RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

A facile route to the synthesis of mesoporous melamine-formaldehyde resins for hexavalent chromium removal

Zhongfei Lv, Changsheng Liang, Jiayang Cui, Yanan Zhang and Shiai Xu*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

A highly mesoporous melamine-formaldehyde resin (MMF) has been successfully synthesized through a facile route. It shows a relatively high surface area (317 m²/g), uniform pore sizes (8.0 nm), enhanced adsorption capacity (66.65 mg/g) and ¹⁰ selectivity for hexavalent chromium.

Hexavalent chromium (Cr (VI)) is a highly toxic metallic element widely present in the industrial waste water from a variety of industrial process, such as metal finishing, dyes, inks, pigments, ceramics and leather tanning.^{1,2} Cr (VI) can easily ¹⁵ penetrate cell walls, damaging cell structure and resulting in various cancers.³⁻⁵ Thus, removal of Cr (VI) from industrial waste

- water is of great public health importance. There are many methods available for this purpose, including sulfide precipitation, ion exchange, solvent extraction and adsorption. Among these
- ²⁰ methods, adsorption seems to be the most simple and effective one.⁶⁻¹⁰ For example, activated carbon (AC) is often used as an adsorbent for the removal of Cr (VI) due to its high surface area and abundant micropores.^{11,12} However, further treatment is often needed to enhance its adsorption capacity and selectivity, such as ²⁵ oxidation, acidation, alkalization and impregnation of foreign
- materials, thus increasing the cost and limiting its applications.¹³⁻ ²¹ Therefore, many other adsorbents have also been synthesized, such as melamine-formaldehyde resins,^{22,23} chitosans²⁴ and their chemical modifications.²⁵⁻²⁹ These adsorbents have more ³⁰ functional groups and can increase the adsorption sites for Cr
- (VI). In 1992, an ordered mesoporous material (OMM), MCM-41

was successfully synthesized from the liquid-crystal templates.³⁰ Over the past decades, some other OMMs have been reported and

- ³⁵ attracted great interest for their special pore structure, large surface area, uniform pore sizes and wide applications in the catalysis, adsorption, separation, and biomedicine.³¹⁻³⁹ However, for organic mesoporous materials, it seemed that such organic templates are not good for compatibility between the template
- ⁴⁰ and the polymer precursor, which should be carefully adjusted so that mesophase formation can occur. And so far, the organicorganic self-assemblies applied for the synthesis of mesoporous resins are mainly phenolic based polymers.³⁵ Besides, many other techniques such as templating method (hard-template and soft-
- ⁴⁵ template),^{40,41} ionothermal method,⁴² dynamic polymerization⁴³ or based on Schiff base chemistry method⁴⁴ were used to prepare the porous polymers and made some achievements in the application of energy⁴⁵ and catalysis.⁴⁶

In this study, we report a facile route to synthesize mesoporous ⁵⁰ melamine-formaldehyde resins (MMF) from a mixture of copolymer (F127), melamine and paraformaldehyde in aqueous solution *via* a self-assembly method (Scheme S1 and Fig S1). Compared with the other synthetic methods for MMF, our approach is low cost (using melamine and paraformaldehyde ⁵⁵ rather than special monomer hexamethoxymethyl melamine),⁴⁷ easy to synthesis (hydrothermally synthesized in an autoclave without using any inorganic templates)⁴⁸ and less environment pollution (without using supervirulent organic solvent or

carcinogenic formaldehyde).⁴⁹⁻⁵¹ The resulting MMF is proven to 60 be an efficient adsorbent for Cr (VI), and has better adsorption capacity and selectivity than conventional AC. More importantly, temperature has little impact on the adsorption capacity of MMF for Cr (VI), indicating that this adsorbent is applicable in any season.

- ⁶⁵ The N₂ sorption isotherms, SEM and TEM images of MMF samples are showed in Fig. 1. The N₂ sorption isotherms of MMF (Fig. 1 A) exhibit a typical type-IV curve with a hysteresis loop at 0.44-0.90, confirming the presence of mesoporosity in MMF.⁵² The pore size calculated using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH)
- ⁷⁰ method centers at 8.0 nm (Fig. 1B), and the BET surface area is at 317 m²/g and pore volume is at 0.58 cm³/g (Table S1). The SEM image (Fig. 1C) shows that MMF is highly mesoporous with a few larger pores. In addition, the TEM image (Fig. 1D) clearly reveals the uniform pore size, which is well agreement ⁷⁵ with pore size distributions. Furthermore, MMF is rich in



Fig. 1. (A) N₂ sorption isotherms, (B) pore size distributions, (C) SEM image and (D) TEM image of MMF samples.

This journal is $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

60

65

70

inherent aminal (-NH-CH₂-NH-) and triazine groups (Fig. S2 and S3), which could act as the activated sites for catching toxic Cr (VI) from water.⁵¹ More interestingly, there is only methylene very important for the stability of the polymer networks.^{53,54} Thus,

s the TGA profile shows that MMF is stable up to \sim 300 °C (Fig. S4). In addition, the elemental analysis of MMF shows that the molar ratio of C/H/N/O is 1.00/1.82/1.13/0.40 (Table S2).

Batch experiments were carried out to evaluate the adsorption capacity and selectivity of MMF and AC for Cr (VI). It is evident

- ¹⁰ in Fig. 2 and Table S3 that MMF has higher adsorption capacity (35.45 mg/g) and better selectivity for Cr (VI), but lower adsorption capacity for some other ions, such as Fe (III), Cu (II) and Ni (II). In contrast, although the commercial adsorbent AC has higher surface area (1435 m²/g) and porosity, it has relatively
- ¹⁵ lower adsorption capacity and selectivity for Cr (VI). Moreover, the other mesoporous melamine-formaldehyde resins such as MMF-1 and MMF-2 (N₂ sorption isotherms and pore size distributions of MMF-1 and MMF-2 are showed in Fig. S5) show relatively lower or similar adsorption property with the resulting ²⁰ MMF.

Fig. 3 and Table S4 show the adsorption capacity of MMF for Cr (VI) as a function of contact time with different initial concentrations. The adsorption rate of metal uptake is very rapid and the maximum uptake is reached within 5 min, after which ²⁵ there is no evident increase in adsorption. These results indicate







⁵⁵ Fig. 3. Effect of initial Cr (VI) concentration (A) 142.6 ppm, (B) 79.1 ppm, (C) 39.4 ppm and (D) 19.0 ppm on the adsorption of MMF. (0.05 g of MMF was added to 50 ml of Cr (VI) solutions and stirred at 150 rpm at 25 °C and pH 6.0 for different times.)



Fig. 4. Effect of temperature on the adsorption of Cr (VI) by MMF. (0.05 g of MMF was added to 50 ml of 40.7 ppm Cr (VI) solutions, and stirred at 150 rpm at pH 6.0 for 20 min.)



Fig. 5. Effect of pH on the Cr (VI) adsorption by MMF. (0.05 g of MMF ⁹⁰ was added to 50 ml of 40.7 ppm Cr (VI) solutions, and stirred at 150 rpm at 20 °C for 20 min, pH adjusted with 0.1 M HCl or 0.1 M NaOH.)

that the adsorption of Cr (VI) by MMF takes place rapidly, thus saving time and costs for waste water treatment. However, the ⁹⁵ adsorption rate of Cr (VI) by other adsorbents shows a wide range of adsorption times.⁵⁵ In addition, the adsorption isotherms are a function of the initial Cr (VI) concentration, but the concentration-dependent adsorption only works to a certain extent. As shown in Fig. 3, the adsorption capacity of MMF ¹⁰⁰ increases rapidly from 19.0 ppm to 79.1 ppm and obtains the maximum adsorption capacity (66.65 mg/g) at the initial Cr (VI) concentration of 142.6 ppm.

Adsorption experiments were performed at 20, 25, 30, 35 and 40 °C to investigate the effect of temperature on the adsorption ¹⁰⁵ of Cr (VI) by MMF (Fig. 4 and Table S5) show that the adsorption of Cr (VI) ions increases slightly with increment of temperature from 20 to 30 °C, and then decreases with further increase of temperature from 30 to 40 °C. The adsorption

capacity of MMF reaches the maximum of 37.55 mg/g at 30 °C. ¹¹⁰ However, it needs to be pointed out that the overall change in the adsorption of Cr (VI) with the temperature is fairly subtle, indicating that MMF could be widely used for the removal of Cr (VI) without seasonal restrictions.

The effect of pH on the adsorption of Cr (VI) by MMF is ¹¹⁵ shown in Fig. 5 and Table S6. The maximum adsorption of Cr (VI) is observed at pH 6.0. The pH dependence of metal

2 | Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00-00

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

adsorption has been shown to be largely related to the type and ionic state of the functional groups present in the adsorbent and the metal chemistry in the solution. The distributions of various forms of Cr (VI) ions in the aqueous solution were determined using appropriate chemical reaction equilibrium analyses. Cr anions are known to exist in the following forms depending on

	$H_2CrO_4 \iff H^+ + HCrO_4^-$	$(\log K_1 = -0.8)$	(1)
10	$HCrO_4^- \leftrightarrow H^+ + CrO_4^{2-}$	$(\log K_2 = -6.5)$	(2)
	$2\text{HCrO}_4^- \iff \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-}$	$(\log K_3 = 1.52)$	(3)
	$HCr_2O_7^- \leftrightarrow H^+ + Cr_2O_7^{2-}$	$(\log K_4 = 0.07)$	(4)

the pH of the aqueous solution.⁵⁶

- ¹⁵ HCrO₄⁻ and CrO₄²⁻ are the predominant species in total chromium concentration. HCrO₄⁻ is the predominant at pH 2-6.5, whereas CrO_4^{2-} is predominant at pH > 6.5.⁵⁷ Under a lower pH, the surface of MMF becomes highly protonated and would not bind with metal ions. As pH increases, the amine groups of MMF
- ²⁰ become non-protonated and could act as coordinating ligands for metal ions. However, if pH continues to increase, the surface will be negatively charged, greatly weakening the electrostatic attraction between the sorbent and negatively charged Cr (VI) anions, thus reducing the removal efficiency. Moreover, there is a
- ²⁵ competition between OH⁻ and Cr ions, especially at a high pH. More interestingly, the effect of pH on the adsorption of Cr (VI) indicates that the Cr (VI) adsorbed by MMF could be recovered, and the sorbent could be reused. In this study, Cr/MMF (MMFadsorbed Cr) was subjected to basic treatment to recover the
- ³⁰ adsorbed Cr (VI) ions. Table S7 shows the desorption rate of Cr/MMF at different times. It shows that the use of 0.1 M NaOH solution allows a ~ 100% recovery of the adsorbed Cr (VI). After basic treatment, MMF shows relatively higher adsorption capacity for Cr (VI) again (Table S8).
- The XPS spectra (Fig. S6 and Fig. S7) of MMF before and after the adsorption of Cr (Cr/MMF) show that the binding energy of N1s and O1s decrease in the Cr/MMF (Fig. S7). More importantly, the C=N groups of MMF at binding energy of 287.6 eV become stronger, which is possibly attributed to the
- ⁴⁰ enhancement of the chelating ligands between the groups of Cr (VI), C, N and O. Thus, there may be some interactions between C, N and O with Cr (VI), which could explain the excellent adsorption capacity and selectivity of MMF.⁵⁸⁻⁶² Theses results indicate that the presence of the surface functional groups rather ⁴⁵ than surface area or pore volumes, contributes to increasing the
- adsorption and selectivity of MMF for Cr (VI).

Conclusions

In this study, we reported a facile route to synthesize MMF, which could quickly and efficiently remove toxic Cr (VI) from

- ⁵⁰ water within 5 minutes. Such fast adsorption rate and high adsorption capacity of MMF is mainly attributed to its abundant functional groups, such as high densities of amine and triazine groups. More importantly, the adsorbed Cr (VI) could be recovered and the MMF is easily recycled by basic treatment.
- ⁵⁵ The MMF is easy to synthesis, low cost and environment-friendly, especially, it can remove the toxic Cr (VI) efficiently and quickly, these advantages make it to be an attractive adsorbent for the

purification of waste and contaminated water.

Acknowledgements

⁶⁰ This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 51463020) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (WD1315012).

Notes and references

^a Shanghai Key Laboratory of Advanced Polymeric Material, Key

- 65 Laboratory for Ultrafine Materials of Ministry of Education, School of Materials Science and Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China. Fax: (+86) 021 6425 3775; Tel: (+86) 021 6425 3353; E-mail:saxu@ecust..edu.cn
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any 70 supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- ‡ Footnotes should appear here. These might include comments relevant to but not central to the matter under discussion, limited experimental and spectral data, and crystallographic data.
- D. Mohan, C. U. Pittman Jr., *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2006, **B137**, 762.
 M. Owlad, M. K. Aroua, W. A. W. Daud, S. Baroutian, *Water Air Soil Pollut*, 2009, **200**, 59.
- 3 C. Barnowski, N. Jakubowski, D. Stuewer, J. A. C. Broekaert, J. Anal. At. Spectrom, 1997, 12, 1155.
- 80 4 A. M. Zayed, N. Terry, Plant Soil, 2003, 249, 139.
- 5 R. A. Gil, S. Cerutti, J. A. Gásquez, R. A. Olsina, L. D. Martinez,
- Talanta, 2006, 68, 1065.
 R. F. D. Costa, M. A. S. Rodrigues, J. Z. Ferreria, Sep. Sci. Technol., 1998, 33, 1135.
- 85 7 S. Rengaraj, K.-H. Yeon, S.-H. Moon, J. Hazard. Mater., 2001, B87, 273.
- 8 Y. Nakano, K. Takeshita, T. Tsutsumi, *Wat. Res.*, 2001, **35**, 496.
- 9 T. N. de Castro Dantas, A. A. Dantas Neto, M. C. P. De A. Moura, *Wat. Res.*, 2001, **35**, 2219.
- 90 10 F. Fu, Q. Wang, J. Environ. Manage., 2011, 92, 407.
 - 11 C. P. Huang, M. H. Wu, Wat. Res., 1977, 11, 673.
 - 12 M. Pérez-Candela, J. M. Martín-Martínez, R. Torregrosa-Maciá, Wat. Res., 1995, 29, 2174.
 - 13 A. Ahmadpour, D. D. Do, Carbon, 1996, 34, 471.
- 95 14 C. Toles, S. Rimmer, J. C. Hower, Carbon, 1996, 34, 1419.
- 15 J. Guo, A. C. Lua, Micropor. Mesopor. Mater., 1999, 32, 111.
- 16 D. Aggarwal, M. Goyal, R. C. Bansal, Carbon, 1999, 37, 1989.
- 17 F. Adib, A. Bagreev, T. J. Bandosz, *Langmuir*, 2000, **16**, 1980.
- 18 K. Selvi, S. Pattabhi, K. Kadirvelu, Bioresour. Technol., 2001, 80, 87.
- 100 19 L. Khezami, R. Capart, J. Harzard. Mater., 2005, B123, 223.
 - 20 M. Valix, W. H. Cheung, K. Zhang, J. Hazard. Mater., 2006, B135, 395.
 - 21 S. X. Liu, X. Chen, X. Y. Chen, Z. F. Liu, H. L. Wang, J. Hazard. Mater., 2007, 141, 315.
- 105 22 B. Demirata, I. Tor, H. Filik, H. Afsar, *Fresenius J. Anal. Chem.*, 1996, **356**, 375.
 - 23 B. Demirata, MikrochiM. Acta, 2001, 136, 143.
 - 24 T. N. de Castro Dantas, A. A. Dantas Neto, M. C. P. de A. Moura, E. L. Barros Neto, E. de Paiva Telemaco, *Langmuir*, 2001, **17**, 4256.
- 110 25 N. Sankararamakrishnan, A. Dixit, L. Iyengar, R. Sanghi, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2006, 97, 2377.
 - 26 A. Ramesh, H. Hasegawa, W. Sugimoto, T. Maki, K. Ueda, Bioresour. Technol., 2008, 99, 3801.
- P. Baroni, R. S. Vieira, E. Meneghetti, M. G. C. da Silva, M. M.
 Beppu, J. Hazard. Mater., 2008, 152, 1155.
 - 28 N. G. Kandile, A. S. Nasr, Carbohydr. Polym., 2009, 78, 753.
 - 29 X.-J. Hu, J.-S. Wang, Y.-G. Liu, X. Li, G.-M. Zeng, Z.-L. Bao, X.-X. Zeng, A.-W. Chen, F. Long, J. Hazard. Mater., 2011, 185, 306.
- C. T. Kresge, M. E. Leonowicz, W. J. Roth, J. C. Vartuli, J. S. Beck,
 Nature, 1992, **359**, 710.
 - 31 P. T. Tanev, M. Chibwe, T. J. Pinnavaia, Nature, 1994, 368, 321.
 - 32 P. Yang, D. Zhao, D. I. Margolese, B. F. Chmelka, G. D. Stucky, *Nature*, 1998, **396**, 152.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

- 33 D. Zhao, J. Feng, Q. Huo, N. Melosh, G. H. Fredrickson, B. F. Chmelka, G. D. Stuck, *Science*, 1998, 279, 548.
- 34 R. Ryoo, S. H. Joo, M. Kruk, M. Jaroniec, Adv. Mater., 2001, 13, 677.
- 35 Y. Meng, D. Gu, F. Q. Zhang, Y. F. Shi, H. F. Yang, Z. Li, C. Z. Yu,
- B. Tu, D. Y. Zhao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 7053.
 36 A. Corma, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 2373.
- 37 A. M. Liu, K. Hidajat, S. Kawi, D. Y. Zhao, *Chem. Comm*, 2000, 1145.
- J. C. Groen, L. A. A. Peffer, J. Pérez-Ramírez, *Micropor. Mesopor. Mater.*, 2003, 60, 1.
- 39 M. Vallet-Regi, F. Balas, D. Arcos, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 7548.
- 40 A. Thomas, F. Goettmann, M. Antonietti, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 738.
- 15 41 H.-P. Hentze, M. Antonietti, Curr. Opin. Solid State Mate. Sci., 2001, 5, 343.
- 42 P. Kuhn, M. Antonietti, A. Thomas, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3450.
- 43 P. Kuhn, A. Thomas, M. Antonietti, *Macromolecules*, 2009, 42, 319.
- 20 44 M. G. Schwab, B. Fassbender, H. W. Spiess, A. Thomas, X. Feng, K. Müllen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 7216.
 - 45 A. Thomas, P. Kuhn, J. Weber, M.-M. Titirici, M. Antonietti, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2009, **30**, 221.
- 46 A. Thomas, A. Fischer, F. Goettmann, M. Antonietti, J.-O. Müller, R.
 ²⁵ Schlögl, J. M. Carlsson, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, 18, 4893.
- 47 K. Kailasam, Y.-S. Jun, P. Katekomol, J. D. Epping, W. H. Hong, A. Thomas, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 428.
- 48 A. Derylo-Marczewska, J. Goworek, Langmuir, 2001, 17, 6518.
- 49 M. X. Tan, L. Gu, N. Li, J. Y. Ying, Y. G. Zhang, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 1127.
- 50 M. X. Tan, Y. Zhang, J. Y. Ying, ChemSusChem, 2013, 6, 1186.
- 51 M. X. Tan, Y. N. Sum, J. Y. Ying, Y. Zhang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 3254.
- 52 M. Kruk, M. Jaroniec, *Chem. Mater.*, 2003, **15**, 2942.
- 35 53 Z. Lv, Q. Sun, X. Meng, F.-S. Xiao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 8630.
 - 54 B. Friedel, S. Greulich-Weber, *Small*, 2006, **2**, 859
 - 55 V. K. Gupta, S. Agarwal, T. A. Saleh, *Wat. Res.*, 2011, **45**, 2207.
- 56 A. K. Sengupta, D. Cllfford, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 1986, **20**, 149.
- F. H.-J. Park, L. L. Tavlarides, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2008, 47, 3401.
 A. Derylo-Marczewska, J. Goworek, S. Pikus, E. Kobylas, *Langmuir*,
- 2002, **18**, 7538.
- 59 L. Dupont, E. Guillon, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2003, **37**, 4235.
- 60 H. Jabeen, V. Chandra, S. Jung, J. W. Lee, K. S. Kim, S. B. Kim, ⁴⁵ *Nanoscale*, 2011, **3**, 3583.
- 61 M. Barber, J. A. Connor, M. F. Guest, M. B. Hall, I. H. Hillier, W. N. E. Meredith, *Faraday Discuss. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, 54, 219.
- 62 S. Pignataro, A. Foffani, Chem. Phys. Letters, 1973, 20, 350.

4 | *Journal Name*, [year], **[vol]**, 00–00