RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

The table of contents entry :



A highly selective and sensitive fluorescence "turn-on" and colorimetric Dual-channel fluoride ion sensor.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTI CLE TYPE

A highly selective and sensitive fluorescence "turn-on" fluoride ion sensor

Qi Lin,* Qing-Ping Yang, Bin Sun, Jin-Chao Lou, Tai-Bao Wei* and You-Ming Zhang*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX

5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

A colorimetric and turn-on fluorescent sensor for fluoride ions, based on 2-hydroxy -1-naphthalene formaldehyde bis-Schiff base, was readily synthesized. The sensor in DMSO exhibits high sensitivity and good selectivity for fluoride ions

- ¹⁰ from aqueous medium. The F⁻-response mechanism involves hydrogen bonding and deprotonation process in the sensor, which induced color changes from pale yellow to deep yellow and prominent fluorescence enhancement. The response time of sensor S for fluoride ions reached the plateau region less
- ¹⁵ than 1s. Test strips based on sensor S were prepared, the test strips could conveniently detect fluoride ions in solutions. The detection limit was determined to be 1.4×10^{-8} mol/L (2.66 × 10^{-4} ppm), which is far lower than the WHO guideline of drinking water at levels of about (5.3-7.9)×10⁻³ mol/L (100.7-
- 20 150.1) ppm]. Thus the sensor can be used to detect fluoride ions in drinking water.

Because of the crucial roles of anions in biological systems and chemical processes, the design and synthesis for the molecular sensors that can detect the anions with specific selectivity are ²⁵ always of major interest¹⁻⁷. Among the range of anions, fluoride

- ion has attained significance because of its role in preventing dental decay⁸ and the treatment of osteoporosis⁹. Thus, it is necessary to add fluoride to toothpaste and drinking water¹⁰. However, fluoride is absorbed easily by the body and excreted
- ³⁰ slowly from the body¹¹. The presence of excess fluoride ions results in dental and skeletal fluorosis, bone diseases, mottling of teeth, lesions of the thyroid, liver and other organs¹²⁻¹⁶. Therefore, as the World Health Organization limit being followed in most of the nations, fluoride is considered beneficial in drinking water at
- ³⁵ levels of about 5.3×10^{-3} mol/L (100.7 ppm) but harmful once it exceeds 7.9×10^{-3} mol/L (150.1 ppm)¹⁷⁻¹⁹. Thus, the detection of fluoride ions has attracted considerable attention. Owing to its simplicity and exquisite sensitivity, fluorescent sensors are highly attractive for the selective detection of fluoride ions. Although a
- ⁴⁰ number of fluorescent sensors for fluoride ions have been developed in recent years²⁰⁻³⁸. However, many of the reported sensors suffer from complicated synthesis procedures, turn-off fluorescence response, slow response or low sensitivity. Therefore, there is still a high demand for sensors for fluoride ⁴⁵ ions with good selectivity and high sensitivity.

Herein, based on our previous work³⁹⁻⁴¹, we report a chemosensor **S** based on 2-hydroxy-1-naphthalene formaldehyde Schiff base. The chemosensor **S** in DMSO solution could act as a

colorimetric and turn-on fluorescent sensor for fluoride ions from ⁵⁰ aqueous medium. Upon the addition of water solution of fluoride ions, sensor **S** could immediately show remarkable color change and significant fluorescence enhancement. The detection limit for F⁻ was determined to be 1.4×10^{-8} mol/L (2.66×10^{-4} ppm).



Fig. 1 Fluorescence intensity of sensor **S** $(2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol/L})$ at 467 nm in DMSO with 50 equiv of different anions (F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, AcO⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, HSO₄⁻, ClO₄⁻, using their thetetrabutylammonium ⁶⁰ (TBA) salts as sources; CN⁻ and SCN⁻, using their sodium salts as sources.) in aqueous solution. The excitation wavelength was set at 420 nm. (**Insert**) Fluorescence changes of the sensor **S** $(2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol/L})$ in the presence of 50 equiv of (F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, AcO⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, HSO₄⁻, CN⁻ and SCN⁻ (0.01 mol/L)).

⁶⁵ The synthetic route for sensor S is shown in Scheme 1. 2-hydroxy-1-naphthalene formaldehyde schiff base was obtained by refluxing 2-hydroxy-1-naphthalene formaldehyde (6mmol, 1.033g) with 1-4-butyl diamine (3mmol, 0.264g) in ethanol (50mL) at 80 □ for 24h. The obtained residue was recrystallized ⁷⁰ by alcohol to yield the product, S, as yellow solid (1.023 g, 86%) (Fig. S1). ¹H-NMR (d₆-DMSO, 400 MHz) δ 14.17(s, 2H, -O-H), 9.13 (s, 2H, CH=N), 8.07(d, 2H, *J*=4Hz, -ArH), 7.72(d, 2H, *J*=4Hz, -ArH), 7.62(d, 2H, *J*=8Hz, -ArH), 7.41 (t, 2H, *J*=8Hz, -ArH), 7.18 (t, 2H, *J*=6Hz, -ArH), 6.72 (d, 2H, *J*=8Hz, -ArH),

10

3.73 (s, 4H, CH=N-CH₂), 1.87 (s, 4H, -CH₂). IR (Fig. S2) (KBr, cm⁻¹) v: 3426(-OH), 1634(C=N); MS-ESI (Figure S3) calcd for $C_{26}H_{24}N_2O_2$ [L + H]⁺: 396.4810; found: 397.1322.



⁵ Fig. 2 Fluorescence spectra of sensor S ($2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol/L}$) in DMSO with different concentrations of F⁻ (0.01 mol/L) (0-50 equiv) in aqueous solution. The excitation wavelength was set at 420 nm. (Insert) Fluorescence changes of the sensor S ($2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol/L}$) in DMSO in the presence of 50 equiv of F⁻.



Fig. 3 Fluorescence spectra of sensor **S** $(2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol/L})$ in DMSO with other different anions (F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, AcO⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, HSO₄⁻, ClO₄⁻, CN⁻ and SCN⁻ 50 equiv each). The excitation wavelength was set at 420 nm.

- As shown in Fig. S4, sensor **S** in DMSO was non-fluorescent in the absence of fluoride ions. Upon addition of 50 equiv. of fluoride ions in aqueous solution, a dramatic fluorescence emission enhancement (30-fold) was observed with a maximum at 467 nm (Fig. 1). Fluorescence of **S** (measured 0.5 mL 2.0 \times
- $_{20}$ 10⁻⁴ mol/L diluted to 2.0 \times 10⁻⁵ mol/L) in DMSO increased gradually when titrated with F⁻ (measured 0.5 mL 0.1mol/L diluted to 0.01 mol/L), and saturated at about 50 equiv by exhibiting a 30-fold increase (Fig. 2). However, the addition of other anions couldn't induce similar response. There was a good
- ²⁵ linear correlation between the fluorescence intensity and the concentration of fluoride ions in the range of 0-50 equiv (Fig. S5). The linear equation was expressed as Y=111.7847×X-3022.424 (R = 0.9964, where Y denotes the fluorescence intensity

and x denotes the ratio of fluoride ion with S: $n(F^{-})/n(S)$). The ³⁰ detection limit was determined to be 1.4×10^{-8} M (2.66 $\times 10^{-4}$ ppm)⁴².

In order to evaluate the selectivity of the sensor for fluoride ions, we investigated the fluorescence behavior of sensor **S** with other typical anions. The results presented in Fig. 3 show that ³⁵ other anions (Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, AcO⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, HSO₄⁻, ClO₄⁻, using their thetetrabutylammonium (TBA) salts as sources; CN⁻ and SCN⁻, using their sodium salts as sources.) in aqueous solution had no effect on the fluorescence behavior of sensor **S**, even at that high concentrations (50 equiv of sensor **S**). Therefore, the sensor **S** ⁴⁰ displayed excellent selectivity for fluoride ions in aqueous solution over other competing anions.



Fig. 4 UV/vis absorption spectra of sensor S (2.0×10^{-5} mol/L) in DMSO containing different amounts of F⁻ (0-50 equiv) in ⁴⁵ aqueous solution.

Sensor S (2.0 \times 10⁻⁵ mol/L) in DMSO is colorless with an absorption maximum at 402 nm (Fig. S6). Upon the addition of 50 equiv of F⁻ (0.01 mol/L) in aqueous solution, an apparent redshift near 20 nm (from 402 to 423 nm) instantly appeared. With 50 increasing addition amounts of fluoride ions, the absorption band at 402 nm progressively decreased and a new band centered at 423 nm gradually formed with a clear isosbestic point at 340 nm. Meanwhile, the absorption spectra of sensor S at 428 nm underwent two different phases. At first phase, with increasing 55 addition of fluoride ions, as shown from Fig. 4, an isosbestic point appeared at about 428 nm (signed by purple arrow). However, with continuous addition of fluoride ions, the absorption spectra of sensor S no longer cross the isosbestic point at 428 nm. Which indicated that sensor S interact with F by 60 hydrogen bonding at first phase, and deprotonation during addition of fluoride ions (second phase).

To demonstrate the selectivity of sensor **S** as a colorimetric sensor, similar experiments were carried out on sensor **S** with other typical anions (Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, AcO⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, HSO₄⁻, ClO₄⁻, CN⁻ ⁶⁵ and SCN⁻) by observing the absorption spectral change. As shown in Fig. S7, only fluoride ions could induce the sensor to form a deep yellow color with a red-shift near 20 nm, whereas other typical anions had no influence on the absorption spectra. The above results proved that sensor **S** in DMSO could be used as 70 a highly selective colorimetric sensor for fluoride ions in aqueous solution.





Fig. 5 (a) Fluorescence experiment showing the reversibility of the sensor for F⁻ fluorescence intensity obtained during the titration of S with F⁻ followed by HClO₄. Above are the vials showing visual fluorescent color, where (1) = Free S; (2) = S+F⁻; $(3) = (2) + \text{HClO}_4$; (4) = (3) +F⁻; (5) = (4) + HClO₄; (6) = (5) + F⁻; (7) = (6) + HClO₄; (8) = 7 + F⁻; (9) = 8 + HClO₄. (b) Fluorescence outputs of **S** (2.0×10^{-5} mol/L) at 467 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 420$ nm) in the presence of F⁻ and H⁺ as inputs. (c) Molecular logic gate table and the respective symbolic representation of the ¹⁵ INHIBIT logic gate function.

As known, chemosensors always have a problem of long response time. For example, reaction-based⁴³ F⁻ sensor or supramolecular gel-based F⁻ sensor often need several minutes to response F⁻.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁵ In our case, the binding process of F⁻ to **S** was

- ²⁰ found to be very fast (Fig. S8). After adding the aqueous solution of fluoride ions, the fluorescence emission intensity of **S** increased at 467 nm and reached the plateau region less than 1s, and remained better stable. These results indicated that the sensor **S** in DMSO could instantly detect F⁻.
- The reversibility in the response of **S** has been demonstrated during its four cycles of titrations carried out with F^- (blue) followed by HClO₄ (black) in a sequence as shown in Fig. 5a. Further, after addition of F^- the solution of sensor **S** exhibits a remarkable fluorescence change and shows by showing ON
- ³⁰ behaviour. Titration of this fluorescent complex with HClO₄ results in quenching the fluorescence intensity and hence acts as OFF switch. The repeated demonstration of ON/OFF behaviour of the system by fluorescence as well as the visual color change clearly suggests that **S** in DMSO is reversible.
- Since the fluorescence emission of sensor **S** could be accurately controlled by alternative addition of F^- and H^+ ions, the fluorescence emission of **S** can be defined as the logic gate output, which provides an entry for developing a molecular logic gate using H^+ and F^- as two inputs. For inputs, the addition and
- ⁴⁰ the absence of F⁻ and H⁺ can be defined as 1 and 0, respectively. For output, we define the normalized fluorescence intensity at 420 nm of S enhance as 1 and not enhance as 0. Based on the above definitions, the fluorescence enhancing of S is observed only in the addition of F⁻, so that the output is read as "1". Under ⁴⁵ other circumstances, the fluorescence of S is not enhanced loading to the output being read as "0". The respective sumbalia
- leading to the output being read as "0". The respective symbolic representation of the INHIBIT (INH)⁴⁶ function and the corresponding truth table are illustrated in Fig. 5c. Thus **S** can act as INH logic gate.
- To investigate the practical application of sensor **S**, test strips were prepared by immersing filter papers into a DMSO solution of **S** (0.1 M) and then drying in air. The test strips containing **S** were utilized to sense F⁻. As shown in Fig. S9, when F⁻ was added to the test kits, the obvious fluorescence change was observed ⁵⁵ under the 365 nm UV lamp. However, potentially competitive ions exerted no influence on the detection of F⁻ by the test strips. Therefore, the test strips could conveniently detect F⁻ in aqueous solution.



Fig. 6 Partial ¹H NMR spectra of sensor **S** ($2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol/L}$) in DMSO- d_6 upon the addition of F⁻. (a) Free, (b) 0.1 equiv of F⁻, (c) 1 equiv of F⁻, (d) 4 equiv. of F⁻.(**Insert**) The structure of the sensor **S**.

- ⁵ The further anion-**S** recognition mechanism was observed from ¹H NMR titration experiments (Fig. 6) in DMSO- d_6 . Before the addition of anions, the ¹H NMR chemical shifts of the O–H and Ar–H^a (Fig. 6 inset) protons on **S** were at δ 14.16 and 8.06 ppm, respectively. After adding 1 equiv. of fluoride ions, the
- 10 resonances for O–H protons disappeared, and a weak broad signal appeared at δ 14.28 ppm, which indicated the formation of O–H…F hydrogen bonds. With continuous addition of fluoride ions, this signal disappeared, which suggested that the O–H groups perhaps underwent a deprotonation process. Simultaneously, a
- ¹⁵ new weak broad signal appeared at δ 16.09 ppm, which indicated the formation of HF₂⁻⁴⁷. Meanwhile, the Ar-H^a proton signal at δ 8.06 ppm showed a downfield-shift, which indicated the formation of C–H^a...N intramolecular hydrogen bonds, which led to a significant fluorescence enhancement and color change (Fig. ²⁰ 7).



Fig. 7 Proposed mechanism for the recognition of the sensor with fluoride ions.

25 Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a colorimetric and turn-on fluorescent sensor based on 2-hydroxy -1-naphthalene formaldehyde bis-Schiff base. The sensor **S** in DMSO displayed high sensitivity and good selectivity for the detection of fluoride ³⁰ ions in aqueous solution. Test strips based on **S** were prepared,

- which could conveniently detect F⁻ in aqueous solution. The detection limit was 1.4×10^{-8} M (2.66 × 10⁻⁴ ppm), which is far lower than the WHO guideline of drinking water at levels of about (5.3-7.9)×10⁻³ M [(100.7-150.1) ppm]. Thus the sensor can be used to detect fluorido ions in deinking water
- 35 be used to detect fluoride ions in drinking water.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Nos. 21064006; 21161018; 21262032), the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province (1308RJZA221) and the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University of Ministry of Education of China (IRT1177).

Notes and references

Key Laboratory of Eco-Environment-Related Polymer Materials, Ministry 45 of Education of China, Key Laboratory of Polymer Materials of Gansu Province, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, Gansu, 730070, P. R. China. E-mail: lingi2004@.126.com; weitaibao@.126.com \dagger Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental $_{50}$ details, synthesis of P, and other materials. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- R. M. Duke, E. B. Veale, F. M. Pfeffer, P. E. Kruger and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 3936–3953.
- 2 X. G. Li, D. Zhang and J. Li, Spectrochimica Acta A, 2014, 127, 1–9.
- 3 S. S. Khan and M. Riaz, *Talanta*, 2014, **122**, 209–213.
- 55 4 K. Jakusová, J. Donovalová, M. Cigáň, M. Gáplovský, V. Garaj and A. Gáplovský, Spectrochimica Acta A, 2014, 123,421–429.
 - 5 B. B. Hu, P. Lu and Y. G. Wang, Sensors Actuat B, 2014, 195, 320-323.
- 6 L. Wang, W. Li, J. Lu, J.-P. Zhang, H. Wang, *Tetrahedron* **2014**, *70*, 3172-3177.
 - 7 V. Suryanti, M. Bhadbhade, H. M. Chawla, E. Howe, P. Thordarson, D. S. C. Black and N. Kumar, *Spectrochimica Acta A*, 2014 **121**, 662–9.
- 8 J. D. B. Featherstone, *Community Dent. Oral Epidemiol*, 1999, **27**, 31–40.
- M. Kleerekoper, Endocrinol. Metab. Clin. North Am., 1998,27, 441– 452.
- 10 J. S. Chen, P. W. Zhou, G. Y. Li, T. S. Chu and G. Z. He, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2013, **117**, 5212–5221.
- 70 11 N. M. Mattiwala, R. Kamal and S. K. Sahoo, *Res. Chem. Intermed.* 2013, 1-10.
 - 12 P. P. Singh, M. K. Barjatiya, S. Dhing, R. Bhatnagar, S. Kothari and V. Dhar, *Urol Res.*, 2001, **29**, 238–244.
- 13 H. Matsui, M. Morimoto, K. Horimoto and Y. Nishimura, *Toxicol in Vitro*, 2007 21, 1113–1120.
- 14 O. Barbier, L. Arreola-Mendoza and L. M. Del Razo, Chem. Biol. Interact., 2010, 188, 319–333.
- 15 C. D. Anuradha, S. Kanno and S. Hirano, *Arch Toxicol*, 2000, **74**, 226–230.
- 80 16 J. A. Camargo, *Chemosphere*, 2003, **50**, 251–264.
 - 17 WHO (World Health Organization), Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, vol. 3. World Health Organization, Geneva, pp. 1985. 1–2.
 - 18 WHO (World Health Organization), Guideline for Drinking Water Quality Health criteria and other supporting information, vol. 2, 2nd ed., World Health Organization, Geneva, 1997.
- 19 NHMRC, Australian Drinking Water Guidelines. National Health and Medical Research Council. 2004. http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/publications/synopses/_files/ adwg 11 06 fact sheets.pdf.
- 90 20 P. G. Sutariya, N. R. Modi, A. Pandya, B. K. Joshi, K. V. Joshia and S. K. Menon, *Analyst*, 2012, **137**, 5491–5494.
 - 21 S. Velmathi, V. Reena, S. Suganya and S. Anandan, J. Fluoresc Chem., 2012, 22, 155–162.
- 22 D. Sharma, S. K. Sahoo, R. K. Bera and R. Kamal, *J. Fluoresc Chem.*, 2013, **23**, 387–392.
 - 23 L. Zang, .Wei, S. Wang and S. Jiang, *Tetrahedron*, 2012, **68**, 636–641.
- 24 C. Wang, G. Li and Q. Zhang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, 54, 2633– 2636.
- 100 25 S. Sharma, M. S. Hundal and G. Hundal, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, 54, 2423–2427.
 - 26 L. Y. Zhao, G. K. Wang, J. H. Chen, L. M. Zhang, B. Liu, J. F. Zhang, Q. H. Zhao and Y. Zhou, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2014, **158**, 53–59.
- 105 27 P. Hou, S. Chen and X. Song, Luminescence, DOI 10.1002/bio.2564.
 - 28 J. Nieboer, R. Haiges, W. Hillary, X. Yu, T. Richardet, H. P. A. Mercier and M. Gerken, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 51, 6350–6359.
 - 29 Y. Hu, Z. Zhao, X. Bai, X. Yuan, X. Zhang, T. Masuda, *RSC Adv.* 2014, 4, 55179-55186.
- ¹¹⁰ 30 B. Zou, H. Liu, J. Mack, S. Wang, J. Tian, H. Lu, Z. Li, Z. Shen, *RSC Adv.* 2014, *4*, 53864-53869.
 - 31 B. Deka and R. J. Sarma, Sensors Actuat B, 2014, 147, 321-325.
- A. P. De Silva, I. M. Dixon, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, T. Gunnlaugsson, P. R. S. Maxwell and T. E. Rice, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 1393–115
 1394.
 - 33 H. Zhao, F. P. Gabbai, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1444-1446.
 - 34 G. J. Park, H. Y. Jo, K. Y. Ryu, C. Kim, RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 63882-63890.

- 35 V. Lozano, R. Hernández, A. Ardá, J. Jiménez-Barbero, C. Mijangos and M.-J. Pérez-Pérez, J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 8862.
- 36 S. Lee, S. Oh, J. Lee, Y. Malpani, Y. S. Jung, B. Kang, J. Y. Lee, K. Ozasa, T. Isoshima, S. Y. Lee, M. Hara, D. Hashizume and J. M. Kim, *Lengmuin et al.* (2013) 2013 2013
- Kim, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids 2013, 29, 5869-5877.
 M. Park, D. Jang, S. Young Kim and J.-I. Hong, New J. Chem. 2012,
- 3/ M. Park, D. Jang, S. Young Kim and J.-I. Hong, *New J. Chem.* **2012**, 36, 1145.
- 38 Y. M. Zhang, Q. Lin, T. B. Wei, D. D. Wang, H. Yao and Y. L. Wang, *Sensors Actuat B*, 2009, **137**, 447–455.
- 39 Y. M. Zhang, Q. Lin, T. B. Wei, X. P. Qin and Y. Li, Chem. Commun. 2009, 45, 6074-6076.
- 40 Q. Li, Y. Guo, J. Xu and S. Shao, J Photochem Photobiol B 2011, 103, 140-144.
- 15 41 J. Liu, Y.-Q. Xie, Q. Lin, B.-B. Shi, P. Zhang, Y.-M. Zhang and T.-B. Wei, Sens. Actuators B 2013, 186, 657-665.
 - 42 Y. Peng, Y. M. Dong, M. Dong and Y. W. Wang, J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77, 9072–9080.
 - 43 T. Nishimura, S. Y. Xu, Y. B. Jiang, J. S. Fossey, K. Sakurai, S. D. Bull, T. D. James, *Chem Commun (Camb)* **2013**, *49*, 478-480.
- Bull, T. D. James, *Chem Commun (Camb)* 2013, 49, 478
 P. Rajamalli, E. Prasad, *Org. Lett.* 2011, 13, 3714-3717.
 - 45 Q. Lin, X. Zhu, Y. P. Fu, Y. M. Zhang, R. Fang, L. Z. Yang, T. B. Wei, *Soft Matter* 2014, *10*, 5715-5723.
- 46 M. Kumar, R. Kumar, V. Bhalla, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 366-369.
- 25 47 S. O. Kang, D. Powell, V. W. Day and K. Bowman-James, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 1921–1925.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript