

Chemical Science

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

EDGE ARTICLE

Diazo compounds for the bioreversible esterification of proteins†

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Nicholas A. McGrath,^{‡§} Kristen A. Andersen,^{‡^b} Amy K. F. Davis^c
Jo E. Lomax^{||^d} and Ronald T. Raines^{*ac}Received 00th January 2014,
Accepted 00th January 2014

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A diazo compound is shown to convert carboxylic acids to esters efficiently in an aqueous environment. The basicity of the diazo compound is critical: low basicity does not lead to a reaction but high basicity leads to hydrolysis. This reactivity extends to carboxylic acid groups in a protein. The ensuing esters are hydrolyzed by human cellular esterases to regenerate protein carboxyl groups. This new mode of chemical modification could enable the key advantages of prodrugs to be translated from small-molecules to proteins.

Introduction

Esters are nearly absent from human cells, aside from acylglycerols and amino-acyl tRNAs. One reason is their lability in the presence of intracellular esterases.¹ This reactivity is the basis for the clinical utility of the many prodrugs that are unmasked by enzyme-catalyzed ester hydrolysis.²⁻⁵

Inspired by prodrugs, we envisioned esterification as a means to modify proteins covalently but reversibly with desirable pendants, such as pharmacokinetic enhancing, cell-targeting, or cell-penetration moieties. The acetylation of serine and threonine residues is a natural post-translational modification.^{6,7} There is not, however, an efficient means to generate esters from protein carboxyl or hydroxyl groups by chemical synthesis. Carbodimides and other reagents can be used to activate protein carboxyl groups, but solvent water and protein amino, hydroxyl, and sulfhydryl groups compete effectively with exogenous alcohols for the ensuing activated acyl groups.⁸⁻¹⁰ Accordingly, we sought a different strategy—one that enlists *O*-alkylation rather than acyl transfer.

Diazo compounds are in widespread use in synthetic organic chemistry.¹¹⁻¹³ The simplest—diazomethane—readily converts carboxylic acids into methyl esters with molecular nitrogen as the only byproduct. Diazomethane suffers, however, from non-specific reactivity. For example, diazomethane is known to react with water and with the side chains of lysine and tyrosine residues.¹⁴ Other non-stabilized diazo compounds or stabilized metal carbenoids are capable of esterifying carboxylic acids,¹⁵⁻²⁰ but their high reactivity likewise limits utility with biomolecules.


We were aware of precedent for the use of stabilized diazo compounds in a biochemical context. In the 1960s, 2-diazoacetamide,^{21,22} *N*-(diazoacetyl)glycinamide,²³ and diphenyldiazomethane²⁴ were used to identify the most reactive carboxylic acid groups in proteins. These efforts required adding a vast molar excess (>10³-fold) of the diazo compound and tedious monitoring of reaction pH, all to achieve modest labelling. We suspected that the problem was non-specific reactivity, as with

diazomethane.¹⁴ Here, we report on the reactivity of still more stabilized diazo compounds with relevant carboxylic acids.

Results and discussion

We began by screening the reactivity of diazo compounds **1** and **2** with small-molecule carboxylic acids of varying acidity and bearing a variety of reactive functional groups. These diazo compounds were accessed from their corresponding azide by a deimidogenation reaction that uses a phosphinoester to convert an azide into a diazo-compound.^{25,26} In acetonitrile, both diazo compounds were unreactive toward some nucleophiles common in biomolecules: amino, hydroxyl, and sulfhydryl groups. Both, however, did react with carboxylic acids to give comparable yields of ester product (Scheme 1). In contrast to diazo compounds **1** and **2**, diethyl 2-diazomalonate was unreactive with **a-h**. Tellingly, β -alanine (**h**) proved unreactive with all three diazo compounds under any tested condition. This acid alone exists as a zwitterion, and its lack of

Scheme 1 Chemoselective esterification in acetonitrile



Acid	a		b		c		d		e		f		g		h	
Diazo ^a	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
Time (min)	60	20	30	1	480	300	720	600	180	120	720	480	720	300	NR	NR
Yield (%)	74	94	51	91	78	90	61	85	82	85	76	80	63	89		

^a 1 equiv per carboxyl group.
NR: no reaction





Fig. 1 Putative mechanism for the O-alkylation of carboxylic acids by diazo compounds.^{27–28}

reactivity is consistent with esterification occurring via a diazonium-carboxylate salt (Fig. 1).^{27,28} Moreover, we noted that a carboxylic acid (**a–g**) is acidic enough to promote the reaction, but a phenolic hydroxyl (**d**), sulfhydryl (**f**), or ammonium group (**h**) is not.

Next, we investigated the analogous reactions in an aqueous environment (Scheme 2). Whereas diazo compound **1** was competent for esterification in a 3:1 mixture of acetonitrile and 10 mM MES–NaOH buffer, pH 5.5, the major product was alcohol **5** formed when water attacks the diazonium ion. On the other hand, diazo compound **2** gave primarily the desired ester **4** in all cases. Interestingly, the ester:alcohol product ratios with **1** were variable, whereas those with **2** were approximately two.²⁹ These data are consistent with the nascent diazonium-carboxylate salt being maintained in a solvent cage by Coulombic forces (Fig. 1), as described by others.^{28,30} Carrying out the reaction in a 1:1 mixture of acetonitrile and 10 mM MES–NaOH buffer resulted in greatly deleterious partitioning with **1** but not **2**. Diethyl 2-diazomalonate was found to be unreactive in these aqueous conditions, as in neat acetonitrile.

What is the basis for this differential reactivity? The pK_a values of relevant acids have been measured in dimethyl sulfoxide.³¹ These pK_a values correlate with the observed reactivity via the mechanism of Fig. 1. Specifically, the conjugate base of diethylmalonate (pK_a 16.4)³² is weak, and diethyl 2-diazomalonate cannot abstract a proton from a carboxylic acid. On the other hand, the conjugate base of diethylacetamide (pK_a 35)³³ is strong, and diazo compound **1**

Scheme 2 Chemoselective esterification in an aqueous environment



3:1 acetonitrile/MES–NaOH buffer (pH 5.5)


Acid	a		b		c		d		e		f		g		h	
Diazo ^a	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
Ester	1	2.0	1	2.7	1	2.3	1	2.0	1	2.3	1	6.7	1	1.0	NR	NR
Alcohol	4.2	1	4.6	1	3.9	1	1.8	1	12.9	1	3.6	1	4.9	1	NR	NR

1:1 acetonitrile/MES–NaOH buffer (pH 5.5)

Acid	a		b		c		d		e		f		g		h	
Diazo ^a	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
Ester	1	1.1	1	1.5	1	2.0	1	2.0	1	1.4	1	3.0	1	1	NR	NR
Alcohol	≥20	1	≥20	1	≥20	1	≥20	1	≥20	1	≥20	1	≥20	1.3	NR	NR

^a 1 equiv per carboxyl group.
NR: no reaction

Scheme 3 Thio- versus thionoester formation



Relative Yields (¹H NMR spectroscopy)

Diazo	CH ₃ CN	3:1 CH ₃ CN/buffer
1	7 (66%) 8 (33%)	7 (84%) 5 (16%)
2	9 (100%)	9 (100%)

lacks chemoselectivity in an aqueous environment (Scheme 2). Only the conjugate base of fluorene (pK_a 22.6)³⁴ is matched to the task, enabling diazo compound **2** access to the mechanism of Fig. 1 with high chemoselectivity.

We used thioacetic acid to probe the mechanism of esterification. Its acidic proton resides primarily on sulfur,³⁵ but an intermediate diazonium-thiocarboxylate salt could lead to either a thioester or thionoester product. In anhydrous acetonitrile, complete selectivity for thioester formation was obtained with diazo compound **2** (Scheme 3). This selectivity rules out a cyclic transition state reminiscent of an ene reaction,^{36,37} which would provide the thionoester as the product. On the other hand, diazo compound **1** produced a mixture of thio- and thionoester products. In an aqueous environment, these same reactants led exclusively to a thio- rather than a thionoester, along with the hydrolysis product from diazo compound **1**.³⁸ The lack of thionoester formation can be attributed to the greater nucleophilicity of sulfur on the diazonium salt and the greater ability of oxygen to form a hydrogen bond with solvent water,³⁹ which would decrease its nucleophilicity still further.

Finally, we assessed the ability of diazo compounds **1** and **2** to esterify the carboxyl groups present in a model protein, bovine pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase A; Fig. 2A). The catalytic activity of this well-characterized enzyme provides an extremely sensitive measure of native structure and function.⁴⁰ RNase A was incubated for 4 h at 37 °C in a 1:1 mixture of 10 mM MES–NaOH buffer, pH 5.5, and acetonitrile containing a diazo compound (10 equiv). Under these conditions, diazo compound **1** proved incapable of labelling the protein. Only in the presence of 200 equiv was any esterification observed with this reagent, consistent with its tendency towards hydrolysis in an aqueous environment (Scheme 2). In contrast, diazo




Fig. 2 (A) Three-dimensional structure of RNase A (PDB entry 6rsa) showing its eleven carboxyl groups. The four residues labeled primarily by diazo compound **2** are indicated explicitly. (B) Scheme for the bioreversible esterification of RNase A with diazo compound **2**.

compound **2** esterified, on average, three of the eleven carboxylates (Fig. S1†). Using trypsin digestion coupled with mass spectrometry, we were able to identify the esterified residues. Diazo compound **2** (10 equiv) labelled Asp14, Glu49, Glu111, and Asp121, almost exclusively. Diazo compound **1** (200 equiv) labelled a similar subset of residues (Glu9, Asp14, Glu49, Glu111, and the C terminus). The basis for reaction with these particular residues is not clear, but likely integrates the reactivity (*i.e.*, high pK_a) and accessibility of their carboxyl groups.

Most importantly, we probed the bioreversibility of our protein esterification reaction (Fig. 2B). To enhance the relevance of this experiment, we sought to employ esterases endogenous to human cells rather than commercial enzymes. Accordingly, we used recombinant DNA technology to add an 8-residue FLAG tag to the N-terminus of RNase A, and we esterified the ensuing FLAG–RNase A with diazo compound **2** as described above. We found labelling to be comparable to that of wild-type RNase A (Fig. S12†), and we observed that the enzyme lost half of its catalytic activity upon esterification (Fig. S13†).⁴¹ Then, we incubated the esterified protein with a HeLa cell extract. We recovered the enzyme from this complex mixture with an anti-FLAG antibody immobilized on magnetic beads. We could not detect any esters in the extract-treated enzyme with mass spectrometry (Fig. S12†),⁴² and we found that the enzyme had recovered all of its catalytic activity (Fig. S13†). These data indicate that the protein esterification reaction was fully bioreversible.

Finally, we sought to demonstrate the generality of our esterification method by employing a second protein substrate with a different tag for its purification. Specifically, we applied diazo compound **2** (10 equiv) and the conditions described above to the mCherry variant of red fluorescent protein (RFP) from *Discosoma sp.* We found that His₆–RFP became esterified with 1–3 fluorenyl groups. The protein did not lose its fluorescence during the esterification reaction. As with RNase A, the esterification of RFP was bioreversible. Incubation with a HeLa cell extract followed by recovery with a Ni-affinity resin, yielded unmodified His₆–RFP (Fig. S13†). Success with both FLAG-labeled RNase A and His₆-labeled RFP indicates that our esterification method has a broad scope.

Concluding remarks

We find that a diazo compound can effect the efficient *O*-alkylation of carboxylic acids in an aqueous environment near neutral pH, and that this reactivity extends to proteins. The esters in the ensuing protein can be hydrolysed by esterases that are endogenous to human cells, thereby recreating the wild-type protein and avoiding a compromise to function or the display of an epitope. By enabling the facile semisynthesis of “proteins” containing transitory pharmacokinetic enhancing, cell-targeting, or cell-penetration moieties, we envision that *O*-alkylation of carboxylic acids by diazo compounds could broaden the utility of antibodies, enzymes, hormones, and other proteins in chemical biology and pharmacology.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grant R01 GM044783 (NIH). N.A.M. was supported by postdoctoral fellowship F32 GM096712 (NIH). K.A.A. was supported by a PhRMA predoctoral fellowship and by Molecular and Cellular Pharmacology Training Grant T32 GM008688 (NIH). A.K.F.D. was supported by a Hilldale Undergraduate/Faculty Research Fellowship. J.E.L. was supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship. This work made use of the National Magnetic Resonance Facility at Madison, which is supported by grant P41 GM103399 (NIH), and the Biophysics Instrumentation Facility, which was established with grants BIR-9512577 (NSF) and S10 RR013790 (NIH).

Notes and references

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin–Madison, 1101 University Avenue, Madison, WI 53706 USA. Email: rtraines@wisc.edu

^bMolecular & Cellular Pharmacology Graduate Training Program, University of Wisconsin–Madison, 1300 University Avenue, Madison, WI 53706 USA

^cDepartment of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin–Madison, 433 Babcock Drive, Madison, WI 53706 USA

^dGraduate Program in Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Wisconsin–Madison, 1525 Linden Drive, Madison, WI 53706 USA.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, analytical data, and spectral data for novel compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

§ Present address for Prof. N. A. McGrath: Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin–La Crosse, 1725 State Street, La Crosse, WI 54601 USA.

|| Present address for Dr. Jo E. Lomax: Science Exchange, 555 Bryant Street, #939, Palo Alto, CA 94301 USA.

- 1 L. Tian, Y. Yang, L. M. Wysocki, A. C. Arnold, A. Hu, B. Ravichandran, S. M. Sternson, L. L. Looger and L. D. Lavis, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2012, **109**, 4756–4761.
- 2 B. Testa and J. M. Mayer, *Hydrolysis in Drug and Prodrug Metabolism: Chemistry, Biochemistry, and Enzymology*, Academic Press, New York, NY, 2003.
- 3 V. J. Stella, R. T. Borchardt, M. J. Hageman, R. Oliyai, M. Maag and J. W. Tilley, eds., *Prodrugs: Challenges and Rewards*, Springer, New York, NY, 2007.
- 4 L. D. Lavis, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2008, **3**, 203–206.
- 5 K. M. Huttunen, H. Raunio and J. Rautio, *Pharmacol. Rev.*, 2011, **63**, 750–771.
- 6 S. Mukherjee, G. Keitany, Y. Li, Y. Wang, H. L. Ball, E. J. Goldsmith and K. Orth, *Science*, 2006, **312**, 1211–1214.
- 7 S. Mukherjee, Y.-H. Hao and K. Orth, *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, 2007, **32**, 210–216.
- 8 M. Aslam and A. Dent, eds., *Bioconjugation*, Macmillan Reference, London, UK, 1998.
- 9 R. L. Lundblad, *Chemical Reagents for Protein Modification*, 3rd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2005.
- 10 G. T. Hermanson, *Bioconjugate Techniques*, 2nd ed., Academic Press, New York, NY, 2008.
- 11 M. Regitz and G. Maas, *Diazo Compounds: Properties and Synthesis*, Academic Press, New York, NY, 1986.
- 12 A. Padwa and D. J. Austin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1994, **33**, 1797–1815.
- 13 Y. Zhang and J. B. Wang, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, 1015–1026.
- 14 A. C. Chibnall, J. L. Mangan and M. W. Rees, *Biochem. J.*, 1958, **68**, 114–118.
- 15 M. P. Doyle, *Chem. Rev.*, 1986, **86**, 919–939.

- 16 T. Shinada, T. Kawakami, H. Sakai, I. Takada and Y. Ohfuné, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 3757-3760.
- 17 M. E. Furrow and A. G. Myers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 12222-12223.
- 18 S. Bertelsen, M. Nielsen, S. Bachmann and K. A. Jorgensen, *Synthesis-Stuttgart*, 2005, 2234-2238.
- 19 K. De, J. Legros, B. Crousse and D. Bonnet-Delpon, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 6260-6265.
- 20 L. Dumitrescu, K. Azzouzi-Zriba, D. Bonnet-Delpon and B. Crousse, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 692-695.
- 21 A. L. Grossberg and D. Pressman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1960, **82**, 5478-5482.
- 22 M. S. Doscher and P. E. Wilcox, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1961, **236**, 1328-1337.
- 23 J. P. Riehm and H. A. Scheraga, *Biochemistry*, 1965, **4**, 772-782.
- 24 G. R. Delpierre and J. S. Fruton, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 1965, **54**, 1161-1167.
- 25 E. L. Myers and R. T. Raines, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 2359-2363.
- 26 H.-H. Chou and R. T. Raines, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 14936-14939.
- 27 J. F. McGarrity and T. Smyth, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 7303-7308.
- 28 I. Szele, M. Tencer and H. Zollinger, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1983, **66**, 1691-1703.
- 29 The **4** to **6** ratio for BocAspOH (**g**) was 1:1 due in part to the need for two *O*-alkylation reactions to produce the ester product (**4**).
- 30 The additional enhancement in selectivity achieved with 3-mercaptopropanoic acid was likely due to coordination between the pendant thiol functionality and the intermediate diazonium ion, hindering external attack from water (J. R. Larson and N. D. Epiotis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1981, **103**, 410-416).
- 31 R. W. Taft and F. G. Bordwell, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1988, **21**, 463-469.
- 32 W. N. Olmstead and F. G. Bordwell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **45**, 3299-3305.
- 33 M. L. Hlavinka, J. F. Greco and J. R. Hagadorn, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 5304-5306.
- 34 G. de Almeida, E. M. Sletten, H. Nakamura, K. K. Palaniappan and C. R. Bertozzi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 2443-2447.
- 35 C. Ögür and M. Özkütük, *GU J. Sci.*, 2012, **25**, 343-354.
- 36 K. Alder, F. Pascher and A. Schmitz, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1943, **76**, 27-53.
- 37 S. M. Bachrach and S. L. Jiang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, **62**, 8319-8324.
- 38 *O*- to *S*-Alkyl transfer as in the high-temperature Newman-Kwart rearrangement of a thionocarbamate or Schönberg rearrangement of a thionocarbonate is unlikely for a thionoester at room temperature.
- 39 P. Zhou, F. F. Tian, F. L. Lv and Z. C. Shang, *Proteins*, 2009, **76**, 151-163.
- 40 R. T. Raines, *Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **98**, 1045-1066.
- 41 Notably, Glu111 (A. Tarragona-Fiol, H. J. Eggelte, S. Harbron, E. Sanchez, C. J. Taylorson, J. M. Ward and B. R. Rabin, *Protein Eng.*, 1993, **6**, 901-906) and Asp121 (L. W. Schultz, D. J. Quirk and R. T. Raines, *Biochemistry*, 1998, **37**, 8886-8898) have been implicated in the catalytic activity of RNase A.
- 42 We detected no evidence (*e.g.*, $\Delta m/z = -18$) for the intramolecular attack of a lysine *N*^ε on a nascent ester, consistent with carboxyl groups near lysine and other cationic residues having pK_a values that are too low for participation in the mechanism in Fig. 1.