# Chemical Science

## Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

## **ARTICLE TYPE**

## Activation of group 15 based cage compounds by $[Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)_2]$ radicals

Sebastian Heinl and Manfred Scheer\*<sup>a</sup>

## Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The sterically encumbered dimer  $[Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)_2]_2$  (1)  $(Cp^{BIG} = C_5(4-nBuC_6H_4)_5)$  is able to activate small tetrahedral molecules like P<sub>4</sub> and As<sub>4</sub> as well as the less reactive cage compounds P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> at room temperature to give the products  $[\{Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)_2\}_2(\mu,\eta^{1:1}\text{-}cage)]$  (cage = P<sub>4</sub> (2a), As<sub>4</sub> (2b), P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (2c), P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> (2d) in a quantitative manner. The reaction proceeds via selective cleavage of one E–E bond (E = P,

 $_{10}$  As) of the starting material. Complex 1 also reacts with CS<sub>2</sub> forming the binuclear compound

 $[\{Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)_2\}\{Cp^{BIG}FeCO)(\mu,\eta^{1:2}\text{-}CS_2)] (\textbf{3}).$ 

#### Introduction

The activation of white phosphorus with transition metal complexes<sup>1</sup> and main group elements<sup>2</sup> is of current research <sup>15</sup> interest. In recent decades, a large variety of transition-metal complexes with  $P_n$  units have been synthesized. In general, the reactions are thermolytically or photolytically induced to generate reactive complex fragments. Under these rather severe conditions, a substantial fragmentation and reaggregation of the

- $_{20}$  P<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron is typically found, which often is accompanied with mixtures of products. Exemplifying the situation for P<sub>4</sub>, Scherer et al. reacted [Cp'''Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> with white phosphorus for a short thermolysis (5 min) in toluene,<sup>3</sup> and detected the butterfly complex [{Cp'''Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ , $\eta$ <sup>1:1</sup>-P<sub>4</sub>)] as the main product.
- <sup>25</sup> However, due to the high temperatures further decarbonylation takes place leading to by-products, which had to be removed by low temperature column chromatography that led to reduced isolated yields. The situation for the mixed cage compounds P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, is even more problematic.<sup>4</sup> The presence of two <sup>30</sup> different types of atoms complicated the separation and
- characterization of the obtained products. Thus, e.g. Wachter et al. described the reaction of  $P_4S_3$  with  $[Cp*Mo(CO)_2]_2$  in boiling toluene to give triple-decker sandwich complexes with five-membered rings as a middle deck. Both products,
- $_{35}$  [(Cp\*Mo)<sub>2</sub>(P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>)] and [(Cp\*Mo)<sub>2</sub>(P<sub>4</sub>S)], have almost the same molecular structure, only the middle deck is different (P<sub>4</sub>S vs. P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>).<sup>5</sup> The separation was only possible after the coordination of M(CO)<sub>5</sub> fragments (M = Cr, W) to these products.

To avoid high temperatures or UV irradiation for triggering a <sup>40</sup> reaction, isolable complexes with reactive metal centers are needed. The dimeric compounds  $[(Cp^{Aryl})Fe(CO)_2]_2$   $(Cp^{Aryl} = C_5Ph_5, C_5Ph_4(p-tolyl))$  readily dissociate in solution into two 17-VE radical fragments at room temperature and, therefore, should be capable for this mission.<sup>6</sup> However, their low solubility is a

<sup>45</sup> disadvantage for reactivity studies. Therefore, we synthesized the analogous complex  $[Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)_2]_2$  (1)  $(Cp^{BIG} = pentakis(4-n-$ 

butylphenyl)cyclopentadienyl),<sup>7</sup> whose additional n-butyl groups lead to a good solubility. The general goal of **1** is to activate small molecules under mild condition in a selective and complete <sup>50</sup> manner.

In the following, we report the room temperature activation of  $P_4$ ,  $As_4$ ,  $P_4S_3$  or  $P_4Se_3$  by  $[Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)_2]_2$  (1) resulting in a selective E-E bond cleavage to give exclusively the corresponding cage complexes in high yields. In addition, this <sup>55</sup> complex also activates small organic molecule like CS<sub>2</sub>. Note, some examples for the functionalization of  $P_4$  by main group-based radicals have been reported.<sup>8</sup>

## **Results and discussion**

Addition of **1** to toluene solutions of  $P_4$ ,  $As_4$ ,  $P_4S_3$  or  $P_4Se_3$ , <sup>60</sup> respectively, gives an immediate color change from green to intensive orange ( $P_4$ ,  $As_4$ ) or pink ( $P_4S_3$ ,  $P_4Se_3$ ), respectively. The IR spectra indicate a complete conversion of the starting material by showing two new CO stretching frequencies (Table 1, 4 CO bands for **1**). Also, the NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures <sup>65</sup> illustrate a clean and complete reaction. The products [{Cp<sup>BIG</sup>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ , $\eta^{1:1}$ -cage)] (cage =  $P_4$  (2a),  $As_4$  (2b),  $P_4S_3$ (2c),  $P_4Se_3$  (2d)) are isolated in almost quantitative yields (Eqs. 1 and 2) and reveal that selectively only one homolytic E-E bond (E = P, As) was cleaved.



## Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

## **ARTICLE TYPE**



Fig. 1 Molecular structures of 2a-d in the crystal (a: 2a; b: 2b; c: 2c; d 2d). Ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability level. In case of 2c only one of the two molecules of the asymmetric unit is depicted. In case of disorder only the main part is shown. For clarity H atoms and solvent molecules are omitted. Cp<sup>BIG</sup> ligands are drawn in 'wires or sticks' model. Selected bond distances [Å] and angles [°] in 2a: P1-P2 2.2343(5), P1-P2' 2.2094(6), P1...P1' 5 2.7749(4), P2-P2' 2.1717(7), Fe1-P1 2.3397(4), P1-P2-P1' 77.28(2), P1-P2-P2' 60.17(2), P2-P2'-P1 61.32(2), P2-P1-P2' 58.51(2). Selected bond distances [Å] and angles [°] in 2b: As1-As2 2.4639(6), As1-As2' 2.4357(7), As1-As1' 2.9958(4), As2-As2' 2.3976(9), Fe1-As1 2.4315(7), As1-As2-As1' 75.39(2), As1-As2-As2' 60.12(2), As2-As2'-As1 61.29(2), As2-As1-As2' 58.59(2). Selected bond distances [Å] and angles [°] in 2c from both molecules in the asymmetric unit: P1-P2/P6-P7 2.227(2)/2.190(1), P2-P3/P5-P6 2.185(1)/2.194(1), P1-P3/P5-P7 3.268(1)/3.318(1), P1-S1/P7-S6 2.156(1)/2.172(1), P2-S2/P6-S5 2.108(2)/2.114(1), P3-S3/P5-S4 2.157(1)/2.161(1), P4-S1/P8-S6 2.074(2)/2.099(2), P4-S2/P8-S5 2.107(2)/2.100(1), 10 P4-S3/P8-S4 2.097(2)/2.094(2), Fe1-P1/Fe4-P7 2.314(1)/2.313(1), Fe2-P3/Fe3-P5 2.309(1)/2.314(1), P1-P2-P3/P5-P6-P7 95.60(5)/98.38(5), P1-P2-P3/P5-P7 95.60(5)/98.38(5), P1-P2-P3(5)/98.38(5), P1-P2-P3/P5-P7 95.60(5)/98.38(5), P1-P2-P3/P5-P7 95.60(5), P1-P2-P7 95.60(5), P1-P2-P3/P5-P7 95.60(5), P1-P2-P7 95.60(5), P1-P2-P7 95.60(5), P1-P2-P7 95.60(5), P1-P2-P7 95.60(5), P1-P2-P7P1-S1-P4/P7-S6-P8 105.34(6)/107.52(5), P2-S2-P4/P6-S5-P8 97.51(7)/97.46(5), P3-S3-P4/P5-S4-P8 108.02(6)/106.27(6). Selected bond distances [Å] and angles [°] in 2d (in case of disordered atoms both values are given, signed by postfixes A and B): P1-P2A/P1-P2B 2.12(1)/2.247(8), P2A-P3/P2B-P3 2.18(1)/2.148(10), P1...P3 3.260(3), P1-Se1 2.299(2), P2A-Se2A/P2B-Se2B 2.32(2)/2.14(1), P3-Se3 2.304(2), P4A-Se1/P4B-Se1 2.25(2)/2.23(1), P4A-Se2A/P4B-Se2B 2.09(2)/2.36(2), P4A-Se3/P4B-Se3 2.30(1)/2.23(1), Fe1-P1 2.321(2), Fe2-P3 2.298(2), P1-P2A-P3/P1-P2B-P3 98.6(5)/95.7(3), 15 P1-Se1-P4A/P1-Se1-P4B 96.1(3)/104.4(4), P2A-Se2A-P4A/P2B-Se2B-P4B 93.5(5)/97.1(5), P3-Se3-P4A/P3-Se3-P4B 98.9(3)/110.0(4).

**Table 1** IR and <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR data of **2a**, **2c** in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, **2d** in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and  $\nu_{CO}$  in toluene of **2a-d**. For P labeling cf. Figure 1. ( $\delta$  in ppm,  $J_{PP}$  in Hz,  $\nu_{CO}$  in cm<sup>-1</sup>)

Complex	δ ( <b>P</b> <sub>A</sub> )	$\delta\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{M/B}}\right)$	δ (P <sub>C</sub> )	$J_{PP}$	v <sub>co</sub>
2a	-53.9	-317.1	-	$187 (P_A P_M)$	2002, 1955
2b	-	-	-	-	1993, 1948
2c	169.4	153.5	91.5	296 (P <sub>A</sub> P <sub>C</sub> ), 63 (P <sub>B</sub> P <sub>C</sub> ),	2011, 1967
				$45 (P_A P_B)$	
2d	181.3	123.5	101.8	$305 (P_A P_C), 66 (P_B P_C),$	2011, 1967
				$45 (P_A P_B)$	

<sup>20</sup> Compounds **2a-d** are soluble in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, toluene, thf, and hexane but insoluble in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. The ESI mass spectra of **2a** and **2b** show the molecular ion peaks. In the case of **2c** and **2d** characteristic fragments could be detected. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of **2a** show two triplets at  $\delta = -54.0$  ppm and  $\delta = -317.1$ <sup>25</sup> ppm (<sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>PP</sub> = 187 Hz), which is typical for P<sub>4</sub> butterfly complexes.<sup>9</sup> For **2c** and **2d** the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra show A<sub>2</sub>BC spin systems (Table 1), which clearly indicate the suggested molecular structures.

Single crystal X-ray structure analyses of **2a-d** (Fig. 1) reveal <sup>30</sup> the selective cleavage of one single E–E bond (E = P, As) and two {Cp<sup>BIG</sup>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>} fragments are now coordinated at these atoms. Compounds **2a** and **2b** show a central tetraphospha/tetraarsa-bicyclo[1.1.0]butane (butterfly) structural motif, whereas for **2c** and **2d** a tetraphospha-trithio/triseleno-<sup>35</sup> bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane core is found. The P<sub>4</sub> butterfly complex **2a** follow the same trend for P–P bond lengths like other derivatives, e.g. [{Cp'''Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ , $\eta^{1:1}$ -P<sub>4</sub>)].<sup>3</sup> The bond between P1 and P2/P2' (2.2094(6) Å and 2.2343(5) Å) are similar to a single bond like in P<sub>4</sub> (2.21 Å)<sup>2a,10</sup>, however the bond P2-P2' is slightly <sup>40</sup> shortened (2.1717(7) Å).

While for  $P_4$  ligands the butterfly structural motif is known, it is particularly rare for  $As_4$  ligands. The only structurally

characterized compound in literature is  $[Cp^*Co(CO)(\eta^{1:1}-As_4)]$  in which the As<sub>4</sub> unit is bound to only one metal atom.<sup>11</sup> Recently in our group, two other arsenic containing butterfly complexes were obtained,  $[\{Cp^{''}Fe(CO)_2\}_2(\mu,\eta^{1:1}-As_4)]$  and

 $_{5}$  [{Cp\*Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ , $\eta^{1:1}$ -As<sub>4</sub>)].<sup>[12]</sup> In **2b** the bonds between the coordinated atom As1 and the non-coordinated As2 are also longer (2.4357(7) Å and 2.4639(6) Å) than the bridgehead bond (2.3976(9) Å) and agree well with the above mentioned examples. In addition, **2b** shows the same distortion after 10 conversion as compared with As<sub>4</sub> (As–As 2.44 Å),<sup>13</sup> as with **2a** and P<sub>4</sub>.

For the cleavage of one P–P bond of the P<sub>3</sub> ring in P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> only three examples are known. They all reveal an oligomeric metal bridged structure; dimeric for the iridium complexes *cis/trans*-<sup>15</sup> [Ir( $\mu$ -P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl(CO)]<sub>2</sub><sup>14</sup> and trimeric for [Pt( $\mu$ -P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)]<sub>3</sub>.<sup>15</sup> In contrast for **2c-d**, a monomeric structural motif is observed without an oligomerization because of the steric protection of the {Cp<sup>BIG</sup>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>} fragments compared to PPh<sub>3</sub> ligands in the complexes mentioned above. Despite the different <sup>20</sup> structures, the distances in the P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> core in **2c** are similar except

of the average P–P bond length of 2.20 Å which is about 0.05-0.10 Å shorter than those of the Ir and Pt complexes, respectively. Compound **2d** represents the unprecedented example, in which the first step of the degradation of the P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> cage is observed. The

<sup>25</sup> P–P bond lengths are (average of 2.17 Å) shorter than in the  $P_4Se_3$  molecule (2.22 Å – 2.26 Å),<sup>16</sup> while the other bonds of the cage are rather unaffected.

The activation of a second bond of the cages in **2a-d** by **1** was not observed regardless of the stoichiometry used and increased <sup>30</sup> reaction times. However, if solutions of **2a** are heated, formation

- or reaction times. However, if solutions of **2a** are heated, formation of  $[Cp^{BIG}Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$  and  $[(Cp^{BIG}Fe)_2(\mu,\eta^{4;4}-P_4)]$  is observed. We recently reported on the thermolysis of **1** with P<sub>4</sub>, resulting in complete degradation of the tetrahedral structure of P<sub>4</sub> resulting in the two products  $[Cp^{BIG}Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$  and  $[(Cp^{BIG}Fe)_2(\mu,\eta^{4;4}-P_4)]$ .<sup>7</sup>
- <sup>35</sup> Furthermore, if white phosphorus is added to **2a** before heating, the same ratio of products and yields are obtained as was found before.<sup>7</sup> This indicates that **2a** is the initial activation step for the complete conversion of P<sub>4</sub> by Cp<sup>R</sup>Fe fragments. A higher grade of degradation at elevate temperatures can also be assumed for <sup>40</sup> **2b-d**, which will be in the focus of future reactivity studies.

$$[Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)_2]_2 + CS_2 \xrightarrow{toluene, r.t.} Co \xrightarrow{Cp^{BIG}} S \xrightarrow{Fe} Co^{BIG} (3)$$

In addition, the reaction of 1 with an excess of  $CS_2$  at ambient temperature results in the quantitative formation of the binuclear  $[\{Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)_2\}\{Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)\}(\mu,\eta^{1:2}\text{-}CS_2)]$ complex (3)45 accompanied by instant change of color from green to brown (Eq. 3). Three new CO absorption bands for 3 are observed in both, solution (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: 2023 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1979 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1928 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and solid state (KBr: 2022 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1979 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1936 cm<sup>-1</sup>). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR and the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **3** in  $CH_2Cl_2$  show multiple superimposed 50 signals, indicating magnetic different CpBIG ligands due to the hindered rotation.<sup>9,17</sup> The X-ray structure analysis of **3** (Fig. 2) shows two different iron fragments are bridged by a CS<sub>2</sub> ligand. The molecule can be described as a ferradithiocarboxylate [Cp<sup>BIG</sup>(CO)<sub>2</sub>Fe-CS<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> coordinating as a chelate ligand to a 55 {Cp<sup>BIG</sup>FeCO}<sup>+</sup> fragment. The bond lengths within the dithiocarboxylate ligand show large differences. The C99-S1 bond is with 1.762(6) Å by about 0.1 Å longer than the one between C99 and S2 (1.660(6) Å), indicating localized single and double bonds. Also the IR spectrum of **3** is consistent with the <sup>60</sup> observation of a non-symmetric CS<sub>2</sub> ligand, where two bands in the appropriate region can be found at 1082 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1030 cm<sup>-1</sup>. These values are in a comparable range with other CS<sub>2</sub> complexes.<sup>18</sup>

A possible reaction pathway starts from the addition of two  $^{65}$  [Cp<sup>BIG</sup>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>] units onto a CS<sub>2</sub> molecule, one on the carbon atom the other on one S atom. The not to iron bound S atom than attacks the iron fragment bound to sulfur with CO elimination and formation of **3**.



<sup>70</sup> Fig. 2 Molecular structure of 3 in the crystal. Ellipsoids are drawn at a 50% probability level. For clarity H atoms are omitted and Cp<sup>BIG</sup> ligands are drawn in 'wires or sticks' model. Selected bond distances [Å] and angles [°] in 3: Fe1-C99 1.893(5), C99-S1 1.762(6), C99-S2 1.660(6), S1-Fe1' 2.396(2), S2-Fe1' 2.302(2), S1-C99-S2 104.9(3), Fe1'-S1-C99 75 89.4(2), Fe1'-S2-C99 95.3(3), S1-Fe1'-S2 70.51(5).

## Conclusions

The spontaneous formation of metal centred radicals from the sterically encumbered complex **1** enables the selective cleavage of a single E–E bond (E = P, As) in the cage molecules P<sub>4</sub>, As<sub>4</sub>, 80 P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, respectively, already at room temperature. The quantitatively obtained products [{Cp<sup>BIG</sup>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ , $\eta^{1:1}$ -**cage**)] (**cage** = P<sub>4</sub> (**2a**), As<sub>4</sub> (**2b**), P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (**2c**), P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> (**2d**)) exhibit bicyclic structural motifs. Regardless of stoichiometry and reaction time applied, no further degradation of the molecules could be sobserved. Thermolysis of **2a** leads to *cyclo*-P<sub>5</sub> and P<sub>4</sub>-butadiene containing products, which are also formed by the direct reaction of **1** with P<sub>4</sub> under elevated temperatures. Furthermore we have shown that **1** readily reacts with CS<sub>2</sub> to form a binuclear complex with a dithiocarboxylate ligand.

Further studies will be focus on the use of the dimeric complex
 1 to activate other small (organic) molecules, also in a catalytically manner.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. S. Heinl is grateful to the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for a PhD fellowship.

## **Experimental details**

## **General remarks**

All experiments were carried out under an atmosphere of dry argon or nitrogen using glovebox and Schlenk techniques. 5 Solvents were purified, dried, and degassed prior to use. P<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub>

- s Solvents were purified, and degassed prior to use.  $P_4$ ,  $P_4S_3$ and  $P_4Se_3$  were available and solutions of  $As_4^{19}$  and  $[Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)_2]_2$  (1)7 were prepared according to literature procedures. The NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance 300, 400, or 600 MHz spectrometer. ESI-MS spectra
- <sup>10</sup> were measured on a ThermoQuest Finnigan TSG 7000 mass spectrometer and FD-MS spectra on a Finnigan MAT 95 mass spectrometer. The elemental analyses were determined on a Vario EL III apparatus. The IR spectra were measured on a VARIAN FTS-800 FT-IR spectrometer.
- <sup>15</sup> **Preparation of** [{**Cp**<sup>BIG</sup>**Fe**(**CO**)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ , $\eta$ <sup>1:1</sup>-**P**<sub>4</sub>)] (**2a**). A solution of [**Cp**<sup>BIG</sup>**Fe**(**CO**)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (**1**) (1.3 g, 0.78 mmol) in 100 mL toluene is added to a solution of **P**<sub>4</sub> (96 mg, 0.78 mmol) in 50 mL toluene. The orange solution is stirred for 30 min, and the solvent is removed in vacuum. The residue is dissolved in ca. 15 mL
- $_{20}$  CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, transferred into a Schlenk-tube and 50 mL CH<sub>3</sub>CN is layered over it. After complete diffusion, red crystals of **2a** are obtained. Yield: 1.30 g (94%).

 $[C_{114}H_{130}Fe_2O_4P_4]$  calc.: C, 76.08; H, 7.28. found: C, 75.82; H, 7.13. m/z (ESI, toluene/CH<sub>3</sub>CN/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 1884.7 (65%,

- <sup>25</sup> [M+CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), 1718.7 (20%, [Cp<sup>BIG</sup><sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>P<sub>5</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), 1508.3 (20%, [Cp<sup>BIG</sup><sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), 1718.7 (100%, [Cp<sup>BIG</sup>Fe(toluene)]<sup>+</sup>), 741.5 (80%, [Cp<sup>BIG</sup>OH]<sup>+</sup>). IR (toluene, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $v_{CO}$  2002 (s), 1955 (s). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  0.81 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.3 Hz, 30H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.16 (m, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.35 (m, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.29 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.7 Hz, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.73 (d,
- <sup>30</sup>  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.9 \text{ Hz}, 10\text{H}, \text{ C}_{6}\text{H}_{4}), 7.34 \text{ (d, } {}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.9 \text{ Hz}, 10\text{H}, \text{ C}_{6}\text{H}_{4}).$ <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  -317.1 (t,  ${}^{1}J_{\text{PP}} = 187 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{P}, \text{P}_{\text{M}}), -53.9 \text{ (t, } {}^{1}J_{\text{PP}} = 187 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{P}, \text{P}_{\text{A}}).$

**Preparation of**  $[{Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)_2}_2(\mu,\eta^{1:1}-As_4)]$  (2b). A solution of  $[Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)_2]_2$  (1) (0.75 g, 0.44 mmol) in 50 mL toluene is

- <sup>35</sup> added at room temperature to a freshly prepared solution of As<sub>4</sub> (from 5 g As<sub>gray</sub> in 300 mL toluene). The orange solution is stirred for 30 min and the solvent is removed in vacuum. The residue is solved in ca. 10 mL CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, filtered into a Schlenktube and 30 mL CH<sub>3</sub>CN is layered over it. After complete <sup>40</sup> diffusion, red crystals of **2b** are obtained. Yield: 0.73 g (84%).
- [C<sub>114</sub>H<sub>130</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>As<sub>4</sub>\*CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>] calc.: C, 67.03; H, 6.46. found: C, 66.64; H, 6.44. m/z (ESI, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 1976.2 (100%, [M]<sup>+</sup>). IR (toluene, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v<sub>CO</sub> 1993 (s), 1948 (s). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  0.80 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 30H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.16 (m, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.34 (m, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>) = 2.27 (t<sup>-3</sup>J<sub>H</sub>) =

<sup>45</sup> CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.27 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.6$  Hz, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.72 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$  Hz, 10H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 7.34 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$  Hz, 10H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).

- <sup>50</sup> added to a solution of  $P_4Q_3$  ( $P_4S_3$ : 25 mg, 0.11 mmol;  $P_4Se_3$ : 40 mg, 0.11 mmol) in 5 mL toluene. The pink solution is stirred for 30 min, filtered via cannula and the solvent is removed in vacuum. According to the NMR spectroscopy the isolated solids are pure. Yield: 100 mg (88%) of **2c**; 110 mg (91%) of **2d**.
- 55 2c: An analytically pure crystalline sample can be obtained by the diffusion of CH<sub>3</sub>CN in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solutions of 2c. Crystalline yield:

99 mg (47%). [ $C_{114}H_{130}Fe_2O_4P_4S_3*CH_2CI_2$ ] calc.: C, 69.73; H, 6.72; S, 4.86. found: C, 70.03; H, 6.54; S, 4.86. *m/z* (ESI, toluene/CH<sub>3</sub>OH) 1839.1 (100%, [M-2CO]<sup>+</sup>). IR (toluene, cm<sup>-1</sup>): <sup>60</sup> v<sub>CO</sub> 2011 (s), 1967 (s). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  0.82 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.3 Hz, 30H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.18 (m, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.38 (m, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.32 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.8 Hz, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.75 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8.2 Hz, 10H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 7.30 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8.2 Hz, 10H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  91.5 (td, <sup>1</sup>J(P<sub>A</sub>P<sub>C</sub>) = 296 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J(P<sub>B</sub>P<sub>C</sub>) = 63 Hz, 1P, P<sub>C</sub>), 153.5 (dt, <sup>2</sup>J(P<sub>B</sub>P<sub>C</sub>)

- ${}^{65} = 63 \text{ Hz}, {}^{2}J(P_{A}P_{B}) = 45 \text{ Hz}, 1P, P_{B}), 169.4 \text{ (dd}, {}^{2}J(P_{A}P_{B}) = 45 \text{ Hz}, \\ {}^{1}J(P_{A}P_{C}) = 296 \text{ Hz}, 2P, P_{A}). {}^{13}C\{{}^{1}H\} \text{ NMR (C}_{6}D_{6}): 14.1 \text{ (CH}_{3}), \\ 22.7 \text{ (CH}_{2}), 33.1 \text{ (CH}_{2}), 35.5 \text{ (CH}_{2}), 103.5 \text{ (Cp)}, 128.4 \text{ (C}_{6}H_{4}), \\ 133.1 \text{ (C}_{6}H_{4}), 142.6 \text{ (C}_{6}H_{4}), 215.0 \text{ (CO)}, \text{ one Ph C atom is obscured by solvent signal.}$
- <sup>70</sup> **2d**:  $[C_{114}H_{130}Fe_2O_4P_4S_3*CH_2Cl_2]$  calc.: C, 67.23; H, 6.43. found: C, 66.06; H, 6.47. *m/z* (FD, toluene) 1786.1 (100%,  $[M-P_3Se_2]^+$ ). IR (toluene, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v<sub>CO</sub> 2011 (s), 1967 (s). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  0.91 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 30H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.30 (m, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.53 (m, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.49 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 10H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.84 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 7.7
- <sup>75</sup> Hz, 10H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 6.92 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.7$  Hz, 10H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  101.8 (td,  ${}^{1}J(P_{A}P_{C}) = 305$  Hz,  ${}^{2}J(P_{B}P_{C}) = 66$ Hz, 1P, P<sub>C</sub>), 123.5 (dt,  ${}^{2}J(P_{B}P_{C}) = 66$  Hz,  ${}^{2}J(P_{A}P_{B}) = 45$  Hz, 1P, P<sub>B</sub>), 181.3 (dd,  ${}^{2}J(P_{A}P_{B}) = 45$  Hz,  ${}^{1}J(P_{A}P_{C}) = 305$  Hz, 2P, P<sub>A</sub>).  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$  NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 14.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 22.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 33.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>),
- <sup>80</sup> 35.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 103.4 (Cp), 127.9 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 127.9 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 132.8 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 143.0 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 215.4 (CO). **Preparation of [{Cp<sup>BIG</sup>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>}{Cp<sup>BIG</sup>Fe(CO)}(\mu,\eta^{1:2}-CS<sub>2</sub>)] (3). To a solution of [Cp<sup>BIG</sup>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (1) (100 mg, 0.06 mmol) in 5 mL toluene 1 mL CS<sub>2</sub> is added. The solution immediately <sup>85</sup> becomes brown. The reaction mixture is stirred for 30 min, filtered, and the solvent is removed in vacuum. <b>3** is obtained as

brown powder. Yield: 93 mg (91%) To obtain single crystals, the residue is dissolved in ca. 5 mL CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, transferred into a Schlenk-tube and 10 mL CH<sub>3</sub>CN is <sup>90</sup> layered over it. After complete diffusion, red needle shaped crystals of **2a** are obtained.

3:  $[{Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)_2} {Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)}(\mu, \eta^{1:2}-CS_2)]: m/z$  (FD, toluene) 1724.0 (45%, [M]<sup>+</sup>), 1696.1 (100%, [M - CO]<sup>+</sup>), 1640.2 (55%, [M - 3CO]<sup>+</sup>). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v<sub>CO</sub> 2023 (s), 1979 (s),

<sup>95</sup> 1928 (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v<sub>C0</sub> 2022 (s), 1979 (s), 1936 (s). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 0.91 (m, 30H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.32 (m, 20H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.53 (m, 20H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.52 (m, 20H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.7 – 7.0 (m, 40H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).
<sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 14.14, 14.17, 22.70, 22.76, 22.80, 22.84, 33.56, 33.59, 33.61, 33.72, 33.78, 35.68, 96.29, 98.27, 102.00, 102.50, 103.49, 103.60, 127.24. 127.44, 127.49, 127.58, 127.88, 127.96, 128.08, 128.12, 128.20, 128.24, 129.0, 131.69, 132.23, 132.43, 132.55, 132.92, 141.14, 141.64, 142.21, 142.91, 142.97, 143.59, 214.87, 216.10.

## 105 Notes and references

<sup>a</sup> University of Regensburg, Universitätsstr. 31, 93040 Regensburg, Germany. Fax: +49 941 943 4439; Tel: +49 941 943 4440; E-mail: manfred.scheer@chemie.uni-regensburg.de

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Full 110 crystallographic data, NMR spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/b00000x/

Page 4 of 5

- a) B. M. Cossairt, N. A. Piro, C. C. Cummins, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4164-4177; b) M. Caporali, L. Gonsalvi, A. Rossin, M. Peruzzini, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4178-4235.
- 2 a) M. Scheer, G. Balázs, A. Seitz, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4236-4256.
   b) N. A. Giffin, J. D. Masuda, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **255**, 1342-1359.
- 3 O. J. Scherer, T. Hilt, G. Wolmershäuser, *Organometallics* 1998, **17**, 4110-4112.
- 4 a) J. Wachter, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 751-768. b) M. Di Vaira, P. Stoppioni, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1992, 120, 259-279.
- 5 H. Brunner, U. Klement, W. Meier, J. Wachter, O. Serhadle, M. L. Ziegler, J. Organomet. Chem., 1987, **335**, 339-352.
- 6 a) I. Kuksis, M. C. Baird, Organometallics, 1994, 13, 1551-1553. b) I. Kuksis, M. C. Baird, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 4755-4762.
- 7 S. Heinl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.*, **2014**, accepted. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10426507.2014.903489
- 8 a) D. H. R. Barton, J. Zhu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 2071-2072. b)
  D. H. R. Barton, R. A. Vonder Embse, *Tetrahedron* 1998, 54, 12475-12496; c) B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, New J. Chem. 2010, 34, 1533-1536; d) J.-P. Bezombes, P. B. Hitchcock, M. F. Lappert, J. E. Nycz, *Dalton Trans.* 2004, 499-501.
- 9 S. Heinl, S. Reisinger, C. Schwarzmaier, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, accepted. http://dx.do.org/10.1002/anie.201403295.
- 10 a) C. Schwarzmaier, A. Schindler, C. Heindl, S. Scheuermayer, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, M. Neumeier, R. Gschwind, M. Scheer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10896-10899. b) A. Simon, H. Borrmann, H. Craubner, Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem., 1987, 30, 507-510. c) B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, A. R. Head, D. L. Lichtenberger, R. J. F. Berger, S. A. Hayes, N. W. Mitzel, G. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 8459-8465.
- 11 O. J. Scherer, K. Pfeiffer, G. Wolmershäuser, Chem. Ber. 1992, 125, 2367-2372.
- 12 C. Schwarzmaier, PhD thesis, University of Regensburg (Regensburg, Germany), 2012.
- 13 a) Y. Morino, T. Ukaji, T. Ito, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1966**, *39*, 64-71.b) H. A. Spinney, N. A. Piro, C. C. Cummins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 16233-16243.
- 14 a) C. A. Ghilardi, S. Midollini, A. Orlandini, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1983, 22, 790-791. b) E. Kuwabara, R. Bau, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 1994, 50, 64-67.
- 15 M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, P. Stoppioni, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1985, 291-295.
- 16 E. Keulen, A. Vos, Acta Crystallogr., 1959, 12, 323-329.
- 17 a) S. Heinl, E. V. Peresypkina, A. Y. Timoshkin, P. Mastrorilli, V. Gallo, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 10887-10891. b) S. Heinl, E. V. Peresypkina, A. Y. Timoshkin, P. Mastrorilli, V. Gallo, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem.*, 2013, **125**, 11087-11091.
- 18 a) W. Uhl, A. Vester, W. Hiller, J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 443, 9-17.
  b) C. Bianchini, C. Mealli, A. Meli, A. Orlandini, L. Sacconi, Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 2968-2975. c) M. Herberhold, M. Süß-Fink, Chem. Ber. 1978, 111, 2273-2281. d) U. Ochmichen, T. G. Southern, H. Le Bozec, P. Dixneuf, J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 156, C29-C32.
- 19 O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, G. Wolmershäuser, J. Organomet. Chem., 1986, 309, 77-86.

#### Table of Contents entry:

The sterically encumbered complex  $[Cp^{BIG}Fe(CO)_2]_2$  (1)  $(Cp^{BIG} = pentakis(4-$ *n*-butylphenyl)cyclopentadienyl) forms in solution radicals, which enable the selective cleavage of a E–E single bond (E = P, As) in the cage molecules P<sub>4</sub>, As<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, respectively, already at room temperature.