl chains promote higher device efficiencies, probably through better chain packing. While there remains considerable scope for 65 further optimisation of the polymeric structures and OPV devices

to fully explore the potential of BTI based polymers in photovoltaic applications, such as choosing more suitable donors

in the polymer design to balance the J_{sc} and V_{oc} , inserting suitable	18.
charge injection/blocking layer to improve FE and replacing	70
charge injection/blocking layer to improve 11 and replacing	19.
$PC_{61}BM$ with $PC_{71}BM$, or using additives, these results in	
combination with the easier access to the materials due to our	20
	20.
s new synthesis, suggest B11-based copolymers are auractive	2 1
candidates for the development of high performance OPVs.	/5 21.
Notes and references	22.
^a School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological	80 23.
University, Block N4.1, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798. E-	
) mail: <u>ymlam@ntu.edu.sg</u> , <u>acgrimsdale@ntu.edu.sg</u>	
^b Energy Research Institute @ NTU (ERI@N), Nanyang Technological	24.
University, 50 Nanyang Drive, Singapore 637533.	
^c 3M Research Centre, 100 Woodlands Avenue 7. Singapore 738205.	85 25
[#] Current address: 3M Electronics Markets Materials Division, 222	
Tianlin Road Shanghai 200233	26
* Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Single crystal	20.
VDD data file of the compound 5 remaid "DTL VDD cif"]. See	
AKD data the of the compound 5, named BTLAKD.cli J. See	an 77
DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/	90 27.
These authors contributed equally to this work.	20
1. P. Ding, CC. Chu, Y. Zou, D. Xiao, C. Pan and CS. Hsu, J.	28.
Appl. Polym. Sci., 2012, 123, 99-107.	
2. H. Zhou, L. Yang, A. C. Stuart, S. C. Price, S. Liu and W. You,	29.
Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 2011, 50, 2995-2998.	95
3. H. Yi, S. Al-Faifi, A. Iraqi, D. C. Watters, J. Kingsley and D.	30.
G. Lidzey, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 13649-13656.	
4. Y. Yuan, T. J. Reece, P. Sharma, S. Poddar, S. Ducharme, A.	
Gruverman, Y. Yang and J. Huang, Nat Mater, 2011, 10, 296-	31.
302	100 32.
5 L-Y Lin Y-H Chen Z-Y Huang H-W Lin S-H Chou F	
Lin C-W Chen Y-H Liu and K-T Wong I Am Chem	33.
Soc. 2011 133 15822-15825	
$6 \qquad 7 \text{ B Lim B Xue S Bomma H Li S Sun V M Lam W L}$	34
Belcher P C Destoor and A C Grimsdale I Polym Sci A:	105
Polym Cham 2011 40 4327 4307	35
T = T = T = T = T = T = T = T = T = T =	55.
U. K. Lee, I. W. Lee, M. H. Hodilg, N. S. Kalig, J. W. Iu, K.	36
H. KIII, KO. LIII, IW. Lee, JI. JII and D. H. Choi, <i>Org.</i>	50.
<i>Electron.</i> , 2011, 12, 269-278.	27
8. J. E. Donagney, R. S. Ashraf, Y. Kim, Z. G. Huang, C. B.	110 57.
Nielsen, W. Zhang, B. Schroeder, C. R. G. Grenier, C. T.	20
Brown, P. D'Angelo, J. Smith, S. Watkins, K. Song, T. D.	38.
Anthopoulos, J. R. Durrant, C. K. Williams and I. McCulloch,	20
J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 18744-18752.	39.
9. S. Ellinger, K. R. Graham, P. Shi, R. T. Farley, T. T. Steckler,	115
R. N. Brookins, P. Taranekar, J. Mei, L. A. Padilha, T. R.	40.
Ensley, H. Hu, S. Webster, D. J. Hagan, E. W. Van Stryland,	
K. S. Schanze and J. R. Reynolds, Chem. Mater., 2011, 23,	41.
3805-3817.	
10. C. Du, C. Li, W. Li, X. Chen, Z. Bo, C. Veit, Z. Ma, U.	120
Wuerfel, H. Zhu, W. Hu and F. Zhang, Macromolecules, 2011,	42.
44, 7617-7624.	
11. S. Cho, J. Lee, M. Tong, J. H. Seo and C. Yang, Adv. Func.	43.
Mater., 2011, 21, 1910-1916.	
12. DG. Chen, Y. Yang, C. Zhong, ZR. Yi, F. Wu, L. Qu, Y. Li,	125 44.
YF. Li and JU. Oin, J. Polvm. Sci. A: Polvm. Chem., 2011.	
49 3852-3862.	
13 S Chen O Zhang O Liu I Gu I. Zhang I Zhou X Fan	45.
and L. Fang <i>React Funct Polym</i> 2011 71 1008-1015	46.
14 I T Bloking X Han A T Higgs I P Kastron I Pandey I	130
E Norton C Risko C E Chen L L Brédas M D McGebee	47
and A Sellinger Cham Mater 2011 22 5484 5400	. / .
$15 \qquad \text{W Cai M Wang I Thang F Wang T Vang C Ha I C}$	
13. W. Cai, W. Wang, J. Zhang, E. Wang, I. Lang, C. He, J. S. Moon, H. Wu, Y. Cong and Y. Cao, J. Dhua, Charles, C. 2011	48
115 2214 2210	-TO.
110, 2014-2019.	100
10. D. Baran, G. Oktem, S. Celebi and L. Toppare, <i>Macromol.</i>	47.
5 Cnem. Phys., 2011, 212, 799-805.	50
17. I. W. Lee, N. S. Kang, J. W. YU, M. H. Hoang, K. H. Kim, J L $\lim_{n \to \infty} D $ II Chair L D $ I $ Chair A D $ I $ Class C	50.
I. JIN AND D. H. CNOI, J. POlym. Sci. A: Polym. Chem., 2010,	140 51
40, 3721-3727.	140

10

20

2

M. Pfeiffer and P. Bäuerle, Org. Lett., 2010, 13, 90-93.
M. Sendur, A. Balan, D. Baran, B. Karabay and L. Toppare,
Org. Electron., 2010, 11, 1877-1885.
G. v. Chen, S. c. Lan, P. v. Lin, C. w. Chu and K. h. Wei, J.
Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 2010 48 4456-4464
T Cai Y Zhou E Wang S Hellström F Zhang S Xu O
Inganäs and M R Andersson Sol Fnergy Mater Sol Cells
2010 94 1275-1281
H Zhou L Yang S Xiao S Liu and W You
Macromolecules 2009 43 811-820
I M Winfield A Van Vooren M-I Park D-H Hwang I
Cornil I-S Kim and R H Friend I Chem Phys 2009 131
035104-035105
S Wen I Pei V Zhou P Li I Xue V Li B Xu and W
Tian Macromolecules 2009 42 4977-4984
F Wang M Wang I Wang C Duan I Zhang W Cai C
He H Wu and Y Cao Macromolecules 2009 42 4410-4415
S P Mishra A K Palai R Srivastava M N Kamalasanan
and M Patri I Polym Sci A: Polym Chem 2009 47 6514-
6525
JJ. Kim, H. Choi, JW. Lee, MS. Kang, K. Song, S. O.
Kang and J. Ko. J. Mater. Chem., 2008, 18, 5223-5229.
J. Hou, MH. Park, S. Zhang, Y. Yao, LM. Chen, JH. Li
and Y. Yang, <i>Macromolecules</i> , 2008, 41, 6012-6018.
J. Hou, HY. Chen, S. Zhang, G. Li and Y. Yang, J. Am.
Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 16144-16145.
C. Soci, IW. Hwang, D. Moses, Z. Zhu, D. Waller, R.
Gaudiana, C. J. Brabec and A. J. Heeger, Adv. Func. Mater.,
2007, 17, 632-636.
J. Roncali, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2007, 28, 1761-1775.
CH. Chen, J. T. Lin and MC. P. Yeh, Org. Lett., 2006, 8,
2233-2236.
A. J. A. B. Seeley, R. H. Friend, JS. Kim and J. H.
Burroughes, J. Appl. Phys., 2004, 96, 7643-7649.
Y. Kim, S. Cook, S. A. Choulis, J. Nelson, J. R. Durrant and D.
D. C. Bradley, Chem. Mater., 2004, 16, 4812-4818.

S. Steinberger, A. Mishra, E. Reinold, C. M. Müller, C. Uhrich,

- J. D. Yuen, R. Kumar, D. Zakhidov, J. Seifter, B. Lim, A. J. Heeger and F. Wull, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, 23, 3780-3785.
- K. Ono, S. Tanaka and Y. Yamashita, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 1977-1979.
- T. Kono, D. Kumaki, J.-i. Nishida, S. Tokito and Y. Yamashita, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 3265-3267.
- G. Qian, B. Dai, M. Luo, D. Yu, J. Zhan, Z. Zhang, D. Ma and Z. Y. Wang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, 20, 6208-6216.
- M. Karikomi, C. Kitamura, S. Tanaka and Y. Yamashita, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 6791-6792.
- M. Wang, X. Hu, P. Liu, W. Li, X. Gong, F. Huang and Y. Cao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 9638-9641.
- J. A. Mikroyannidis, D. V. Tsagkournos, S. S. Sharma, Y. K. Vijay and G. D. Sharma, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 4679-4688.
- A. Thomas, K. Bhanuprakash and K. M. M. K. Prasad, J. Phy. Org. Chem., 2011, 24, 821-832.
- X. Li, A. Liu, S. Xun, W. Qiao, X. Wan and Z. Y. Wang, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 3785-3787.
- T. L. Tam, H. Li, F. Wei, K. J. Tan, C. Kloc, Y. M. Lam, S. G. Mhaisalkar and A. C. Grimsdale, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, 12, 3340-3343.
- Y. Fu, W. Shen and M. Li, Polym. Int., 2011, 60, 211-221.
- Y. Yamashita, K. Ono, M. Tomura and S. Tanaka, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, 53, 10169-10178.
- X. Wang, E. Perzon, F. Oswald, F. Langa, S. Admassie, M. R. Andersson and O. Inganas, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2005, 15, 1665-1670.
- E. Perzon, F. Zhang, M. Andersson, W. Mammo, O. Inganäs and M. R. Andersson, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, 19, 3308-3311.
- C. Kitamura, S. Tanaka and Y. Yamashita, *Chem. Letter.*, 1996, 25, 63-64.
- C.-Y. Yu, C.-P. Chen, S.-H. Chan, G.-W. Hwang and C. Ting, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, 21, 3262-3269.
- . X. Zhang, T. T. Steckler, R. R. Dasari, S. Ohira, W. J. Potscavage Jr, S. P. Tiwari, S. Coppee, S. Ellinger, S. Barlow,

	I. I. Drodog, D. Kinnelen, I. D. Devnelds and S. D. Morden, J.	
	JL. Bredas, B. Kippelen, J. R. Reynolds and S. R. Marder, J.	
	Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 123-134.	
52.	KF. Cheng, CC. Chueh, CH. Lin and WC. Chen, J.	
	Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem., 2008, 46, 6305-6316.	
5 53.	H. Yi, R. G. Johnson, A. Iragi, D. Mohamad, R. Rovce and D.	
	Lidzev G Macromol Rapid Comm 2008 29 1804-1809	
54	V Loo T B Bussell and W H Io Org. Floatron 2010 11	
54.	1. Lee, 1. 1. Russell and W. 11. Jo, Org. Electron., 2010, 11,	
	846-853.	
55.	T. Dallos, M. Hamburger and M. Baumgarten, Org. Lett.,	
0	2011, 13, 1936-1939.	
56.	A. P. Zoombelt, M. Fonrodona, M. M. Wienk, A. B. Sieval, J.	
	C Hummelen and R A I Janssen Org Lett 2009 11 903-	
	006	
-7		
57.	E. Perzon, X. Wang, S. Admassie, O. Inganas and M. R.	
5	Andersson, Polymer, 2006, 47, 4261-4268.	
58.	G. Zhang, Y. Fu, O. Zhang and Z. Xie, <i>Polymer</i> , 2010, 51,	
	2313-2319	
50	O Inganäs E Zhang K Tvingstedt I M Andersson S	
59.	U. Inganas, F. Zhang, K. Tvingsteut, L. W. Andersson, S.	
	Hellstrom and M. R. Andersson, Adv. Mater., 2010, 22, E100-	
0	E116.	
60.	M. Luo, H. Shadnia, G. Qian, X. Du, D. Yu, D. Ma, James S.	
	Wright and Zhi Y. Wang Chem. Fur. L. 2009, 15, 8902-8908	
61	C Kitamura S Tanaka and V Vameshita Cham Matar	
01.	0. Ishamura, 5. Tanaka and 1. Tamasinta, Chem. Mulel.,	
~	1770, 0, J/U-J/0.	
5 62.	E. K. Unver, S. Tarkuc, D. Baran, C. Tanyeli and L. Toppare,	
	Tetrahedron Lett., 2011, 52, 2725-2729.	
63.	H. Li, F. Zhou, T. L. D. Tam, Y. M. Lam, S. G. Mhaisalkar, H.	
	Su and A. C. Grimsdale J Mater Chem C 2013 1 1745-	
	1752	
~		
³⁰ 64.	C. M. Cardona, W. Li, A. E. Kaifer, D. Stockdale and G. C.	
	Bazan, Adv. Mater., 2011, 23, 2367-2371.	
65.	YQ. Feng, DX. Shi, HL. Chen, Ls. Zhou and B. Zhang,	
	<i>Tianiin Daxue Xuebao</i> , 2002, 35, 652-654.	
66	H Li S Sun T Salim S Bomma A C Grimsdale and Y M	
	Lam L Polym Sai A: Polym Cham 2012 50 250 260	
5	Lain, J. Polym. Sci. A. Polym. Chem., 2012, 50, 250-260.	
67.	H. LI, T. M. Koh, A. Hagfeldt, M. Gratzel, S. G. Mhaisalkar	
	and A. C. Grimsdale, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 2409-2411.	
68.	L. Wang, D. Cai, Q. Zheng, C. Tang, SC. Chen and Z. Yin,	
	ACS Macro Lett. 2013. 2, 605-608	
60	I Shao I Chang and C Chi Org Biomol Cham 2012 10	
W U).	J. Shao, J. Chang and C. Chi, Org. Diomot. Chem., 2012, 10,	
-	7045-7052.	
70.	PT. Wu, F. S. Kim, R. D. Champion and S. A. Jenekhe,	
	Macromolecules, 2008, 41, 7021-7028.	
71.	Y. Zou, A. Najari, P. Berrouard, S. Beaupré, B. Réda Aich, Y.	
5	Tao and M. Leclerc, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 5330-5331.	
72	I Huo I Hou S Zhang H-V Chen and V Vang Angew	
12.	<i>Cham. Let Ed. Ergl.</i> 2010, 40, 1500, 1502	
=-	Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 2010, 49, 1500-1505.	
13.	Y. Liang, Z. Xu, J. Xia, ST. Tsai, Y. Wu, G. Li, C. Ray and	
	L. Yu, Adv. Mater., 2010, 22, E135-E138.	
50 74.	HY. Chen, J. Hou, S. Zhang, Y. Liang, G. Yang, Y. Yang, L.	
	Yu, Y. Wu and G. Li, Nat. Photon., 2009. 3, 649-653.	
75	I Hou H-Y Chen S Zhang R I Chen Y Yang Y Wu and	
15.	C = 1; I Am Cham Soa 2000 121 15596 15597	
7.	U. LI, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 15580-1558/.	
/6.	J. C. Bijleveld, R. A. M. Verstrijden, M. M. Wienk and R. A. J.	
5	Janssen, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2010, 97, 073304.	
77.	C. Guo, YH. Lin, M. D. Witman, K. A. Smith, C. Wang, A.	
	Hexemer, J. Strzalka, F. D. Gomez and R. Verduzco, Nano	
	Lett 2013 13 2957-2063	
70	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
/8.	C. K. MICINEIII, A. ADRUSCI, J. Zaumseil, R. Wilson, M. J.	
i0	McKiernan, J. H. Burroughes, J. J. M. Halls, N. C. Greenham	
	and R. H. Friend, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2007, 90, 193506.	
79.	M. C. Scharber, D. Mühlbacher, M. Koppe, P. Denk, C.	
	Waldauf, A. I. Heeger and C. I. Braher, Adv. Mater. 2006, 18	
	780 704	
00		
55 8 0.	P. Sonar, E. L. Williams, S. P. Singh and A. Dodabalapur, J.	
	Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 10532-10541.	

A high voltage solar cell using a donor-acceptor low bandgap conjugated polymer based on benzo[c][2,1,3]thiadiazole-5,6-dicarboxylic imide

Hairong Li,^{a,b‡} Shuangyong Sun,^{a‡} Subodh Mhaisalkar,^{a,b} Melvin T Zin,^{b,c} Yeng Ming Lam^{a,b}* and Andrew C. Grimsdale^{a,b}*



A remarkably high V_{oc} of 1.1 V has been obtained in a solar cell from a donor-acceptor polymer based upon a benzo[c][2,1,3]thiadiazole-5,6-dicarboxylic imide acceptor unit.