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Synthesis of MoS$_2$/SrZrO$_3$ heterostructures and their photocatalytic H$_2$ evolution under UV irradiation
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A novel heterojunction of MoS$_2$/SrZrO$_3$ photocatalyst was successfully synthesized via simple hydrothermal process and applied to the photocatalytic H$_2$ evolution under UV light irradiation. The samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction, UV-vis absorption spectroscopy, scanning and transmission electron microscopy, X-ray photoemission spectroscopy, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy and EDX mapping. The heterostructure with an optimal content of 0.05 wt % MoS$_2$ exhibits the highest H$_2$ evolution rate of 5.31 mmol/h. This is due to the junction between SrZrO$_3$ and MoS$_2$, which suppresses the recombination of photogenerated electron and hole. Our work indicated that the prepared MoS$_2$/SrZrO$_3$ heterostructured photocatalyst can be used as an effective material for water splitting.

1. Introduction

Photocatalytic water splitting has attracted considerable attention due to the depletion of fossils and energy crisis challenging science, and hydrogen has been considered as one of the clean, economical and environmentally friendly energies in modern society. Since the first report by Fujishima and Honda on electrochemical photolysis of water at TiO$_2$ electrode, great efforts have been made to explore hydrogen evolution to solve energy and environmental issues. Selecting novel photocatalysts possessing wide range of light response and higher quantum efficiency, such as, heterogeneous photocatalysts, metal coordination photocatalysts, hierarchically structured nanocrystals, and et al, have been intensively and extensively investigated because of special band structure and the carrier transportation. Photocatalysts containing d$^0$ and d$^{10}$ metal ions, such as, Ti$^{4+}$, Zr$^{4+}$, Nb$^{5+}$, Ga$^{3+}$ and Sb$^{5+}$ have been demonstrated as higher photocatalytic activities for H$_2$ evolution under UV irradiation. Among them, perovskite type oxide ABO$_3$, where A is an alkaline metal or an alkaline earth metal and B is a transition metal, such as, SrZrO$_3$, BaZrO$_3$, SrTiO$_3$, BiVO$_4$ and etc, have been considered as one of the most promising photocatalysts due to its unique properties as high stability and nontoxicity. Furthermore, perovskite-type SrZrO$_3$, with a wide band gap of 5.6 eV, has attracted much attention owing to its applications in high-temperature materials, luminescence properties, hydrogen gas sensors and catalysts. And excellent stabilities and more photocatalytic active sites make SrZrO$_3$ a suitable photocatalyst applied to the water splitting and dye degradation reactions under UV light irradiation.

Heterogeneous photocatalysis is considered as one of the most promising methods solving energy and environmental crisis, and perovskite type oxide compounds have been selected as one of the suitable materials. On the other hands, layer-structured transition metal sulfide especially for MoS$_2$, which is consist of metal Mo attached with two S and stacking together to furnish sandwich-like structure, is also exploited for photocatalytic applications owing to its narrow band gap and high thermal stability, and a great number of heterogeneous photocatalysts containing MoS$_2$ have been synthesized during the past decades. Li et al synthesized MoS$_2$/CdS catalyst and investigated the photocatalytic activities before and after loading MoS$_2$ under visible light irradiation, finding a greatly enhancement of H$_2$ evolution after loading MoS$_2$. Liu et al prepared a novel photocatalyst with 3D hierarchical heterostructure, MoS$_2$/TiO$_2$, exhibiting an excellent photocatalytic H$_2$ evolution and dye degradation, implying the matched energy band of MoS$_2$/TiO$_2$ heterostructure favoring the charge transfer and suppressing the recombination of photogenerated electron and hole between MoS$_2$ and TiO$_2$.

Herein, a novel heterostructured MoS$_2$/SrZrO$_3$ photocatalyst was synthesized by facile hydrothermal method. Then the as-prepared sample was employed to investigated photocatalytic H$_2$ evolution from aqueous solutions containing Na$_2$S and Na$_2$SO$_3$ under UV irradiation, and 0.05 wt % MoS$_2$ loading on the SrZrO$_3$ crystal was found to show best performance with H$_2$ evolution rate of 5.31 mmol/h. MoS$_2$ was proved to be an effective separation of...
photogenerated carriers in the MoS$_2$/SrZrO$_3$ heterojunction and the possible mechanism of H$_2$ evolution was discussed. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the preparation of MoS$_2$/SrZrO$_3$ heterojunction and their application in the photocatalytic activity for water splitting under UV light irradiation.

2. Experimental Section

2.1 Chemicals and materials

Zirconium (IV) oxychloride octahydrate (ZrOCl$_2$·8H$_2$O), strontium nitrate (Sr(NO$_3$)$_2$), potassium hydroxide (KOH), sodium molybdate dehydrate (Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O), thioacetamide (C$_2$H$_3$NS), acetic acid and ethanol. All of the chemicals were purchased from ShangHai Chemical Reagent Company in analytical grade, and were used without further purification.

2.2 Synthesis of SrZrO$_3$ photocatalyst

SrZrO$_3$ photocatalyst were synthesized by hydrothermal method according to the previous literature. Typically, 1.164 g Sr(NO$_3$)$_2$ and 1.608 g ZrOCl$_2$·8H$_2$O were dissolved in 60 mL 12 mol/L KOH solution and stirred 1 h at ambient temperature by using a magnetic stirrer. Then the mixture was transferred to a 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave, heated up to 200°C and kept for 24 h. After cooling naturally, the product was centrifuged and washed with distilled water, diluted acetic acid to remove some SrCO$_3$ by-products, and ethanol several times. After that, the sample was vacuum dried at 60°C for 6 h. It is worth noting that the KOH solution was pre-prepared or the temperature would rise up to over 100°C resulting in the inhomogeneous formation of SrZrO$_3$ crystal.

2.3 Synthesis of MoS$_2$ crystal

The MoS$_2$ crystal was synthesized by hydrothermal method. Typically, 0.807 g Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O and 1.2522 g C$_2$H$_3$NS was dissolved in a 100 mL beaker containing 20 mL distilled water and 20 mL ethanol, and the mixture was stirred 30 min under a magnetic stirrer. Then the solution was transferred in a 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave, sealed and heated to 200°C for 48 h. After cooling naturally, the product was centrifuged, washed with distilled water and ethanol several times. The sample was vacuum dried at 60°C for 6 h.

2.4 Synthesis of MoS$_2$/SrZrO$_3$ heterocatalyst

The formation process of MoS$_2$/SrZrO$_3$ heterocatalyst was described as follows. 0.5 g as-prepared SrZrO$_3$ was dissolved in the mixture containing 20 mL distilled water and 20 mL ethanol, a certain quality Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O and C$_2$H$_3$NS was then added into the solution and the molar ratio of Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O and a certain quality Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O and C$_2$H$_3$NS was then added into the solution and the molar ratio of Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O and C$_2$H$_3$NS was 1:5. The obtained suspension was sonicated for 10 min and stirred 30 min in order to disperse uniformly. The solution was sealed in a 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and heated in an electric oven at 200°C for 48 h. After cooling to the room temperature, the product was separated by centrifugation, washed with distilled water and ethanol several times. Finally, the catalysts were dried in vacuum at 60°C for 6 h. The prepared samples with 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 7, 10 wt % MoS$_2$, defined as the weight ratio of MoS$_2$ to SrZrO$_3$, were named as SM1, SM2, SM3, SM4, SM5, SM6, SM7, SM8, SM9, SM10.

2.5 Characterization of photocatalyst

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on Bruker D8-Discover with Cu Kα radiation (λ=1.54178 Å). The transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Hitachi H-600) and the scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEI Inspect F50) were used to characterize the morphologies and size of the synthesized product. UV-vis absorption spectra were measured on a Shimadzu UV-3100 spectrometer. The chemical composition analysis of the sample surfaces was characterized by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (VG Multiab-2000) using a PHI Quantum 2000 XPS system with a monochromatic Al Kα source and charge neutralizer. All of the spectra were calibrated to C 1s peak at 284.6 eV.

2.6 Photocatalytic activity test

Photocatalytic reactions of hydrogen evolution were carried out in a 250 mL Pyrex flask at room temperature and atmospheric pressure, and 100 W mercury lamp (365nm, Shanghai Special Lighting Factory) was used as the light source. Typically, photocatalyst (0.2 g) was dissolved in 250 mL aqueous solution containing Na$_2$S (0.35M) and Na$_2$SO$_3$ (0.25M) as electron donors. Prior to irradiation, the suspension was sonicated for 20 min and bubbled with N$_2$ for 30 min to remove the dissolved oxygen. Then the photocatalyst was irradiated with ultraviolet light from the Hg lamp. The amount of H$_2$ was determined by gas chromatograph (GC-14C, Shimadzu, Japan, TCD, nitrogen as a carrier gas and 5 Å molecular sieve column).

3. Results and discussion

![Fig. 1 XRD patterns of SrZrO$_3$, SM1, SM3, SM5, SM8, SM10, MoS$_2$ and standard pattern of SrZrO$_3$.](image)

In order to investigate the changes of phase structures and crystal form of the as-prepared samples, X-ray diffraction measurement was performed. Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of SrZrO$_3$, MoS$_2$ and MoS$_2$/SrZrO$_3$ synthesized by hydrothermal method. All the peaks were assigned as orthorhombic perovskite SrZrO$_3$ structure (JCPDS card 44-0161) with cell parameter a=5.819 Å, b=8.204 Å and c=5.797 Å in Pnma (62) space group, and several typical peaks at 2θ=20.6°, 24.2°, 30.7°, 36.2°, 37.9°, 44.1°, 45.5°, 54.7°, 64.0°, 72.7° were observed and corresponding to (020), (111), (200), (211), (220), (202), (212), (240), (002), (100), (110), MoS$_2$.
Fig. 2 UV–vis absorption spectra of SrZrO₃, SM1, SM3, SM5, SM8, SM10 and MoS₂ samples (400) and (402) planes, respectively. For pure MoS₂ crystal, peaks are ascribed to (002), (100) and (110) planes with hexagonal phase MoS₂ (JCPDS card 37-1492)²⁷, ³¹. However, no obvious peaks of heterojunctions attributed to MoS₂ phase were observed in the MoS₂/SrZrO₃ samples mainly due to its low loading content, high distribution of the MoS₂ component and weak crystallization in these catalysts²²-²⁴.

Fig. 3 (a) SEM images of SrZrO₃, (b) SEM images of MoS₂, (c–d) SEM images of SM8, (e) HRTEM images of SM8, (f) EDX pattern of SM8 photocatalyst in (c).

Fig.2 demonstrated the UV–vis absorption spectra of pure SrZrO₃ and MoS₂/SrZrO₃ heterostructures. For pure SrZrO₃ sample, the absorption at 230 nm was supposed to the inherent b and gap absorption, and the band gap of SrZrO₃ is 5.4 eV which is close to 5.6 eV that literature reported²⁸, ³⁵, ³⁶. And the absorption of MoS₂ was blue-shifted relative to the bulk MoS₂ having a band edge at 1040 nm²⁷, ³⁷. Noticeably, a similar UV–vis absorption curves were observed, and the absorption edges of heterojunction is red-shifted gradually when the increasing amount of MoS₂ crystal was loaded on the surface of SrZrO₃. Further, the absorption band of MoS₂/SrZrO₃ was located at the UV region, indicating an excellent UV absorption at this area.

Fig. 4 (a) SEM image of SM8, and (b–f) the corresponding EDX mapping of MoS₂/SrZrO₃ at the region shown in (a), indicating spatial distribution of Sr, Zr, O, Mo, S, respectively.

The morphologies of as-prepared catalysts were characterized by scanning electron microscope (SEM) and transmission electron microscope (TEM), which was shown in Fig. 3. The morphology of SrZrO₃ (Fig. 3a) shows a cubic structure with an average side length of 2 µm, and the sizes and shapes of pure SrZrO₃ and SM8 remained almost the same after loading MoS₂, which is demonstrated by the comparison of Fig. 3c with Fig. 3a. For pure MoS₂ (Fig. 3b), the successfully synthesized MoS₂ was layer-structured, which is flower-like nanostructure with average diameter of 200 nm. Furthermore, the MoS₂ small nanoflakes loading on the surface of SrZrO₃ were observed from Fig. 3d. The elemental composition of the photocatalyst was examined by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis, Fig. 3f. The presence of Sr, Zr, O, Mo and S elements were confirmed by EDX. The HRTEM image of MoS₂/SrZrO₃ in Fig. 3e indicates the lattice spacing with an interplanar distance of 0.291 nm and 0.61 nm, which are corresponding to the (002) and (002) d-spacing of the orthorhombic phase of SrZrO₃ and hexagonal MoS₂ respectively. Herein, the HRTEM image is just an indirect proof demonstrating the existence of MoS₂ in MoS₂/SrZrO₃ heterojunctions.

To confirm the formation of MoS₂/SrZrO₃ heterojunction, the SEM and TEM analysis of SrZrO₃ have been characterized before and after loading MoS₂. In addition to this, SEM-EDX mapping has also been detected to show the elemental distribution of Sr, Zr, O, Mo and S, which was presented in Fig. 4,
introduced MoS₂ has little influence on the chemical valence. Two peaks of Sr 3d in Fig.4b at 135.3 and 133.7 eV are assigned to Sr 3d3/2 and Sr 3d5/2, and the binding energies corresponding to Zr 3d3/2 and Zr 3d5/2 are 182.2 and 179.9 eV, respectively. The binding energy difference of O 1s was 533.7 eV due to the absorbed oxygen in SrZrO₃ sample, and the binding energy located at 531.8 eV is assigned to O 1s. The peaks of Mo 3d (Fig.5e) at 232.6 and 229.4 eV are attributed to Mo(+4) 3d3/2 and Mo(+4) 3d5/2, respectively. It can be clearly seen from Fig.5f that the measured binding energies of S 2p1/2 and S 2p3/2 are corresponding to 163 and 161.9 eV, respectively. The atomic percentage of Mo and S analyzed by XPS was 65.22, 27, 39.

Fig.5 (a) XPS survey spectra of sample SrZrO₃ and SM8, (b-f) High resolution XPS spectra of Sr, Zr, O, Mo and S.

and the selected area of EDX-mapping analysis was shown in Fig.4a. Obviously, homogeneous distributions of Sr, Zr and O were observed in the particle (Fig.4b-d) which is consistent to the SEM image. The distribution of elements of Mo and S were also displayed uniformly with low density (Fig.4e-f).

To further investigated the chemical composition and elemental status of SrZrO₃ and MoS₂/SrZrO₃, XPS analysis was carried out and presented in Fig.5. The content of MoS₂ lower than 0.1 % cannot be detected by XPS, and the different of all heterojunctions is the smoothness with different MoS₂ content, thus, we chose SM8 to investigate the XPS of the elements. The XPS peak for C 1s at 284.6 eV is due to adventitious carbon from the XPS instrument. Fig.5a gives the comparison of XPS spectra of SrZrO₃ and SM8 samples. The compositions of high resolution XPS of Sr, Zr and O elements for SrZrO₃ and SM8 were shown in Fig.5b-d. No apparent differences except O were observed when MoS₂ loading on the surface of SrZrO₃, indicating the

Fig.6 (a) Photocatalytic activity of MoS₂/SrZrO₃ catalysts with loading different amount of MoS₂, and (b) Time course of H₂ evolution over 0.05 MoS₂(0.05 wt %)/SrZrO₃ photocatalyst.

In order to investigated the photocatalytic performance of SrZrO₃ photocatalyst before and after loading MoS₂, a serious of experiments have been carried out and the results have been shown in Fig.6a. It is clear that the rate of H₂ evolution was obviously enhanced when loading a relative small amount of MoS₂ with 0.05 wt%. The rate of H₂ evolution for pure SrZrO₃ photocatalyst was 1.95 mmol/h, while the rate of H₂ evolution of MoS₂/SrZrO₃ was 3.15 mmol/h, nearly 2.7 times of pure samples, which is due to the effect of heterojunction between MoS₂ and SrZrO₃. As can be seen, H₂ production was gradually decreased or even lower than pure SrZrO₃ as the increasing amount of MoS₂. It is mainly due to several reasons: the decrease of SrZrO₃ surface active sites resulting from the excessive loading MoS₂ cluster; deterioration of catalytic properties of SrZrO₃ cluster; decrease of irradiation passing through the sacrifice solution. The stability and recycling performance of photocatalysts are important factors in the commercial applications, thus, time of H₂ production over MoS₂/SrZrO₃ was performed (Fig.6b). Clearly, the average rate of H₂ evolution was nearly unchanged after 30 h reaction, indicating an excellent stability of photocatalyst. A possible mechanism of photocatalytic H₂ evolution over MoS₂/SrZrO₃ heterojunction was proposed and depicted in Fig.7. The SrZrO₃ photocatalyst absorbed enough energy greater than the band gap under UV light irradiation, and generated electron was easily transferred to conduction band, leaving photo-generated holes in the valence band. The catalytic activities without MoS₂ loading were lower because of the recombination between photo-generated electrons and holes. While, the H₂ evolution was
Fig. 7 Mechanism of photocatalytic water splitting over MoS$_2$/SrZrO$_3$ photocatalysts under UV light.

significantly enhanced after loading MoS$_2$ because of the rapid transfer of the photo-generated electrons from the conduction band of SrZrO$_3$ samples to MoS$_2$ which is due to the higher conduction band of SrZrO$_3$ than that of MoS$_2$. At the same time, the H$^+$ was reduced to H$_2$ with the MoS$_2$ trapping of photo-generated electrons, and whereas holes of SrZrO$_3$ crystals in the valence band oxidize S$^2$- and SO$_4^{2-}$ on the surface of SrZrO$_3$. The heterojunctions between SrZrO$_3$ and MoS$_2$ was considered as an important factor for the remarkably improved H$_2$ evolution.

4. Conclusions

In summary, the MoS$_2$/SrZrO$_3$ heterojunction was synthesized for the first time through two-step hydrothermal reaction. The highest of H$_2$ evolution rate of 5.31 mmol/h was obtained with 0.05 wt % MoS$_2$ loading on the surface of SrZrO$_3$ under UV irradiation, and the mechanism of process of H$_2$ evolution was also proposed. Furthermore, the amount of MoS$_2$ plays an important in photocatalytic activities, which were might due to the changes of active sites, band gaps and irradiation opacity. Obviously, the influences of MoS$_2$ in MoS$_2$/SrZrO$_3$ heterogenous photocatalyst were matching the band energy and suppressing the recombination between photogenerated electron and holes, resulting in the enhancement of H$_2$ evolution.
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