Chemical Science

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

RSCPublishing

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Copper-Catalyzed Alkene Diamination: Synthesis of Chiral 2-Aminomethyl Indolines and Pyrrolidines

Benjamin W. Turnpenny^{*a*} and Sherry R. Chemler^{*a**}

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Chiral vicinal diamines, including 2-aminomethyl indolines and pyrrolidines, are useful as ligands for catalytic asymmetric reactions and are also found as important components of bioactive compounds. Herein is reported the first copper-catalyzed alkene diamination that occurs with high enantioselectivity. The substrate range is the broadest yet reported for this kind of intra-/ intermolecular reaction sequence both with respect to γ -alkenyl sulfonamide substrate and external amine nucleophile. The resulting products expand the availability of substituted 2-aminomethyl indolines and pyrrolidines, privileged compounds in asymmetric catalysis and medicinal chemistry. A unique solution to a challenging oxidation problem related to copper catalyst turnover is also presented.

Introduction

Chiral vicinal diamines, including 2-aminomethyl indolines and pyrrolidines, are used as ligands for catalytic asymmetric reactions¹⁻⁴ and are also found as important components of bioactive compounds.⁵⁻⁷ Development of reactions that allow direct access to chiral vicinal diamines from readily available alkenes has been a longstanding interest and challenge in organic synthesis. Recent advances in alkene diamination technology⁸⁻¹⁴ have yielded a number of promising strategies, yet catalytic enantioselective alkene diaminations remain rare. Since 2007, Shi and co-workers have intermolecular Pddeveloped elegant and Cu-catalyzed enantioselective diene diamination reactions using diaziridinone reagents that serve as both the diamine source and oxidant (Scheme 1).¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Additionally, Muniz and co-workers reported the use of a hypervalant chiral iodine(III) reagent for intermolecular diamination of styrenes in 2011.^{19,20} In 2010, we reported four examples of copper-catalyzed intra/intermolecular alkene diaminations and one promising, albeit unoptimized example of a catalytic enantioselective diamination reaction that resulted in the synthesis of a chiral 2-aminomethyl indoline in 71% ee using the readily available (R,R)-Ph-box ligand (Scheme 1).²¹ Michael and co-workers reported a Pd-catalyzed enantioselective diamination that provides substituted chiral 2-aminomethylpyrrolidines in very good to excellent enantioselectivities and in moderate to good yields in 2013.22 Several y-unsaturated amides and carbamates undergo this $[Pd(R)-Ph-quinox](TFA)_2$ -catalyzed reaction where $(SO_2Ph)_2NF$ (NFBS) is used as both the oxidant and amine source (Scheme 1). These enantioselective alkene diamination reactions are largely complementary, where each fits best with specific substrate and product classes.





Scheme 1. Enantioselective Alkene Diamination

Herein we report a significant expansion of the scope of our copper-catalyzed inter/intramolecular alkene diamination

protocol for the synthesis of substituted indolines and pyrrolidines. Importantly, we have optimized and expanded the enantioselective _ reaction to where we average between 81-91% ee for 2-aminomethyl – indolines and 89 to >95% ee for 2-amino pyrrolidines (*vide infra*). A major new enabling advance in our enantioselective diamination reaction protocol was realized by employing KMnO₄ as an oxidation catalyst (*vide infra*). This enantioselective diamination reaction is distinct from the other protocols in that the amine component is not pre-oxidized, allowing for a generally broader scope of readily available amine sources to be employed.

Results and Discussion

The scope in the racemic, copper-catalyzed alkene diamination²¹ for the formation of 2-aminomethyl indolines was expanded as summarized in Table 1. Most of these reactions were run using 20 mol % of copper(2-ethylhexanoate)₂, 300 mol % of MnO₂, 2.2 equiv of external amine nucleophile and 1 equiv of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methyl pyridine as base in α,α,α -trifluorotoluene at 110 °C for 24 h. Other oxidants [e.g. O₂ (1 atm), (t-BuO)₂] were also examined for the purpose of copper catalyst turnover [believed to be Cu(I) to Cu(II), vide infra]. While O₂ was a poor oxidant for this reaction (not shown), (t-BuO)₂ proved to be an effective oxidant, though still not as effective as MnO₂ (Table 1, entry 1, compare conditions a and b).

2-Allylanilines with both alkyl and aryl sulfonamide groups undergo efficient diamination under the optimized reaction conditions (a). External amine nucleophiles including TsNH₂, MsNH₂, 2-trimethylsilylethylsulfonylamide (SESNH₂), and benzamide were effective although benzamide provided a comparatively lower yield (Table 1, entries 1-4).²¹ *N*-Tosyl-2-allyl aniline **1b** provided 64% of diamine **2e** under these catalytic conditions, where an additional 31% of the material was sultam **3** (*vide infra*, Scheme 2). Use of the hindered 3,5-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methoxyaryl sulfonamide **1e** provided increased diamination yields compared to **1b** (68-80% yield, Table 1, entries 8-14), and no sultam products **3**, likely due to greater steric blocking of the ortho sites on the sulfonamide's arene (*vide infra*).

Two substrates with internal alkenes, **1k** and **1l**, also underwent the copper(II)-catalyzed diamination reaction (Table 1, entries 15 and 16). For these substrates, the *N*-tosyl sulfonamides were used as no sultam products were observed in their diamination reactions. These substrates did require the use of more reactive $Cu(OTf)_2$ -bis(oxazoline) catalyst and highest yields were observed under reaction conditions (solvent, oxidant) optimized for the enantioselective reaction (*vide infra*). A 58:42 ratio of diamine diastereomers **2o** and **2p** was formed in 76% combined yield from the (*Z*)-styrenyl substrate **1k**. Use of the chiral (*R*,*R*)-Ph-box ligand in this reaction led to only racemic products, however. Meso diamine **2q** (73%) was formed from the doubly intramolecular diamination of dimeric substrate **1l**. In most of these reactions the remainder of the mass balance was unreacted alkene substrate. **Table 1.** Scope of the catalytic diamination of 2-allylanilines^a

Entry	Substrate	RNH ₂	Product	% Yield
1	×	TsNH ₂	NHTs	84 (75) ^b
	NH B1		Ný Ms	
	$\mathbf{1a}, \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{Ms},$		2a	
2	1 a	$MsNH_2$	NHMs	69
			∽ N Ms	
3	1a	SESNH ₂	2b NHSES	80
5		5251 (112		00
			^{Ms} 2c	
4	1a	$BzNH_2$	NHC(O)Ph	50
			Ms Ms	
5	1b $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{H} \ \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{T} \mathbf{e}$	TeNH.	2d NHTs	61 ^c
5	10 , <i>X</i> = 11, <i>K</i> = 13	131112		04
			Ts 2e	
6	$1c, X = H, R^1 =$	TsNH_2	NHTs	65
	SO ₂ Bn		SO ₂ Bn	
-		T) 11	2f	
/	Id, $X = H$, $R^{2} =$ SO ₂ C ₃ H ₅	I SNH ₂		/5
	2 9 9		0 ₂ s	
0	1. V – II	TaNII	2g	94
0	$R^{1} = 3,5 - di - t - Bu - di - d$	I SINT ₂		04
	4-MeOC ₆ H ₂ SO ₂		SO_2Ar 2h , Ar = 3.5-di-	
0		and ut	t-Bu-4-MeOC ₆ H ₂	
9	le	SESNH ₂		11
			SO ₂ Ar	
10	$\mathbf{1f}, \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{OMe},$	TsNH_2	MeO NHTs	75
	$R^1 = 3,5 - di - t - Bu - 4 - MeOC_{1} + BOC_{2}$		N SO ₂ Ar	
11	$1_{a} V = Pr$	TaNII	2j Bro con NHTS	69
11	$R^{1} = 3,5 - di - t - Bu$ -	I SINT ₂		08
	4-MeOC ₆ H ₂ SO ₂		O₂S∽Ar	
12	1h , $X = Cl$,	TsNH ₂		70
	$R^1 = 3,5-di-t-Bu-$	-		
	$4-\text{MeOC}_6\text{H}_2\text{SO}_2$		0₂s⊸ _{Ar} 2I	
13	1i, X = F,	TsNH_2	FNHTs	70
	$R^1 = 3,5 - di - t - Bu - 4 - MeOC_{1} + ASO_{2}$		N SO ₂ Ar	
	11110006112002		2m	
14	1j, X = Me, $P^{1} = 35 di t Bu$	TsNH ₂	Me NHTs	80
	$4-MeOC_6H_2SO_2$		[™] [¬] N O₂S _{¬Ar}	
1 <i>5</i> d	Ph	T-NU	2n	7(
15		I SINH ₂	[↓ ↓ ↓ Ph	/0
	NHTs		20 , 2p (dr = 58:42)	
16 ^d				73
	NHTs NHTS		2q (dr > 20:1)	

Conditions: ^a20 mol % Cu(2-ethylhexanoate)₂, MnO₂ (3 equiv), 2,6-di-*t*-Bu-4-Me-pyridine (1 equiv), PhCF₃, RNH₂ (2.2 equiv), 110 °C, 24 h. ^bUsing 2 equiv (*t*-BuO)₂ at 100 °C instead of MnO₂. ^c31% of sultam **3** also isolated. ^dIn entry 15, [Cu(bisoxazoline)](OTf)₂ was used. For entry 16 [Cu(*R*,*R*)-Phbox](OTf)₂ was used. 8% KMnO₄ and 1:1 PhCF₃:1,2-dichloroethane solvent were used in both reactions. Entries 1-3 (except 1^b) were taken from ref. 21.

Chemical Science

A crystal structure of diamine 2j (Figure 1) confirmed it to be the exo regioisomer.



Figure 1. X-ray structure of diamine 2j.

We propose the copper(II)-catalyzed alkene diamination reaction occurs via the mechanism shown in Scheme 2. Coordination of the y-unsaturated sulfonamide to [Cu(II)] followed by cis-aminocupration²³ leads to an unstable organocopper(II) intermediate. Homolysis of the [C-Cu(II)] bond leads to a primary carbon radical. Isotopic labeling studies have supported formation of an sp²-hybridized carbon at this stage since the subsequent C-N bond formation occurs with equal amounts of retention and inversion at this carbon.²⁴ Addition of the carbon radical to a [copper(II)-NHR] species generates a [copper(III)-NHR] species, similar to that implicated in the Kharasch-Sosnovsky reaction.²⁵ Subsequent reductive elimination secures the second C-N bond, giving vicinal Although both reductive elimination and S_N2 diamine 2. displacement could secure this second C-N bond, we tentatively favor the reductive elimination mechanism as external amines with electron withdrawing groups usually perform as well if not better than their electron-rich counterparts in this reaction.^{21,26} For diamination to occur, the copper(II) catalyst is needed in both C-N bond-forming steps. Copper-catalyst turnover in this reaction is thought to involve oxidation of [Cu(I)] to [Cu(II)] with the stoichimetric oxidant, MnO₂ (300 mol % of activated ca. 85% MnO_2 , <5 μ m).

When an arylsulfonamide is used (e.g. *N*-tosyl-2allylaniline, **1b**), an alternative pathway for the carbon radical intermediate is intramolecular addition to the sulfonylarene.^{27,28} This addition, a net carboamination reaction, results in formation of the corresponding sultam **3** (Scheme 2).²⁷ The competition between diamination and carboamination pathways proved a challenging problem in the optimization of our copper-catalyzed enantioselective diamination (*vide infra*).



Scheme 2. Proposed copper(II)-catalyzed diamination mechanism.

Asymmetric Catalysis

The two most successful ligands for asymmetric catalysis with copper(II)-catalyzed this class of alkene amination/difunctionalization reactions are bis(oxazolines)(R,R)-Phbox and (4R,5S)-di-Ph-box.²⁸⁻³² The former is commercially available (in both enantiomers) while the latter is available in one step from a commercially available ligand.²⁴ While arylsulfonamides can give sultam byproducts 3 in this diamination reaction, aliphatic sulfonamides cannot. They do, however, form hydroamination side products 4.¹⁸ The affect of substrate, catalyst, base and oxidant were first examined in the copper(II)-catalyzed enantioselective diamination reactions of N-alkylsulfonyl 2-allylanilines 1 (Table 2). While the diamination catalyzed by $Cu(2-ethylhexanoate)_2$ in the presence of (R,R)-Ph-box and MnO₂ (3 equiv) provided an excellent yield of diamine 2a, no enantioselectivity was observed (Table 2, entry 1). This is in contrast to the reaction catalyzed with the $[Cu(R,R)-Ph-box](OTf)_2$, which provides diamine 2a in 71% ee (Table 2, entry 2).²¹ The use of flame-dried 4 Å molecular sieves was important to maintaining reproducible yields and selectivities. We were able to further optimize the diamination of **1a** by increasing the amount of TsNH₂ nucleophile. This resulted in both increased yield and enantioselectivity at lower catalyst loading (Table 2, entries 2-4, up to 81% ee). Use of the (4R,5S)-di-Ph-box ligand provided slightly higher yield but comparable selectivity (Table 2, entries 4 and 5). Use of K₂CO₃ as base proved both less efficient (Table 2, entry 6, more hydroamination occurred) and less enantioselective (33% ee), while the reaction with Cs₂CO₃ provided racemic diamine 2a (Table 2, entry 7). Use of $(t-BuO)_2$ as oxidant provided 59% of diamine 2a but the enantioselectivity was low (21% ee, Table 2, entry 8). It is possible in these latter two cases that a new ligand (e.g. t-BuO⁻ or CO₃²⁻) is introduced to the copper center, thereby changing the coordination sphere of the chiral catalyst. By contrast, 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylpyridine is too hindered to coordinate to the copper center. The hydroamination product 4, formed to a larger extent in the reaction using K₂CO₃ (Table 2, entry 6) is racemic, likely indicating it is formed via H^+ promoted cyclization of 1a. This may explain why use of the more soluble hindered pyridine base can minimize this side reaction.

oot [Cu/II)]

ee (%) 2

<5

71

73

81

81

33

<5

21

79

76

Table 2. Optimization of the enantioselective diamination of 2-allylaniline-derived alkyl sulfonamides

1a, R 1c, R 1d, R	$NH = MS = 10$ $= SO_2Bn = SO_2C_3H_5$	base (1 equiv) oxidant, PhCF ₃ , 4 Å mol. sieves 00 or 110 °C, 24 h 2f, F 2g,	$ \begin{array}{c} & \underset{R}{\overset{N}{\underset{R}}} & \underset{R}{\overset{NHTs}{\underset{R}}} & \underset{R}{\overset{N}{\underset{R}}} \\ & \underset{R}{\overset{R}{\underset{R}}} & \underset{R}{\overset{N}{\underset{R}}} \\ & \underset{R}{\overset{S}{\underset{S}}} \\ & \underset{R}{\overset{S}{\underset{S}}} \\ & \underset{R}{\overset{S}{\underset{S}}} \\ & \underset{R}{\overset{S}{\underset{S}}} \\ & \underset{R}{\overset{NHTs}{\underset{R}}} \\ & \underset{R}{\overset{NHTs}{\underset{R}} \\ & \underset{R}{\overset{NHTs}{\underset{R}}} \\ & \underset{R}{\overset{NHTs}{\underset{R}} \\ & \underset{N}{\underset{R}{\overset{NHTs}{\underset{R}} \\ & \underset{N}{\underset{R}} \\ & \underset{N}{\underset{R}} \\ & \underset{N}{\underset{R}} \\ & \underset{N}{\underset{N}} \\ & \underset{N}{\underset{N}{\underset{N}} \\ & \underset{N}{\underset{N}{\underset{N}} \\ & \underset{N}{\underset{N}} \\ & \underset{N}{\underset{N}} $		Ph (<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>)-Ph-box	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ Ph^{\cdots} & \downarrow \\ Ph \\ Ph \\ Ph \\ (4R,5S) \text{-di-Ph-box} \end{array}$		
Entry	Substrate	[Cu] (mol %)	Ligand	Equiv TsNH2	Oxidant	Base	Ratio	Yield (%) 2
			(mol %)		(equiv)		(2:4)	
1 <i>a</i>	1a	Cu(2- ethylhexanoate) ₂ (20)	(<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>)-Ph-box (25)	2.5	MnO ₂ (3)	2,6-di-t-Bu-4-Me- pyridine	Only 2	84
2 <i>ª</i>	1a	Cu(OTf) ₂ (30)	(<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>)-Ph-box (37)	1.5	MnO ₂ (3)	2,6-di-t-Bu-4-Me- pyridine	80:20	64
3 ^a	1a	Cu(OTf) ₂ (20)	(<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>)-Ph-box (25)	1.2	MnO ₂ (3)	2,6-di-t-Bu-4-Me- pyridine	55:45	45
4 ^{<i>a</i>}	1a	Cu(OTf) ₂ (20)	(<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>)-Ph-box (25)	2.5	MnO ₂ (3)	2,6-di-t-Bu-4-Me- pyridine	79:21	63
5 ª	1a	Cu(OTf) ₂ (20)	(4 <i>R</i> ,5 <i>S</i>)-di-Ph-box (25)	2.5	MnO ₂ (3)	2,6-di-t-Bu-4-Me- pyridine	80:20	68
6 ^{<i>b</i>}	1a	Cu(OTf) ₂ (20)	(<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>)-Ph-box (25)	2.5	MnO ₂ (3)	K ₂ CO ₃	53:47	35
7^b	1a	Cu(OTf) ₂ (20)	(<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>)-Ph-box (25)	2.5	MnO ₂ (3)	Cs ₂ CO ₃	76:24	60
8 ^{<i>b</i>}	1a	Cu(OTf) ₂ (20)	(<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>)-Ph-box (25)	2.5	(<i>t</i> -BuO) ₂ (2)	2,6-di-t-Bu-4-Me- pyridine	73:27	59
9ª	1c	Cu(OTf) ₂ (20)	(4 <i>R</i> ,5 <i>S</i>)-di-Ph-box (25)	2.5	MnO ₂ (3)	2,6-di-t-Bu-4-Me- pyridine	55:45	49
10 <i>ª</i>	1d	Cu(OTf) ₂ (20)	(4 <i>R</i> ,5 <i>S</i>)-di-Ph-box (25)	2.5	MnO ₂ (3)	2,6-di-t-Bu-4-Me- pyridine	64:36	58

Additional conditions: a 110 °C; b 100 °C. Diamination to hydroamination (2 to 4) ratios were determined by analysis of the crude 1 H NMR. Yields are reported as isolated via flash chromatography. Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC.

Under the optimal conditions (Table 2, entry 5), the benzylsulfonamide and cyclopropylsulfonamides 1c and 1d also provided diamine products 2f and 2g in respectable enantiomeric excess, however, these results were not superior to the reaction of 1a, and the ratio of diamination to hydroamination was decreased (Table 2, entries 9 and 10).

A number of other chiral ligands and sulfonamide nucleophiles were screened in the enantioselective diamination of **1a**, but none gave higher selectivity than (R,R)-Ph-box (81% ee). Thus, the copper-catalyzed enantioselective diaminations of *N*-arylsulfonyl-2-allylanilines **1b** and **1e** were subsequently reexamined (Table 3). *N*-tosyl-2-allylaniline **1b** gave only sultam **3** under the [Cu(R,R)-Ph-box](OTf)₂-catalyzed conditions (Table 3, entry 1). This is in contrast to the reaction with Cu(2-ethylhexanoate)₂ as catalyst, where 64% of the diamine adduct **2e**

was obtained (Table 1, entry 5). This difference indicates relative participation of the copper catalysts in the second C-N bond forming step (Scheme 2, vide supra), which may be due to differential oxidation rates of the corresponding [Cu(I)] complexes (vide infra).³³ The more sterically hindered 3,5-ditert-butyl-4-methoxy phenylsulfonyl group of substrate 1e reduced the rate of sultam formation and allowed formation of diamine adduct **2h** in 18% yield (diamine : sultam = 20:80) and in 92% ee under these conditions (Table 3, entry 2). An increase in external amine nucleophile from 2.5 equiv to 5 equiv led to an increase in diamine yield (33% yield, 91% ee, diamine : sultam = 1:1.5, Table 3, entry 3). An increase in catalyst loading from 20 to 40 mol % led to a further increase in isolated diamine yield to 56% (diamine : sultam = 63:37, Table 3, entry 4). We interpreted this increase in yield to be a response to the increased

amount of available copper(II) needed for the second C-N bond-forming step.

In order to reduce the catalyst loading from 40 mol % to a more synthetically useful level (25 mol %), we investigated the affect of solvent and oxidant on the reaction. We found a higher ratio of diamine : sultam (46:54) was obtained in 1,2dichloroethane (DCE) but the diamine product, isolated in 40% yield, had a diminished enantiomeric excess (79% ee). A 1:1 ratio of DCE to CF₃Ph provided the same ratio of diamine : sultam (45:55) as in DCE (Table 3, entry 7). In order to maintain a lower catalyst loading (25 mol %) while further maximizing diamine yield, we investigated increasing the oxidizing power of the oxidant. Merely increasing the MnO₂ loading from 300 mol % to 400-600 mol % had little effect on the diamination : carboamination ratio (not shown), possibly due to the poor solubility of this reagent in the reaction medium. Inspired by a report by Shaabani and co-workers where a catalytic amount of KMnO₄ had been used in an MnO₂ oxidation,³⁴ we subjected the reaction to a mixture (mixed with mortar and pestle) of oxidant containing 3 equiv of MnO₂ and 0.08 equiv of KMnO₄ in the mixed solvent system. To our delight, the diamination : sultam ratio increased to 69 : 31 and diamine **2h** was isolated in 64% yield and 90% ee. Use of higher amounts of KMnO₄ in these reactions led to formation of side products. We also noted that reactions run with 24% excess ligand, compared to [Cu] [e.g. 25 mol% Cu(OTf)₂ and 31 mol % (*R*,*R*)-Ph-box] gave higher enantioselectivities than those run with 8% excess ligand [e.g. 25 mol % Cu(OTf)₂ and 27 mol % (*R*,*R*)-Ph-box] (compare Table 3, entries 8 and 9).

These optimized reaction conditions (Table 3, entry 9) were applied to variously substituted 2-allylanilines, undergoing coupling with $T_{S}NH_2$ and $SESNH_2$ (Table 4). While the yields were all around 60% irrespective of substrate, substituents on the para position of the aniline (Me, OMe, Br, Cl, F) did somewhat diminish the enantioselectivity (enantioselectivity ranged from 81-91% ee).

The different sulfonyl groups on the diamine products can undergo orthogonal deprotection, e.g. the arylsulfonyl is susceptible to reduction (Mg, MeOH, Eq 2)³⁵ while the 2-trimethylsilylethyl sulfonamides can be de-sulfonylated with fluoride (e.g. TBAF).

 Table 3. Optimization of enantioselective diamination of N-arylsulfonyl-2-allylanilines^a



Entry	Substrate	[Cu] (mol %)	Additional oxidant	TsNH ₂ (equiv)	solvent	Ratio 2:3	Yield (%) 2	ee (%) 2
1 ^b	1b	20		2.5	PhCF ₃	only 3		
2 ^b	1e	20		2.5	PhCF ₃	20:80	18	92
3 ^b	1e	20		5.0	PhCF ₃	40:60	33	91
4 ^b	1e	40		5.0	PhCF ₃	63:37	56	90
5°	1e	25		5.0	PhCF ₃	40:60	35	86
6 °	1e	25		5.0	DCE	46:54	40	79
7 °	1e	25		5.0	1:1 PhCF ₃ /DCE	45:55	nd	nd
8 °	1e	25	8% KMnO4	5.0	1:1 PhCF ₃ /DCE	65:35	60	86
9 ^b	1e	25	8% KMnO ₄	5.0	1:1 PhCF ₃ /DCE	69:31	64	90

Conditions: ^aReactions in PhCF₃ were run at 110 °C. Reactions in DCE were run at 100 °C. Ratio of **2:3** determined by analysis of the crude ¹H NMR spectra. Yields of products are given as isolated by flash chromatography. Enantiomeric excess was measured by chiral HPLC analysis. ^bRun with 24% excess (*R*,*R*)-Ph-box ligand compared to Cu(OTf)₂; ^cRun with 8% excess (*R*,*R*)-Ph-box ligand compared to Cu(OTf)₂.

Table 4. Scope of *N*-arylsulfonyl-2-allylanilines^a

x t-Bu MeO	ICu(R,F) NH 2,6-di- SO2	R)-Ph-box](OTf) ₂ RNH ₂ (5 equiv tert-butyl-4-meth (1 equiv) MnO ₂ (300 mol ⁴ KMnO ₄ (8 mol ⁹) I. sieves, DCE:PI 100 °C, 24 h	(25 mol %)) ylpyridine ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Entry	Substrate	RNH ₂	Yield (%	%) ee (%)
1	1e, X = H	SESNH ₂	59	91
2	$\mathbf{1f}, \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{OMe}$	TsNH_2	61	85
3	$\mathbf{1g}, \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Br}$	TsNH_2	59	84
4	1h, X = Cl	TsNH ₂	60	83
5	1 i , X = F	TsNH ₂	60	85
6	1j, X = Me	TsNH ₂	63	81

^aSame conditions as Table 3, entry 9.





Entry	Substrate	Amine Nucleonhile	Product	Ratio 6:7	Vield (%) 6	ee (%) 6
Lifti y	Substrate	Annue Adeleophile	Troduct	Ratio 0.7	1 leid (70) 0	cc (70) 0
1 ^a	5a	TsNH ₂	6a , $R^1 = Me$, $R^2 = Ts$	67:33	64	>95
2 ^b	5a	TsNH ₂	6a , $R^1 = Me$, $R^2 = Ts$	76:24	72	>95
3°	5a	SESNH ₂	6b , $R^1 = Me$, $R^2 = SES$	63:37	58	>95
4 ^c	5a	BsNH ₂	6c , $R^1 = Me$, $R^2 = Bs$	69:31	63	>95
5°	5a	$2\text{-}MeC_6H_4SO_2NH_2$	6d , $R^1 = Me$, $R^2 = SO_2(2-MeC_6H_4)$	68:32	62	>95
6 ^c	5a	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ SO ₂ NH ₂	6e , $R^1 = Me$, $R^2 = SO_2(4-MeOC_6H_4)$	66:34	60	>95
7 ^c	5a	4-ClC ₆ H ₄ SO ₂ NH ₂	6f , $R^1 = Me$, $R^2 = SO_2(4-ClC_6H_4)$	64:36	60	>95
8 ^c	5a	$4\text{-}NO_2C_6H_4SO_2NH_2$	6g , $R^1 = Me$, $R^2 = SO_2(4-NO_2C_6H_4)$	64:36	58	>95
9 ^c	5a	$C_3H_5SO_2NH_2$	6h , $R^1 = Me$, $R^2 = SO_2C_3H_5$	67:33	61	>95
10 ^c	5b	TsNH ₂	6i , $R^1 = H$, $R^2 = Ts$	66:34	61	89

Conditions: ^aUsing the (*R*,*R*)-Ph-box ligand (22 mol %) with 20 mol % Cu(OTf)₂ and 4 equiv R²NH₂. ^bConditions (a) except using the (*R*,*R*)-Ph-box ligand (33 mol %) with 30 mol % Cu(OTf)₂. ^cConditions (a) except using the (4*R*,5*S*)-di-Ph-box ligand (22 mol %) with 20 mol % Cu(OTf)₂. ^dConditions (c) except using 5 equiv R²NH₂. SES = 2-trimethylsilylethylsulfonyl, Bs = benzenesulfonyl.

Enantioselective diaminations of these substrates occurred most efficiently in DCE where ratios of diamines 6 to

sultams 7 were 60-70: 30-40 and isolated diamine yields ranged from 58-64% with enantioselectivities ranging from

 γ -Alkenylsulfonamides **5** also underwent efficient copper-catalyzed diamination (Table 5). Optimal conditions for this reaction involved 20 mol % of [Cu(*R*,*R*)-Ph-box](OTf)₂ or [Cu(*4R*,5*S*)-di-Ph-box](OTf)₂, 3 equiv of MnO₂ and 15 mol % KMnO₄. The 2,2-dimethyl substrate **5a** undergoes the reaction with equal efficiency with both catalysts (although most examples are shown with the (4*R*,5*S*)-di-Ph-box ligand, the two ligands are essentially interchangeable: Table 5, entries 1-9) while sulfonamide **5b** with the unsubstituted backbone gave the optimal selectivity with the (4*R*,5*S*)-di-Ph-box ligand (Table 5, entry 10). High enantioselectivities were obtained when the ligand was only 10% in excess of [Cu] (e.g. 20 mol % Cu(OTf)₂, 22 mol % (*R*,*R*)-Ph-box).

89 to >95%. Higher catalyst loading (30 mol % [Cu]) can provide higher isolated yield of diamine 6 (72%, Table 5,

entry 2) by diminishing competitive sultam formation.³⁶ Sulfonamides **5c** and **5d** with alkyl sulfonyl groups (Ms, cyclopropylsulfonyl) produced diamine products with lower levels of enantioselectivity (64 and 72% ee, respectively, Eq 2).



The absolute stereochemistry of the indoline and pyrrolidine diamine products is assigned by analogy to similar $[Cu(R,R)-Ph-box](OTf)_2$ -catalyzed alkene aminofunctionalizations²⁸⁻³² and by comparison of the optical rotation of diamine **6i** with the same compound, synthesized from a known chiral diamine (see Supporting Information). A transition state model that depicts formation of the major enantiomer via a cis-aminocupration across the substrate's alkene has been calculated for the analogous copper-catalyzed alkene aminooxygenation and should apply to the diamination reaction as well.³⁷

Conclusions

In conclusion we have developed a copper-catalyzed enantioselective intra/intermolecular alkene diamination reaction that forms chiral 2-aminomethyl indolines and pyrrolidines in good yields and very good to excellent enantioselectivities. This is the only reported method for the synthesis of enantiomerically enriched 2-aminomethyl indolines via catalytic enantioselective alkene diamination and thus provides new efficient access to valuable compounds that are otherwise difficult to obtain. A number of external sulfonamide nucleophiles underwent coupling with various γ -alkenylsulfonamide in this reaction. The method does not require use of pre-oxidized amines, instead, inexpensive and earth abundant MnO₂ facilitates oxidation for this reaction (oxidation of [Cu(I)] back to the catalytically active [Cu(II)] state). An important finding in this new protocol involves the use of catalytic KMnO₄ to aid in the oxidation phase of the catalytic cycle. While the role of KMnO₄ (8-15 mol %) is not known for certain, we speculate it serves to regenerate MnO₂. Since MnO₂ is sparingly soluble in the reaction medium, regenerating the existing MnO₂ may be more effective than simply adding more MnO_2 to the reaction.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Institutes of Health (RO1 GM078383) for generous financial support of this research. We thank William Brennessel and the University of Rochester X-ray facility for the X-ray structure of **2j** (CCDC 982216).

Notes and references

^a Department of Chemistry, Natural Science Complex, The State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA. Email: schemler@buffalo.edu. Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, tabulation of characterizataion data for all new compounds, chiral HPLC traces and ¹H and ¹³C NMR for all new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1 S. Sato, H. Watanabe, M. Asami, *Tetrahedron Asym.* 2000, 11, 4329-4340.
- 2 Y. Zhou, Y. Zhu, S. Yan, Y. Gong, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10265-10269.
- 3 S. V. Pansare, K. Pandya, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9624.
- 4 J. M. Betancort, C. F. Barbas III, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3737-3740.
- 5 A. Clow, P. Jenner, C. D. Marsden, C. Reavill, A. Theodorou, Proc. Brit. Psych. Soc. 1979, 433P.
- 6 D. Lucet, T. Le Gall, C. Mioskowski, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2580-2627.
- 7 E. Bogatcheva, C. Hanrahan, B. Nikonenko, R. Samala, P. Chen, J. Gearhart, F. Barbosa, L. Einck, C. A. Nacy, M. Protopova, J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 3045-3048.
- 8 F. Cardona, A. Goti, *Nature Chemistry* 2009, 1, 269-275.
- 9 S. de Jong, D. G. Nosal, D. J. Wardrop, *Tetrahedron* 2012, 68, 4067-4105 and references therein.
- 10 R. M. de Figueiredo, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1190-1193.
- 11 Y.-F. Wang, X. Zhu, S. Chiba, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 3679-3682.
- 12 P. Chavez, J. Kirsch, C. H. Hovelmann, J. Streuff, M. Martinez-Belmonte, E. C. Escudero-Adan, E. Martin, K. Muniz, *Chem. Sci.* 2012, *3*, 2375-2382.
- 13 H. Zhang, W. Pu, T. Xiong, Y. Li, X. Zhou, K. Sun, Q. Liu, Q. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2529-2533.
- 14 K. Muniz, C. Martinez, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 2168.
- 15 H. Du, W. Yuan, B. Zhao, Y. Shi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11688-11689.
- 16 H. Du, B. Zhao, Y. Shi, 2008, 130, 8590-8591.
- 17 H. Du, B. Zhao, W. Yuan, Y. Shi, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4231-4234.
- 18 R. G. Cornwall, B. Zhao, Y. Shi, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 796-799.
- 19 C. Roben, J. A. Souto, Y. Gonzalez, A. Lishchynskyi, K. Muniz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9478-9482.
- 20 K. Muniz, K.; M. Nieger, Chem. Comm. 2005, 2729-2731.
- 21 F. C. Sequeira, B. W. Turnpenny, S. R. Chemler, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6365-6368.
- 22 E. L. Ingalis, P. A. Sibbald, W. Kaminsky, F. E. Michael, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8854-8856.
- 23 M. C. Paderes, L. Belding, B. Fanovic, T. Dudding, J. B. Keister, S. R. Chemler, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 1711-1726.
- 24 F. C. Sequeira, M. T. Bovino, A. J. Chipre, S. R. Chemler, Synthesis 2012, 44, 1481-1484.
- 25 J. Eames, M. Watkinson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3567-3571.
- 26 This observation, however, could also be due to over-coordination of electron-rich amines to the copper(II), which may cause a general decrease in its ability to catalyze the diamination reaction.
- 27 E. S. Sherman, S. R. Chemler, T. B. Tan, O. Gerlits, *Org. Lett.*2004, 6, 1573-1575.
- 28 W. Zeng, S. R. Chemler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12948-12949.

- 29 P. H. Fuller, J. W. Kim, S. R. Chemler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17638-17639.
- 30 M. T. Bovino, S. R. Chemler, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3923-3927.
- 31 T. W. Liwosz, S. R. Chemler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2020-2023.
- 32 M. C. Paderes, J. B. Keister, S. R. Chemler, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 506-515.
- 33 Y. Miller, L. Miao, A. S. Hosseini, S. R. Chemler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 12149-12156.
- 34 A. Shaabani, P. Mirzaei, S. Naderi, D. G. Lee, *Tetrahedron* 2004, 60, 11415-11420.
- 35 G. H. Lee, I. K. Youn, E. B. Choi, H. K. Lee, G. H. Yon, H. C. Yang, C. S. Pak, *Current Organic Chemistry* 2004, *8*, 1263-1287.
- 36 Benzamide and $CbzNH_2$ failed as nucleophiles in this reaction.
- 37 L. Belding, S. R. Chemler, T. Dudding, T. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 10288-10297.

Enantioselective copper-catalyzed alkene diamination provides chiral 2aminomethyl indolines and pyrrolidines via oxidative coupling of primary sulfonamides with *N*-sulfonyl-2-allylanilines and *N*-sulfonyl-4-pentenamines.



over 16 examples