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Formation, structure and electrochemical performance of the nano-sized Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$/Csynthesized with the co-incorporation of citric acid and glucose followed by a two-step annealing 

L. Mi$^a$, H. Q. Liu$^a$, R. Y. Tian$^a$, Y. Jiang$^a$, L. N. Zhang$^{b,c}$, X. H. Gu$^a$, Y. J. Guo$^a$, H. F. Wang$^{a,*}$, L. F. Sun$^{a,*}$ and W. G. Chu$^{a,*}$

Nano-sized Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$/C composites are synthesized using a simple recipe via the co-incorporation of citric acid and glucose with various molar ratios followed by a two-step annealing. Citric acid and glucose traditionally recognized as reducing agents, size reduction agents and carbon sources are found to play different roles here in which glucose has a far more effective and critical role to play in size reduction and citric acid alleviates the agglomeration of nanoparticles more effectively, different than before. It is reported for the first time that the decrease in intensity ratio between the reflections around 2θ = 24.3° and 33.1° of monoclinic Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ with space group of P2$_1$ with the increased amount of citric acid and glucose originates from the increased intermixing occupancies between Fe and Li. An appropriate intermixing occupancy between Fe and Li could facilitate the electrochemical performance of Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$. This study provides a new idea to optimize the electrochemical performance of Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ by controlling the intermixing occupancy of Fe and Li through introducing some organic substances during synthesis.

1. Introduction

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have been attracting great interest due to their good performance, long life time and environmental friendliness. As the most important component of LIBs cathode materials are extensively explored such as layered LiCoO$_2$, spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$, olivine LiFePO$_4$, monoclinic LiFeBO$_3$, polymorphic Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ and their derivatives. However, Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ is unique due to a high theoretical specific capacity as a result of possible two Li$^+$$^2$ extraction (166 and 332 mAhg$^{-1}$ for one and two Li$^+$$^2$ extraction, respectively) and a stable structure attributable to the strong Si-O bonds albeit having different crystal structures determined by different treatments. Even so, Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ is shown to have poor electronic conductivity and sluggish ion diffusion, and thus exhibits poor electrochemical performance, particularly at relatively low temperatures and even at room temperature. Normally, the performance of Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ can be improved by both enhancing the Li$^+$ transport through reducing the size of particles and increasing the electronic conductivity through encapsulating particles with conductive films, such as carbon. However, Li$_2$FePO$_4$ is known about structural defects and their influences on the electrochemical performance, especially so called Fe$_{Li}$ (Li$_{Fe}$) antisite defects (partial occupation of Fe (Li) at the Li (Fe) site). Indeed, the occupation of M (Fe, Co and Mn) at the Li site is generally thought to have a negative effect on the electrochemical performance due to the blocking effect of Li$^+$ transport caused by the presence of M, as already reported in layered LiCoO$_2$, spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$ and olivine LiFePO$_4$. In sharp contrast, the role of the occupation of Li at the M (M = Fe, Co or Mn) site on the electrochemical performance is scarcely touched. For Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$, the correlations between the capacity and the structure properties, rather the Fe - Li antisite defects involved are not elucidated as yet though relatively high capacities with more than one Li$^+$ extraction were achieved. The structural rearrangement during the first charging process gives a hint that whether or not more than one Li$^+$ extraction may be related to the Fe - Li antisite defects present in Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$. At present, for Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ both the capacity and the rate performance are still far from satisfactory. In depth understanding of its structural properties and their influences on the electrochemical performance would undoubtedly be greatly helpful for enhancing the performance.

Herein we developed a simple way to synthesize Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$/C nanoparticles with different sizes and different intermixing occupancies between Fe and Li by introducing citric acid and glucose in different molar ratios. Citric acid serves to suppress the growth of Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ particles and form a porous structure. Both citric acid and glucose can be taken as the source of conductive carbon. The combination of citric acid and glucose has a profound effect on not only the growth kinetics but also the formation of structural defects of Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ particles. We reported the variation of the intermixing occupancies between Fe and Li with the molar ratios of citric acid to glucose, the roles of citric acid and glucose, and their dependence of the electrochemical performance.

2. Experimental
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2.1 Materials synthesis

Nanoparticulated Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$/C composites were prepared using a sol-gel method with analytically graded Li$_2$O, Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and Nano-SiO$_2$, as reactants according to the stoichiometric ratio of 2:1:1. Firstly, 0.02 mol nano-SiO$_2$ was added into 30ml deionized water followed by ultrasonication for 2h to form a uniform emulsion. Then, 0.04 mol Li$_2$O, 0.02 mol Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O, citric acid and glucose with various molar ratios were added into the above emulsion in sequence, and the mixture thus obtained was magnetically stirred for 12 h to form a solution. During the preparations, the molar ratio of resulted Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ citric acid and glucose is $15:1:1:1$ in which $x$ and $y$ are varied (when $x=3$, $y=8$, 9, 10 and 11, respectively, labeled by C3G5, C3G6, C3G7, C3G8, C3G9 and C3G10; when $x=6$, $y=5$, 6, 7, 8 and 9, respectively, labeled by C6G5, C6G6, C6G7, C6G8, C6G9 and C6G10; when $x=9$, $y=5$, 6 and 7, respectively, labeled by C9G5, C9G6 and C9G7; C: citric acid and G: glucose). Finally, the solution was water bathed at 80°C until it was dried. The dried precursor was ground and subsequently heated at 200°C for 6 h in a tube furnace under the flowing argon, followed by further treatment at 600°C for 4 h. After the furnace was cooled down to room temperature, Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$/C composites were collected.

2.2 Materials characterizations

The structure of Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$/C samples was characterized by X-ray diffraction technique (XRD). The XRD data were collected on a diffractometer (D/MAX-TTRIII (CBO), Rigaku Corporation, Japan) with a Cu Kα radiation at 50 kV and 300 mA. The data for Rietveld refinements were recorded from 15° to 120° with a step size of 0.02°, and a step time of 4s. The morphology and structure of samples was studied by Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, Sirion 200, FEI Company, USA), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTUM, Tecnai F20, FEI Company, USA). The carbon content in the resulted Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$/C composites was determined using a carbon and sulfur analyzer (CS-344, LECO Company, USA).

Mössbauer measurement was made using a 512 - channel Mössbauer spectrometer (MS – 500, Oxford Instrument Company, United Kingdom) working in a constant acceleration mode with a $^{57}$CoRh source and a transmission geometry at room temperature with a calibration spectrum for $\alpha$-Fe foil.

2.3 Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical study was carried out using CR 2025 coin cell. The Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$/C composite, acetylene black and PVDF (poly(vinylidene fluoride)) were mixed with a weight ratio of 75 : 15 : 10, and then grinded using NMP (N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone) as the solvent. The resulted slurry was pasted onto an aluminum foil and dried under vacuum at 110°C for 12 h. Then the foil was punched into circular cathode and pressed under 20 MPa. The cells were assembled in an argon - filled glove box with a lithium metal as counter electrode and Celgard 2316 as a separator. The electrolyte was 1mol/L LiPF$_6$ dissolved in a mixture of EC (ethylene carbonate), EMC (ethyl methyl carbonate) and DMC (dimethyl carbonate) with a volume ratio of 1 : 1 : 1. Electrochemical measurements were performed on a battery test system (BTS – 5 V, Neware Company, China) at room temperature (25°C). Both cyclic voltammetric (CV) data and electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) were collected using an electrochemical workstation (CHI660D, Shanghai Chenghua Company, China).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Structure analysis

To identify the structure of the synthesized products, their XRD data are shown in Figure 1a. All the XRD patterns are found to be in accordance with those reported in ref. 9, indicating that Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ is the primary phase with minor impurities such as Li$_2$SiO$_3$ and LiFeO$_2$ involved in some samples. However, small humps around 25° suggest the possible presence of amorphous phase in these samples. Careful inspection reveals that samples C3G8, C6G8, C6G9 and C6G10 are of much better purity. All the samples show very close peak positions, implying similar lattice parameters. However, an unusual point is that the relative intensity of the reflections around 20 = 24.3° and 33.1° differs for different samples, as outlined in Table 1. The intensity ratio tends to drop as the total molar quantity of citric acid and glucose increases. In addition, the breadths of the reflections change with the samples. The crystallite size can be estimated according to the Scherrer’s formula D = $\lambda$/βCosθ in which $\lambda$ is the X-ray wavelength, 1.5406 Å, β the full width at half height of a reflection and θ the diffraction angle. We derive the average sizes of crystallites along the directions normal to the reflections of 20 = 16.5° and 24.3°, ranging from about 17 to 35 nm, as given in Table 1. Their sizes along the two directions are very similar, suggesting sphere - like nanoparticles. The size of crystallites decreases as the total molar quantity of citric acid and glucose increases, which is readily understandable. However, with their total molar quantity fixed the average size is found to increase with the amount of citric acid, which is quite unusual and different from the traditional viewpoints. Therefore, glucose is really responsible for size reduction in the presence of citric acid, which is indeed beyond our initial expectation. This may be related to more hydroxyl groups terminated for glucose and the interaction between glucose and citric acid during the synthesis. The exact underlying mechanism remains to be resolved.

To better understand the structure of the Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$/C, higher quality XRD data of samples C3G8, C6G9 and C6G10 are presented in Figure 1b. A monoclinic structural model with space group P2$_1$ and the atomic coordinates are shown in Table 1S in supporting information. In fact, other monoclinic structural models with space groups P2$_1$/n and P2$_1$m2 reported in refs. 13 and 39 were also tried as a single phase or as one of coexistent phases, but their refinement results are not as good as those based on space group P2$_1$. The weight percentage of the impurities is estimated to be 0.69 %, 1.40 % and 0.75 % for samples C3G8, C6G9 and C6G10, respectively. The lattice parameters for three samples are a little bit different, but quite close to those reported in ref 37. The large X-ray scattering contrast between Fe and Li allows one to refine the intermixing occupations at the Li and Fe sites with minor impurities such as Li$_2$SiO$_3$ and LiFeO$_2$. However, an unusual point is that the relative intensity of the reflections around 20 = 24.3° and 33.1° differs for different samples, as outlined in Table 1. The intensity ratio tends to drop as the total molar quantity of citric acid and glucose increases. In addition, the breadths of the reflections change with the samples. The crystallite size can be estimated according to the Scherrer’s formula D = $\lambda$/βCosθ in which $\lambda$ is the X-ray wavelength, 1.5406 Å, β the full width at half height of a reflection and θ the diffraction angle. We derive the average sizes of crystallites along the directions normal to the reflections of 20 = 16.5° and 24.3°, ranging from about 17 to 35 nm, as given in Table 1. Their sizes along the two directions are very similar, suggesting sphere - like nanoparticles. The size of crystallites decreases as the total molar quantity of citric acid and glucose increases, which is readily understandable. However, with their total molar quantity fixed the average size is found to increase with the amount of citric acid, which is quite unusual and different from the traditional viewpoints. Therefore, glucose is really responsible for size reduction in the presence of citric acid, which is indeed beyond our initial expectation. This may be related to more hydroxyl groups terminated for glucose and the interaction between glucose and citric acid during the synthesis. The exact underlying mechanism remains to be resolved.
are responsible for the decreased intensity ratio of the reflections around 2θ = 24.3° and 33.1° aforementioned.


Table 1. Size of crystallites along the directions normal to (101) and (111), and the ratios of intensity of the reflections at 2θ = 24.3° and 33.1° for all the samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>I_{2θ=24.3°}/I_{2θ=33.1°}</th>
<th>Size of crystallites along the direction normal to planes (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3G8</td>
<td>1.272</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3G9</td>
<td>1.184</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3G10</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6G5</td>
<td>1.183</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6G6</td>
<td>1.102</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6G7</td>
<td>1.092</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6G8</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6G9</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6G10</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9G5</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9G6</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9G7</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Some parameters derived from the Rietveld refinements for samples C3G8, C6G9, C6G10 and C9G7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>C3G8</th>
<th>C6G9</th>
<th>C6G10</th>
<th>C9G7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>8.2393</td>
<td>8.2273</td>
<td>8.2335</td>
<td>8.2171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>5.0103</td>
<td>4.9959</td>
<td>4.9961</td>
<td>4.9919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>8.3218</td>
<td>8.2387</td>
<td>8.2422</td>
<td>8.2365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>99.3341</td>
<td>98.9953</td>
<td>98.9160</td>
<td>98.7333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability Rexp</td>
<td>7.12%</td>
<td>6.52%</td>
<td>6.77%</td>
<td>5.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rfactors</td>
<td>3.61%</td>
<td>3.47%</td>
<td>3.63%</td>
<td>2.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refined formula</td>
<td>(Li_{1.00}Fe_{0.94}O)Fe_{0.06}(Fe_{1.00}O)SiO_{4}</td>
<td>(Li_{1.00}Fe_{0.94}O)Fe_{0.06}(Fe_{1.00}O)SiO_{4}</td>
<td>(Li_{1.00}Fe_{0.94}O)Fe_{0.06}(Fe_{1.00}O)SiO_{4}</td>
<td>(Li_{1.00}Fe_{0.94}O)Fe_{0.06}(Fe_{1.00}O)SiO_{4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refined impurity</td>
<td>0.69%</td>
<td>1.40%</td>
<td>0.75%</td>
<td>1.76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To further understand the intermixing occupation between Fe and Li, Mössbauer spectrum for sample C6G9 was recorded at room temperature, which is shown in Figure 2. The spectrum can be fitted with Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} two doublets, and one Fe^{3+} sextet, respectively. The isomer shift δ, the electric quadrupole splitting Qs are 0.26, 0.93 mm s^{-1} and 0.92, 2.6 mm s^{-1}, which correspond to the Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} doublets, respectively, in accord with refs. 40 and 41. Analysis of the Mössbauer spectrum doesn’t evidence the presence of multiple crystalline phases with different structures of Li_{2}FeSiO_{4} either, supporting the refinement results above. The quadrupole splitting is usually considered to be correlated to the degree of distortion of FeO_{4}. Thus, different quadrupole splittings suggest different degrees of distortion of FeO_{4}. From the area ratio of Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} doublets the percentage of Fe^{3+} is estimated to be about 25%. However, according to the stoichiometry of Fe_{2.022}Fe_{1.006}SiO_{4} the percentage of Fe^{2+} is figured out to be about 37%. Despite some discrepancies in the quantity of Fe^{3+}, a significant amount of Fe^{3+} could be concluded to remain in the lattice. This discrepancy may arise partly from not very accurate determination of Li occupancies at the different sites due to its small X-ray scattering factor. In contrast, the stoichiometry of Fe is accurate due to its much larger X-ray scattering factor. With 14.5% Fe^{3+} amorphous phase considered, the resultant chemical composition should reasonably be close to Li_{1.022}Fe_{0.006}SiO_{4} determined by the ICP analysis. Here, we just take sample C6G9 as an example to elucidate the intermixing occupancy between Fe and Li. The increase of the intermixing occupancy with the molar quantity of citric acid and glucose needs intensively exploring.
The above results show that the co-incorporation of citric acid and glucose affects not only the crystallite size of Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ but its crystal structure and microstructure. In fact, the cases that the reflection around 2θ = 24.3° is either stronger or weaker than that around 2θ = 33.1° were reported. However, this phenomenon has never been elucidated before, let alone the origin of the change in their relative intensity. Very small crystallites of Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ (~20nm) imply that the change is not due to their preferential orientation but structure itself. The change simply in relative intensity is firstly reminiscent of the variations of the intermixing occupancies between Fe and Li. The partial replacement of Fe (Li) by Li (Fe) was also reported as antisite defects in other cathode materials such as LiFePO$_4$, which play a critical role in the electrochemical performance. For Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ the effect of the intermixing occupation on its electrochemical performance is not known as yet. Undoubtedly, the correlation between the intermixing occupation and the electrochemical performance should be unveiled.

The current study reveals that the quantity of citric acid and glucose influences not only the growth kinetics of Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ crystallites but the evolution of the crystalline structure. The larger quantity of citric acid and glucose would form the higher barrier for the diffusion and transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversified chemical species in the precursors during the synthesis, and thus causes the shorter range diffusion to limit the transport of diversifie
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1.5 – 4.5 V, and 2.0 - 4.5 V. The first charge curve over the potential range of 1.5 – 4.8 V displays an apparent voltage plateau followed by an increasing voltage whereas the first discharge curve gives a decreasing voltage. With the increased cycle numbers, the polarization increases significantly with a drop of discharge capacity of about 46 mAhg⁻¹, from 171.1 to 125.2 mAhg⁻¹, about 27% drop (from the 1st to 43rd cycle). From 43rd to 119th cycle, the discharge curve becomes typically capacitor-like, and the capacity decreases by about 40 mAhg⁻¹. Another test was taken firstly over the potential range of 2.0 – 4.2 V for two cycles, and then over the range of 2.0 – 4.5 V from the 3rd to 43rd cycle, and finally over the range of 1.5 – 4.5 V from the 44th to 119th cycle, as shown in Figure 4 (b). The discharge capacity decreases by about 4 mAhg⁻¹, from 125.8 to 122.2 mAhg⁻¹ after the first 40 cycles, only 3% drop over the range of 2 – 4.5 V. However, from the 44th to 119th cycle over the range of 1.5 – 4.5 V, the discharge capacity decreases by about 40mAHg⁻¹, from 146.4 to 106.6 mAhg⁻¹. Therefore, with the discharge cutoff potential of 1.5 V and for the upper cutoff potentials of 4.5 V and 4.8 V, both the discharge capacities decrease by almost the same values (~40 mAhg⁻¹) subjected to the same number of cycles. Upon the 119th cycle compared to 1.5 – 4.8 V a discharge plateau still remains for 1.5 – 4.5 V with a capacity about 30 mAhg⁻¹ higher. For 1.5 – 4.5 V the discharge plateau first levels down and then up again with cycling, and the polarizations are far smaller than those for 1.5 – 4.8 V. This may be closely related to structural changes taking place after the initial charge. Moreover, higher charge cutoff potentials, say 4.8 V would not facilitate the electrochemical performance of Li₂FeSiO₄, different than refs. 47, 48

The dependence of performance with the cutoff potentials could be rationalized explained. The higher charge cutoff potential would force more Li⁺ to extract from Li₂FeSiO₄ which has a higher energy barrier and is an irreversible process. This process probably disrupts partly the structure of Li₂FeSiO₄ which leads to a severe loss of discharge capacity with the progress of cycling. This is quite true for the severe intermixing occupation of Fe and Li in that Fe at both the Li and Fe sites would prevent Li⁺ from extraction / insertion. The lower charge cutoff potential makes the extraction / insertion of the intrinsic reversible Li⁺ easy to be triggered without disrupting the structure. Meanwhile, the intermixing occupation of Fe and Li may create some defective for Li⁺ more easily to transport in the structure. After the initial cycles the drop of the charge voltage plateau implies a process of a possible structural rearrangement to a stable structure which is considered to be closely related to the intermixing occupation between Fe and Li. It is worth noting that the Li at both the Li and Fe sites probably contributes to the capacity of LiₓFeSiO₄, which needs to be further investigated.

The cycling performance of samples C3G8, C6G9 and C6G10 at various rates over different cutoff potentials is displayed in Figure 5. Sample C6G9 is shown to have the better cycling performance especially at higher rates compared to sample C6G10. The discharge capacity for all the samples tends to decrease both at 0.1 and 0.2 C, and however to increase at 1 C with the increased cycle numbers. This may be related to an improvement of electrical conductivity with further cycling. The discharge capacity of sample C6G9 for a narrower charge and discharge window, say 2.0 – 4.5 V is stable, around 120 mAhg⁻¹. However, upon discharging down to 1.5 V, though the capacity increases by about 20 mAhg⁻¹ firstly it gradually drops back to about 120 mAhg⁻¹. Increasing the charge cutoff potential up to 4.8 V would lead to a higher initial discharge capacity over 170 mAhg⁻¹ followed by a more rapid drop down to about 105 mAhg⁻¹. More interestingly, samples C6G9 and C6G10 show lower discharge capacities over the initial cycles at 0.2 C compared to 0.1 C but they were reversed with further cycling. This is not the case for sample C3G8 at all. The discharge capacity at 0.2 C starts to exceed that at 0.1 C at the earlier cycles for sample C6G10 compared to sample C6G9. This may be a consequence of different kinetics of Li⁺ transport against discharging rates due to their different intermixing occupancies between Fe and Li. For sample C6G10 Li⁺ transportation kinetics is more sluggish so that Li⁺ cannot rapidly respond to the charging rate even at 0.1 C, especially over the earlier cycles. This is also evidenced by the increasing discharge capacity with increased cycles for sample C6G10, as seen from Figure 5 (c). As far as both the size (smaller) and carbon content (somewhat higher) of Li₉FeSiO₄/C particles sample C6G10 is deduced to have a Li⁺ transportation kinetics faster than that of sample C6G9. However, this unexpected result could be ascribed to the phase transition via solid solution during charge / discharge, which is influenced more significantly by the higher intermixing occupancies between Fe and Li for sample C6G10.

Figure 4. Charge / discharge curves for sample C6G9 at 0.1 C over the potential ranges of 1.5 - 4.8 V (a), 1.5 - 4.5 V, 2.0 - 4.5 V and 2.0 - 4.2 V (b)

Figure 5. Discharge capacities of samples C3G8, C6G9 and C6G10 subjected to the same number of cycles. Upon the 43rd cycle to 119 cycle, the discharge capacity increases from 125.8 to 122.2 mAhg⁻¹. Increasing the charge cutoff potential up to 4.8 V leads to a higher initial discharge capacity over 170 mAhg⁻¹ followed by a more rapid drop down to about 105 mAhg⁻¹. More interestingly, samples C6G9 and C6G10 show lower discharge capacities over the initial cycles at 0.2 C compared to 0.1 C but they were reversed with further cycling. This is not the case for sample C3G8 at all. The discharge capacity at 0.2 C starts to exceed that at 0.1 C at the earlier cycles for sample C6G10 compared to sample C6G9. This may be a consequence of different kinetics of Li⁺ transport against discharging rates due to their different intermixing occupancies between Fe and Li. For sample C6G10 Li⁺ transportation kinetics is more sluggish so that Li⁺ cannot rapidly respond to the charging rate even at 0.1 C, especially over the earlier cycles. This is also evidenced by the increasing discharge capacity with increased cycles for sample C6G10, as seen from Figure 5 (c). As far as both the size (smaller) and carbon content (somewhat higher) of Li₉FeSiO₄/C particles sample C6G10 is deduced to have a Li⁺ transportation kinetics faster than that of sample C6G9. However, this unexpected result could be ascribed to the phase transition via solid solution during charge / discharge, which is influenced more significantly by the higher intermixing occupancies between Fe and Li for sample C6G10.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014
Figure 6 gives the cyclic voltammograms of the first three cycles for samples C3G8, C6G9 and C6G10 with a scan range of 1.5 - 4.5 V and a scan rate of 0.5 mV s⁻¹. Three samples show basically the very similar profiles with the redox peaks of Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺, as reported. After the first cycle the oxidation peak for three samples obviously shifts to the side of the lower voltage and then tends to move towards the higher voltage again with increased cycles, as revealed by the vertical line segments in Figure 6. The reduction peak for three samples tends to shift to the side of the lower voltage. The obvious drop of the oxidation voltage from the first to second cycle is supposed to be due to a significant structural rearrangement. In addition, the oxidation peak after the first cycle gets narrower whereas the reduction peak becomes a little bit broader with cycles, which is somewhat different from other results. More interestingly, both the oxidation and reduction peaks get broader in sequence sample C3G8, C6G9 and C6G10, as shown in Figure 6. The increased breadth of the redox peaks is considered to arise from the increased intermixing occupation between Fe and Li from sample C3G8 to C6G9 to C6G10 derived from the above XRD data. The highest current densities of the redox peaks for sample C6G9 could account for its optimum performance as well.

In order to better understand the improved electrochemical performance of sample C6G9, EIS were recorded for samples C3G8, C6G9 and C6G10, as shown in Figure 7. The impedance spectra exhibit a depressed semicircle in the high-frequency range and a sloping line in the low frequency range. This kind of impedance spectra are typically characterized by the ohmic resistance, charge transfer resistance, and the Warburg behavior. Based on the understanding of the fundamental electrochemical process, an equivalent circuit model is given in the inset of Figure 7. In the model, $R_1$ is referred to as the resistance of the electrolyte, $R_2$, and $R_3$ the resistance and capacity of the surface film, $R_4$ and $CPE_2$ the charge transfer resistance and capacity, and $Z_w$ is the Warburg impedance. Some parameters for the model are outlined in Table 4. From Table 4 each kind of resistance or impedance differs for samples C3G8, C6G9 and C6G10. The resistances of the electrolyte are quite small and negligible compared to other resistances. The maximum or total resistance / impedance would determine the electrochemical performance of a sample. Sample C3G8 has the maximum Warburg impedance and charge transfer resistance, also the lowest Li⁺ diffusion coefficient, suggesting that its poor electrochemical performance arises from the poor electronic conductivity and poor Li⁺ diffusion in the material. The charge transfer resistance decreases from sample C3G8, C6G9 and C6G10, which can be well explained by the change of their carbon contents. Sample C6G9 has the highest Li⁺ diffusion coefficient, and exhibits values comparable in charge transfer resistance, surface film resistance and Warburg impedance, and thus shows the optimum electrochemical performance. Sample C3G8 has the lowest Li⁺ diffusion coefficient, which may be related to its structure with the least intermixing occupation between Fe and Li, and its largest particle size. An appropriate intermixing occupation between Fe and Li for Li₂FeSiO₄ could introduce some defects etc. which probably facilitate the diffusion of Li⁺ in the lattice, thus increasing the diffusion coefficient of Li⁺. This, along with its lowest carbon content may result in the poorest performance of sample C3G8. Though sample C6G9 has larger particle size (evidenced by both the XRD data and BET data of samples C3G8 (30.28 m²g⁻¹), C6G9 (51.51 m²g⁻¹), and C6G10 (51.74 m²g⁻¹) and lower carbon content compared to sample C6G10 it has better electrochemical performance, particularly rate capability. Its better performance, say, rate capability arises from the highest Li⁺ diffusion coefficient, which could be ascribed to an appropriate intermixing between Fe and Li. Obviously, the effect of improvement in electrochemical performance caused by the appropriate intermixing between Fe and Li is dominant over those caused by smaller particle size and higher carbon content. Therefore, an appropriate intermixing occupation...
between Fe and Li in Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$ is found to have no negative influences on its performance, which differs from the traditional viewpoints on other cathodes such as LiFePO$_4$. On the contrary, it increases Li$^+$ diffusion coefficient and further improves the electrochemical performance of Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$. Furthermore, its effect of improvement in electrochemical performance dominates those caused by somewhat size reduction of particles and a little bit higher carbon content.

4. Conclusions

Nano-sized Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$/C was synthesized using a simple recipe via co-incorporating citric acid and glucose in various molar ratios followed by a two-step annealing. Glucose affects the size of crystallites more effectively and citric acid alleviates their agglomeration. The decreased relative intensity of the reflections around $2\theta = 24.3^\circ$ and $33.1^\circ$ with the molar quantity of citric acid agglomeration. The decreased relative intensity of the reflections increases Li$^+$ diffusion coefficient and further improves the electrochemical performance of Li$_2$FeSiO$_4$. Therefore, its effect of improvement in electrochemical performance dominates those caused by the somewhat size reduction of particles and a little bit higher carbon content.
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