

# RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).


Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

## PC(sp<sup>3</sup>)P Pincer Carbonyl Complexes of Iridium(I) and Iridium(III)<sup>†</sup>

Klara J. Jonasson, Alexey V. Polukeev and Ola F. Wendt\*

Centre for Analysis and Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, Lund University, P.O. Box  
124, S-221 00 Lund, Sweden. E-mail: ola.wendt@chem.lu.se

### (Graphical contents entry)



### (Summary)

The previously reported complex *trans*-[IrHCl{*cis*-1,3-Bis-(di-*tert*-butylphosphino)methyl}cyclohexane] (**2**) forms the 18-electron carbonyl compound *anti*-[Ir(CO)HCl{*cis*-1,3-Bis-((di-*tert*-butylphosphino)methyl)}cyclohexane] (**5a**) upon reaction with 1 atm CO. The structural isomer *syn*-[IrH(CO)Cl{*cis*-1,3-Bis-((di-*tert*-butylphosphino)methyl)}cyclohexane] (**5b**) is obtained directly upon complexation of the ligand (**1**) with IrCl<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O in refluxing DMF. *syn*-**5b** is the first

<sup>†</sup> Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: NMR spectra for **4**. Computational details. Crystal data for **4**, **5a** and **5b** in cif format. See DOI: 10.1039/ /

iridium aliphatic pincer complex with this orientation of the hydrogens and is the thermodynamically more stable isomer. Both compounds **5a** and **5b** afford the Ir(I) complex  $trans\text{-}[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})\{\text{cis-1,3-Bis-}((\text{di-}tert\text{-butylphosphino)methyl)\}\text{cyclohexane}]$  (**4**) upon treatment with KO<sup>t</sup>Bu. Complex **4** was also synthesised in a more straightforward fashion from the previously known terminal nitrogen complex  $trans\text{-}[\text{Ir}(\text{N}_2)\{\text{cis-1,3-Bis-}((\text{di-}tert\text{-butylphosphino)-methyl)\}\text{cyclohexane}]$  (**3**) under atmospheric CO. The complexes **4**, **5a** and **5b** were characterised spectroscopically and in the solid state. IR data point to a more electron rich metal centre as compared to the corresponding aromatic complexes.

## Introduction

The chemistry of iridium PCP pincer-type complexes has been continuously developed over the last decades, mainly owing to their applications as active homogeneous catalysts in the dehydrogenation<sup>1</sup> of alkanes,<sup>2-6</sup> alcohols<sup>7-8</sup> and amineboranes.<sup>9-10</sup> Oxidative additions and reductive eliminations are fundamental processes in these and many other catalytic transformations and stoichiometric reactions, and are highly influenced by the electronic properties of the metal centre.<sup>11</sup> In this aspect, the application of all-aliphatic pincer backbones is a relevant task, since the properties of a C(sp<sup>3</sup>)- compared to the more common C(sp<sup>2</sup>)-based PCP complexes might differ significantly due to electronic factors such as stronger *trans* influence by the metallated carbon and a metal centre with higher nucleophilicity.<sup>12</sup> Also, the hybridization is expected to influence the rate of any concerted reaction.<sup>13</sup> <sup>14</sup> Carbon monoxide has been long known to coordinatively add to both PC(sp<sup>2</sup>)P<sup>-15</sup> and PC(sp<sup>3</sup>)P-supported<sup>16</sup> iridium(III) complexes, and such iridium carbonyl com-

plexes have later been found to be involved in catalytic transformations such as transfer hydrogenations of ketones<sup>8</sup> and olefin hydroformylation.<sup>17</sup> PCP iridium(I) carbonyl complexes are well known for benzene based pincer structures,<sup>7, 18-22</sup> and have been reported to catalyse the decarbonylation of 2-naphthaldehyde<sup>23</sup> and the partial deoxygenation of diols<sup>24</sup> and glycerol,<sup>25</sup> but there are no PC(sp<sup>3</sup>)P-supported iridium(I) carbonyl complexes reported to this date.

Here we report on the synthesis and interconversion of PC(sp<sup>3</sup>)P pincer carbonyl complexes with iridium(I) and iridium(III). The electronic properties of the PC(sp<sup>3</sup>)P pincer ligand is also probed using carbonyl stretching frequencies.

## Experimental Section

**General Comments.** All manipulations were performed under a nitrogen or argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk or glovebox techniques, except where noted. Solvents were purified by vacuum distillation from sodium/benzophenone ketyl radical. The ligand *cis*-1,3-Bis-((di-*tert*-butylphosphino)methyl)cyclohexane, **1**, and the complexes **2** and **3** were prepared according to previously reported procedures,<sup>26-27</sup> *cf.* Scheme 1 for numbering. All other chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received. <sup>1</sup>H-, <sup>13</sup>C- and <sup>31</sup>P-NMR experiments were recorded on a Varian Unity INOVA 500 spectrometer, operating at 499.76 (<sup>1</sup>H), 125.68 (<sup>13</sup>C) and 202.31 (<sup>31</sup>P) MHz. For <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra, the residual solvent peak was used as an internal reference. <sup>31</sup>P-NMR spectra were referenced externally using 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> at δ = 0 ppm. Multiplicities are abbreviated as follows: (s) singlet, (d) doublet, (t) triplet, (q) quartet, (m) multiplet, (br) broad, (v) virtual. IR spectra were obtained on a Bruker ALPHA FT-IR spectrometer. Elemental anal-

yses were performed by H. Kolbe Microanalytisches Laboratorium, Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany.

**Crystallography.** XRD-quality crystals of compounds **4**, **5a** and **5b** were obtained through recrystallization from toluene or hexane. Intensity data were collected with an Oxford Diffraction Excalibur 3 system, using  $\omega$ -scans and MoK $\alpha$  ( $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$ ) radiation.<sup>28</sup> The data were extracted and integrated using CrysAlis RED.<sup>28</sup> The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares calculations on  $F^2$  using SHELXTL5.1.<sup>29</sup> Compound **4** formed small, weakly diffracting crystals, giving rise to a high  $R_{\text{int}}$ .<sup>30</sup> Non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms were constrained to parent sites, using a riding model. For **5a** and **5b** attempt were made to locate the hydride atoms. Although residual electron density could be located in the expected area trans to CO and Cl, respectively, all attempts to model this as a hydride failed, giving unreasonable distances and angles and negative isotropic thermal parameters. Molecular graphics were generated using CrystalMaker® 8.3.5.<sup>31</sup>


**Preparation of *trans*-[Ir(CO){*cis*-1,3-Bis-((di-*tert*-butylphosphino)methyl)}-cyclohexane] (**4**).** Compound **3** (10.0 mg, 0.016 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (3 mL), and the solution was freeze-pump-thawed prior to addition of CO (1 atm). After stirring at room temperature for 1.5 h, the solvent was removed *in vacuo*, and the yellow solid residue was recrystallized from hexane. Yield: 7.8 mg (78%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  2.32-2.27 (m, PCH<sub>2</sub>CH, 2 H), 2.22-2.19 (m, Cy, 2 H), 2.02-1.98 (br m, Cy, 1 H), 1.73-1.64 (m, Cy, 2 H), 1.52 (tt,  $J = 4.0 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J = 13.5 \text{ Hz}$ , PCH<sub>2</sub>CH, 2 H), 1.48-1.42 (m, Cy, 1 H), 1.32 (vt,  $J_{\text{PH}} = 13.0 \text{ Hz}$ , <sup>t</sup>Bu, 18 H), 1.26 (vt,  $J_{\text{PH}} = 13.0 \text{ Hz}$ , <sup>t</sup>Bu, 18 H), 1.19 (t,  $J = 11.0$ , HC-Ir, 1 H), 0.95 (dq,  $J = 3.5 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J = 12.5 \text{ Hz}$ , Cy, 2 H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}-

NMR ( $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  194.8 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 15$  Hz, Ir-CO, 1 C), 71.6 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 7.2$  Hz, HC-Ir, 1 C), 50.3 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 19$  Hz,  $PCH_2$ , 2 C), 36.9 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 25$  Hz, Cy, 2 C), 36.4 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 21$  Hz,  $C(CH_3)_3$ , 2 C), 35.8 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 22$  Hz,  $C(CH_3)_3$ , 2 C), 34.9 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 19$  Hz, Cy, 2 C), 29.8 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 5.6$  Hz,  $C(CH_3)_3$ , 6 C), 29.7 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 5.2$  Hz,  $C(CH_3)_3$ , 6 C), 27.7 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 2.8$  Hz,  $CH_2CH_2CH_2$ , 1 C).  $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ -NMR ( $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  81.8 (s). IR (NaCl/nujol)  $\nu_{CO} = 1917$   $cm^{-1}$ , (hexane)  $\nu_{CO} = 1920$   $cm^{-1}$ , ( $CH_2Cl_2$ )  $\nu_{CO} = 1896$   $cm^{-1}$ .

**Preparation of *anti*-[Ir(CO)HCl{*cis*-1,3-Bis-((di-*tert*-butyl-phosphino)methyl)}-cyclohexane] (5a).** Compound 2 (25.0 mg, 0.040 mmol) was dissolved in THF (3 mL), and the solution was freeze-pump-thawed prior to addition of CO (1 atm). A colour change from deep red to colourless was observed within seconds. After stirring at room temperature for 2 h, the solvent was removed *in vacuo*, and the white solid residue was recrystallized from hexane. Yield: 22.2 mg (85%).  $^1H$ -NMR ( $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  1.89-1.84 (m,  $PCH_2CH$ , 2 H), 1.82-1.77 (br m, Cy, 2 H + 1 H), 1.61 (t,  $J = 10.5$  Hz, HC-Ir, 1 H), 1.51-1.45 (br m,  $PCH_2CH$ , 2 H + Cy, 1 H), 1.41 (vt,  $J_{PH} = 13.0$  Hz,  $^tBu$ , 18 H), 1.37 (vt,  $J_{PH} = 13.0$  Hz,  $^tBu$ , 18 H), 1.12 (tt,  $J = 3.5$  Hz,  $J = 14.0$  Hz, Cy, 2 H), 0.90 (dq,  $J = 4.0$  Hz,  $J = 13.0$  Hz, Cy, 2 H) -8.59 (dt,  $J_{HH} = 1.5$  Hz,  $J_{PH} = 17.0$  Hz, Ir-H, 1 H).  $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ -NMR ( $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  226.7 (s, Ir-CO, 1C), 51.5 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 11$  Hz, CH-Ir, 1 C), 37.8 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 27$  Hz,  $PCH_2$ , 2 C), 36.8 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 21$  Hz, Cy, 2 C), 36.2 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 23$  Hz,  $C(CH_3)_3$ , 2 C), 33.9 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 17$  Hz,  $C(CH_3)_3$ , 2 C), 32.7 (s, Cy, 2 C), 30.8, (vt,  $J_{PC} = 3.2$  Hz,  $C(CH_3)_3$ , 6 C), 30.6 (vt,  $J_{PC} = 3.0$  Hz,  $C(CH_3)_3$ , 6 C), 27.8, (s,  $CH_2CH_2CH_2$ , 1 C).  $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ -NMR ( $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  50.2 (s). IR (ATR)  $\nu_{CO} = 1977$   $cm^{-1}$ . Anal. Calcd for  $C_{25}H_{51}ClIrOP_2$  (657.29): C, 45.68; H, 7.82. Found: C, 45.60; H, 7.65.

**Preparation of *syn*-[IrH(CO)Cl{*cis*-1,3-Bis-((di-*tert*-butyl-phosphino)methyl)}-cyclohexane] (5b).** *cis*-1,3-Bis-[(di-*tert*-butylphosphino)methyl]cyclohexane (1) (24.8

mg, 0.062 mmol) and  $\text{IrCl}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  (18.6 mg, 0.062 mmol) was mixed with dry degassed DMF (4 mL) under a stream of  $\text{N}_2$ . The mixture was heated to  $150\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h. Upon cooling to RT a yellow precipitate came out of solution. The solvent was removed *in vacuo*, followed by repeated crystallisation from THF to afford **5b** as a pale yellow crystalline powder. Yield: 23.2 mg (54%).  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  ( $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ ):  $\delta$  2.61-2.52 (m,  $\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}$ , 2 H), 2.09-2.01 (m,  $\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}$ , 2 H + Cy, 2 H), 1.86-1.81 (m, Cy, 1 H), 1.55 (vt,  $J_{\text{PH}} = 13.5\text{ Hz}$ ,  $^t\text{Bu}$ , 18 H), 1.53-1.51 (m, Cy, 1 H) 1.42 (t,  $J = 11.0\text{ Hz}$ ,  $\text{HC-Ir}$ , 1 H), 1.15 (tt,  $J = 4.0\text{ Hz}$ ,  $J = 14.0\text{ Hz}$ , Cy, 2 H), 1.06 (vt,  $J_{\text{PH}} = 12.5\text{ Hz}$ ,  $^t\text{Bu}$ , 18 H), 0.92 (dq,  $J = 3.5\text{ Hz}$ ,  $J = 13.0\text{ Hz}$ , Cy, 2 H), -18.7 (t,  $J_{\text{PH}} = 13.0\text{ Hz}$ ,  $\text{Ir-H}$ , 1 H).  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ -NMR ( $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ ):  $\delta$  56.4 (d,  $J_{\text{PH}} = 13.0\text{ Hz}$ ). IR (ATR)  $\nu_{\text{CO}} = 1989\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Anal. Calcd for  $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{51}\text{ClIrOP}_2$  (657.29): C, 45.68; H, 7.82. Found: C, 45.59; H, 7.79.



Scheme 1

## Results and Discussion

We have earlier reported on the cyclometallation of ligand **1** with  $[\text{Ir}(\text{COD})\text{Cl}]_2$  to give *trans*- $[\text{IrHCl}\{\text{cis-1,3-Bis-(di-tert-butylphosphino)methyl}\}\text{cyclohexane}]$  (**2**), and also on the reduction of this compound with metallic potassium under a  $\text{N}_2$  atmosphere at elevated temperatures, affording the Ir(I) terminal nitrogen complex **3**.<sup>26</sup> We here report an alternative synthesis of **3** from **2** under slightly milder conditions and in comparable yields, using  $\text{KO}^t\text{Bu}$  (Scheme 1) as was previously reported by Milstein and Frech for the preparation of a naphthyl based PCP Rh(I)  $\eta^1\text{-N}_2$  complex.<sup>32</sup> Upon addition of CO to a degassed toluene solution of **3**, a colour change from orange to yellow was observed within minutes, consistent with what is expected upon substitution to a stronger  $\pi$ -acceptor ligand. Following this route, the Ir(I) carbonyl complex **4** was isolated and characterised by IR and NMR spectroscopy and the structure was confirmed by means of X-ray crystallography. It shows a characteristic carbonyl shift at 194.8 ppm in the  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR spectrum. Complex **4** failed to give satisfactory elemental analysis, possibly due to a limited stability at room temperature similarly to what was found for complex **3**. However, based on NMR spectra (see SI) it is essentially pure. The molecular structure of compound **4** is shown in Figure 1, and the crystallographic data for the compounds **4-5** are given in Table 1. The structure adopts a distorted square planar geometry around iridium. While the angle between the PCP coordinated carbon and the carbonyl ligand is close to ideal ( $177.1^\circ$ ), the P–Ir–P angle is much more distorted ( $164.46^\circ$ ) due to the usual geometric constraints imposed by the chelating pincer arms. With respect to bond lengths and angles around iridium, complex **4** resembles its aromatic analogue very closely,<sup>7</sup> and, surprisingly, there is no substantial




change of the Ir–CO or C–O distances (PC<sub>Ar</sub>P mean distances: Ir–CO = 1.863 Å; C–O = 1.147 Å, **4**: Ir–CO = 1.860(7) Å; C–O = 1.143(7)); a similar observation was made regarding the Ir(I)-N<sub>2</sub> complexes where the aliphatic ligand was also observed to induce a small decrease in the N–N bond distance.<sup>26</sup> However, both the N<sub>2</sub>- and current CO-ligands are subject to substantial libration,<sup>33</sup> an explanation that is unambiguous in the N<sub>2</sub>-case since the complex actually showed a shorter distance than in free N<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, a better measure of the electron density is the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  stretching frequency. In hydrocarbons this is 1920 cm<sup>-1</sup> for **4** compared with 1928 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the corresponding aromatic compound.<sup>34</sup> In dichloromethane the corresponding values are 1896 and 1913 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively,<sup>35</sup> and overall this points to a more electron rich metal centre in **4** compared to the aromatic analogue, a trend that agrees with the observations of  $\nu_{\text{NN}}$  stretching frequencies for Ir(I)-N<sub>2</sub> complexes and CV-measurements for Ni(II) complexes.<sup>12c,26</sup>


Subjecting the deep red solution of complex **2** to 1 atm CO resulted in a colourless solution of the 18 electron complex **5a** within seconds. Treating a C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> solution of **5a** with an excess of KO<sup>t</sup>Bu afforded reduction to the iridium(I) complex **4**, as confirmed by comparison with the NMR-spectrum of the isolated compound. The lower route is, however, slower and slightly less clean than the synthesis starting from compound **3** (Scheme 1).

Refluxing ligand **1** and IrCl<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O in DMF gave a yellow solid material that was shown to be complex **5b**, an isomer of **5a**. This type of cyclometallation where the solvent is the carbonyl source, was previously observed by Azerraf and Gelman in the formation of a dibenzobarrelene based PC(sp<sup>3</sup>)P iridium complex.<sup>8a, 8c</sup>

The structural isomers **5a** and **5b** are clearly distinguishable by means of NMR-spectroscopy, most notably in the  $^{31}\text{P}$ -NMR shifts ( $\delta = 50.2$  ppm and  $56.4$  ppm respectively in  $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ ) and the  $^1\text{H}$ -NMR hydride shifts ( $\delta = -8.59$  ppm and  $-18.7$  ppm respectively in  $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ ), and both compounds are seemingly resistant towards isomerisation upon standing in solution at room temperature for several days. A significantly lower solubility of compound **5b** made attempts to obtain a satisfactory  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR spectrum of this compound unsuccessful. However, crystallographic and IR spectroscopic data clearly confirm the presence of a carbonyl ligand. The  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  stretching frequencies for **5a** and **5b** are found at  $1977\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1989\text{ cm}^{-1}$  respectively, which can be compared to the value reported for the aromatic analogue of **5a** ( $\nu_{\text{CO}} = 1985\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , KBr).<sup>15</sup> Thus, it is again clear that the electron density at iridium bonded to a  $\text{C}(\text{sp}^3)$ -carbon is higher than in an analogous aromatic complex. Also, the  $\pi$ -back donation is weaker *trans* to a  $\sigma$ -bonded carbon than *trans* to the hydride ligand. As expected the  $\nu_{\text{CO}}$  values in the Ir(III) complexes **5a** and **5b** are substantially higher than the value in the Ir(I) complex **4**.



Scheme 2



**Figure 1.** Molecular structure of **4** at the 30% probability level. For clarity, hydrogen atoms are only depicted in the cyclohexyl ring. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) with estimated standard deviations: Ir1–C1 = 2.143(6), Ir1–C9 = 1.860(7), C9–O1 = 1.143(7), Ir1–P1 = 2.3073(16), Ir1–P2 = 2.3060(15), P1–Ir1–P2 = 164.46(6), C1–Ir–C9 = 177.1(3), Ir1–C9–O1 = 179.1(7), P1–Ir1–C1 = 82.38(16), P2–Ir1–C1 = 82.14(16).


The molecular structures of compound **5a** and **5b** are given in Figure 2. Notably, the two isomers **5a** and **5b** have different orientations of their respective hydride ligands relative to the  $\alpha$ -hydrogen, as illustrated in Schemes 1 and 2. In case of **5a**, the hydride and  $\alpha$ -hydrogen are located *anti* to each other, while in **5b** they are *syn*. All previously reported PC(sp<sup>3</sup>)P complexes with iridium<sup>26, 36</sup> show an *anti* configuration and this seems to be the preferred outcome of a metallation involving a concerted oxidative addition process via a C–H  $\sigma$ -complex. This is therefore what is observed in the fast CO addition to **2** which has an *anti* configuration. Gelman observed that the quality of the DMF influenced the outcome of the cyclometallation reaction, affording a PC(sp<sup>3</sup>)PIrH(CO)Cl complex in the presence of water

and a  $\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)\text{PIr}(\text{CO})(\text{Cl})_2$  complex in dry solvent,<sup>8c</sup> but **5b** is analogous to the complex reported in wet DMF, featuring the carbonyl ligand located in a *trans* position and the hydride and chloride both in *cis* position to the metallated PCP carbon, although DMF freshly distilled from  $\text{CaH}_2$  was used.

**Table 1.** Crystallographic data for compounds 4-5

	<b>4</b>	<b>5a</b>	<b>5b</b>
formula	$\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{49}\text{IrOP}_2$	$\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{50}\text{ClIrOP}_2$	$\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{50}\text{ClIrOP}_2$
Fw	619.78	656.24	656.24
space group	Pbca	$\text{P2}_1/\text{n}$	Pbca
$a/\text{\AA}$	12.4581(9)	12.5453(2)	12.3770(2)
$b/\text{\AA}$	15.3030(9)	15.2101(3)	15.3452(2)
$c/\text{\AA}$	29.2263(16)	15.5649(3)	28.8663(4)
$\beta/\text{deg}$	90	93.996(2)	90
$V/\text{\AA}^3$	5571.9	2962.80	5482.51
Z	8	4	8
$D_{\text{calcd}}/\text{g cm}^{-3}$	1.478	1.469	1.590
$\mu/\text{mm}^{-1}$	4.920	4.718	5.100
$\theta/\text{range}/\text{deg}$	2.47-28.12	2.42-28.96	2.23-33.14
reflns collected	90480	70507	39527
unique reflns	6596	7422	9768
$R(F)$ ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ) <sup>a</sup>	0.0520	0.0332	0.0389
$wR2(F^2)$ (all) <sup>b</sup>	0.1167	0.1030	0.1221
$S^c$	1.224	1.425	1.124
$R_{\text{int}}$	0.126	0.0594	0.0317
CCDC	1029323	1029333	1029332

<sup>a</sup>  $R = \sum(|F_o| - |F_d|) / \sum|F_o|$ . <sup>b</sup>  $wR2 = [\sum w(|F_o| - |F_d|)^2 / \sum|F_o|^2]^{1/2}$ . <sup>c</sup>  $S = [\sum w(|F_o| - |F_d|)^2 / \sum|F_o|^2]^{1/2}$ .




**Figure 2.** Molecular structure of **5a** and **5b** at the 30% probability level. For clarity, hydrogen atoms are only depicted in the cyclohexyl ring. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) with estimated standard deviations: **5a**: Ir1–C1 = 2.137(4), Ir1–C9 = 1.943(4), C9–O1 = 1.101(5), Ir1–Cl1 = 2.5374(10), Ir1–P1 = 2.3591(10), Ir1–P2 = 2.3643(10), P1–Ir1–P2 = 158.44(4), C1–Ir–C9 = 87.16(16), Ir1–C9–O1 = 173.7(4), C1–Ir1–Cl1 = 179.40(11), P1–Ir1–Cl1 = 95.53(4), P2–Ir1–Cl1 = 96.39(4). **5b**: Ir1–C1 = 2.159(4), Ir1–C9 = 1.909(5), C9–O1 = 1.111(6), Ir1–Cl1 = 2.5340(12), Ir1–P1 = 2.3578(11), Ir1–P2 = 2.3555(11), P1–Ir1–P2 = 161.82(4), C1–Ir–C9 = 174.72(18), Ir1–C9–O1 = 174.1(4), C1–Ir1–Cl1 = 90.49(12), P1–Ir1–Cl1 = 94.15(4), P2–Ir1–Cl1 = 94.44(4).

The observations by Gelman and the *syn* configuration of the hydride ligand and  $\alpha$ -hydrogen in **5b** probably means that the mechanism for formation of the cyclometallated species in DMF is not a simple C–H oxidative addition but involves several deprotonation/protonation steps. There was no tendency for isomerization of **5b**. Overall, this indicates that the *syn* configuration is thermodynamically

cally more stable than the *anti* one and this is also in line with the higher density for **5b**. To test this hypothesis, we attempted isomerisation of **5a** to **5b** (Scheme 3). Indeed, when a solution of **5a** in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> was heated at 90 °C, signals of **5b** appeared, together with very small amounts of **4** and another compound, which is characterized by a doublet at 57.58 ppm in the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum and a triplet at -18.78 (*J*<sub>PH</sub> = 11.8 Hz) in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. Based on the similarity of the NMR signals of this new compound and those of **5b**, we tentatively ascribe it to the structure **5c**, i.e. the *anti* isomer with CO trans to the σ-C bond. After 36 h the reaction was complete and only **5b** together with traces of **4** was observed. These observations suggest that most likely the isomerisation of **5a** to **5b** proceeds via a reversible dehydrochlorination to give **4**, followed by protonation *syn* (to give **5b**) or *anti* (to give **5c**) with respect to the α-CH of **4**.

DFT calculations confirm the relative thermodynamic stability of **5a**, **5b** and **5c**. Thus, energies of **5a** and **5c** are almost equal, while complex **5b** is 7.4 kcal lower than **5a** and **5c** in agreement with experimental observations (see ESI for details).



Scheme 3

## Conclusions

In summary, we have described the synthesis of new cyclohexyl-based PCP carbonyl complexes with iridium(I) and iridium(III). As noted earlier, the C(sp<sup>3</sup>) ligand gives a more electron rich metal complex than observed for the corresponding aromatic systems. Furthermore, we have, for the first time, isolated the thermodynamically more stable *syn* isomer of a PC(sp<sup>3</sup>)P complex with iridium.

## Acknowledgment

Financial support from the Swedish Research Council, the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation and the Royal Physiographic Society in Lund is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

1. For an excellent review see: J. Choi, A. H. R. MacArthur, M. Brookhart, A. S. Goldman, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1761.
2. M. Gupta, C. Hagen, R. J. Flesher, W. C. Kaska, C. M. Jensen, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 2083.
3. K. B. Renkema, Y. V. Kissin, A. S. Goldman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 7770.
4. (a) I. Gottker-Schnetmann, P. White, M. Brookhart, *M. J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 1804. (b) I. Gottker-Schnetmann, M. Brookhart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 9330.
5. D. Morales-Morales, R. Redon, C. Yung, C. M. Jensen, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2004, **357**, 2953.
6. R. Ahuja, B. Punji, M. Findlater, C. Supplee, W. Schinski, M. Brookhart, A. S. Goldman, *A. S. Nat. Chem.*, 2011, **3**, 167.
7. D. Morales-Morales, R. Redon, Z. H. Wang, D. W. Lee, C. Yung, K. Magnuson, C. M. Jensen, *Can. J. Chem.*, 2001, **79**, 823.
8. (a) C. Azerraf, D. Gelman, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 10364. (b) R. Levy, C. Azerraf, D. Gelman, K. Rueck-Braun, P. N. Kapoor, *Catal. Commun.*, 2009, **11**, 298. (c) C. Azerraf, D. Gelman, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 6578.
9. (a) M. C. Denney, V. Pons, T. J. Hebden, D. M. Heinekey, K. I. Goldberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 12048. (b) T. J. Hebden, M. C. Denney, V. Pons, P. M. B. Piccoli, T. F. Koetzle, A. J. Schultz, W. Kaminsky, K. I. Goldberg, D. M. Heinekey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, **130**, 10812.
10. A. Staubitz, M. E. Sloan, A. P. M. Robertson, A. Friedrich, S. Schneider, P. J. Gates, J. S. A. D. Gunne, I. Manners, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 13332.
11. P. W.N.M. van Leeuwen, In *Homogeneous Catalysis: Understanding the Art*, 1st ed.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, the Netherlands, 2004.
12. a) J. Choi, D. Y. Wang, S. Kundu, Y. Choliy, T. J. Emge, K. Krogh-Jespersen, A. S. Goldman, *Science*, 2011, **332**, 1545. b) A. V. Polukeev, R. Gritcenko, K. J. Jonasson, O. F. Wendt, *Polyhedron*, 2014, **84**, 63. c) K. J. Jonasson, O. F. Wendt, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 11894.
13. (a) M. Brookhart, A. F. Volpe, D. M. Lincoln, I. T. Horvath, J. M. Millar, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 5634. (b) M. Brookhart, E. Hauptman, D. M. Lincoln, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 10394.
14. B. J. Burger, M. E. Thompson, W. D. Cotter, J. E. Bercaw, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 1566.
15. C. J. Moulton, B. L. Shaw, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton*, 1976, 1020.
16. C. Crocker, H. D. Empsall, R. J. Errington, E. M. Hyde, W. S. McDonald, R. Markham, M. C. Norton, B. L. Shaw, B. Weeks, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton*, 1982, 1217.
17. S. Musa, O. A. Filippov, N. V. Belkova, E. S. Shubina, G. A. Silantyev, L. Ackermann, D. Gelman, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 16906.



18. B. Rybtchinski, Y. BenDavid, D. Milstein, *Organometallics*, 1997, **16**, 3786.
19. D. W. Lee, C. M. Jensen, D. Morales-Morales, *Organometallics*, 2003, **22**, 4744.
20. I. Gottker-Schnetmann, P. S. White, M. Brookhart, *Organometallics*, 2004, **23**, 1766.
21. S. A. Kuklin, A. M. Sheloumov, F. M. Dolgushin, M. G. Ezernitskaya, A. S. Peregudov, P. V. Petrovskii, A. A. Koridze, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 5466.
22. B. Punji, T. J. Emge, A. S. Goldman, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 2702.
23. J. J. Adams, N. Arulsamy, D. M. Roddick, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 10014.
24. T. J. A. Foskey, D. M. Heinekey, K. I. Goldberg, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 1285.
25. D. B. Lao, A. C. E. Owens, D. M. Heinekey, K. I. Goldberg, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, **3**, 2391.
26. A. Arunachalampillai, D. Olsson, O. F. Wendt, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 8626.
27. S. Sjövall, O. F. Wendt, C. Andersson, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2002, 1396.
28. Crysalis CCD and Crysalis RED; Oxford Diffraction Ltd.: Abingdon, Oxfordshire, UK (2005).
29. G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.*, 2008, **A64**, 112.
30. M.S. Weiss, R. Hilgenfeld, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 1997, **30**, 203.
31. CrystalMaker® Software; Begbroke Science Park, Sandy Lane, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, OX5 1PF, United Kingdom (2010).
32. C. M. Frech, D. Milstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 12434.
33. J. P. Clusker, M. Lewis, M. Rossi, *Crystal Structure Analysis for Chemists and Biologists*, Wiley-VCH, 1994.
34. F. Liu, A. S. Goldman, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 655.
35. A. V. Polukeev, S. A. Kuklin, P. V. Petrovskii, S. M. Peregudova, A. F. Smol'yakov, F. M. Dolgushina, A. A. Koridze, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 7201
36. a) K. J. Jonasson, N. Ahlsten, O. F. Wendt, *Inorg. Chim. Acta.*, 2011, **379**, 76. b) H. A. Mayer, R. Fawzi, M. Steimann, *Chem. Ber.*, 1993, **126**, 1341.