RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Papers

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

The effect of light irradiation on the growth of C₆₀-C₇₀ nanofibers

Xin Zhao,^{*a,b*}Bingzhe Wang,^{*a,b*}Wenwen Yu,^{*a,b*}Xin Gao,^{*a,b*},Guangzhe Piao,*^{*a,b,c*}

Received (in XXX, XXX) XthXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

 C_{60} - C_{70} nanofibers (FNFs) were prepared using a modified liquid-liquid interfacial precipitation (LLIP) method in the pyridine solution of C_{60} - C_{70} soot and isopropyl alcohol (IPA) under illumination with white light in the region of 300–700 nm. The composition of the C_{60} - C_{70} FNFs was analysed by high-pressure liquid chromatography. It is found that the concentration of C_{70} in the C_{60} - C_{70} FNFs is decreased with the

¹⁰ increase of irradiation time in the C_{60} - C_{70} -pyridine solution. In morphology aspect, polarizing optical microscope (POM) and scanning electron microscope (SEM) were used and changing the irradiation time may well be a method to control the length or diameter of those fibers. In mechanism analysis, it was speculated that the charge transfer (CT) complexes between fullerenes C_{60} , C_{70} and pyridine that could be excited by photo was the explanation of this phenomenon.

15 1. Introduction

Fullerene C_{60} nanofibers (FNFs) are one form of fullerene crystal with 1-dimensional (1-D) structure. This special crystal gets many attentions since they do not only own the characteristic of fullerene C_{60} monomer, but also their size and dimension as 1-²⁰ D material. [1]

Consequently, many researches focused on the application designs of this material based on the property or structure of those fibers. For example, due to their larger specific surface area, FNFs have been vastly reported as catalyst carriers. [2, 3] With

- $_{25}$ the nature of semiconductor and higher Young's modulus compared to C_{60} crystals [4], FNFs could be used as electron devices. [5] The discovery of their superconductivity established on electron accepter characteristic by Takeya et al. through intercalation of potassium extends their application in maglev,
- ³⁰ radiation detectors, microwave generator and so on. [6] At the same time, FNFs polymer hybrid with potential application in electromagnetic shielding materials, highly hydrophobic materials, and antistatic materials emerged. [7, 8] Even, a new nanoporous carbon 1-D material, which is the thermal conversion ³⁵ of FNFs, shows excellent electrochemical capacitance and ³⁶ materials.

superior sensing properties for aromatic compounds compared to commercial activated carbons. [9]

Due to their marvellous potential application in many areas, several methods have been developed for the construction of 40 FNFs. They are (1) solution evaporation [10, 11], (2) template technique [12, 13], (3) surfactant-assisted method [14], volatile diffusion method [15], and (4) LLIP method. [16, 17] With the advantages of no requirement of physical templates and catalysts, LLIP method generally acknowledged as the way of great

⁴⁵ promise for self-assembly to construct this 1D structure. [18, 19] Meanwhile, great attentions have been paid to the growth of this 1-D materials and the further development of this method, not only in the morphologies, such as aspect ratio, tube containing rate, formation mechanism discussion [20], but also their compositions. Various FNFs composed not only C₆₀, but also C₇₀, C₆₀[C(COOC₂H₅)₂](η ₂-C₆₀)Pt(PPh₃)₂ or even C₆₀-C₇₀ mixture have been successfully fabricated using LLIP method. [21-24] Indeed, constructing C₆₀-C₇₀ FNFs have been widely studied, since C₆₀-C₇₀ mixture is much cheaper than C₆₀ or C₇₀ and low-

⁵⁵ cost fullerene fibers are mostly studied to practical application. The Young's moduli of C_{60} - C_{70} FNFs were tested by a transmission electron microscope equipped with atomic force microscope functionality and were found to increase with increasing C_{70} content in the mother solutions [25, 26]. However, ⁶⁰ quantitative analysis of C_{60} - C_{70} ratio in solid C_{60} - C_{70} FNFs was still urgent problem and quite meaningful for better understanding of the Young's moduli, optical properties or even the formation process of C_{60} - C_{70} FNFs.

Herein, based on our former researches about the significant ⁶⁵ relationships between the formation of FNFs and the charge transfer (CT) adducts [27, 28], we used the CT complex, formed between C_{60} or C_{70} and pyridine, which are susceptible to light irradiation, to fabricate C_{60} - C_{70} nanofibers with different C_{60} - C_{70} ratio. By changing the irradiation time, we fabricated FNFs with

⁷⁰ different but linearly C₆₀-C₇₀ ratio characterised by using highpressure liquid chromatography analysis (HPLC). Besides, changing the irradiation time may well be a method to control the length or diameter of those fibers through polarizing optical microscope (POM) and scanning electron microscope (SEM) ⁷⁵ analysis. Ultraviolet–visible (UV-Vis) spectrometer was used to analysis the mechanism.

2. Experimental

2.1 Synthesis of $C_{60}\text{-}C_{70}$ FNFs

Firstly, a pyridine-saturated colloid with C_{60} - C_{70} (C_{70} 22mloe%; MER Corp, Tucson, AZ, USA) was prepared by filtering 10ml 1mg/ml C60-C70-pyridine colloid according to literature. [18,19] Then, the colloid was exposed to white light in 5 the region of 300-700 nm (20W, irradiation distance: 8cm) at 8 ° C for 0, 30, 60 and 90min. A typical procedure for the preparation of the FNFs is as follows: 1ml of C₆₀-C₇₀-pyridine colloid was poured into 20ml transparent glass bottle and 9ml of (isopropyl alcohol) IPA was added. The mixture of colloid

10 solution was kept at 8° C and floating batting of metallic luster fibers appeared after 48h.

2.2 Characterization of C₆₀-C₇₀ FNFs

The FNFs obtained were characterized by using polarizing optical microscope (POM, Leica DM-2500P), scanning electron 15 microscope (SEM, JEOL JSM 6700F), high-pressure liquid chromatographic instrument (JAI HPLC-9104) and UV-Vis spectrometer (SHIMADZU UV-2450). For the purpose of electron microscopic measurement, the specimens were placed on aluminium foil as substrate. In HPLC analysis, Buckyprep 20 column chromatography was used and toluene was selected as

mobile phase. The detection wavelength was 310nm and column pressure is 48-51Pa.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Morphology analysis



²⁵ Fig. 1 Optical microscope images of C₆₀C₇₀ FNFs prepared in C₆₀-C₇₀-pyridine colloid with different time of light irradiation (a: 0min; b: 30min; c: 60min; d: 90min)

Fig. 1 shows the optical microscope images of FNFs prepared in C_{60} - C_{70} -prydine colloid with (a) 0min, (b) 30min, (c) 30 60min and (d) 90min irradiation. For their 1-D morphology, it is acknowledged that the anisotropy of nuclei and the selective growth of crystal are the causes. [17, 29]

These C₆₀-C₇₀ fibers are quite long and some of them could reach millimetre scale. In comparison, the fibers in Fig.1 (b), (c) 35 and (d) are similar, which all tend to be fine and tangles. Relativity, a few crude and straight fibers emerged in Fig.1 (a). That's to say that fibers without light irradiation have larger distribution in diameters. Thus, we hold the views that the light irradiation may have good effects on the fine morphology of the

Fig. 2 SEM images of C₆₀-C₇₀ FNFs prepared in C₆₀-C₇₀pyridine colloid with different time of light irradiation (a: 0min; b: 30min; c: 60min; d: 90min)

Fig. 2 shows the SEM images of FNFs prepared in C₆₀-C₇₀pydine colloid with (a) 0min, (b) 30min, (c) 60min and (d) 90min light irradiation, respectively. The surfaces of the fibers are flat. Meanwhile the diameters of those fibers tend to be a wild distribution from hundreds of nanometers to several microns. A 50 random statistical analysis of FNFs shows that the average diameters of fibers prepared in C₆₀-C₇₀-pyridine solution with 0, 30, 60 and 90min irradiation are 690, 680, 450 and 360nm, separately. The longer irradiation does, the thinner those fibers would be. And from the SEM imagines we can see that some of 55 them are hollow structures. Also, with enlarging the irradiation time, the diameter distribution of the FNFs is getting smaller.

In fact, the size distribution of C₆₀ FNFs aroused great attention since the discovery of those 1-D materials. Solvent ratio, growth temperature [20], solution volume [30], operation process $_{60}$ [17], and even the area size of the interface. [31] But for C₆₀-C₇₀ nanofibers, the composition becomes another important factor, apparently, has connections with the morphologies distribution.

3.2 Component analysis

0min, c: 30min, d: 60min, e: 90min)

- Fig. 3 shows the HPLC traces of C_{60} - C_{70} soot and FNFs prepared in C_{60} - C_{70} -pyridine solution with different irradiation time on the C_{60} - C_{70} -pyridine solution. The retention time (t_r) of $_5 C_{60}$ and C_{70} are 12.3min and 20min, respectively. [25, 26, 32] The component percentages of C_{60} and C_{70} are given by the ratio of the areas of the two peaks in Fig. 3. [33] The C_{70} content in FNFs that have not been irradiated by light is as high as 28mol%, however, the C_{70} content in fibers that have been irradiated for
- ¹⁰ 90min is only 11mol%. Combining the C_{70} content in FNFs with 30 and 60min light irradiation, which are 20mol% and 15mol%, separately, a liner relationship could be found between C_{70} content in FNFs and the length of white light irradiation, as follows:

15 Fig. 4 Relationship between irradiation time and C₇₀ content of FNFs

By increasing the length of light irradiation, the C_{70} content in FNFs decreased from 28mol% to 11mol%. The values of C_{70} content ($y_{C70 \text{ content}}$) were shown in fig. 4 and fitted by the 20 following curve as a function of length of light irradiation (t).

$$y_{C70 \text{ content}} = -0.19t + 26.90$$

(1)

3.3 Mechanism analysis

The consistency of subsequent steps suggests that the differentiation occurs in light irradiation. As a matter of fact, ²⁵ during white light irradiation, a slight colour change from brownred to dark brown has been observed. For analysing of this process and based on our former researches [27, 28], we conducted UV-Vis spectra analysis of this C_{60} - C_{70} system, as shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 5 UV-Vis spectra of C_{60} - C_{70} -pyridine solution with different irradiation time (a: 0min; b: 30min; c: 60min; d: 90min)

In Fig. 5, four peaks emerged in the region of 350nm-700nm $_{35}$ in the UV-Vis spectra in the C₆₀-C₇₀-pyridine solution. The absorption at 380nm and 600nm are the characteristic of C_{60} . [34] And the peak at 406nm corresponds to C₇₀ molecule. [35] Additionally, in UV-Vis spectra of C₆₀-C₇₀-pyridine solution, a strong absorption at the region of 400-500nm appears. It should ⁴⁰ be noted that the absorption in this region refer to CT adducts. [36, 37]. Similar absorption has been reported for various thin films of C₆₀ and C₇₀ and attributed to aggregate of fullerene molecule. Fullerene C_{60} or C_{70} have the ability to accept multiple electrons [38, 39]. On the other hand, pyridine has a nitrogen 45 atom with lone pair, which allows the molecule to be an electron donor [37, 40]. So, it's possible that CT adducts should exist in the C₆₀-C₇₀-pyridine system in this condition. Charge transfer reactions through photo excitation between C₆₀, C₇₀ and pyridine happened [37] as follows:

Such interaction between C₆₀, C₇₀ and pyridine in the 50 pressure of light may have influence of fullerene cluster, which serves as nucleation site or building materials for larger structure. [41] Thus, when different length of light irradiation is offered, different balance between C60, C70 and pyridine established. From 55 the figure.5, we can see that the content of CT is increasing with enlarging the length of light irradiation time. We supposed that CT can only sever C60 molecules for crystal growing, while not for crystal nucleating. [28] When the content of CT is increasing, the speed of crystal growing will slow down. According to the 60 theory of H. Ji [17], C60 molecules prefers the corners of the hexagonal cross section, then the edges of the hexagonal cross s section, lastly the center portion of the hexagonal cross. As the existence of CT, the C60 molecules can choose the growing sites more independently, then the selectivity of C60 molecules 65 attached to the crystal seeds will be better, the diameter distribution tends to be uniform. Additionally, we suppose that C60 is easier to form CT complex with pyridine compared to C70, and it can explain the reason why there is a decreasing of C70 content as the longer irradiation time. Meanwhile, the 70 differentiation of each balance in cluster structure or concentration leads to the distinction of size and component ratio of FNFs.

Conclusions

 C_{60} - C_{70} soot was used in the article to fabricate C_{60} - C_{70} 75 FNFs by LLIP method. With the increase of length of light irradiation, the diameter of FNFs tends to be thinner, meanwhile, the content of C_{70} in FNFs drops. We ascribed those phenomena to the formation of C_{60} and C_{70} pyridine charge-transfer adducts, which is sensitive to light.

5 Notes and references

^aKey laboratory of Rubber-Plastics Ministry of Education, Qingdao University of Science and Technology(QUST), Qingdao 266042, PR China.

^bShandong Provincial Key laboratory of Rubber-Plastics, QUST, 10 Qingdao 266042, RP China.

^CSchool of Polymer Science and Engineering, QUST, Qingdao 266042, RP China. Fax: 86 532 840 22725; Tel: 86 532 840 23640; E-mail: piao@qust.edu.cn

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any 15 supplementary information available should be included here]. See

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

[‡] Footnotes should appear here. These might include comments relevant to but not central to the matter under discussion, limited experimental and spectral data, and crystallographic data.

- ²⁰ I L. K. Shrestha, Q. Ji, T. Mori, K. Miyazawa, Y. Yamauchi, J. P. Hill and K. Ariga, Chem. Asian J., 2013, **8**, 1662-1679.
- 2 T. Wakahara, Y. Nemoto, M. Xu, K. Miyazawa and D. Fujita, Carbon, 2011, **35**, 3359-3363.
- 3 M. Sathish, K. Miyazawa and J. Ye, Mater. Chems. Phys., 2011, **130**, 5 211-217.
- 4 K. Asaka, R. Kato, K. Miyazawa and T. Kizuka, Appl. Physics. Lett., 2006, **89**, 1-3.
- 5 K. Ogawa, T. Kato, A. Ikegami, N. Aoki and Y. Ochiai, Appl. Physics. Lett., 2006, 88, 11217-112109.
- ³⁰ 6 H. Takeya, K. Miyazawa, R. Kato, T. Wakahara, T. Ozaki, H. Okazaki, T. Yamaguchi and Y. Takano, Moleculars, 2012, **17**, 4851-4859.
 - 7 B. Wang, X. Gao and G. Piao, Int. J. Polym. Sci, 2013, 2013, 867934.
- 8 K. Calamba, C. Ringor, C. Pascua and K. Miyazawa, Fuller. Nanotub. Car. N., 2014, 23, 709-714.
- 35 9 L. K. Shrestha, R. G. Shrestha, Y. Yamauchi, J. P. Hill, T. Nishimura, K. Miyazawa, T. Kawai, S. Okada, K. Wakabayashi and K. Ariga, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 951-955.
 - 10 M. Yao, B. M. Andersson, P. Stenmark, B. Sundqvist, B. Liu, and T. Wågberg, Carbon, 2009, 47, 1181-1188.
- 40 11 S. Kurosu, T. Fukuda and T. Maekawa, Adv. Nat. Sci.: Nanosci. Nanotechnol., 2013, 4, 025003.
 - 12 H. Liu, Y. Li, L. Jiang, H. Luo, S. Xiao, H. Fang, H. Li, D. Zhu, D. Yu, J. Xu and B. Xiang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, **124**, 13370-13371.
- K. Miyazawa, R. Kuriyama, S. Shimomura, T. Wakahara and M. Tachibana, J. Cryst. Growth., 2014, **388**, 5-11.
- 14 H. Ji, J. Hu, Q. Tang, W. Song, C. Wang, W. Hu, L. Wan and S. T. Lee, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 10498-10502.
- 15 B. Wang, X. Gao and G. Piao, J. Nanomater, 2013, 2013, 646040.
- 16 K. Miyazawa, J. Minato, T. Yoshii, M. Fujino and T. Suga. J. Mate. Res., 2005, 20, 688-695
- 17 B. Wang, X. Gao and G. Piao, Mater. Lett., 2014, 115, 82-84.
- 18 G. Li, P. Liu, Z. Han, G. Piao, J. Zhao, S. Li and G. Liu, Mater. Lett., 2010, 64, 483-485.
- 19 Y. Qu, S. Liang, K. Zou, S. Li, L. Liu, J. Zhao and G. Piao, Mater. Lett., 2011, **65**, 562-564.
- 20 C. L. Ringor, K. Miyazawa, Diam. Relat. Mater., 2008, 17, 529-534.
- 21 K. Miyazawa, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 2002, 85, 1297-1299.
- 22 K. Miyazawa, T. Mashino and T. Suga, J. Mater. Res., 2003, 18, 2730-2735.
- 60 23 K. Miyazawa, T. Suga, J. Mater. Res., 2004, 19, 2410-2414.
- 24 K. Miyazawa, J. Minato, T. Yoshii, M. Fujino and T. Suga, J. Mater. Res., 2005, 20, 685-695.
- 25 K. Asaka, R. Kato, R. Yoshizaki, K. Miyazawa and T. Kizuka, Diam. Relat. Mater., 2007, 16, 1936-1939.
- 65 26 T. Kizuka, K. Saito and K. Miyazawa, Diam. Relat. Mater., 2008, 17, 972-974.
- 27 Y. Qu, W. Yu, S. Liang, S. Li, J. Zhao and G. Piao, J. Nanomater., 2011, 2011, 706293.

- 28 Y. Qu, W. Yu, N. Niu, S. Liang, G. Li and G. Piao, ISRN Condens.
 Matter Phys., 2012, 2012, 140842.
- 29 H. Ji, J. Hu, Q. Tang, W. Song, C. Wang, W. Hu, L. Wan and S. Lee, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, **111**, 10498-10502.
- 30 K. Miyazawa, C. Hirata and T. Wakahara, J. Cryst. Growth., 2014, 405, 68-72.
- 75 31 T. Wakahara, K. Miyazawa, Y. Nemoto and O. Ito, Carbon, 2011, 49, 4644-4649.
 - 32 D. M. Cox, S. Behal, M. Disko, S. M. Gorun, M. Greaney, C. S. Hsu, E. B. Kollin, J. Millar and J. J. Robbins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 2940-2944.
- 80 33 M. C. Zumwalt, M. B. Denton, J. Chem. Educ., 1995, 72, 939-940.
- 34 A. Mrzel, A. Mertelj, A. Omerzu and D. Mihailovic, J. Phys. Chem., 1999, 103, 11256-11260.
- 35 S. Deguchi, R. G. Alargova and K. Tsugii, Langmuir, 2001, 17, 6013-6017.
- 85 36 D. M. Guldi, Chem. Commun., 2000, 321-327.
- 37 J. Cheng, Y. Fang and Q. Huang, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2000, 330, 262-266.
- 38 D. Kuciauskas, S. Lin, and G. R. Seely, A. L. Moore, T. A. Moore, D. Gust, T. Drovetskaya, C. A. Reed, and P. D. W. Boyd, J. Phys. Chem., 1996, **100**, 15926-15932.
- 39 E. F. Sheka, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2007, 438, 119-126.
- 40 R. Świetlik, P. Byszewski, and E. Kowalska, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1996, 254, 73-78.
- 41 V. N. Bezmel'nitsyn, A. V. Eletskii and M. V. Okun, Phys. Usp., 1998, 41, 1091-1114.

RSC Advances

