Syntheses, crystal structures and properties of three cyano-bridged one-dimensional coordination polymers based on macrocyclic metallic tectons
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Three cyano-bridged nickel(II) complexes, namely, [NiL₁][Ni(CN)₄]·3H₂O (1), [NiL₂][Ni(CN)₄]·H₂O·CH₃CN (2) and [NiL₃][Ni(CN)₄]·3H₂O (3) (L₁ = 1,3,6,8,11,14-hexaazatricyclo[12.2.1.1⁸,1¹]octadecane, L₂ = 1,3,6,8,12,15-hexaazatricyclo[13.3.1.1⁸,1²]eicosane and L₃ = 1,3,6,9,11,14-hexaazatricyclo[12.2.1.1⁶,9]octadecane) have been synthesized and characterized based on different macrocyclic metallic tectons and diamagnetic [Ni(CN)₄]²⁻. Single crystal X-ray analyses reveal that complexes 1-3 exhibit a similar cyano-bridged one-dimensional chain structure, in which one nickel(II) ion is coordinated by four nitrogen atoms from the macrocyclic ligand and two nitrogen atoms from the bridging cyanide ligands, while the residual nickel(II) ion is coordinated by four cyanide ligands. Interestingly, regulated by the peripheral macrocyclic ligand, complex 1 features an unexpected large porous structure with the pore size of 1 nm, which shows pronounced two steps adsorption of CO₂ gas at 195K. In additional, the magnetic properties of complexes 1-3 show the presence of weak intrachain ferromagnetic interactions between the paramagnetic nickel(II) ions through the diamagnetic [Ni(CN)₄]²⁻ anions.
Introduction

Recent decades, considerable effort has been put on the rational construction of various dimensional (0D to 3D) porous coordination complexes (PCCs), which shows potential applications in gas adsorption and separation, catalysis and magnetism and so on\textsuperscript{[1-10]}. Due to the success of reticular chemistry, porous frameworks featured three-dimensional structure, usually called metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) or porous coordination polymers (PCPs), are regarded as the most rational ones to design and synthesis\textsuperscript{[11]}. At the meantime, as shown by several groups, the generation of porous structures in 2D complexes is not a hard nut to crack because stacking of 2D layers (Kagome sheets for example) will produce some porous channel\textsuperscript{[12-15]}. As for 0D porous complexes, a large number of papers have been published discussing the porous structures based on metal-organic polyhedrons and molecular cages since the end of last century\textsuperscript{[16-19]}. On the contrary, progress on the formation of porous structures based on 1D coordination polymer has rarely been reported because of its dependency on the non-directional weak interactions (hydrogen bonds, π-π stacking etc) between 1D chains and an effective approach had not been proposed yet\textsuperscript{[20]}. The rational control of the porous structure based on 1D coordination polymers remains largely unexplored thus far. Furthermore, it is also a huge challenge to obtain targeted organic porous framework based on infinite 1D chain in crystalline covalent organic frameworks (COFs), partial-ordered porous aromatic frameworks (PAFs) and disordered porous organic polymers (POPs). As same as porous coordination complexes, COFs, PAFs and POPs also can be built rationally to a 0D\textsuperscript{[21]}, 2D\textsuperscript{[22-23]} and 3D\textsuperscript{[24-25]} structures. However, we have not observed the pure organic framework from straight 1D chains yet (note: the basic structure of PIMs-polymers of intrinsic microporosity are not straight)\textsuperscript{[26]}. Since PCCs are definite crystalline networks and their
precise structures can be easily determined through single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, PCCs provide the most straightforward system in the study of porous materials based on 1D chains.

It has been realized that the 1D coordination polymers can easily be synthesized by linear ligands coordinated to the univalent coinage-metal ions Ag(I)/Cu(I) as 2-connected nodes\(^\text{[27]}\). Recently, the macrocyclic complex had emerged as another smart node selection, because macrocyclic complexes possess two residual potential coordination sites at axial positions. This merit can be used to construct coordination polymers controllably. In fact, on the basis of this considerations, Suh\(^\text{[28]}\), Lu\(^\text{[29, 30]}\), Jin\(^\text{[31]}\), Kou\(^\text{[32]}\) and other groups had fabricated several novel 1D coordination polymers based on macrocyclic metallic precursors and organic carboxylic or cyano-bridged ligands.

Herein, we present three cyano-bridged one-dimensional nickel(II) complexes based on \([\text{Ni(CN)}_4]^2^-\) and macrocyclic metallic tectons (Scheme 1), namely, \([\text{NiL}_1][\text{Ni(CN)}_4]\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O} \ (1), \ [\text{NiL}_2][\text{Ni(CN)}_4]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot\text{CH}_3\text{CN} \ (2) \ and \ [\text{NiL}_3][\text{Ni(CN)}_4]\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O} \ (3) \ (\text{L}_1=1,3,6,8,11,14\text{-hexaazatricyclo[12.2.1.1}_8,11\text{]octadecane}, \ \text{L}_2=1,3,6,8,12,15\text{-hexaazatricyclo[13.3.1.1}_8,11\text{]eicosane} \ \text{and} \ \text{L}_3=1,3,6,9,11,14\text{-hexaazatricyclo[12.2.1.1}_6,9\text{]octadecane}). The result indicates that the selection of macrocyclic ligands is clearly critical in determining their overall structures. Interestingly, regulated by the structure of macrocyclic precursor, linear polymeric chains in the complex 1 extend in three different directions generated by the 3-fold crystallographic axes to form an unexpected one-dimensional porous structure. To the best of our knowledge this is the first work that such 1D porous structure in complex 1 is observed among numerous \([\text{Ni(CN)}_4]^2^-\) and macrocyclic metallic tectons related reports.
Materials and methods

Macroyclic complexes [NiL₁(ClO₄)₂][33], [NiL₂(ClO₄)₂][33] and [NiL₃(ClO₄)₂][34] were prepared according to literatures. All other chemicals are commercially available and were used without further purification. The IR spectra were measured from KBr pellets on a Nicolet Avatar 370 FT-IR spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed using a Vario ELIII CHNS/O elemental analyzer. Thermogravimetric analysis (TG) was performed on a Diamond TG-DTA 6300 equipment in flowing N₂ atmosphere with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The powder X-ray diffraction measurements were performed on a Bruker D8 ADVANCE X-ray diffractometer. Sorption measurements for gases were measured with ASAP-2020M adsorption equipment. Desolvated samples were prepared under a dynamic vacuum (<10⁻³ Torr) at 393 K for 10 h.

Caution! Perchlorate salts of metal complexes with organic ligands are potentially explosive. They should be handled with care and prepared only in small quantities in the synthesis of macrocyclic metallic tectons.

Synthesis of complexes 1-3

To a solution of [NiL₁,₂,₃ClO₄]₂ (0.3 mmol) in 10 ml acetonitrile solution, a solution of K₂[Ni(CN)₄] (0.72 g, 0.3 mmol) in 10 ml of aqueous solution was added with stirring, filtration and slow evaporation of the resulting solution gave crystals within three days. Yield: 60% (1), 15% (2) and 85% (3) based on K₂[Ni(CN)₄]. Anal. Calc. For 1: C, 36.27; H, 6.09; N, 26.43%, found: C, 36.69; H, 5.90; N, 25.64%. For 2: C, 42.77; H, 6.29; N, 27.45%, found: C, 42.80; H, 6.08; N, 28.02%. For 3: C, 36.27; H, 6.09; N, 26.43%, found: C, 36.31; H, 6.02; N, 26.57%. IR, (1): 3494(s), 3224(s), 2917(s), 2871(s), 2148(s), 2123(s), 1635(s), 1488(m), 1453(m), 1336(m),
1287(w), 1097(s), 1025(m), 948(s), 844(s), 677(m). \(2\): 3441(s), 3240(s), 2921(s), 2857(s), 2148(s), 2124(s), 1640(w), 1461(m), 1370(m), 1333(m), 1271(m), 1100(m), 1080(s), 987(m), 950(m), 878(m), 805(m), 629(m), 564(w). \(3\): 3504(s), 3277(s), 2958(s), 2876(s), 2148(s), 2126(s), 1654(w), 1454(m), 1343(w), 1288(s), 1103(m), 978(w), 918(m), 707(m), 660(m).

**Single-crystal X-ray data collection and structure determination**

Single crystal X-ray diffraction data for complexes were collected on a Bruker Apex CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K\(\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 0.71073\) Å) at 173(2) and 293(2) K. Data reductions and absorption corrections were performed using the SAINT and SADABS programs, respectively\[^{35}\]. The structures were solved by direct methods using the SHELXS-97 or SHELXS-2014 program and refined with full-matrix least squares on \(F^2\) using the SHELXL-97 or SHELXL-2014 program\[^{36}\]. All the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The hydrogen atoms were generated theoretically onto the specific atoms and refined isotropically with fixed thermal factors. Since there is disorder of macrocyclic ligand in the complex 1, they were located and refined with restraints: DFIX, ISOR, SADI, SAME and EADP. Because of disordered solvent molecules in complex 1, the SQUEEZE routine of PLATON was used to remove the diffraction contribution from these solvents to produce a set of solvent free diffraction intensities\[^{37}\]. Final formula of complex 1 was derived from crystallographic data combined with elemental and thermogravimetric analysis data. The details of crystallographic data for the four structures are summarized in Table 1, and the selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table S1.

**Results and Discussion**
Usually, the reaction rate of macrocyclic metallic precursors and [Ni(CN)$_4$]$^{2-}$ is extremely fast, the solution of macrocyclic complex and solution of [Ni(CN)$_4$]$^{2-}$ were mixed to produce a precipitate or microcrystalline powder immediately. However, it is worthwhile to note that the reaction of [NiL$_{1.3}$](ClO$_4$)$_2$ and [Ni(CN)$_4$]$^{2-}$ in CH$_3$CN and H$_2$O mixed solution give rise to a clear solution, generating the crystal of complexes 1-3 within three days. Compared to other macrocyclic metallic precursors, such as macrocyclic nickel complex containing 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane (cyclam) or 1,8-dimethyl-1,3,6,8,10,13-hexaazacyclotetradecane ligand$^{[38]}$, the slow reaction rate could be a result of the additional steric hindrance of side groups on macrocyclic ligand. In detail, compared with cyclam ligand, the addition of ethyl and propyl groups on L$_{1.3}$ will slow down the formation of Ni···[Ni(CN)$_4$]$^{2-}$ coordination bond. Further increase the steric hindrance on macrocyclic ligand may generate pure ionic compound according to the rule. This can be proved by introducing more complicated steric hindrance of the macrocyclic ligand as described in Kou’s paper $^{[39]}$. Hence, as a experimental rule, this merit can be utilized to fabricate more complicated cyano-bridged complexes based on macrocyclic metallic tectons [NiL$_{1.3}$](ClO$_4$).

Single crystal X-ray analysis reveals that complexes 1-3 consist of one [NiL$_{1.3}$]$^{2+}$ cation, one [Ni(CN)$_4$]$^{2-}$ anion and several guest molecules (three water for 1, one water and one acetonitrile for 2, three water for 3) (Figure 1a and Figure 2a). Different from the complexes 1 and 2, the asymmetric unit of in complex 3 contains two parts of [NiL$_3$]$^{2+}$ (half [Ni(1)L$_3$]$^{2+}$, half [Ni(2)L$_3$]$^{2+}$) (Figure 3a). In those complexes, one nickel ion coordinated by four cyanide ligands in a square planer geometry, the residual nickel ions surrounded by four nitrogen atoms from L$_{1.3}$ ligand and two nitrogen atoms from two individual [Ni(CN)$_4$]$^{2-}$ anions with an octahedral geometry. Both
bond lengths and bond angles are in line with similar complexes (Table S1)\cite{38}. Each \([\text{Ni(CN)}_4]^2-\) anion bridges two \([\text{NiL}_{1.3}]^{2+}\) fragments to generate an infinite 1D chain structure, whereas remains two trans CN\(^-\) groups. The guest molecules occupy the vicinity of the chains and hydrogen-bonded to the nitrogen atoms of macrocyclic ligand and to each other. In complexes 2 and 3, all one-dimensional polymeric chains extend in one direction to form a close packing structure (Figure 2b and Figure 3b). Unexpectedly and interestingly, the linear polymeric chains in the 1 extend in three different directions generated by the 3-fold crystallographic axes to form a one-dimensional porous structure with the pore size of 1 nm (Figure 1b, 1c). The void volume in complex 1 is calculated by PLATON to be 25.4% of the total crystal volume\cite{40}. Numerous papers had been reported about the \([\text{Ni(CN)}_4]^2-\) bridged or even other cyanide-bridged one-dimensional coordination polymers. The packing of one-dimensional chains usually occurs with a parallel arrangement of all chains, such as in complex 2 and 3, they can rarely extend along two different directions\cite{20}, let alone in three different orientations. Furthermore, such type porous structure appeared only once, a carboxylic-bridged one-dimensional coordination polymer based on 4,4'-diphenyldicarboxylic acid and macrocyclic metallic tecton [Ni(cycalm)](ClO\(_4\))\(_2\)\cite{41}.

Discussion of the structures

Complexes 1-3 are crystallized under the same environment (solvent and the ratio of raw materials) apart from the different conformations of the macrocyclic ligands, which indicates that their structural diversity in resulting complexes may be the result of the selection of macrocyclic ligands. Such macrocyclic ligand induced structure diversity maybe arises from four parts. 1) weak Ni···Ni interaction. In complex 1, the nearest interchain Ni···Ni distance from two \([\text{Ni(CN)}_4]^2-\) is
3.32 Å, which is short than the sum of van der waals radius\[^{[42]}\], indicating the existence of a weak Ni···Ni interaction. Due to the existence of such short Ni···Ni interaction, the 1-D [NiL\(_1\)][Ni(CN)\(_4\)] chains point in three different directions, resulting a unexpected porous structure. Meanwhile, the absence of short Ni···Ni interaction in complex 2 and 3 shows a common packing mode of their 1-D chains. 2) steric hindrance effect. Compared with the ethyl group on macrocyclic ligand L\(_1\), L\(_2\) contains a propyl group. To a large extent, different groups will lead to different kinds of steric hindrance effects in building the networks. 3) the symmetry of macrocyclic metallic tectons effect. Two ethyl groups in the macrocyclic L\(_1\) and two propyl groups in the macrocyclic L\(_2\) were arranged in a centro-symmetric position. On the contrary, in the L\(_3\) ligand two ethyl groups were located in the plane-symmetrical area. Seemingly, different symmetry may lead to a different conformation of the macrocyclic ligand and thus to the resulting framework. 4) hydrogen bonding interactions synergistic effect. Since the nature of hydrogen bond is non-directional and elusive, combined with above three reasons, complexes 1-3 display entirely different structures.

\{Insert Figure 2 and 3 here\}

**IR spectral studies, thermogravimetric analyses and X-ray powder diffraction**

The IR spectra show features attributable to the -CN triple bond stretching vibrations of the complexes. The presence of split signals in the range of 2120-2150 cm\(^{-1}\) indicates the different form of -CN on [Ni(CN)\(_4\)]\(^{2-}\) (Figure S1). High frequency attributes the bridging mode and low frequency correspond the vacant mode, which are consistent of crystal structure analyses. X-Ray powder diffraction patterns of other complexes (Figure S2-S4) were recorded to confirm the purity of the other as-synthesized bulk materials. The experimental XRD patterns match with the calculated lines from the crystal structures. TGA shows that 1 loses all the free water molecules
and in the range of 30-100 °C (calculated: 10.92%, found: 11.00%) (Figure S5). The framework is stable up to 260°C and then begins to decompose upon further heating. For 1, the XRD pattern of the activated sample solid (1d) is inconsistent with the simulated pattern, indicating the shrinkage of the framework upon removal of guest molecules (Figure S2). When 1d was immersed in the mixture solution of water, the original framework of 1 was restored as evidenced by the PXRD measurements (Figure S2).

Gas sorption properties of complex 1

To evaluate the porosity of 1d, gas sorption studies were studied for N₂ (77K) and CO₂ (195K) gases (Figure 4). Desolvated sample 1d only shows very small N₂ sorption volume, however, desolvated 1d can adsorb CO₂, indicating that 1d can selectively adsorb CO₂ over N₂. More interestingly, the CO₂ sorption isotherm at 195 K measured up to 1 atm exhibits distinct two steps in the adsorption process. The first step of adsorption should be related to the structure of 1d with shrunken pores, and the structure expands above the gate opening pressure to provide the second step adsorption. It has been demonstrated that the multistep adsorption behaviors were related to the structural transformations during the gas adsorption processes in macrocyclic complex based porous coordination polymers[29]. This unusual behavior can be ascribed to the quadrupole moment of CO₂ (-1.4 x 10⁻³⁹), which able to interact with the framework to open the channels. From the adsorption data in the low range of P/P₀, the apparent Langmuir and Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface areas of the shrunken-pore phase of 1d were estimated to be 202.4 and 138.8 cm²·g⁻¹ (Figure S6), respectively, and the pore volume estimated by the Dubinin-Astakhov (DA) equation was 0.08 cm³·g⁻¹. It is proved that, since the uptake amounts of CO₂ gas in the whole desorption curve are much higher than adsorption, the expanded-pore phase
does not change back to the shrunken-pore phase of complex 1 during the desorption process. The hysteretic behavior of CO$_2$ sorption can also be ascribed to the presence of interact between CO$_2$ molecules and 1d framework.

{Insert Figure 4 here}

Magnetic properties of complexes 1-3

The variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility of complexes 1-3 were investigated at $H = 0.2$ T and $T = 2.0$-300 K. They are shown in the form of $\chi_m T$ versus $T$ curve. As shown in Figure 5, the $\chi_m T$ value is 0.957 emu K/mol (for 1), 0.959 emu K/mol (for 2) and 1.13 emu K/mol (for 3) at 300K, which is close to the value of 1 emu K/mol expected for one spin-only Ni$^{2+}$ (3d$^8$, $S$=1). Curve fit of $1/\chi_m$ versus $T$ for complexes 1-3 according to Curie-Weiss law $\chi_m = C/(T-\theta)$ gives $C = 0.95$ cm$^3$ K/mol, 0.96 cm$^3$ K/mol, 1.14 cm$^3$ K/mol and $\theta = 5.92$ K, 2.52 K, 1.32 K in temperature range of 10-300 K, suggesting a weak ferromagnetic interaction between adjacent Ni$^{2+}$ ions (Figure S7-S9). As the temperature decrease, the $\chi_m T$ value increases very slowly and then sharply fall to a minimum value of 0.52 emu K/mol (for 1), 0.68 emu K/mol (for 2) and 0.61 emu K/mol (for 3) at 2 K, which can be attributed to the presence of anti-ferromagnetic interaction between one-dimensional chains. For the complexes 1-3, the magnetic susceptibility can be expressed by equation (1)[38, 43], where $N$ is Avogadro’s number, $\beta$ is the Bohr magneton, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant and $z$ is the number of nearest neighbors. The least-squares fitting to the experimental data led to $J= 1.82$ (for 1), 1.21 (for 2) and 1.52 (for 3), $zJ'=-1.78$ (for 1), -1.13 (for 2) and -1.60 (for 3), $g= 2.03$ (for 1), 2.09 (for 2) and 2.15 (for 3). This result of positive $J$ shows the presence of weak ferromagnetic interaction between the paramagnetic nickel ions within each chain through the diamagnetic [Ni(CN)$_4$]$^{2-}$, and the negative $zJ'$ shows the antiferromagnetic intermolecular
interaction. The ferromagnetic coupling interaction can be explained by Goodenough-Kanamori (G-K) rule\[^{[38]}\]. According to G-K rule, if a magnetic orbital overlaps an empty orbital the interaction between the two ions is ferromagnetic. Adjacent magnetic nickel(II) ions contain unpaired \textit{d} electrons can polarized on the empty \textit{d} orbital of diamagnetic nickel(II) ion through the filled orbital of the cyanide bridges. Hence, it forms a ferromagnetic coupling.

\[
\chi_{\text{chain}}=N\gamma^2\beta^2/3kT\times(1+u)/(1-u)\times S(S+1)
\]

\[u=\coth K-1/K \text{ and } K=J\mu(S+1)/kT\]

\[\chi_m=\chi_{\text{chain}}/[1-\chi_{\text{chain}}(zJ'/Ng^2\beta^2)]\]

Equation (1)

Conclusion

In summary, under the consistent synthetic condition, three \([\text{Ni(CN)}_4]^2-\)-involved structures have been presented based on macrocyclic metallic tectons. The comparisons of complexes indicate the slight difference between macocyclic ligands has a significant influence on their packing network. Unusual porous structure of complex 1 can adsorb \text{CO}_2 over \text{N}_2 molecules, suggesting that complex 1 is potential for the application of \text{CO}_2 separation. The magnetic measurements show the presence of weak intrachain ferromagnetic interactions between the paramagnetic nickel(II) ions through the diamagnetic \([\text{Ni(CN)}_4]^2-\) anions. The serendipity of complex 1 will provide some cue for those groups engaged in the porous organic polymers and porous inorganic-organic hybrid polymers.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>R-3c</td>
<td>P21/n</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
<td>293(2)</td>
<td>293(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>28.9962(9)</td>
<td>9.812(2)</td>
<td>8.6927(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>28.9962(9)</td>
<td>13.179(3)</td>
<td>9.6891(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (Å)</td>
<td>32.8782(16)</td>
<td>20.122(4)</td>
<td>14.739(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>102.78(3)</td>
<td>93.41(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>110.83(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (Å³)</td>
<td>23939.8(16)</td>
<td>2537.6(10)</td>
<td>1130.5(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final R indices [I>2σ(I)]

R1 = 0.0516, R1 = 0.0721, R1 = 0.0462

R indices (all data)

wR2 = 0.1429, wR2 = 0.1978, wR2 = 0.1246

GOF = \(\frac{\sum w(F_o^2-F_c^2)^2}{(n_{obs}-n_{params})}\)^{1/2}, R1 = \(\frac{|\sum F_o^2 - |F_c|^2|}{\sum |F_o|^2}\), wR2 = \(\frac{\sum w(F_o^2-F_c^2)^2}{\sum w(F_o^2)^2}\)^{1/2}
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Scheme 1. Macrocyclic metallic tectons.

Figure 1. (a) ORTEP figure of 1, (b) view down the c-axis, 3-D arrangement of 1 based on 1-D chains, (c) one-dimensional porous structure 1, #: x-1, y-2, z+1;

Figure 2. (a) ORTEP figure of 2, (b) 3-D arrangement of 2 based on 1-D chains, #: x+1/2, -y+3/2, z+0.5;

Figure 3. (a) ORTEP figure of 3, (b) 3-D arrangement of 3 based on 1-D chains, #: x-1, -y+1, -z;

Figure 4. Gas sorption isotherms of N\textsubscript{2} (77K) and CO\textsubscript{2} (195K) for 1d;

Figure 5. Temperature dependence of $\chi_mT$ for complexes 1-3, the solid line represents the theoretical values;
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