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Yolk-shell structured pH-responsive $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres have been prepared for adsorption of metal ions and drug delivery via combined sol-gel reaction, emulsion polymerization and selective etching methods accompanying with hydrolysis of PMMA shell in core-shell-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA composite microspheres.
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Core-shell-shell structured $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA composite microspheres were synthesized in large scale via combined sol-gel reaction and seeded emulsion polymerization. The yolk-shell structured $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres with pH -responsive shell were then produced after the etching of silica interlayer and meanwhile the hydrolysis reaction of the PMMA shells in NaOH aqueous solution. The ${ }_{10}$ resulting microspheres with tunable void space and shell thickness were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and dynamic laser scattering (DLS). The effect of shell thickness and void space of yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres on the adsorption of metal ions and drug delivery was investigated. The results demonstrated the excellent adsorption capacity of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ and reusable ability for $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ using the optimum $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA
15 microspheres as adsorbent in a weak acidic condition, as well as the high loading capacity and pH controlled releasing ability of yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres by loading ceftriaxone sodium and controlled release study.

## Introduction

During the past decades, yolk-shell microspheres (YSMs) with ${ }_{20}$ movable cores and enclosed large cavities have attracted a great deal of attention because of their potential applications in drug delivery, ${ }^{1}$ biomedical, ${ }^{2}$ catalysis, ${ }^{3}$ lithium-ion batteries ${ }^{4,5}$ and so on owing to their unique properties, such as low density, excellent loading capacity and multi-functionality. ${ }^{6}$ Various ${ }_{25}$ YSMs with controllable size and shape, such as $\mathrm{Au} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, ${ }^{7}$ $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} @ \mathrm{TiO}_{2} @$ polyaniline, ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Au} @ \mathrm{Ag}^{9}$ and $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2},{ }^{10}$ have been fabricated by different methods, including template-assisted selective etching, Kirkendall or Ostwald ripening, bottom-up or soft templating, and ship-in-bottle processes. ${ }^{11}$ Although some ${ }_{30}$ progress in the synthesis of YSMs has been achieved, most of the above methods are only work on microspheres with particular compositions and structures. ${ }^{12}$ Moreover, the reported YSMs are mainly inorganic materials. ${ }^{6}$ Recently, some efforts have been devoted to the synthesis of inorganic/organic hybrid YSMs ${ }^{13-15}$
${ }_{35}$ due to their synergistic and hybrid properties derived from several components. Among them, yolk-shell microspheres with magnetic core and functional stimuli-responsive polymer shell ${ }^{16}$ is especially compelling because magnetic core may provide targeted delivery, magnetic resonance imaging, separability and ${ }_{40}$ recyclability, ${ }^{17}$ while stimuli-responsive polymer shell can not only prevent magnetic particles from aggregating, improve their chemical stability, and decrease their potential toxicity, but also change polymer chain conformation in direct response to stimuli, e.g. pH , ionic strength and temperature, making these YSMs
${ }_{45}$ suitable for applications in drug and gene delivery, ${ }^{18}$ biomedical, ${ }^{19}$ catalysis, ${ }^{20}$ and biosensors. ${ }^{21}$ For example, Zhang et
al. ${ }^{22}$ fabricated the multifunctional fluorescent-magnetic polyethyleneimine functionalized $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} /$ mesoporous silica yolkshell microcapsules by selective dissolution method for so magnetically guided small interfering RNA delivery. Yao and his co-workers ${ }^{23}$ prepared yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ Polypyrrole microspheres with high magnetization via selective etching method for their applications as catalyst supports. Therefore, until now the template-assisted selective etching method is the simple 55 and useful way to produce YSMs with inorganic core and functional polymer shell in which the core particles is coated with double shells consisting of different materials, the inner shell is then selectively removed by using a suitable solvent. This method can obtain YSMs with various compositions and non-spherical ${ }_{60}$ structure, as well control their void space and shell thickness. However, the relevant work about stimuli-responsive YSMs with magnetic core has rarely been reported yet.

Poly(methacrylic acid) (PMAA), a hydrophilic stimuliresponsive polymer, is attractive for a wide number of ${ }_{65}$ applications because it can respond to pH changes through reversible structural transitions and self-adjustment of physicochemical properties. ${ }^{24}$ At present, PMAA is often coated on the inorganic cores by RAFT polymerization ${ }^{25}$ and distillationprecipitation polymerization. ${ }^{26}$ However, RAFT polymerization ${ }_{70}$ method often results in quite thin thickness of the PMAA shell and difficult post-treatment of the product due to the peculiar RAFT reagent. Up to now, the distillation-precipitation polymerization is a barely powerful technique for coating hydrophilic polymer on the inorganic particles. Nonetheless, its ${ }_{75}$ production rate is considerable lower than desirable and the solvent acetonitrile is high toxicity, resulting in the diseconomy of the distillation-precipitation polymerization. Therefore, it
remains a great challenge to develop a general and effective synthetic method for preparing inorganic/hydrophilic polymer hybrid YSMs.

In this report, we present a facile route for the preparation of ${ }_{5} \mathrm{pH}$-responsive yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres via combined sol-gel reaction, emulsion polymerization and selective etching methods (Scheme 1). Emulsion polymerization is the most simple and efficient method to synthesis organic/inorganic composite latexes. ${ }^{27}$ However, for hydrophilic monomers, such as ${ }_{10}$ MAA, it is not facile to coat on the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ particles with PMAA shells by direct polymerization because the polymerization of MAA occurs in the continuous phase of emulsion which easily implode to form PMAA gel. ${ }^{28}$ So we first prepare core-shell-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA composite 15 microspheres via seeded emulsion polymerization, then during selective etching of silica interlayer in alkaline solution, the PMMA shell will hydrolyze into pH -responsive PMAA shell. ${ }^{29}$ The void space of yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres and the thickness of the PMAA shell can be controlled by changing 20 the amounts of precursor and monomer, respectively. The asprepared yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres are ideal candidates for the micro-sized adsorbents of heavy metal ions owing to the following reasons: (1) The abundant carboxyl groups in the PMAA shell can form strong complexes with metal
${ }_{25}$ ions; ${ }^{30}$ (2) The hydrophilic thin shell may serve as permeable membrane for metal ion transport; ${ }^{31}$ (3) The presence of void space in the yolk-shell structure can enhance the adsorption capacities of metal ions. ${ }^{32}$ (4) Different from conventional methods such as chemical precipitation, electrodialysis and 30 ultrafiltration, magnetic separation is easy and highly efficient with low cost. To the best of our knowledge, the work on the yolk-shell microspheres as adsorbents of heavy metal ions has not been reported. Considering the practical applications, the regeneration and reuse of the magnetic yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA 35 microspheres as adsorbent was investigated. The as-prepared yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres are also a powerful platform for drug delivery and controlled release. Ceftriaxone sodium (CTX), a water soluble anti-inflammatory drug, is chosen as a model drug to demonstrate the high loading capacity and ${ }_{40}$ controlled release of the yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres.

## Experimental section

## Materials

Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate $\left(\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, tetraethyl 45 orthosilicate (TEOS), sodium acetate ( NaOAc ), trisodium citrate ( $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{Cit}$ ), aqueous ammonia solution $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 28 \%\right)$, potassium persulfate (KPS), potassium dihydrogen phosphate $\left(\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$, sodium hydroxide $(\mathrm{NaOH})$, concentrated hydrochloric acid (37\%, $\mathrm{HCl})$, nitric acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$, sodium chloride $(\mathrm{NaCl})$, ethylene ${ }_{50}$ glycol (EG), diethylene glycol (DEG), methyl methacrylate (MMA), copper chloride $\left(\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}\right)$, lead nitrate $\left(\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, chromium nitrate $\left(\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, cadmium chloride $\left(\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}\right)$ and anhydrous ethanol were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., in which MMA was distilled under reduced ${ }_{55}$ pressure and KPS was recrystallized from distilled water before use, while the rest were used as received. Divinyl benzene (DVB)
and 3-methacryloxypropyltrimethoxy-silane (MPS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without any further treatment. Ceftriaxone sodium (CTX) was purchased from ${ }_{60}$ Shanghai Roche Pharmaceuticals Ltd. and used as received. Deionized water was used in the experiments.

## Synthesis of Monodisperse $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{4}}$ particles

In this work, a modified solvothermal method ${ }^{33}$ was developed to construct superparamagnetic $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles. 1.08 g of $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.25 \mathrm{~g}$ of trisodium citrate and 1.6 g of sodium acetate were dissolved in a mixture of EG ( 40 ml ) and DEG (10 ml ) under vigorous stirring for 30 min . The obtained homogeneous yellow solution was then transferred into a Teflonlined stainless-steel autoclave for heating 10 h at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After that, the autoclave was carefully taken out to cool down to room temperature. The obtained $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles were thoroughly washed with ethanol and deionized water for several times, and finally vacuum dried at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h for further use.

Synthesis and Surface Modification of Magnetic $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ Composite Microspheres

To synthesize $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres, the $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ shell was prepared through a modified Stöber method. ${ }^{34}$ In a typical process, 25 mg of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles were fully dispersed in a solution containing ethanol $(20 \mathrm{ml}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{ml})$ and concentrated ${ }_{80}$ ammonia ( $28 \mathrm{wt} \%, 0.5 \mathrm{ml}$ ) under ultrasonic vibration. Then, 0.05 ml of TEOS was injected into the solution every 20 min until the total amount of TEOS reached 0.25 ml , followed by mechanically stirring for 6 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The obtained $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres were washed with ethanol and deionized water for several times 85 to remove blank silica nanoparticles. The other two $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres samples with different thickness of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ were made in similar way just by increasing the volume of TEOS to 0.5 ml and 0.8 ml , respectively. In order to modify the surface of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres with vinyl group, the purified ${ }_{90} \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres ( 40 mg ) were re-dispersed in 40 ml of ethanol, and then 0.5 ml of MPS was added to the dispersion. After mechanically stirred for 48 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the modified $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres were washed with ethanol with the help of a magnet, and re-dispersed in 20 ml of ethanol for further ${ }_{9}$ use.

## Synthesis of Core-shell-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA Composite Microspheres

The $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA microspheres were synthesized via seeded emulsion polymerization. Typically, 5 ml of ethanol 100 dispersion of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$-MPS microspheres were mixed with 30 ml of aqueous solution containing 0.003 g of SDS by mechanical stirring. After being degassed with nitrogen for 30 min, the monomer MMA ( 0.5 g ) and crosslinker DVB ( 0.05 g ) were added, and the solution was heated up to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 0.5 ml 105 of KPS aqueous solution $\left(0.2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right)$ was added into the above dispersion to initiate the polymerization. After 7 h of reaction, the final products were collected by magnetic separation, washed with ethanol and deionized water several times, and finally redispersed in deionized water. We also prepared other samples just 110 via changing the amount of MMA monomer ( 0.3 g and 1.2 g ) to demonstrate that the thickness of PMMA shell can be tuned.

## Synthesis of pH-responsive $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA Yolk-Shell Microspheres

Yolk-shell structured $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres were prepared by the removal of silica interlayer and the hydrolysis reaction of PMMA in $8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{NaOH}$ aqueous solution for 24 h . The obtained $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres were washed with ethanol and water three times, respectively, and finally vacuum dried at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h for further use.

## Yolk-Shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA Microspheres as Adsorbent for ${ }_{10}$ Adsorption of Metal Ions and Reused Cycles

Adsorption of different metal ions by yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres were performed in batch mode. Herein, we have used the following metal ions $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}, \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ for the adsorption tests. In a typical experimental setup, metal salts $\left(\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$ as metal ions precursor were dissolved in deionized water to prepare $10 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ metal ion solutions in which a small amount of hydrochloric acid solution were added to adjust the pH value of solutions. Absorbent $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres ( 10 mg ) were added to 100 ml of metal ion solutions with different pH value $(\mathrm{pH}=2,3,4$, 5,6 and 7) and then the resulting dispersion was shaken in Thermostatic Water Bath Oscillator (WHY-2) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . After then, the absorbents were removed immediately by magnetic separation with the help of a magnet and the 25 supernatant liquids were analysed by plasma atomic emission spectrometer to measure the concentration of metal ions. The amount of metal ions adsorbed on $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA at adsorption equilibrium, $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ( $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ), was calculated by the following equations:
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$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{\left(C_{0}-C_{e}\right) \times V}{W \times A} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}$ are the initial and equilibrium concentration of metal ions ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ), respectively, V is the volume of metal ion solution ( L ), A is the relative atomic weight of metal ions (mg $\mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ) and W is the weight of absorbents (g). The affect of 35 thickness of PMAA shells and void space of the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres on adsorption capacities were carried out by various $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA samples (see Table S 1 ) using $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ as adsorbate metal ion at pH 6 value.

The content of carboxylic groups on the shell of ${ }_{40} \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres was indirectly determined by the measurement of electric conductivity. First, 0.1 g of the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres was dispersed in 200 ml of deionized water, and then 20 ml of $0.01 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{HCl}$ solution was added under stirring. Finally, $0.01 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution
45 (calibrated by potassium hydrogen phthalate) was added dropwise into the above dispersion, and the change of the electric conductivity was recorded to evaluate the content of carboxylic groups.
In a typical desorption test of metal ions from the ${ }_{50} \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres, the above $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$-adsorbed $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA adsorbents was added into 50 ml of $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ aqueous solution, and the obtained suspension was then shaken in Thermostatic Water Bath Oscillator at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 $\min ,{ }^{35}$ followed by ultrasonication for 30 min . Finally, the ${ }_{55}$ adsorbents were collected by a magnet and reused for adsorption
of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ at $\mathrm{pH}=5$ again. The supernatant liquids of desorption and re-adsorption were analysed by plasma atomic emission spectroscopy to measure the concentration of metal ions. The cycles of desorption-adsorption processes were successively ${ }_{60}$ conducted at most 8 times.

## Preparation of CTX-loaded $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA Microspheres and the Drug Release Studies

CTX was loaded into yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres by the following method. Typically, 10 mg of ${ }_{5} \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres were added to 20 ml of $1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ CTX solution and the mixture was kept in a shaker (SK-O180Pro) for 48 h . Finally, the CTX-loaded $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres were collected by magnetic separation and washed three times with deionied water to remove the unbound drug
70 molecules. The absorbance of the supernatant fluid at 272 nm was monitored by UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The amount of CTX loaded into the microspheres was determined from a calibration curve obtained from the absorbance for a series of CTX solutions at different concentrations (as shown in Fig. S3). ${ }_{5}$ The drug loading capacity (DLC) was determined by the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D L C(\%)=\frac{W_{2}}{W_{n}} \times 100 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where DLC is drug loading capacity; $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the weight of drug ( mg ) in microspheres; $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the original weight ( mg ) of 80 microspheres.

The cumulative drug release experiments were carried out at different pH values to evaluate the pH -responsive behaviour of the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres. The release amount of CTX from the CTX-loaded $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres was checked ${ }_{85}$ in a different phosphate buffer solution (PBS) at $\mathrm{pH}=4.5$ and $\mathrm{pH}=7.5$, respectively, by spectrophotometric method (at 272 nm ) at regular time intervals. The percentage of released drug was calculated from a standard curve of free drug solution (Fig. S3). The ionic strength of all PBS was tuned to equal value of 0.2 mol ${ }_{90} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ using NaCl aqueous solution. Also, the affect of thickness of PMAA shells and void space of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres on drug loading capacity and drug release were carried out by various $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA samples.

## Characterization Methods

${ }_{95}$ The structure and morphology of samples were characterized by transmission electron microscope (TEM; Hitachi Model H-7560). All samples were dried onto Formvar-coated copper grids before examination. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Rigaku $\mathrm{D} / \max \gamma_{\mathrm{A}}$ diffractometer equipped with 100 graphite monochromatized $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ irradiation $(\lambda=0.154178 \mathrm{~nm})$ at 30 kV and 150 mA . The crystal size of magnetic particles was estimated by applying the Scherrer's formula, namely $\mathrm{D}=$ $\mathrm{k} \lambda /(\beta \cos \theta)$, where D is the crystallite size, $\lambda$ is the X-ray wavelength, k is a geometric factor which has a typical value of 105 about $0.9, \theta$ is the Bragg angle, and $\beta$ (in radians) is the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the diffraction peak at $2 \theta$. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were determined on a VECTOR-22 FTIR spectrometer over potassium bromide pellet. The magnetic properties of the samples were investigated at

300K using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). Hydrodynamic diameters (Dh) and zeta potentials of the microspheres at a different pH value were measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) at room temperature using a commercial 5 spectrometer (ALV/DLS/SLS-5022F) equipped with a multitau digital time correlator (ALV5000) and a cylindrical 22 mV UNIPHASE He-Ne laser ( $\lambda_{0}=632 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) as the light source. The content of carboxylic groups in $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres was determined by conductivity meter (DDS-L700). Plasma atomic 10 emission spectrometer (ICP-AES, Optima 7300DV) was employed to measure the concentration of metal ions in the solution for the adsorption or desorption of metal ions. The drug loading and release processes was monitored by absorption spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1700).
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Scheme 1 Schematic Illustration of the Approach for the Preparation of Yolk-Shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA Composite Microspheres


Fig. 1 TEM images of the as-prepared samples: a) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles; b) ${ }_{20} \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres; c) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA microspheres; d) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres.

## Results and discussion

The protocol for the synthesis of pH -responsive yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres is illustrated in Scheme
25 1. First, monodisperse and uniform $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles (Fig. 1a) prepared by a one-step modified solvothermal method ${ }^{33}$ are coated with silica layer via a versatile sol-gel process using TEOS as a precursor. Second, the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ particles (Fig. 1b) are encapsulated in polymer via a seeded emulsion polymerization to
${ }_{30}$ obtain monodisperse $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA composite microspheres (Fig. 1c). Finally, the interlayer silica of the composite microspheres is selectively dissolved by $8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ NaOH aqueous solution, while the PMAA shell is simultaneously formed from the hydrolysis reaction of PMMA in alkaline ${ }_{35}$ solution, ${ }^{29}$ and thus the pH-responsive yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA
microspheres are obtained as shown in Fig. 1d.

## $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA Core-shell-shell Composite

 MicrospheresWater-dispersible uniform $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles were first produced by 40 a modified solvothermal reaction at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with acetate sodium as alkaline resources, trisodium citrate as electrostatic stabilizer and EG/DEG as both solvent and reducing agent. Fig. 1a shows the representative TEM image of the as-prepared monodisperse $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ spherical particles with an average size of about $200 \pm 11$ ${ }_{45} \mathrm{~nm}$. It is noteworthy that each $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particle was composed of many primary nanocrystals, which is consistent with previous literature. ${ }^{36}$ The formation mechanism of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles follows the well-documented two-stage growth model in which primary nanocrystals nucleate first in a supersaturated solution and then ${ }_{50}$ aggregate into large secondary particles ${ }^{37}$ with the spherical morphology due to minimizing the interfacial free energy between the particles and the medium. ${ }^{38}$ FT-IR spectrum of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles in Fig. 2a shows the two characteristic absorption peaks at $582 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The former is attributed to the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ 55 stretching vibration and the latter is assigned to the carboxylate on the surface of the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles because of the carboxyl groups anchoring on the particle surface during the solvothermal reaction. ${ }^{25}$ Therefore, these $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles are stable in solution.
The $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ composite microspheres were obtained by a ${ }_{60}$ sol-gel process via the controlled hydrolysis of various TEOS amount in the presence of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles as seeds. As shown in Fig. 1b, the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ composite microspheres with an average diameter of 250 nm exhibit a relatively smooth surface and a uniform well-defined core-shell structure due to the deposition of the silica layer. Moreover, the different thickness of silica interlayer can be realized, which will be described in detail later. Fig. 2b shows the FTIR spectrum of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ composite microspheres, which further confirms the encapsulation of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles in silica shells. A strong absorption peak at $1095 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is attributed to the Si-O-Si of silica shell, and that at $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is assigned to the -OH groups on the surface of the silica. At the same time, the weakening of the Fe-O absorption peak at $583 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is also owing to the silica shell coated on the surface of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles.
Before the synthesis of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA composite microspheres, $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres were modified with MPS through the hydrolytic condensation between the hydroxyl groups on the surface of silica and methoxyl groups of MPS. Then, the active vinyl double bond of MPS would allow the ${ }_{80}$ copolymerization of monomers MMA and DVB on the surface of the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres to form crosslinked PMMA outer shell. As shown in Fig. 1c, the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA composite microspheres with a mean diameter of 270 nm are slightly larger than $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres. Two new absorption peaks ${ }_{85}$ appearing at 1730 and $2950 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the FTIR spectrum (Fig. 3c) are attributed to the stretching vibration of the ester $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ groups of the repeating MMA units, respectively, which further verifies the formation of PMMA shell.
The crystal structure of the as-prepared samples was examined 90 by XRD. Fig. 3a shows a typical XRD pattern of the obtained $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles, where five strong characteristic diffraction peaks of (112), (211), (220), (303) and (224) can be indexed as the body-centered cubic magnetite $\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ crystallite by comparison


Fig. 2 FTIR absorbance spectra of (a) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles; (b) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres; (c) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA composite microspheres; (d) Yolk-shell structured $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres.


Fig. 3 XRD patterns of (a) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles; (b) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres; (c) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA composite microspheres; (d) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres.
with the standard JCPDS card file No. 75-1609. No obvious XRD ${ }_{10}$ peak arising from impurities was detected in the pattern, indicating that $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles were successfully prepared in high purity. Moreover, the broadening diffraction peaks indicate that these $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles have a small crystal size of 11.5 nm calculated from Scherrer's formula, which is consistent with the 15 TEM result in Fig. 1a. Fig. 3(b, c, d) show the typical XRD patterns of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres and core-shell-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA microspheres as well as yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres, which are almost the same as that of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles. No characteristic peak corresponding to silica 20 is observed, suggesting the formation of amorphous silica. These results indicate that the coating of silica and polymer did not alter the crystalline structure of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles.
The pH -responsive Yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA Composite Microspheres with Controllable Void Space and Shell Thickness

Yolk-shell structured pH-responsive $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres with different shell thickness and tunable void spaces have been fabricated by a rapid and simple template method. Because PMAA can be readily obtained from the 30 hydrolysis of PMMA in an alkaline aqueous solution, ${ }^{39}$ we etch the silica interlayer using $8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{NaOH}$ aqueous solution to obtain the yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres.

The TEM image of yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres (Fig. 1d) reveals that the silica shells have been
35 successfully removed from the core-shell-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA composite microspheres. The FTIR spectrum of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres is shown in Fig. 2d. The disappearance of Si-O-Si absorption peak at $1095 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ also indicates that the $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ layer of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA composite
40 microspheres of is etched completely. At the same time, the new characteristic absorption peak at $1645 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ attributed to the COOH groups of the repeating MAA units together with the disappearance of the absorption peak of ester $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ at $1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ suggest the successful hydrolysis of PMMA. Moreover, the
45 enhancement of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ absorption peak at $583 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is owing to the etching of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ layer. In addition, Fig. S1 shows the characteristic absorption peak of protonated carboxylic acid at $1460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in a phosphate buffer solution at $\mathrm{pH}=4.5$ (Fig. S1a), while that of deprotonated carboxylic acid at $1640 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ at $\mathrm{pH}=10$
${ }_{50}$ (Fig. S1b). These results demonstrate that PMAA shells have been generated by the hydrolysis reaction of PMMA and they can respond to pH changes.

The thickness of interlayer silica can definitely influence the void volume of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA yolk-shell microspheres.
55 Therefore, good control over the thickness of silica interlayer is very important. The thickness of silica interlayer can be precisely tailored by changing the TEOS amount while keeping the amount of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ seeds and solvent constant. As shown in Fig. 4(a-c), when the TEOS amount increases from 0.25 to 0.5 and 0.8 ml ,
${ }_{60}$ the thickness of the silica shell for the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ composite microspheres is varied from $\sim 41$ to $\sim 74$ and $\sim 116 \mathrm{~nm}$, respectively. Accordingly, the void space of the corresponding $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres increases obviously as shown in Fig. 4(d-f).
${ }_{65}$ The thickness of the pH-responsive PMAA shell of yolk-shell structured $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres can also be


Fig. 4 TEM images of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ composite microspheres at TEOS/ $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ weight ratio of (a, a') 10:1; (b, b') 20:1; (c, c') 30:1. ( $a^{\prime}-c^{\prime}$ ) 70 are high magnification images of (a-c), respectively. TEM images of the yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres using aforementioned corresponding $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres with different silica thickness as template: d) 41 nm , e) 76 nm and f) 116 nm .


Fig. 5 TEM images of the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA core-shell microspheres with different PMMA shell thickness: (a, a') 18 nm ; (b, b’) 27 nm ; (c, c') 55 nm . (a'-c') are high magnification images of (a-c), respectively. TEM 5 images of the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres with different shell thickness prepared from the aforementioned corresponding $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA microspheres: (d) 15 nm ; (e) 25 nm ; (f) 40 nm .
tuned to meet different application requirements via controlling the thickness of the outer shell PMMA of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA 10 composite microspheres by changing the feeding amount of monomer MMA in the case of keeping the weight of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$-MPS and $10 \%$ of the degree of cross-linking constant. At the initial stage of polymerization, a thin layer of PMMA shell is deposited on the surface of silica through the 15 copolymerization with the double bonds introduced by MPS. Then, the polymerization of MMA is continuing until MMA is exhausted. Therefore, the thickness of PMMA shells increases with the increased amount of monomer MMA. When the amount of MMA increases from 0.3 g to 0.5 g and to 1.2 g , the thickness 20 of the PMMA shell is increased from 18 nm to 27 nm and to 55 nm (Fig. 5a-c), respectively, and the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA microspheres become more and more uniform with more smooth surface. Correspondingly, the thickness of pH -responsive PMAA shell in $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres increases obviously with ${ }_{25}$ the increasing MMA amount as shown in Fig. 5(d-f). It is observed that the thickness of PMAA shell in $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres is slightly thinner than that of PMMA shell in $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA microspheres, which may be due to the solution of part of linear polymers.

## ${ }_{30}$ Magnetic and pH-responsive Properties of Corresponding Microspheres

The magnetic property is crucial to magnetic particles for their applications in fast site-specific delivery and separation. Therefore, the magnetic properties of the as-prepared $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ${ }_{35}$ particles, $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \quad \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA and $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres were investigated using a VSM magnetometer at 300 K . As shown in Fig. 6, hysteresis loops show that there is almost no magnetic hysteresis, indicating that $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles, $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA and
${ }_{40} \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres reveal superparamagnetic behavior. The saturation magnetization (Ms) values of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles, $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA
and $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres are 78.2, 44.4, 31.8 and $40.4 \mathrm{emu} / \mathrm{g}$, respectively. The outstanding magnetic property 45 of these corresponding microspheres is most likely due to the supporter core $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles consisting of many $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ nanoparticles which resulted in excellent magnetization. ${ }^{33}$ The decrease of Ms for composite microspheres may be attributed to the presence of the nonmagnetic silica, PMMA or PMAA shells.
${ }_{50}$ However, it should be noted that the Ms of yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA is larger than that of core-shell-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA composite microspheres due to the etching of silica interlayer. As illustrated in the inset, the rapid separation of the dispersed $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA from the aqueous 55 dispersion could be easily visualized within 90 seconds in the presence of an external magnetic field (magnet). Moreover, once the external magnetic field is removed, the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres can be quickly re-dispersed into homogeneous dispersion upon a slight shake. The results show that the higher ${ }_{60} \mathrm{Ms}$ of the yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres is particular suitable for targeted drug delivery and other wide applications.


Fig. 6 Magnetic hysteresis loops of (a) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ particles, (b) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, 65 (c) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ PMMA and (d) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres. The photograph inset showing the dispersion of yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres before (left) and after (right) magnetic separation by an external magnetic field.

For as-prepared yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite 70 microspheres, the PMAA shells with abundant carboxyl groups result in a pH -responsive performance. DLS is used to investigate the pH -responsive behaviour of the yolk-shell structured $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres with movable magnetic cores. As shown in Fig. 7, the average hydrodynamic diameter (Dh) of the ${ }_{75} \mathrm{pH}$-responsive $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ microspheres increases from 510 nm to 840 nm while the polydispersity index (PDI) of particle size distribution also increases from 0.023 to 0.158 along with the increasing pH values of the dispersion (Table 1). Although PDI increases slightly with the increasing pH values, which may be ${ }_{80}$ due to the increasing diameter of swollen PMAA in an alkaline aqueous solution, the considerable small PDI indicates that yolkshell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres are more uniform in size compared with the previous reports. ${ }^{40}$ Moreover, the corresponding zeta potential of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres in a ${ }_{85}$ different PBS at $\mathrm{pH} 4.5,7.5$ and 10 (keeping ionic strength of salt concentration at $0.2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) is $-2.89,-26.0$ and -47.5 mV , respectively. Obviously, the zeta potential becomes more negative with the increasing pH value of the dispersion. These results are owing to the role of -COOH groups in PMAA. When ${ }_{90} \mathrm{pH}<7$, the -COOH groups are hardly dissociated, leading to the
tightening of curl PMAA chains. With the increase of the pH value, -COOH groups are gradually neutralized into $-\mathrm{COO}^{-}$ anions, leading to the decrease of the zeta potential of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres; at the same time, the polymer 5 chain will become more extended (namely increased swelling degree of the pH -responsive PMAA shell) due to the increased hydrophilicity, ${ }^{29}$ resulting in the increased hydrodynamic diameter of yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres. The zeta potential and hydrodynamic diameter measurements further ${ }_{10}$ reflect that the yolk-shell structured $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres are pH dependent.
Table 1 Dh , PDI and zeta potential of the pH -responsive $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres in a different PBS at $\mathrm{pH} 4.5, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ and pH 10.

| Sample | Dh (nm) | PDI | Zeta <br> $(\mathrm{mV})$ | potential |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA at pH 4.5 | 516.7 | 0.035 | -2.89 |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA at pH 7.5 | 585.5 | 0.023 | -26.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA at pH 10 | 843.8 | 0.158 | -47.5 |  |


${ }_{15}$ Fig. 7 DLS size distribution profiles of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres in a different phosphate buffer solution at $\mathrm{pH}=4.5, \mathrm{pH}=7.5$ and $\mathrm{pH}=10$, respectively.

## Metal Ion Adsorption and Regeneration Studies

Fig. 8(a) illustrates the measured adsorption capacities for $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$, ${ }_{20} \mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ at different pH values by equation (1). To avoid the generation of precipitates such as $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ at higher pH , the pH values of metal ions solution was limited to less or equal to $7 .{ }^{41}$ As shown in Fig. 8, except $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$, the yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres exhibit a significant adsorption capacity of other metal ions, especially for $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$. The adsorption capacity of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ remarkably increased from $0.74 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at pH 2 to $3.72 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at pH 5 , and then decreased to $2.11 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at pH 7 ; while the adsorption capacity of $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ remarkably increased from $0.40 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at pH 2 to 2.48 $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at pH 6 , and then decreased to $1.82 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ at pH 7 . The variation tendency in the adsorption capacities of $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ is similar with those of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$, respectively. The optimum pH value was found to be $5 \sim 6$. As the pH value of metal ions solution is less than 3, carboxyl groups of PMAA shells are
35 slightly dissociated, so that the weak electrostatic interaction between microspheres and metal ions leads to a low adsorption capacity. With the increase of the pH value, these carboxyl groups are gradually deprotonated and the deprotonation achieves completely at pH value ranging from 5 to 6 , resulting in the
${ }_{40}$ maximum adsorption capacity. However, at the pH value more than 6 , the hydrolysis of the metal ions occurs by the formation of metal hydroxides, ${ }^{42}$ which may compete with the uptake of metal ions by the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres, leading to the decrease of adsorption capacity. Thus, the optimum adsorption condition ${ }_{45}$ of the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres to $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ is at pH value between 5 and 6 . As the concentration of -COOH of the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres is about $3.91 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ calculated from Fig. S2, which is higher than the adsorption capacity of all metal ions, demonstrating that the adsorption mechanism mainly so depend on electrostatic interaction and ion-exchange adsorption. ${ }^{43}$ In addition, it is very surprising that the yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres exhibit higher capacities than most of other similar adsorbents as compared in Table 2. The reason is owing to the large amount of carboxyl groups in the polymer ${ }_{55}$ shells which could absorb metal ions as well as the yolk-shell structures of these $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres which also have a profound effect on the adsorption capacities. Therefore, the yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres could be widely used as adsorbent in a acid or neutral solutions to absorb $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$
${ }_{60}$ and $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ which are high toxic to organisms and environment, showing a potential application for wastewater treatment.


Fig. 8 (a) Effect of pH on the adsorption capacity of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres for different heavy metal ions; (b) Adsorption capacity of ${ }_{5} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ by $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres in the recycling process.

Table 2 Comparison of adsorption capacity ( $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ) for heavy metal ions on yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres with other similar adsorbents.

| Similar Adsorbents | Adsorption capacities ( $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ) | Number of cycles | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA yolkshell microspheres | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}: 2.48 \\ & \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}: 3.72 \\ & \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}: 1.24 \\ & \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}: 1.68 \end{aligned}$ | 8 | This work |
| Poly(ethylenediamine) dots@ $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}: 3.87$ | -- | 32 |
| Amino-functionalized $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ core-shell microspheres | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}: 0.54 \\ & \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}: 0.69 \\ & \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}: 0.33 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 44 |
| $\mathrm{PAA} / \mathrm{GO} / \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ nanocomposites | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}: 1.45 \\ & \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}: 2.08 \\ & \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}: 1.17 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 31 |
| EDTA-Functionalized <br> Silica Spheres | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}: 0.47\left(26 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right) \\ & \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}: 0.13\left(15 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 45 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2} @$ meso-$\mathrm{SiO}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ microsphere | $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}: 1.40\left(289.7 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}: 3.01\left(196.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}: 1.37\left(154.2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ | 5 | 46 |
| chitosan/poly(acrylic acid) magnetic microspheres | $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}: 2.71\left(174 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ | 6 | 47 |

As shown in Fig. 8(b), the adsorption capacity is reduced by $11 \%$ in the first desorption-adsorption cycle compared with the original adsorption capacity, while the decrease of adsorption capacity was not more than $15 \%$ in the next 7 cycles. However, 5 by the analyses of atomic emission spectra, the desorption rate of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ from $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}-\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres is about $88 \%-92 \%$ compared with the last adsorption cycle, which indicates that the adsorption capacity of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres for the metal ions is hardly reduced. The decrease of adsorption capacity in 10 recycling is actually due to residual metal ions in microspheres after desorption and the inevitable loss of adsorbent in experimental operation. Therefore, our magnetic and $\mathrm{pH}-$ responsive adsorbents can be readily recycled from the waste water and then reused for several times with high adsorption ${ }_{15}$ capacity, promising its great potential in practical application.

The influence of the thickness of PMAA shells and void space of yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres on the adsorption of metal ions is studied to gain further insight into the adsorption process. As shown in Table S1, when the thickness of PMAA 20 shells increases from 15 to 25 then to 40 nm , the adsorption capacity of $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ at pH 6 is promoted from 1.02 to 1.87 then to $2.48 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$. This reason can be attributed to the increased COOH groups along with the increase of the thickness of PMAA shells. As the void space of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA increases from 0.024 25 to $0.058 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{3}$, the adsorption capacity of $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ at pH 6 is promoted from 1.98 to $2.45 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$. However, when the void space further increases to $0.13 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{3}$, the adsorption capacity of $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ is only promoted a little ( $2.48 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ ). It indicates that only enough void space is beneficial to the adsorption of metal ions
${ }_{30}$ though electrostatic interaction with $-\mathrm{COO}^{-}$groups in the inner wall of PMAA shells. Therefore, the optimum yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres for the adsorption of metal ions in our experimental conditions are those with the thickness of PMAA shells about 40 nm and void space of not less than 0.058 ${ }_{35} \mu \mathrm{~m}^{3}$.

## Drug Loading and Controlled Release

To evaluate the feasibility of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA yolk-shell microspheres as drug delivery carriers, ceftriaxone sodium (CTX), a water soluble anti-inflammatory drug, was chosen as a
40 model drug for loading and controlled release. CTX in salt form might interact with the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres by the electrostatic interaction between the protonated amine cation of the drugs and the carboxylate anion of the carries, meanwhile, hydrophilic interactions between the carries and the drugs was 45 also existed. UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy was used to determine the effective CTX load capacity and releasing behavior. Fig. 9(a) shows the absorption spectra of a CTX aqueous solution ${ }^{48}$ before and after adding $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres. It can be seen that the absorption peak at 235 nm ${ }_{50}$ of CTX disappears, and the absorbance of the characteristic peak at 272 nm greatly decreases after adding $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres. It indicates that the CTX molecules have been loaded into the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres, resulting in a significant decrease of the drug concentration in solution. We ${ }_{55}$ calculated the drug loading capacity (DLC) of the $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres with about $180 \%$ by equation (2) according to the standard curve of CTX solution as shown in Fig. S3, which is attributed to the carboxylic acid groups of PMAA shells and the
void space of the microspheres. It is worth noting that the DLC of ${ }_{60}$ the yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres is higher than that obtained in the previous reports. ${ }^{49}$ Because the electrostatic interactions between the negatively charged PMAA shells and positively charged CTX are so strong, the positively charged CTX will permeate through the negatively charged PMAA shells ${ }_{65}$ into void space of the microspheres when CTX is added into the dispersion of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres. Due to the pH responsive property of PMAA shells, the CTX drug release at a different pH phosphate buffer solution ( $\mathrm{pH}=4.5$ and $\mathrm{pH}=7.5$ ) is shown in Fig. 9 (b). The drug release curves reveal that the ${ }_{70}$ release rate is pH - dependent and increases with the decrease of pH values. The cumulative release amount of CTX could reach up to $74 \%$ after 48 h in a PBS at pH 4.5 , which is much higher than that at pH 7.5 (only $33 \%$ ). This is because once the pH value of the medium decreases, the carboxylate anion of the negatively 75 charged PMAA shells would be protonated to form uncharged free carboxylic acid and thus the electrostatic interaction would disappear.


Fig. 9 (a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of solutions before a) and after b) 80 adding $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA yolk-shell microspheres; (b) CTX-release curves for CTX-loaded $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA yolk-shell microspheres in a different PBS at pH 4.5 and pH 7.5 at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively.

The effect of the thickness of PMAA shells and void space of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres on DLC and controlled release is ${ }_{85}$ also investigated. As shown in Table S1, the effect of thickness of PMAA shells and void space of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres on DLC is similar with that on the adsorption of metal ions, which demonstrates that the optimum yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres for DLC are the same as above. However, Fig. S4 90 shows that the thickness of PMAA shells and void space of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres have no significant effect on the CTX-release behavior at pH 4.5 with the cumulative release amount of CTX only ranging from $83 \%$ to $72 \%$. The reason may be that the drug delivery process mainly depends on the relieving 95 of the electrostatic interaction between PMAA and CTX. Furthermore, the thinner the PMAA shell is, the higher is the cumulative release amount of CTX at pH 4.5 , which may be attributed to that the carboxylic groups in the thin PMAA shells are easily protonized adequately at a relative weak acidity, 100 leading to the weakening of electrostatic attraction between PMAA and CTX.

## Conclusions

In summary, bi-functional and monodisperse yolk-shell structured $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA composite microspheres with both 105 high magnetization and pH -responsive property have been successfully synthesized in high yield through a simple "silicaassisted" etching strategy. The shell thickness and void space of
yolk-shell magnetic $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres can be tuned by controlling the amounts of MMA and TEOS, respectively. The yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres can be used as adsorbent for metal ions and drug carriers, which demonstrate the high 5 adsorption capacity and reusability for heavy metal ions, drug loading capacity and good controlled drug release. In addition, the adsorption capacities and drug delivery could be tailored by changing the thickness of PMAA and void space of yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres. This facile synthesis strategy can 10 be easily extended to the synthesis of other encapsulated materials (e.g. $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, carbon nanotubes, Ag and so on) with the stimuli-responsive polymers and the unique yolk-shell structure.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science ${ }_{15}$ Foundation of China (Project No. 21074122, 51373160 and 50873096).

## Notes and references

Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, CAS Key Laboratory of Soft Matter Chemistry, University of Science and Technology of China,
${ }_{20}$ Hefei, Anhui 230026, China. E-mail: hrliu@ustc.edu.cn; Tel: +86 551 63601586
$\dagger$ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) is available including the FTIR analysis of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres in a different phosphate buffer solution, conductivity titration curve of
25 yolk-shell $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres, the calibration curve of Ceftriaxone sodium solution, and the influences of the thickness of PMAA shells and void space of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ PMAA microspheres on adsorption of metal ions and drug delivery.

1. Z. Chen, Z. Li, Y. Lin, M. Yin, J. Ren and X. Qu, Chem. Eur. J., $30 \quad$ 2013, 19, 1778.
2. L. Gao, J. Fei, J. Zhao, W. Cui, Y. Cui and J. Li, Chem. Eur. J., 2012, 18, 3185.
3. B. Liu, W. Zhang, H. Feng and X. Yang, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 11727.

35 4. H. Pang, P. Cheng, H. Yang, J. Lu, C. X. Guo, G. Ning and C. M. Li, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 1536.
5. N. Liu, H. Wu, M. T. McDowell, Y. Yao, C. Wang and Y. Cui, Nano Lett., 2012, 12, 3315.
6. J. Liu, S. Z. Qiao, J. S. Chen, X. W. Lou, X. Xing and G. Q. Lu, 40 Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 12578.
7. J. Lee, J. C. Park and H. Song, Adv. Mater., 2008, 20, 1523.
8. D. P. Wang and H. C. Zeng, Chem. Mater., 2009, 21, 4811.
9. S. Xing, L. H. Tan, T. Chen, Y. Yang and H. Chen, Chem. Commun., 2009, 1653.
45 10. X. J. Wu and D. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 2774.
11. Q. Fang, S. Xuan, W. Jiang and X. Gong, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2011, 21, 1902.
12. Y. Yang, J. Liu, X. Li, X. Liu and Q. Yang, Chem. Mater., 2011, 23, 3676.

50 13. B. Luo, X.-J. Song, F. Zhang, A. Xia, W. L. Yang, J. H. Hu and C. C. Wang, Langmuir, 2009, 26, 1674.
14. J. Liu, J. Cheng, R. Che, J. Xu, M. Liu and Z. Liu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 2503.
15. S. Wu, J. Dzubiella, J. Kaiser, M. Drechsler, X. Guo, M. Ballauff and 55 Y. Lu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 2229.
16. B. Liu, W. Zhang, F. Yang, H. Feng and X. Yang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 15875.
17. G. Liu, J. Gao, H. Ai and X. Chen, Small, 2013, 9, 1533.
18. D. N. Nguyen, J. J. Green, J. M. Chan, R. Longer and D. G.
$60 \quad$ Anderson, Adv. Mater., 2009, 21, 847.
19. C. D. H. Alarcon, S. Pennadam and C. Alexander, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2005, 34, 276.
20. L. Guo Liang, T. Chin An, K. G. Neon, E. T. Kang and Y. Xinlin, Polym. Chem., 2011, 2, 1368.
65 21. K. Paek, S. Chung, C. H. Cho and B. J. Kim, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 10272.
22. L. Zhang, T. Wang, L. Li, C. Wang, Z. Su and J. Li, Chem. Commип., 2012, 48, 8706.
23. T. Yao, T. Cui, X. Fang, J. Yu, F. Cui and J. Wu, Chem. Eng. J., 70 2013, 225, 230.
24. F. Bai, B. Huang, X. Yang and W. Huang, Eur. Polym. J., 2007, 43, 3923.
25. Y. Li, M. Dong, J. Kong, Z. Chai and G. Fu, J. Colloid. Interface Sci., 2013, 394, 199.
75 26. G. L. Li, C. A. Tai, K. G. Neoh, E. T. Kang and X. Yang, Polym. Chem., 2011, 2, 1368.
27. E. Bourgeat-Lami and M. Lansalot, Adv. Polym. Sci, 2010, 233, 53.
28. A. M. Santos, J. Guillot and T. F. McKenna, Chem. Eng. Sci., 1998, 53, 2143.
$8_{0}$ 29. J. F. Tan, A. Blencowe, T. K. Goh, I. T. M. Dela Cruz and G. G. Qiao, Macromolecules, 2009, 42, 4622.
30. K. Kadirvelu, C. Faur-Brasquet and P. Le Cloirec, Langmuir, 2000, 16, 8404-8409.
31. W. Zhang, X. Shi, Y. Zhang, W. Gu, B. Li and Y. Xian, Journal of

85 Materials Chemistry A, 2013, 1, 1745-1753.
32. Z.-A. Qiao, Q. Huo, M. Chi, G. M. Veith, A. J. Binder and S. Dai, Adv. Mater., 2012, 24, 6017.
33. S. Xuan, Y. X. J. Wang, J. C. Yu and K. C. F. Leung, Chem. Mater., 2009, 21, 5079.
90 34. Y. Deng, D. Qi, C. Deng, X. Zhang and D. Zhao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 28.
35. X.-G. Li, H. Feng and M.-R. Huang, Chem. Eur. J., 2009, 15, 4573.
36. J. Ge, Y. Hu, M. Biasini, W. P. Beyermann and Y. Yin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 4342.
95 37. S. Libert, V. Gorshkov, D. Goia, E. Matijevkic and V. Privman, Langmuir, 2003, 19, 10679.
38. W. Yi, G. Bao-Hua, W. Xian, X. Jun, W. Xin and Z. Yin-Ping, Polymer, 2009, 50, 3361.
39. T. Kida, M. Mouri and M. Akashi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 7534.
40. W. H. Chiang, H. Viet Thang, H. H. Chen, W. C. Huang, Y. F. Huang, S. C. Lin, C. S. Chern and H. C. Chiu, Langmuir, 2013, 29, 6434.
41. W. N. Perera, G. Hefter and P. M. Sipos, Inorg. Chem., 2001, 40, 3974.
42. S. Veli and B. Alyuez, J. Hazard. Mater., 2007, 149, 226.
43. L. X. Zhong, X. W. Peng, D. Yang and R. C. Sun, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2012, 60, 5621.
44. J. Wang, S. Zheng, Y. Shao, J. Liu, Z. Xu and D. Zhu, J. Colloid. Interface Sci., 2010, 349, 293.
45. D. Q. Melo, V. O. S. Neto, J. T. Oliveira, A. L. Barros, E. C. C. Gomes, G. S. C. Raulino, E. Longuinotti and R. F. Nascimento, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2013, 58, 798.
46. Q. Yuan, Y. Chi, N. Yu, Y. Zhao, W. Yan, X. Li and B. Dong, Mater.

115 Res. Bull., 2014, 49, 279.
47. H. Yan, L. Yang, Z. Yang, H. Yang, A. Li and R. Cheng, J.Hazard. Mater., 2012, 229, 371.
48. D. G. Sankar, N. Sujatha, B. A. Kumar and P. V. Madhavilatha, Asian J. Chem., 2006, 18, 3244.
120 49. L. Li, L. Zhang, S. Xing, T. Wang, S. Luo, X. Zhang, C. Liu, Z. Su and C. Wang, Small, 2013, 9, 825.

