RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Formation of Dications Bearing S(OH)² + Group from Long-lived 9,9- Dimethyl-10-R-phenanthrenium Cations in FSO3H-SbF5/SO2ClF/SO2: A Mechanistic Study†

George E. Salnikov, Alexander M. Genaev*, Vladimir A. Bushmelev, Andrey A. Nefedov, and Vyacheslav ⁵**G. Shubin**

.

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX **DOI: 10.1039/b000000x**

¹H and ¹³C NMR studies have shown that the long-lived 9,9-dimethyl-10-R-phenanthrenium cations (R = PhC≡C, Me, OH) generated in FSO₃H-SbF₅/SO₂ClF/SO₂/CD₂Cl₂ transform into long-lived 7-

¹⁰dihydroxysulfonio-9,9-dimethyl-10-R-phenanthrenium dications. Effect of 10-R substituents on the reaction rate suggests that a key step in the reaction mechanism is addition of $SO₂$ to protonated phenanthrenium cations.

Introduction

It is known that aromatic compounds in superacids, such as HF- 15 SbF₅ and FSO₃H-SbF₅, containing SO₂, transform into protonated sulfinic acids. $1-3$ The mechanism of this reaction may consist in addition of $SO₂$ to arenium cations formed under protonation of aromatics or electrophilic attack of the cation SO_2H^+ or complex of SO_2 with SbF_5 on the aromatics involved in equilibrium with 20 arenium ions.^{1,4}

 These mechanisms suggest the opposite effect of the substituent R on the reaction rate. While studying the long-lived 9,9-dimethyl-10-R-phenanthrenium cations^{5,6} we have found that ²⁵these cations are convenient model structures for study of carbocation reactions mechanisms. In the present work we have used these cations to determine the mechanism of sulfination reaction.

Results and Discussion

³⁰We have found that the long-lived 9,9-dimethyl-10-

phenylethynylphenanthrenium cation (**1a**) 5 generated from 9 hydroxy-10,10-dimethyl-9-phenylethynyl-9,10-

dihydrophenanthrene $(2a)$ (Scheme 1) in $FSO₃H SbF_5/SO_2CIF/SO_2/CD_2Cl_2$ at -95 °C, at -71 °C quickly (t_{1/2} = ³⁵3 min) and totally turns into another long-lived cationic particle. The structure of this particle, as unambiguously determined from 2D COSY, ROESY, HSQC and HMBC correlations (ESI, pp. S2- S6), corresponds to the structure of the original cation (**1a**) in which the atom H^7 is replaced with a substituent containing no

 40 carbon atoms. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the new particle (Fig. 1) shows an additional singlet signal at δ 9.43 ppm of 2H intensity area, which correlates via long range spin-spin coupling with the ¹³C NMR signal of C^7 in the 2D HMBC spectrum. The protons corresponding to this signal are involved in slow exchange with ⁴⁵acid protons and the NOE cross-relaxation is observed between these protons and H^6 and H^8 signals. By analogy to the literary data^{1-3,7} according to which the protons of $S(OH)_2^+$ group in cations $Ar-S(OH)₂⁺$ do not exhibit fast exchange with acidic media and show distinct signals with chemical shifts in the range ⁵⁰δ 9.12-9.63 ppm we believe that the new signal in our case belongs to $S(OH)_2^+$ group and the new formed particle is 7-

dihydroxysulfonio-9,9-dimethyl-10 phenylethynylphenanthrenium dication **3a** (Scheme 1). Additional evidences for its dicationic nature are downfield shifts 55 of all signals in the ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 1), particularly of the signals of H^6 and H^8 , relative to that of the original cation (1a), and instability of its solutions containing lock substance CD_2Cl_2 at the temperatures above -60 °C, *cf.* ref. 8. The remarkable features of the sulfination reaction are its high rate and practically ⁶⁰full regioselectivity. The rate constant of dication **3a** formation is 4×10^{-3} s⁻¹ at -71 °C.

 F **ig. 1** ¹H NMR spectra (aromatics area) of cation **1a** in FSO₃H-SbF5/SO2ClF/CD2Cl2 at –91 °C and dication **3a** in FSO3H-SbF5/SO2ClF- SO_2/CD_2Cl_2 at -81 °C.

If the same superacid system does not contain SO_2 , cation 1a remains stable up to -40 °C, at higher temperatures giving 10 cyclization product dication 4 (Scheme 2).^{5b}

 An analogous sulfination reaction occurs with the long-lived 9,9,10-trimethylphenanthrenium cation **1b**⁹ (Scheme 1). At ¹⁵-71 °C a mixture of this cation and 7-dihydroxysulfonio-9,9,10 trimethylphenanthrenium dication **3b** is formed (ESI, pp. S10-

S15), the content of the latter being 34%, and maintaining practically not increased upon standing or by adding an additional amount of SO_2 . As in the case of **3a**, all ¹H NMR signals of **3b** ²⁰are downfielded relative to that of the precursor monocation **1b**. The downfield displacement of NMR signals of the phenanthrene fragment of **3b** is more pronounced than that of **3a** (ESI, p. S23), which is apparently due to lower opportunity for positive charge delocalization. Sulfination of **1b** proceeds faster than that of **1a**. 25 The rate constant of dication **3b** formation measured at -100 °C is 6×10^{-4} s⁻¹ (0.2 s⁻¹ for -71 °C as recalculated using the Eyring equation).

 9,9-Dimethyl-10-hydroxyphenanthrenium cation **1c**¹⁰ (Scheme 3) also undergoes sulfination reaction (ESI, pp. S16- ³⁰S17). This cation exists as a mixture of exchanging *E*- and *Z-*isomers (equilibrium constant *Z/E* is 0.3, exchange rate constant $Z \rightarrow E$ is 30 s⁻¹ at -81 °C). ROESY spectrum shows cross peaks due to NOE cross relaxation of hydroxyl proton with protons of methyl groups for the E -isomer, and with the $H¹$ ³⁵proton for the *Z*-isomer. Hydroxyl proton of the Z-isomer is strongly downfielded relative to that of the *E*-isomer (δ 11.92 ppm *vs* 11.31 ppm) due to deshielding anisotropy of the aromatic ring. Significant chemical shifts difference for H¹ proton of *E*and *Z*-isomers is observed $(\delta 8.73 \text{ ppm})$ and 8.28 ppm , ⁴⁰ respectively). The probable reason of the downfield shift of H^1 of the *E*-isomer is its spatial proximity to the lone pair of oxygen atom, *cf*. ref. 11.

Scheme 3

 Sulfination of cation **1c** results in dication **3c** formation as the ⁵⁰main product (ESI, pp. S18-S19). Dication **3c** also exists as an equilibrium mixture of slowly exchanging *E*- and *Z*-isomers (equilibrium constant *Z*/*E* is 0.4, exchange rate constant $Z \rightarrow E$ is $30 s⁻¹$ at -71 °C). All the ¹H NMR signals of dications **3c** are downfielded relative to the corresponding signals of cations **1c**, 55 the differences between ¹H NMR spectra of E - and *Z*-isomers

being analogous to those observed for *E*- and *Z*-isomers of cation **1c**. In this case the sulfination reaction is slower than that of **1a**

and **1b**. The rate constant of dication **3c** formation is 7×10^{-5} s⁻¹ at -71 °C.

⁶⁰Both cations **1d** and **1e** (Scheme 1) do not yield observable amounts of sulfination products. Cation $1d^{5a,6}$ at -71 °C transforms into a complex mixture of unidentifiable products (ESI, p. S21). On the contrary, cation $1e^{5a, 6}$ is quite stable up to -39 °C, content of the sulfination products being less than 2%. At ⁶⁵higher temperatures this cation is decomposed (ESI, p. S22).

Possible mechanisms of the sulfinated dications formation are

presented in Schemes 4, 5 and 6, *cf*. refs. 1,4. The mechanism, in which the protonated SO_2 is an attacking electrophile (Scheme 4), seems to be unlikely, as this mechanism assumes an approach of two positively charged particles to the distance necessary for the

- *s* formation of a chemical bond. In Scheme 5 complex SO_2 : SbF_5 ¹² serves as electrophile. Its presence in the reaction mixture has been established by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy (ESI, p. S8), *cf.* ref. 13. Both Schemes 4 and 5 assume that cation **1** is a nucleophilic particle. The nucleophilicity of the aromatic fragment should be
- 10 in line with the electron donating properties of 10-R substituent. Apparently the nucleophilicity should decrease in the order $R =$ $OH > CCPh > Me$. This assumption is confirmed by chemical shifts of aromatic fragments of cations $1a,b,c$ in H and H^3C NMR spectra (ESI, p. S23). The rate of dications **3** formation according ¹⁵to Scheme 4 or 5, as determined by the nucleophilicity of cations **1**, would decrease in the order $3c > 3a > 3b$. However, the rate decreases just in the opposite order: $3b > 3a > 3c$ (the rate
- constants ratio is approx. 50:1:0.02 at -71 °C). Moreover, neither of Schemes 4 and 5 can explain the observed regioselectivity of ²⁰the reaction, as according to these mechanisms an electrophile
- has to attack the C^7 atom bearing a partial positive charge.

²⁵**Scheme 5**

 Scheme 6 assumes that the superelectrophilic intermediates – dications **6** and/or **7** play a key role in the reaction. The inactivity of cation **1e** in the sulfination reaction may result from a low concentration of the respective dication intermediates owing to $\frac{30}{20}$ low basicity of C^8 and C^6 atoms of cation 1e.

Scheme 6

 In order to verify the possibility of intermediates **6** and **7** formation, we carried out the sulfination reaction of **1a** in $35 FSO₃ D-SbF₅/SO₂ClF/SO₂ (ESI, p. S9).$ The methyl groups of both the precursor **1a** and the product **3a** do not undergo deuteration, as unambiguously follows from the absence of the corresponding signals in the 2 H NMR spectrum. The 2 H NMR signals in the aromatics region are too broad and ⁴⁰indistinguishable from each others because of severe overlaps. Integrals of ¹H NMR signals of phenanthrene rings taken relative to the integrals of methyl group signals clearly indicate that protons belonging to the more positively charged ring (protons H 1-4 of both **1a** and **3a**) do not take part in deuteration to any ⁴⁵visible degree. On the contrary, integrals of proton signals of the other phenanthrene ring both in the precursor monocation **1a** and in the sulfinated product **3a** (protons H^{5-8} of **1a** and protons $H^{5,6,8}$ of **3a**) are significantly reduced due to their partial deuteration. Deuteration of $1a$ into the positions $H⁵⁻⁸$ proceeds practically 50 immediately even at -100 °C, i.e. much faster than sulfination. Therefore dications **6** and **7** in superacid FSO_3H-SbF_5 can be intermediates in the sulfination reaction. The regioselectivity of sulfination can be explained by the higher stability of the dications sulfinated at C^7 in comparison with other sulfination 55 products. In this case the second formal positive charge (at C^7 -S(OH)₂⁺) is located most distantly along the delocalized π bond system from the first one (at C^{10}).

 It is important to note that keeping the monocation precursors in FSO_3D in the absence of SbF_5 even up to -15 °C did not lead to ω any deuteration, and the reaction with SO_2 under these conditions also does not proceed. Therefore we believe that the role of SbF_5 in the sulfination reaction consists solely in ensuring high acidity of the medium necessary for formation of the dication intermediates **6** and/or **7**.

 65 In ¹H DNMR and ROESY spectra (ESI, pp. S14-S15) of dication **3b** chemical exchange between 9- and 10-methyl groups is observed $(k = 6.4 s⁻¹$ at $-61 °C$, DNMR), the signals of aromatic ring protons being intact. Probable mechanisms of this exchange are shown in Schemes 7 and 8. As the exchange rate σ_0 between protons of S(OH)₂⁺ group of dication **3b** and protons of superacid medium $(k = 0.2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } -61 \text{ °C}, \text{ROESY},$ pseudomonomolecular approximation) is significantly less than the rate of methyl groups exchange, Scheme 8 cannot be the preferred one. The chemical exchange between 9- and 10-methyl 75 groups of dication **3b** is slower than that of monocation **1b** ($k =$ 12.3 s^{-1} at -61 °C, DNMR) probably due to lower stability of the dication intermediate **8** (Scheme 7) compared to dication **3b.** In contrast to the latter monocation **1b** undergoes degenerate rearrangement, so that there is no such a retarding effect.

80

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Conclusions

5

Long-lived carbocations of the phenanthrene series are fruitful models for solving mechanistic problems of the organic reactions proceeding with formation of carbocation intermediates. The 10 advantage of these models in study of the sufination reaction is

the opportunity to explore kinetics and mechanism of the reaction, based on dependence of the rate on the substituents in aromatic ring.

Experimental

- ¹⁵**General methods and materials:** NMR spectra were obtained at the Chemical Service Centre of Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences on Bruker AV-600, using the residual proton and carbon signals of deuterated methylene chloride as internal references (CHDCl₂, δ_H 5.33 ppm; CD₂Cl₂, δ_C 53.6 ppm)
- 20 and CFCl₃ (δ_F 0 ppm) as external standard. For structure elucidation and NMR signal assignment 2D correlation spectra 1 H- 1 H (COSY, ROESY) and 1 H- 13 C (HSQC, HMBC) were used.

Analysis of $SO₂ClF$ was performed on Hewlett-Packard G1800A device, equipped with gas chromatograph HP 5890 of II ²⁵series having capillary column HP-5MS and mass selective

detector HP 5971. The column temperature was 30 °C.

Doubly distilled FSO_3H (b.p. 158-161 °C), freshly distilled SbF_5 , SO_2 (from $Na_2S_2O_5$ and H_2SO_4) drained by a transmission through conc. H_2SO_4 , and CD_2Cl_2 drained by 4Å molecular 30 sieves were used. SO₂ClF was prepared by the method of Woyski.¹⁴ According to GC-MS data it contained SO_2 (8%). Pure

SO_2 ClF was obtained by distillation from SbF₅.¹⁵ **7-Dihydroxysulfonio-9,9-dimethyl-10-**

phenylethynylphenanthrenium dication (**3a**). Solution of $_{35}$ carbinol $2a^{5a}$ (20 mg, 0.062 mmol.) in 0.12 mL of CD_2Cl_2 was added dropwise to solution of FSO3H (130 mg, 1.3 mmol.) and SbF_5 (250 mg, 1.2 mmol.) in 0.3 mL of $SO_2ClF-SO_2$ (8% SO_2) placed into NMR tube under stirring at -95 °C. Formation of cation $1a^5$ was observed. The tube was kept for 10 min at -71 °C

40 and then cooled down to -81 °C. ¹H NMR spectrum (δ , ppm): 2.13 s (6H, 9-Me), 7.82 t (2H, H*m*, *J* 7.6 Hz), 8.04 t (1H, H*^p* , *J* 7.5 Hz), 8.11 t (1H, H*²* , *J* 7.6 Hz), 8.21-8.26 m (3H, H*^o* , H*⁶*), 8.45 s (1H, H*⁸* ⁴⁵), 8.61 t (1H, H*³* , *J* 7.6 Hz), 8.72 d (1H, H *4* , *J* 8.7 Hz), 8.88 d (1H, H*⁵* , *J* 9.2 Hz), 8.94 d (1H, H*¹* , *J* 8.2 Hz),

9.43 s (2H, OH). ¹³C NMR spectrum: (δ, ppm): 31.7 q (9-Me), 52.2 s (C*⁹*), 105.5 s (C*^a*), 119.8 s (C*ⁱ*), 126.2 d (C*⁶*), 126.3 d (C*⁸* ⁵⁰), 126.9 d (C*⁴*), 128.7 d (C*⁵*), 130.7 d (C*m*), 133.5 d (C*²*), 134.0 s (C^{4b}) , 134.7 s (C^7) , 135.5 s (C^{10a}) , 138.1 d (C_o) , 140.1 d (C_p) , 140.1 d (C¹), 141.4 s (C^{4a}), 147.0 s (C^{8a}), 150.5 d (C³), 161.2 s (C_b) , 199.2 s (C^{10}) .

 55 Analogous NMR spectra were obtained for solution in SO₂ at -61 °C (ESI, p. S7). The signal of $S(OH)_2^+$ group is observed at δ 9.86 ppm. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum (δ, ppm): -101.8 d (4F, *J* 97 Hz), -134.9 quintet (1F, *J* 97 Hz) (SO₂•SbF₅). The ¹⁹F NMR assignments were made using $\text{COSY }^{19}\text{F-}^{19}\text{F}$ and the data of the 60 paper.¹³

7-Dihydroxysulfonio-9,9,10-trimethylphenanthrenium

dication (3b). Solution of carbinol $2b^{9b}$ (20 mg, 0.084 mmol.) in $0.12 \text{ mL of } CD_2Cl_2$ was added to solution of FSO_3H (115 mg, 1.15 mmol.) and SbF_5 (235 mg, 1.22 mmol.) in 0.25 mL of 65 SO₂ClF-SO₂ (1:17 by volume) placed into NMR tube at -95 °C, the mixture was stirred and then warmed to -71 °C. Formation of the mixture of cation **1b**⁹ and dication **3b** was observed, the content of the latter being 34% . Addition of SO_2 (0.1 mL) to the solution did not result in increase of the content. ¹H NMR ⁷⁰spectrum (δ, ppm): 2.01 s (6H, 9-Me), 3.65 s (3H, 10-Me), 8.16 t (1H, H*²* , *J* 7.7 Hz), 8.28 dd (1H, H*⁶* , *J* 8.8, 1.8 Hz), 8.58 d (1H, H *8* , *J* 1.8 Hz), 8.82 t (1H, H*³* , *J* 7.6 Hz), 8.89 d (1H, H*⁴* , *J* 8.1 Hz), 8.98 d (1H, H*⁵* , *J* 9.0 Hz), 9.03 d (1H, H*¹* , *J* 8.3 Hz), 9.86 s (2H, OH). ¹³C NMR spectrum: (δ, ppm): 27.8 q (9-Me), 26.7 q 10-

Me), 54.6 s (C*⁹*), 126.3 d (C*⁶*), 127.0 d (C*⁸*), 127.7 d (C*⁴* ⁷⁵), 129.4 d (C^5) , 133.8 d (C^2) , 133.5 s (C^{4b}) , 135.7 s (C^7) , 133.8 s (C^{10a}) ,

140.2 d (C¹), 145.1 s (C^{4a}), 145.2 s (C^{8a}), 156.0 d (C³), 239.9 s (C^{10}) .

7-Dihydroxysulfonio-9,9-dimethyl-10-

- **hydroxyphenanthrenium dication** (**3c**). Solution of ketone **5** 16 $5(20 \text{ mg}, 0.090 \text{ mmol.})$ in $0.12 \text{ mL of } CD_2Cl_2$ was added to solution of $FSO₃H$ (105 mg, 1.07 mmol.) and $SbF₅$ (230 mg, 1.06 mmol.) in 0.25 mL of SO_2ClF placed into NMR tube at -95 °C and the mixture was stirred. Formation of cation **1c**¹⁰ (as an equilibrium mixture of *E*- and *Z*-isomers) was observed by NMR.
- 10 Liquid SO_2 (0.05 mL) was added into the NMR tube, the resulting solution was mixed, kept at -70 °C for 5 h and then at -61 °C for 2 h. The reaction results in formation of a multicomponent mixture. The content of (E) -3c is approx. 35% and that of (Z) -3c is approx. 15%.
- $\frac{15}{15}$ (*E*)-1c⁻¹H NMR spectrum (δ, ppm, -91 °C): 1.92 s (6H, 9-Me), 7.72 t (1H, H*⁶* , *J* 7.3 Hz), 7.82 t (1H, H*⁷* , *J* 8 Hz), 7.85 d (1H, H*⁸* , *J* 8 Hz), 7.85 t (1H, H*²* , *J* 8 Hz), 8.44 t (1H, H*³* , *J* 7.7 Hz), 8.49 d $(1H, H⁵, J 8.1 Hz)$, 8.61 d $(1H, H⁴, J 8.5 Hz)$, 8.73 d $(1H, H¹, J)$ 8.1 Hz), 11.31 s (OH). ¹³C NMR spectrum: (δ, ppm, -91 °C): 27.6
- $_2$ ⁰ q (9-Me), 46.8 s (C⁹), 121.4 s (C^{*10a*}), 125.5 d (C⁴), 125.9 d (C⁵), 126.0 s (C^{4b}), 127.3 d (C^8), 129.5 d (C^6), 130.7 d (C^2), 133.2 d $(C¹)$, 133.3 d $(C⁷)$, 141.7 s (C^{8a}) , 148.2 s (C^{4a}) , 148.3 d $(C³)$, 215.5 s (C*¹⁰*).

(*Z***)-1c** ¹H NMR spectrum (δ, ppm, -91 °C): 1.96 s (6H, 9-Me),

- 7.88 d (1H, H*⁸* , *J* 7.9 Hz), 7.92 t (1H, H*²* ²⁵, *J* 7.5 Hz), 8.28 d (1H, H *1* , *J* 8.1 Hz), 8.67 d (1H, H*⁴* , *J* 8.3 Hz), 11.92 s (OH); signals of H *3,5,6,7* are hidden by multiplets of the respective signals of *E*isomer. ¹³C NMR spectrum: (δ , ppm, -91 °C): 28.9 q (9-Me), 47.8 s (C*⁹*), 120.2 s (C*10a*), 126.4 d (C*⁴*), 127.1 d (C*⁸*), 129.3 d
- (C*¹*), 129.3 d (C*⁶*), 130.8 d (C*²*), 133.4 d (C*⁷*), 142.4 s (C*8a* ³⁰), 147.6 d (C³), 147.9 s (C^{4a}), 217.0 s (C¹⁰); signals of C^{4b,5} are hidden by the respective signals of *E*-isomer.

(*E***)-3c** ¹H NMR spectrum (δ, ppm, -91 °C): 2.00 s (6H, 9-Me), 8.04 t (1H, H*²* , *J* 8 Hz), 8.24 d (1H, H*⁶* , *J* 9 Hz), 8.34 s (H*⁸*), 8.57

t (1H, H*³* , *J* 8 Hz), 8.71 d (1H, H*⁴* , *J* 8 Hz), 8.83 d (1H, H*⁵* ³⁵, *J* 9 Hz), 8.86 d (1H, H¹, J 8 Hz), 9.72 s (2H, S(OH)₂), 12.22 s (OH). ¹³C NMR spectrum: (δ, ppm, -91 °C): 27.1 q (9-Me), 47.4 s (C⁹), 122.4 s (C^{10a}), 126.3 d (C^8), 126.8 d (C^4), 127.0 d (C^6), 128.0 d (C^5) , 132.9 d (C^2) , 133.3 s (C^{4b}) , 134.3 d (C^1) , 134.9 s (C^7) , 142.8 s (C^{8a}), 143.5 s (C^{4a}), 148.6 d (C³), 216.1 s (C¹⁰).

(*Z***)-3c** ¹H NMR spectrum (δ, ppm, -91 °C): 2.00 (9-Me), 8.10 (H*²*), 8.24 (H*⁶*), 8.36 (H*⁸*), 8.57 (H*³*), 8.75 (H*⁴*), 8.80 (H*⁵*), 8.47 $(H¹)$, 9.71 (S(OH)₂), 12.82 (OH). The ¹³C NMR assignments for **(***Z***)-3c** are unavailable because of its low concentration.

⁴⁵Data on generation and attempts to sulfinate cations **1d,e** are given in ESI, p. S20.

Acknowledgement

We thank the Russian Academy of Sciences (Project 5.1.4) for financial support.

⁵⁰**Notes and references**

** N. N. Vorozhtsov Novosibirsk Institute of Organic Chemistry; Academician Lavrent'ev Ave., 9, Novosibirsk 630090, Russian Federation. Fax: +7-383-330-97-52; E-mail: genaev@nioch.nsc.ru* † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available. See 55 DOI: 10.1039/b0000000x/

- 1 G. A. Olah, R. H. Schlosberg, D. P. Kelly and Gh. D. Mateescu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 1970, **92,** 2546-2548.
- 2 M. Brookhart, F. A. L. Anet and S. Winstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 1966, **88**, 5657-5659; G. A. Olah, C. U. Pittman, Jr., E. Namanworth ⁶⁰and M. B. Comisarow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 1966, **88**, 5571-5581.
- 3 G. A. Olah and T. E. Kiovsky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 1967, **89**, 5692- 5694; G. A. Olah and T. E. Kiovsky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 1968, **90**, 2583-2586.
- 4 K. K. Laali and D. S. Nagvekar, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, **56**, 1867-1874.
- ⁶⁵5 a. V. A. Bushmelev, A. M. Genaev, G. E. Sal'nikov, and V. G. Shubin, *Russ. J. Org. Chem*., 2013, **49**, 853-859; b. G. E. Sal'nikov, A. M. Genaev, V. A. Bushmelev, and V. G. Shubin, *Russ. J. Org. Chem*., 2013, **49**, 1313-1321.
- 6 G. E. Salnikov, A. M. Genaev, V. A. Bushmelev and V. G. Shubin, ⁷⁰*Org. Biomol. Chem*., 2013**, 11**, 1498–1501.
- 7 G. A. Olah, A. T. Ku, and J. A. Olah, *J. Org. Chem*., 1970, **35**, 3908- 3912.
- 8 C. Taeschler, T. S. Sorensen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**, 5339- 5341.
- ⁷⁵9 a. V. G. Shubin, D. V. Korchagina, A. I. Rezvukhin, and V. A. Koptyug, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR,* 1968, **179**, 119-122 (in Russian); b. V. A. Bushmelev, A. M. Genaev, G. E. Sal'nikov, and V. G. Shubin, *Russ. J. Org. Chem*., 2010, **46**, 520-527.
- 10 V. A. Bushmelev, A. M. Genaev, G. E. Sal'nikov, and V. G. Shubin,
- ⁸⁰*Russ. J. Org. Chem*., 2011, **47**, 1057-1061; V. A. Chikinev,. V. A. Bushmelev, M. M. Shakirov, and V. G. Shubin, *Zh. Org. Khim*., 1986, **22**, 1454-1469 (in Russian).
- 11 A. V. Afonin, I. A. Ushakov, S. V. Zinchenko, O. A. Tarasova, B. A. Trofimov, *Magn. Reson. Chem*. 2000, **38**, 994–1000.
- ⁸⁵12 E. E. Aynsley, R. D. Peacock and P. L. Robinson, *Chem. Ind.* 1951, 1117.
	- 13 A. Commeyras and G. A. Olah, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 1969, **91**, 2929- 2942.
- 14 M. M. Woyski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 1950, **72**, 919-921.
- ⁹⁰15 V. P. Reddy, D. R. Bellew, and G. K. S. Prakash, *J. Fluor. Chem.,* 1992, **56**, 195-197.
- 16 T. Zincke and W. Tropp, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1908, **362**, 242.

