RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

Page 1 of 17 RSC Advances

RSC Advances RSC Publishing

PAPER

Introduction:

Nanoparticles have emerged as an important tool in catalysis^{1,2}. It acts as a bridge between homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis. Nanoparticles can get homogenised in reaction mixture during organic synthesis to increase catalytic efficiency and it can be easily separated by means of centrifugation or external magnetic field³. Since past few years, catalyst supported over magnetic nanoparticles have gained considerable attention in C-X coupling and other organic reactions⁴⁻⁸. Hazardous mineral acids are always in demand for numerous acid catalysed reactions for their excellent catalytic performance⁹. However, their sepraration from reaction mixture exhibits limitation on their use due to enviormental concerns. Such mineral acids after supporting over magnetic nanoparticles, can be eaisly seperated from reaction mixture without hampering their catalytic activity, which makes the protocol more cost effective and environmentally benign. *N*-Substituted imide derivatives find wide application in medicinal chemistry^{10,11} biology^{12,13} material science and polymer chemistry¹⁴. Maleimides are backbone of peptideconjugate haptens, immune conjugates, and enzyme inhibitors¹⁵. In organic synthesis, imide derivatives play a vital role for protection of amino group¹⁶. Despite their wide application, availability of environmentally benign, inexpensive synthetic protocol for the preparation of imides is limited.

^aDyestuff Technology Department, Institute of Chemical Technology, Mumbai 400019, India.

Corresponding author: Tel: 91-22-33612708, Fax: +91-22-33611020, E-mail address: gsshankarling@gmail.com

PAPER RSC Advances

The conventional methods for synthesis of imide derivatives are the dehydrative condensation of anhydride and amine in the presence of sulfuric acid¹⁷ and *N*-alkylation of imides promoted by PPh₃ in presence of alcoholic media¹⁸. These conventional protocol suffer drawback like high temperature and longer reaction time. Several attempts have been reported using ionic liquids¹⁹,²⁰ as well. Unfortunately, they also suffer from longer reaction time and tedious product isolation methods. Hence, there is a need for development of protocol for the synthesis of imide derivatives with a shorter reaction time and easy separation of products from reaction mass. In current protocol, we have introduced sulphuric acid functionalised silica supported over magnetite nanoparticles. It provides easy separation of catalyst from reaction mass and its recyclability induces cost effectiveness to the system.

<Insert Scheme: 1>

Results and discussion:

In the present work, we have reported a simple, efficient and practical approach for the synthesis of *N*aryl imide derivatives. We have used phthalic anhydride, aniline as standard substrates to screen a suitable solvent for the reaction. Among the tested solvents, ethanol was the most effective reaction medium for *N*-insertion reaction (Table 1, Entry 6). Low yield of the target product was obtained when EDC, DMF, toluene, acetone were used as solvents. When the reaction was carried out on bare magnetite nano particle Fe₃O₄ (Table 1, Entry 4), as well as on bare silica coated nano particle Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ (Table 1, Entry 5), it resulted in poor yield. Whereas, it gave a good yield with sulfonic acid functionalized silica coated magnetite nano catalyst. To determine the catalyst loading model reaction of phthalic anhydride and aniline in ethanol was performed. The reaction occurred smoothly in presence of 20 wt.% of $Fe₃O₄(QSiO₂-SO₃H$, affording a single product (Table 2, entry 4) in 89% yield. Increasing the amount of catalyst, to more than 20 wt.% showed no substantial improvement in the yield. It is worthy to mention that, we examined the model reaction without any catalyst in ethanol at reflux temperature and the product yield did not increased beyond 29% even after prolonged heating (Table 1, Entry 1). The optimized reaction conditions include 3.37 mmol of phthalic anhydride, 3.37 mmol of aniline and 20 wt.% of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SO₃H in ethanol at 80[°]C. Furthermore, for comparison the model reaction was carried out using amberlyst 15 and silica sulfuric acid under the similar condition which gave the desired product in 81% and 77% yield respectively (Table 1, Entries 2 and 3) after 8 hr. of heating. This suggests that, catalyst plays a major role in the reaction. The reaction was faster in case of $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂-SO₃H$ catalyst because of acid active sites and higher surface area of the catalyst due to its nano form. To investigate the effect of electron donating and withdrawing group on the rate of reaction, we have studied

Journal Name ARTICLE ARTICLE

different anhydride with various aromatic primary amines (Table 3). In case of electron donating substituent the reaction was faster and gave better yield whereas, reaction afforded moderate to good yield when an electron withdrawing substituent was present on the aromatic amine. The proposed reaction mechanism of the reaction using catalyst is depicted in fig.1.

The catalyst was easily separated from the reaction mixture by magnetic separation method. It was successfully recycled up to six runs. The catalyst performs well with high turnover number (TON) and turn over frequency (TOF), which indicates that the catalyst is highly stable and efficient. The quanta of acid sites determined on the catalyst is 0.4 mmolg^{-1} .

Table 1: Effect of solvent and catalyst on the synthesis of *N*-phenyl phthalimide.

a_{isolated} yields

Reaction condition: phthalic anhydride: 3.37 mmol; aniline: 3.37 mmol; Solvent: 5ml

Table 2: Effect of catalyst loading (wt.%) on the synthesis of *N*-phenyl phthalimide.

a_{isolated} yield

Reaction condition: phthalic anhydride: 3.37 mmol; aniline: 3.37 mmol; Solvent: ethanol:

5ml; Temp = 80*°*C.

Journal Name ARTICLE ARTICLE

PAPER RSC Advances

^aisolated yield

^bTON= turn over number

^cTOF= turn over frequency

Reaction condition = Temp. 80*°*C; catalyst loading: 20 wt.% ; Reaction medium: 5 ml ethanol

Characterisation of the catalyst:

TEM image of the catalyst display dark $Fe₃O₄$ core surrounded by a lighter amorphous silica shell as shown in fig. (2b) which confirms that the catalyst has been encapsulated by a thin layer of silica particles and it has average particle size of 30 nm. The energy dispersive spectrum indicated the presence of Fe, Si and S (fig.12 supporting information). SEM image of $Fe₃O₄(QSiO₂-SO₃H$ nanoparticles is shown in fig. (2a) which shows spherical morphology and it has marked tendency to form large aggregates. The clustering tendency was observed because of weak hydrogen bonding forces between $Fe₃O₄(ω SiO₂-SO₃H$ and magnetic characteristic of nanoparticles.

The XRD analysis of Fe₃O₄, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SO₃H has been done and its comparative spectra is depicted in fig.3. The XRD spectrum of Fe₃O₄ clearly matches with those of the literature report 21 . The XRD pattern of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SO₃H shows broad band at $2\theta = 20-24^{\degree}$ which is due to the presence amorphous silane shell formed around the magnetic core. There is no any other appreciable shift in the peak positions,which indicates the structural stability of magnetite nanoparticles. The zeta potential of the Fe₃O₄, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SO₃H samples has been performed to determine the surface modification of the nanoparticle. The observed zeta potential of the $Fe₃O₄$ and $Fe₃O₄(QSiO₂$ were about -45mV and -120mV (fig.8 & 9 supporting information) respectively, which explains that the surface modification of the nano $Fe₃O₄$ has occurred and it is in good agreement with the literature data²².

Page 7 of 17 RSC Advances

The zeta potential distribution for $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2$ -SO₃H is positively charged at 25.3mV (82%) and 95.5 mV (18%). It is because of presence of H^+ ion on the surface of the catalyst fig. 4. To determine percentage average particle present in the sample, dynamic light scattering measurement were carried out as shown in fig. 5 and the observed results were similar to the literature report²³. For this, aqueous stock solution (1 mg/ml of water) was prepared in ultrasonic bath for 30 min.

The IR spectroscopy shows band between 637-410 cm⁻¹ which corresponds to stretching vibration of Fe-O bond²⁴. The band at 1080 cm−1 corresponds to Si-O stretching vibration fig. 6b. The weak band at 814 cm⁻¹ is observed for Si-O-Fe bond fig. 6b which indicates immobilisation of silica on the surface of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticle²⁵. This band is shifted to 807 cm⁻¹ in case of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SO₃H fig 6c. The fig. 6a and 6b shows broad peak between 3000-3400 cm⁻¹ and a sharp peak around 1630 cm⁻¹ for the presence of adsorbed water. The absorption band at 1128 cm⁻¹ corresponds to stretching of S-O bond fig 6c, which justify the presence of the sulfonic acid group on its surface. The broad absorption at 3453 cm−1 and sharp peak at 1624 cm⁻¹ corresponds to stretching vibration of OH group in the SO₃H fig. 6c.

> **<Insert Figure: 2> <Insert Figure: 3> <Insert Figure: 4> <Insert Figure: 5> <Insert Figure: 6>**

Recyclability study:

The catalyst recyclability study was carried out on phthalic anhydride 3.37 mmol, aniline 3.37 mmol and catalyst (20 wt.%) in 5 ml ethanol at 80°C. The results are summarised in fig. (7). After completion of the reaction, catalyst was separated by an external magnet, washed with acetone and dried at 60°C for 1 hr. The recovered catalyst was then used for next batch. It was observed that catalyst could be recycled efficiently up to six runs. SEM and TEM images of the recycled catalyst were taken fig. (8a and 8b) which show that, the structural and morphological properties of the catalyst remain unaltered even after 6th recycle. The comparative IR spectra of fresh and reused catalyst shows that there is no change in functional group values (fig.7 supporting information)

> **<Insert Figure: 7> <Insert Figure: 8>**

Determination of acidic sites:

The surface-bound acidic protons were ion exchanged with a brine solution by sonicating the $Fe₃O₄(QSiO₂-SO₃H$ catalyst for 24 hr. The catalyst was separated magnetically, the brine solution was decanted and titrated with 0.1N NaOH solution to determine the loading of acid sites on the catalyst. The H^+ ions loading was found to be 0.40 mmol g^{-1} .

Experimental:

Materials and Methods:

TEM studies of the nano catalyst were carried out with JEOL JEM-2100 instrument. SEM micrographs and EDXS data were obtained on JEOL JSM 6380LA instrument. The XRD study was performed on a Bruker AXS powder diffractometer D8 with Cu-ka $(1.54^{\circ}A)$. The FT-IR spectra of the catalyst were measured with KBr pellets using a Bruker-VERTEX 80v vacuum FTIR spectrometer and the IR spectra of the synthesized compound were recorded on Jasco FT-IR ATR-PRO/4100 spectrophotometer. 1H NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 400 MHz Spectrometer and Agilent 500 MHz spectrometer in CDCl3 solvent. Mass spectral data were obtained with a Finnigan LCQ Advantage max spectrometer. Iron(III) chloride hexa-hydrate (98%), Iron(II) chloride tetrahydrate (99%), chlorosulfonic acid and other chemical materials were purchased from S.D. Fine Chemical Ltd., India. and tetra ethyl ortho silicate were purchased from sigma aldrich Chemicals. Anhydride and aniline were also purchased from S.D. Fine Chemical Ltd., India.

General procedure for preparation of catalyst:

Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ nanoparticles were prepared by the literature reported method^{26,27}. Under nitrogen atmosphere, mixture of 5.0 g of ferric chloride and 1.85 g of ferrous chloride salts were dissolved in distilled water and heated to 90° C. Then aqueous ammonia solution (25%) was added, from which precipitate of $Fe₃O₄$ was obtained. The supernatant was decanted and precipitate was isolated by magnetic separation. The obtained black powder ($Fe₃O₄$) was washed with acetone and dried under vacuum. The coating of silica on the surface of $Fe₃O₄$ nanoparticle was achieved, by diluting 2.0 g of $Fe₃O₄$ nanoparticle in water (40 ml), ethanol (120 ml) and aqueous ammonia solution (3.0 ml, 25%). The resultant dispersion was homogenised by sonicating it for 1 hr. at 40°C. Then subsequently, tetraethyl orthosilicate diluted in ethanol was charged slowly under continuous mechanical stirring and further the mixture was stirred for 12 hr. The resultant brown powder was separated magnetically and washed three times with acetone and then dried.

The immobilization of sulfonic acid group on $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂$ was done by the literature reported method²⁸. For preparation of sulfonic acid coated nano catalyst, mixture of silica coated magnetite (2.0 g) and 10 ml

Page 9 of 17 RSC Advances

of n-hexane was cooled. Chlorosulfonic acid (1.0 g) was added slowly to the above mixture over a period of 30 min at room temperature. The resulted sulfonic acid coated magnetic nano particles were separated and washed repeatedly with ethanol and dried in the oven at 60°C.

General procedure for synthesis of imide derivatives:

In a general procedure, mixture of phthalic anhydride (0.5g, 3.37 mmol), aniline (0.31g, 3.37 mmol) and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SO₃H (0.1 g, 20 wt.%) in ethanol (5 ml) was stirred for 60 min at 80°C. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the catalyst was separated from the reaction mass by use of an external magnet. The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure to give the desired product which was subsequently purified in ethanol. The recovered catalyst was washed with acetone and dried at 60°C to give recyclable $Fe_3O_4(aSiO_2-SO_3H)$. Yield 89%, 0.67 g, m.p. 208°C. All compounds were analysed by melting point, mass, IR and 1 H-NMR techniques.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient protocol for the synthesis of *N*-aryl phthalimide and biphenyl maleimide derivatives using sulphonic acid functionalised silica over magnetite $Fe₃O₄$ nanoparticles. These reactions were optimised for various parameters and have been employed for wide substrates. The advantages offered by this protocol include high TON values, easy separation method and recyclability of the catalyst up to six runs. This simple, efficient protocol can be explored for other organic synthesis in future as well.

Acknowledgment:

Authors are thankful to UGC-SAP, Technical Education Quality Improvement Programme, for providing financial assistance and Institute of Intensive Research for Basic Sciences for recording 1H NMR.

References:

- 1. L. M. Rossi, N. J. S. Costa, F. P. Silva, and R. Wojcieszak, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 2906.
- 2. R. Mrówczyński, A. Nan, and J. Liebscher, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 5927.
- 3. M. Kawamura and K. Sato, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 3404–3405.
- 4. E. Rafiee, A. Ataei, S. Nadri, M. Joshaghani, and S. Eavani, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2014, **409**, 302– 309.
- 5. M. B. Gawande, A. K. Rathi, I. D. Nogueira, R. S. Varma, and P. S. Branco, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 1895–1899.
- 6. P. D. Stevens, J. Fan, H. M. R. Gardimalla, M. Yen, and Y. Gao, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 2085–2088.
- 7. V. Polshettiwar, B. Baruwati, and R. S. Varma, *Green Chem.*, 2009, **11**, 127–131.
- 8. Y. Zheng, P. D. Stevens, and Y. Gao, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 537–542.
- 9. F.R. Benson, J.J. Ritter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 1949, **71**, 4128–4129.
- 10. S. M. Capitosti, T. P. Hansen, and M. L. Brown, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2004, **12**, 327–336.
- 11. L. M. Lima, P. Castro, A. L. Machado, C. A. M. Fraga, C. Lugnier, V. L. G. de Moraes, and E. J. Barreiro, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2002, **10**, 3067–3073.
- 12. A. A. Abdei-hafez, *Arch. Pharm. Res.*, 2004, **27**, 495–501.
- 13. H. Sano, T. Noguchi, A. Tanatani, H. Miyachi, and Y. Hashimoto, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 2004, **52**, 1021–1022.
- 14. R. Jayakumar, R. Balaji, and S. Nanjundan, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2000, **36**, 1659–1666.
- 15. M.-D. Wu, M.-J. Cheng, B.-C. Wang, Y.-J. Yech, J.-T. Lai, Y.-H. Kuo, G.-F. Yuan, and I.-S. Chen, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2008, **71**, 1258–1261.
- 16. M. G. and B. G. John O. Osby, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1984, **25**, 2093–2096.
- 17. C. D. Hurd and A. G. Prapas, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1959, **24**, 388–392.
- 18. M. A. Walker, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1995, **60**, 5352–5355.
- 19. D. C. Chen, H. Q. Ye, and H. Wu, *Catal. Commun.*, 2007, **8**, 1527–1530.
- 20. M.-Y. Zhou, Y.-Q. Li, and X.-M. Xu, *Synth. Commun.*, 2003, **33**, 3777–3780.
- 21. M. N. Mo, N. Bundaleski, A. Santos, M. N. D. Teodoro, and R. Luque, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 682–689.
- 22. E. Rafiee and S. Eavani, *Green Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 2116–2122.
- 23. H. Naeimi and S. Mohamadabadi, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 12967–73.
- 24. M. Yamaura, R. . Camilo, L. . Sampaio, M. . Macêdo, M. Nakamura, and H. . Toma, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2004, **279**, 210–217.
- 25. R. Rahimi, A. Maleki, and S. Maleki, *Chinese Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **25**, 919–922.
- 26. K. Yang, H. Peng, Y. Wen, and N. Li, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2010, **256**, 3093–3097.
- 27. D. Yang, J. Hu, and S. Fu, *J.Phys.Chem.C*, 2009, **113**, 7646–7651.

28. F. Nemati, M. Heravi, and R. S. RAD, *Chinese J. Catal.*, 2012, **33**, 1825–1831.

Figure Captions:

Scheme1:

Figure 1: Proposed reaction mechanism.

Figure 2*:* (a) SEM and (b) TEM images of $Fe₃O₄(QSiO₂-SO₃H$ catalyst.

Figure 3: XRD spectra of (a) Fe₃O₄, (b) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ and (c) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SO₃H.

Figure 4: Zeta potential of $Fe₃O₄(ω SiO₂-SO₃H.$

Figure 5: DLS measurement of (1) Fe₃O₄ (2) Fe₃O₄ (a) SiO₂ and (3) Fe₃O₄ (a) SiO₂-SO₃H.

Figure 6: FT-IR spectra of (a) Fe₃O₄, (b) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ and (c) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SO₃H.

Figure 7: Recyclability study of $Fe₃O₄(QSiO₂-SO₃H$ in the synthesis of *N*-phenyl phthalimide.

Fig: 8 (a) SEM and (b) TEM images of recovered catalyst after six successive runs of reaction.

Scheme1:

Where $R = H$, -CI,-F,-OCH₃,-CH₃, NO₂ etc

Figure 1: Proposed reaction mechanism.

Figure 2: (a) SEM and (b) TEM images of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SO₃H catalyst.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

Figure 3: XRD spectra of (a) $Fe₃O₄$, (b) $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂$ and (c) $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SO₃H$.

Figure 4: Zeta potential of $Fe₃O₄(QSiO₂-SO₃H.$

Zeta Potential Distribution

3

Figure 5: DLS measurement of (1) Fe₃O₄, (2) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ and (3) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SO₃H.

Figure 6: FT-IR spectra of (a) Fe₃O₄, (b) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ and (c) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SO₃H.

Figure 7: Recyclability study of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SO₃H in the synthesis of *N*-phenyl phthalimide.

Fig: 8 (a) SEM and (b) TEM images of recovered catalyst after six successive runs of reaction.

Graphical abstract:

