

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

1	Quality attributes of fermented whey-based beverage enriched with milk and
2	probiotic strain
3	
4	Maja Lj. Bulatović <sup>a,</sup> *, Tanja Ž. Krunić <sup>b</sup> , Maja S. Vukašinović-Sekulić <sup>a</sup> , Danica B. Zarić <sup>c</sup>
5	and Marica B. Rakin <sup>a</sup>
6	
7	<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, 11000 Belgrade,
8	Karnegijeva 4, Serbia
9	<sup>b</sup> Innovation center Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, 11000
10	Belgrade, Karnegijeva 4, Serbia
11	<sup>c</sup> IHIS Techno Experts d.o.o. Research Development Center, 11000 Belgrade, Batajnicki
12	drum 23, Serbia
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	*Corresponding author: Maja Lj. Bulatović (e-mail address: <u>mbulatovic@tmf.bg.ac.rs</u> )
19	Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade,
20	Department of Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology,
21	Address: Karnegijeva 4, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
22	Phone: +381113303775
23	Fax: +381113370387

## 25 Abstract

26 Beverages produced by fermentation of whey have significantly lower viscosity, milder 27 flavour and less viability of probiotic microorganisms compared to those obtained by 28 fermentation of milk. Therefore, it is necessary to choose an adequate combination of 29 cultures and supplements that can enhance these characteristics of the final product. The 30 main objectives of the paper were to study the influence of milk and additional probiotic 31 strain Lactobacillus rhamnosus ATCC 7469 on quality attributes of fermented whey-32 based beverage containing commercial ABY-6 starter culture. To formulate beverage, 33 that meet required criteria for probiotics, supplementation of whey with 30% milk and its 34 fermentation by ABY-6 co-cultured with L. rhamnosus is advisable. The obtained whey-35 based beverage has desirable texture and sensory quality attributes similar to traditional products and meets consumers' demands. The beverage contains 7.49 log (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) 36 37 probiotic bacteria, expresses antioxidant activity of 45.1%, satisfactory sensory 38 characteristics and has a shelf life of at least 20 days.

**Key words:** Whey; ABY-6; Lactobacillus rhamnosus; viscosity; syneresis; antioxidants

- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45

46

# 48 **1. Introduction**

49 Compared to various types of yoghurt and other fermented dairy products, whey is one of 50 the least frequently consumed dairy products around the world. Its poor sensory attributes 51 have a large negative effect on consumer acceptability. Consequently, whey is commonly 52 used as a supplement, in the form of whey powder or whey protein concentrate.<sup>1</sup> 53 Expensive processing procedures lead to the fact that Serbia exploits only 12% of whey, in contrast to the developed countries that exploit 95% of this by-product.<sup>2</sup> The 54 55 fermentation of whey by commercial starter cultures, designed for yoghurt production, 56 could be an alternative to increase sensory quality of whey. On the other hand, well known health benefits<sup>3</sup> of raw whey can be significantly improved by its fermentation. 57 58 There is large number of scientific reports that confirms evidence of health benefits of microorganisms including its production of antioxidants.<sup>4</sup> Thus, application of starters 59 60 that produce exopolysaccharides, antioxidants or posses probiotic properties, can 61 significantly improves whey quality due to their positive influence on immune system as 62 well as on gastrointestinal health. These benefits could be the key point for increase whey 63 exploitation by its integration in human nutrition.

Due to the low level of total solid content (approximately 6%, by weight), relatively high lactose-glucose ratio and high acidity, consumers perceive whey-based beverages as watery, sweet-sour liquid with the poor mouthfeel.<sup>5</sup> Likewise, beverages produced by fermentation of whey have significantly lower viscosity, milder flavour and less viability of probiotic microorganisms compared to those obtained by fermentation of milk. Therefore, it is necessary to choose an adequate culture or supplements that can enhance these characteristics of the final product. One of the possible ways could be the use of

i uge 4 o

71 dairy starters in combination with high exopolysaccharide-producing strains or use of 72 hydrocolloids.<sup>5</sup> On the other hand, in order to avoid hydrocolloids and preserve the 73 completely natural composition of beverage, milk addition could be a good alternative. 74 The aggregation of casein and whey proteins, during fermentation, leads to the formation 75 of the gel that constructs the beverage structure, protects probiotic strains and improves 76 overall quality of product.<sup>6</sup>

77 Cultures that are most frequently used as dairy starters are AB (L. acidophilus and 78 Bifidobacterium spp.), ABC (L. acidophilus, Bifidobacterium spp. and L. casei), ABT (L. 79 acidophilus, Bifidobacterium spp. and S. thermophilus) and ABY (L. acidophilus, Bifidobacterium spp., S. thermophilus and L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus).<sup>7-10</sup> 80 81 Combination of these commercial cultures with strains marked as a good 82 exopolysaccharide producers could improve quality of beverages in several ways. The 83 presence of exopolysaccharides leads to the improvement of textural attributes (such as 84 firmness and mouthfeel) of many food products. Many of them can form gels that will 85 constitute food structure and enhance viscosity of solutions owing to their high molecular weight.<sup>11</sup> Exopolysaccharides may act as prebiotics, selectively metabolised by beneficial 86 87 bacteria, enhancing their growth, activity and viability in food products as well as in the gastrointestinal tract.<sup>12-15</sup> In the addition to the improvement in probiotic character, the 88 89 exopolysaccharides also improves aroma of the final product, by stimulating the growth 90 of microorganisms that produces aromatic compounds. This is very important since many 91 studies have shown that the flavour is the first elimination parameter in the selection of food, followed by consideration of the health aspects.<sup>16,17</sup> Probiotic beverages with 92

disagreeable sensory characteristics are not attractive to costumers even if its consuminghas multiple benefits to their health.

95 There are a small number of literature reports about the use of commercial ABY cultures 96 for fermented whey beverage formulation. There is practically no data on the 97 characterisation of beverage obtained by fermentation of whey using commercial ABY-6 98 culture. In addition, there is no data concerning the possibility that additional L. 99 rhamnosus ATCC 7469 strain can improve general quality of produced whey beverage. 100 Therefore, the aim of our study was to evaluate the influence of milk and additional 101 probiotic strain L. rhamnosus ATCC 7469 on the quality of whey-based beverage that 102 contains commercial ABY-6 starter culture.

#### 103 **2. Materials and methods**

#### 104 **2.1. Culture and media**

105 Commercial lyophilized dairy starter culture that is known as 'Lactoferm ABY 6' used in 106 this study was supplied by Biochem s.r.l. (Monterotondo, Roma, Italy). Starter culture is 107 mixture of Streptococcus salivarius ssp. thermophilus (80%), Lactobacillus acidophilus 108 (13%), Bifidobacterium bifidum (6%), Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus (1%). 109 The culture that consists of 10 g lyophilised starter powder is the one currently used in 110 dairy industry. The culture was maintained according to the manufacturer's instructions at -18 °C until use (no longer than 20 mounts). For each experiment, 1% (w/v) of starter 111 112 culture was gently dissolved in sterilised skim milk (0.5% fat) and activated 30 min at 42 113 <sup>o</sup>C. Concentration of viable probiotic cells (L. acidophilus and B. bifidum) in activated culture was  $5.58 \pm 0.06 \log (CFU mL^{-1})$ . 114

The strain *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* ATCC 7469, used in this study, was supplied by 115 116 American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, USA). Stock culture was stored at 117 -18 °C in 3 mL vials containing De Man Rogosa Sharpe (MRS) broth (Fluka, USA) and 118 50% (v/v) glycerol as a cryoprotective agent. To prepare the laboratory culture, a drop of 119 stock culture was transferred to 3 mL of MRS broth and incubated for 18 h under 120 anaerobic conditions at optimal growth temperature (37 °C). The working culture was 121 pre-cultured twice in MRS broth prior to experimental use. Concentration of viable cells 122 in activated culture was  $7.78 \pm 0.165 \log (CFU mL^{-1})$ .

123 After the activation, desired inoculum level of each culture was added into the 124 fermentation medium, in accordance with the requirements of the experimental procedure 125 (see section 2.2).

Whey remained after cheese production and sterile skim milk with 0.5% fat were obtained from domestic dairy plant Imlek a.d. (Belgrade, Serbia). After collection, the whey was stored at -18 °C until use (no longer than one week). The chemical composition of whey was: total solids  $9.8 \pm 0.03 \%$  (w/v); protein  $2.6 \pm 0.012 \%$  (w/v); fat  $1.05 \pm 0.08$ % (w/v) and lactose  $5.6 \pm 0.114 \%$  (w/v).

#### 131 **2.2. Experimental procedure**

Based on preliminary experiments (data not shown) 30% milk was used for beverage formulation as concentration that appropriate for appreciably sensory quality improvement. Whey (0% milk, v/v) and whey-milk mixture (30% milk, v/v) were pasteurized at 60 °C for 60 min, cooled at fermentation temperature (42 °C) and inoculated with following level of activated cultures. Four different beverages were formulated: AW (0% milk, ABY-6 6%, v/v), AM (30% milk, ABY-6 6%, v/v), RW (0%

milk, ABY-6 4%, *L. rhamnosus* 2%, v/v), RM (30% milk, ABY-6 4%, *L. rhamnosus* 2%,
v/v).

140 The flasks containing 300 mL of formulated beverage were prepared for each point of 141 analyses. Prepared samples were incubated at 42 °C in a water bath. During the 142 incubation, samples (2 ml) were taken every 1h for determination of pH value. The 143 fermentations were carried out for 4h until pH =  $4.6 \pm 0.2$  was attained. After 4h 144 fermentations were stopped by quick cooling. The fermented beverages were stored at 4 145 °C for 28 days. Analysis of the titratable acidity (TA, °SH), pH value, viable cell count (log (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>)), syneresis (%), viscosity (cP), antioxidant activity (%) and overall 146 147 acceptability was carried out during fermentation and 28 days of storage.

## 148 **2.3. Chemical analysis**

149 The titratable acidity was determined by the Soxhlet-Henkel method,<sup>18</sup> and the pH value 150 was measured using a pH meter (Inolab, WTW 82362, Wellheim, Germany).

151 **2.4. Microbiological analysis** 

One milliliter of fermented sample was diluted with 9 mL of sodium chloride (0.85%, w/v), and mixed uniformly. Subsequent serial dilutions were prepared and viable cell count was determined using pour plate technique. MRS-maltose (MRSM) agar and anaerobic incubation at 37 °C for 48 h were used for the enumeration of viable cell count of probiotic bacteria (*L. acidophilus* and *B. bifidum* in AW and AM beverages; *Lb. acidophilus*, *B. bifidum* and *L. rhamnosus* in RW and RM beverages).<sup>19</sup>

158 **2.5. Texture analysis** 

159 **2.5.1.** Viscosity

160 The apparent viscosities were determined at 8°C according to modified method.<sup>20</sup> A 161 Brookfield DV II+ Pro viscometer (Brookfield Engineering Lab Inc, Stoughton, MA) 162 was used. A spindle N°61 was set to 10 rpm. The viscosity measurements were 163 continuous over 30 s required to collect 70 data points. Data points were averaged per 164 sample per replication. The apparent viscosity was determined on three cups of sample 165 per replication. Three replications were conducted and values are expressed in cP.

166 **2.5.2.** Syneresis

167 Syneresis of fermented samples was determined according to the method.<sup>21</sup> The 168 fermented samples (20.0 mL) were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 10 min at  $4 \pm 1$  °C. 169 Collected supernatant was drained, weighed and the following equation was used for 170 syneresis calculation:

171 Syneresis (%) = 
$$\frac{\text{Weight of supernatant (g)}}{\text{Weight of fermented sample (g)}} \times 100\%$$
 (1)

#### 172 **2.6. Sensory analysis**

173 Sensory analysis of fermented beverage samples was conducted after 1, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days of storage according to the modified method.<sup>22</sup> Fifty-five untrained panellists (35 174 175 being women and 20 men, age between 25 and 55) from the faculty, including teachers, 176 students and staff were randomly selected and invited to participate in the sensory 177 evaluation of fermented whey-based beverages on the basis overall acceptability. The 178 participants were asked to assess the overall acceptability of the four different fermented 179 beverages: AW, AM, RW and RM. Each questionnaire consists of four questions: name, 180 age, sex and overall acceptability for four consumed products.

181 The samples were presented monadically at  $4 \pm 1$  °C, in individual plastic cups coded 182 with 3-digit numbers, serving 20 mL samples to each panellist. The participants were

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

183 given four samples at a time at storage temperature  $(4 \pm 1 \, ^{\circ}C)$ , a pencil, a questionnaire 184 and a glass of cold water to rinse their mouths between samples. They have been asked to 185 mark an value on the questionnaire scale which best represents how much they liked or 186 disliked each of four samples with respect to overall acceptance, using a 9-point hybrid 187 hedonic scale where 1 = disliked extremely; 5 = neither liked nor disliked and 9 = liked extremely. The sensory analysis was consisted of 275 questionnaires distributed into 5 188 189 sessions (5 times of storage). Prior to serving all samples were subjected to counts of 190 yeasts, molds and coliforms to evaluate the hygienic and sanitary conditions of the 191 products.

#### 192 **2.7. Antioxidant activity**

193 Antioxidant activity of fermented whey-based beverages was determined by its ability to 194 scavenge DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) radical, which was measured according to the modified method.<sup>23</sup> A stock solution of 0.1 mM DPPH (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) 195 196 was prepared by dissolving in methanol. After 4h fermentation samples were macerated 197 with methanol and centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C. Methanol (1.5 mL) and 198 DPPH (1.0 mL) were added to the supernatant (0.5 mL). Control sample was prepared by 199 mixing methanol (1.5 mL) and DPPH (1.5 mL), while methanol was used as blank 200 sample. Mixtures were allowed to stand 30 min in dark, at room temperature. The 201 antioxidant activity was analyzed by reading the absorbance at 517 nm. Scavenging 202 activity was calculated using the following equation:

DPPH scavenging activity  $(\%) = [(Ac - Aa)/Ac]^{2} (\%)$  (2)

204 Where Aa and Ac represent absorbance of sample and control, respectively.

205 **2.8. Statistical analysis** 

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

The experiments were performed in triplicate. All values are expressed as mean  $\pm$ standard deviation. Mean values were analysed using two-way ANOVA. The Tukey post hoc test was performed for means comparison (Origin Pro 8 (1991-2007), Origin Lab Co., Northampton, USA). Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05.

210 **3. Results and discussion** 

## 211 **3.1. Chemical analysis**

Fermentation of whey by commercial cultures designed for yoghurt production could be an interesting way of including whey in human consumption. Changes in pH and titratable acidity (°SH) during fermentation and storage period are specific for every product and depend on the microorganisms used for formulation as well as of the substrate composition.

217 A gradual decrease of pH was observed in all samples during 4h fermentation as well as 218 during 28 days of storage (Fig. 1). Values of pH were ranged from 4.34 to 4.51 in all 219 samples after fermentation. Statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) in pH was 220 recorded in samples AW (4.51) and RW (4.37). Observed difference, means that L. 221 *rhamnosus* leads to significant drop of pH in sample formulated without milk. In samples 222 supplemented with 30% milk (AM and RM) applied culture does not have statistically 223 significant (P > 0.05) influence on pH. Comparing samples fermented by ABY-6 (AW 224 and AM) and samples fermented by ABY-6 co-cultured with L. rhamnosus (RW and 225 RM) regarding the milk content, it was observed that milk supplementation does not 226 significantly (P > 0.05) affects pH value after fermentation.

227 Compared to the pH values obtained after fermentation, pH values at the end of storage 228 period were considerably lower, ranged from 3.82 to 3.89 in all samples (Fig.1). A

possible explanation of this behaviour could be that the used strains are capable to save their productivity during storage. It can be also assumed that buffering capacity of milk<sup>24</sup> is enough to suppress significant pH decreasing after fermentation but not after 28 days of storage. *L. rhamnosus* has statistically significant effect (P < 0.05) on pH in sample RW, as well as in sample RM.

On the other hand, milk supplementation does not significantly affect the pH after 28 days of storage. The obtained results are different to those reported by others<sup>25,26</sup> who reported pH of about 4.20 after 35 days of storage, when the initial pH value was about 4.60. Therefore, we could say that *L. rhamnosus*, as a strain with high lactic acid productivity,<sup>27</sup> significantly affects pH of whey-based beverage during 28 days of storage regardless of milk addition.

240 Based on the results, pH decreases faster in samples with L. rhamnosus during the fermentation as well as during storage period. As reported in the literature,<sup>28</sup> this strain is 241 242 characterised by excellent proteolytic activity with high amount of free amino acids 243 (FAA) produced during process of cheese production. Due to this specific ability, it 244 provides amino acids to the strains present in ABY-6 culture and probably increases their 245 metabolic activity. In addition, the increased strains activity leads to the production the 246 higher amount of lactic acid and faster decrease of pH in these samples during 247 fermentation as well as during storage period.

Titratable acidity of samples ranged from 16.1 to 24.2 °SH after fermentation, and from 23.2 to 35.4 °SH after 28 days of storage. As shown in Fig 2, the highest titratable acidities of 24.2 °SH and 35.4 °SH were observed in sample RM after fermentation and after 28 days of storage, respectively. Based on the observed results we could observe

that the presence of milk and *L. rhamnosus* increases titratable acidity of whey-based beverages. It is interesting to note that milk significantly (P < 0.05) affects titratable acidity of samples AM and RM, in contrast to the non-significant (P > 0.05) effect of milk on pH of above samples. The possible explanation could be the fact that the productivity of both cultures in the presence of milk proteins was enhanced, but produced

257 lactic acid cannot be recorded by measuring pH.

258 Lactic acid has significant impact on the flavour of fermented milk products. A beverage 259 is considered to have a good quality if it has a titratable acidity of approximately 44 °SH. 260 In our study, due to very short fermentation time (4h) and whey as poor substrate, strains 261 present in ABY-6 culture are not able to produce satisfactory amount of lactic acid. The 262 addition of highly productive strain and milk enhances amount of lactic acid present in 263 produced beverage (Fig. 2). However, titratable acidities of the fermented whey-based 264 beverages in this study were below value 53.0 °SH at which unpleasant acid taste could be detected.<sup>29,30</sup> 265

266 **3.2. Microbiological analysis** 

The preferred option for whey fermentation is the use of culture containing probiotic strains. Probiotics in form of fermented dairy products are metabolically active products, which pass through some modifications during their shelf life, such as loss of culture viability and overall sensory quality. Whey does not contain an abundance of nutrients, but its enrichment can create the conditions present in the gastrointestinal tract, which is the natural habitat of probiotic bacteria and thus lead to improvements of their growth and viability. The changes in viable cell count of probiotic bacteria in beverages

formulated with whey and whey-milk mixture, fermented by ABY-6 and ABY-6 cocultured with *L. rhamnosus* for 4h and stored for 28 days are shown in Fig. 3.

276 As indicated in Fig. 3 viable cell count of probiotic bacteria (L. acidophilus and B. *bifidum*) ranged from 4.88 to 5.19 log (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) in samples AW and AM, respectively, 277 after 4 h of fermentation. It suggests that milk have significant (P < 0.05) influence on 278 279 growth of ABY-6 culture. Regardless of the positive effect of milk, both samples 280 fermented by ABY-6 starter culture (AW and AM) did not meet the requirement (>6.0 log (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) to be considered as probiotics.<sup>31</sup> Same statistically significant (P < 0.05) 281 282 positive influence of milk observed in samples fermented by ABY-6 co-cultured with L. 283 rhamnosus where the viable cell count of probiotic bacteria was ranged from 6.69 log (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) in sample RW to 7.51 log (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) in sample RM (Fig. 3). That confirms 284 285 that milk has significant influence on the growth of these probiotic strains. According to earlier reports,<sup>32</sup> the remarkable effect of milk on the growth of microorganisms was 286 287 recorded and it is caused mainly by presence of milk protein during the fermentation of 288 whey-milk base. We could say that milk proteins protect probiotic strains, enhance their 289 growth and probably viability.

290 Co-culturing of ABY-6 with probiotic strain *L. rhamnosus* significantly (P < 0.05) 291 increases viable cell count of probiotic bacteria regardless of the presence of milk. The 292 reached count of viable probiotic bacteria was for about 1.5-2.3 log units higher in 293 samples RW and RM than in samples AW and AM that contained only *L. acidophilus* 294 and *B. bifidum* as probiotics (Fig. 3). Based on these results, addition of milk and highly 295 productive probiotic strain *L. rhamnosus*, with excellent growth capability, improves the 296 probiotic character of produced whey-based beverage. Maximal viable cell count of

297 probiotic bacteria (7.51 log (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>)) was reached in sample RM (30% milk, ABY-6

298 4%, *L. rhamnosus* 2%, v/v) after 4 h of fermentation.

The rate of population reduction was significantly (P < 0.05) slower in samples supplemented with milk during 28 days of storage, regardless of culture. The observed results suggest that milk slows the probiotic viable cell count reduction.

302 As shown in Fig. 3, samples RW and RM have significantly (P < 0.05) higher probiotic 303 viable cell count than samples fermented AW and AM during the whole storage period. 304 Sample RM had significantly (P < 0.05) higher probiotic viable cell count (6.30 log (CFU)  $mL^{-1}$ )) than sample RW (6.10 log (CFU  $mL^{-1}$ )) at the end of storage period. Both samples 305 RW and RM meet the requirement (> 6.0 log (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) to be considered as probiotics. 306 The obtained results are consistent to those reported in our previous research,<sup>33</sup> which 307 308 suggests that synergistic effect of proteins and polysaccharides can positively affect 309 growth and viability of probiotic bacteria. Sample RM supplemented with 30% milk and 310 fermented by ABY-6 co-cultured with L. rhamnosus, achieved the maximal probiotic cell count of 7.51 log (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) after 4 h fermentation and held that count of viable 311 312 probiotic bacteria during 28 days of storage.

313 **3.3. Texture analysis** 

The knowledge of rheological behaviour of whey-based beverages is a valuable tool in design of processing technologies and predicting the product stability during storage. The basic parameter, obtained during rheological study of liquid foods, is viscosity, used to characterize the fluid texture.<sup>34,35,36</sup> The changes in syneresis and viscosity of the beverages formulated with whey and whey-milk mixture, fermented by ABY-6 and

ABY-6 co-cultured with *L. rhamnosus* and stored for 28 days are shown in Fig. 4 and
Table 1.

321 As indicated in Fig. 4, the viscosity of samples fermented by ABY-6 increases and 322 reaches values 1.6662 cP (AW) and 2.8350 cP (AM) during the first two weeks of 323 storage. After 14 days, the viscosity of sample AW starts to declines reaching the value 324 1.5518 cP after 28 days of storage. On the other hand, in the sample formulated with 325 whey-milk mixture (AM) viscosity increases during whole storage period reaching the 326 value of 2.9529 cP after 28 days of storage. We can observe, that the viscosity of 327 fermented whey-based beverages is significantly (P < 0.05) related to the presence of 328 milk in formulation. Strong influence of milk on texture of whey-based beverage is in accordance with the results reported by others<sup>5</sup> who found that casein content had high 329 330 influence on the texture of fermented milk products. Produced lactic acid reduces the pH 331 of milk to the isoelectric point (pH = 4.6) of casein and leads to the formation of protein gel. This observation is also supported by previous studies<sup>37,38</sup> that pointed out that an 332 333 additional amount of milk can cause a stronger texture due to stronger network of protein 334 gel.

In the samples fermented by ABY-6 co-cultured with *L. rhamnosus* viscosity increases in both samples (RW and RM) reaching values 1.6281 cP and 2.7732 cP, respectively, after two weeks of storage. In these samples viscosity values of 1.4852 cP for RW and 2.3755 cP for RM were observed at the end of storage period.

Different behaviour of samples fermented by ABY-6 and ABY-6 co-cultured with *L*. *rhamnosus* (AM and RM) could be explained by presence of highly productive strain *L*. *rhamnosus* both in lactic acid and exopolysaccharide as well. Lower pH values in sample,

Page 16 of

that contains *L. rhamnosus*, contribute to lowering stability of protein gel formed during fermentation. It is also interesting to note, that after 14 days of storage presence of *L. rhamnosus* leads to a considerable increase in the content of lactic acid. Protein gels are pH-sensitive and presence of lactic acid affects a polypeptide chain interaction, which leads to the uptaking of water inside the gel. Uptaking of water inside the gel weakens its structure and leads to the decrease in viscosity of these samples.<sup>6</sup>

Changes in syneresis during the storage were observed in all samples (Table 1). It appeared that syneresis increases during the 14 days of storage for samples inoculated with ABY-6 co-cultured with *L. rhamnosus* (RW and RM). After 14 days of storage syneresis of RW and RM samples were 84.3% and 70.0%, respectively. Further, syneresis starts to decline and values 80.2% for sample RW and 65.9% for sample RM were reached after 28 days.

354 In the samples inoculated with ABY-6 increase in syneresis was observed during 14 days 355 of storage. After 14th day, syneresis in sample AW decreases, in contrast to the sample 356 AM where increase in syneresis was observed to the end of storage period. Syneresis of 357 AW and AM samples was 85.0% and 78.3%, respectively, after 28 days. During the 358 whole storage period, syneresis values of samples were significantly different (P < 0.05) 359 in favour of the sample supplemented with 30% milk. The observed results were correlated with the above results obtained for viscosity. An increase in viscosity 360 361 correlates to the stronger protein gel that loses the ability to hold the whey. Whey drains from the protein matrix and appears on the surface of fermented milk.<sup>39</sup> 362

363 Comparing beverages formulated with whey-milk mixture it was observed that sample 364 RM had significantly (P < 0.05) lower syneresis than sample AM. This result is in

accordance to those reported by others<sup>40,41</sup> who observed lower level of syneresis in yoghurt gels made by EPS producing starters compared to those made by EPS nonproducing starters.

We could say, that addition of probiotic *L. rhamnosus* strain, beside the slight reduction of viscosity, leads to the decrease of syneresis as the first eliminating parameter for beverage selection by consumers. Exopolysaccharide produced by *L. rhamnosus*<sup>42</sup>can form weak polysaccharide-protein interactions instead of more stable protein-protein ones.<sup>43,38</sup> This contributes to the formation of weak gel structure<sup>44</sup> that easily hydrates and thus reduces the syneresis of these beverages compared to the beverages fermented by ABY-6.

## 375 **3.4. Sensory analysis**

From 55 randomly panellists taking part in the overall acceptability test, 36.3% were male and 63.6% were female. Approximately 67.5% were between 25-45 years old. The analysis of whey-based beverages was conducted after 1, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days of refrigerated storage at 4 °C. The changes in acceptability values of fermented whey-based beverages are presented in Table 2.

The results indicated that supplementation of whey by 30% milk significantly (P < 0.05) affects sensory acceptance of whey-based beverages (Table 2). Samples AM and RM showed high acceptability values during the storage period, with mean values between 7.80 and 8.38. These results suggest that milk addition helps to avoid the poor sensory characteristics perceptible to consumers. Nonetheless, the acceptability values were significantly (P < 0.05) higher for sample AM, compare to the sample RM. Co-culturing of ABY-6 with *L. rhamnosus* leads to the decreases of acceptability values of whey-

beverage during whole storage period. Based on our previous research<sup>45</sup> this problem can 388 389 be solved by fortification of the whey-based beverage with various fruit bases that can 390 enhance its sensory characteristics. Taking into consideration the fact that the count of 391 viable probiotic bacteria is significantly higher in beverage that contain L. rhamnosus, we 392 can observe, that the benefit of the strain addition is much greater than its relatively 393 negative impact on the sensory profile of beverage. It is also necessary to emphasise the 394 positive effect of L. rhamnosus on reduction of syneresis as a characteristic that largely 395 determines the acceptability of the whey-based beverage by consumers.

**396 3.5. Antioxidant activity analysis** 

Based on the aforementioned findings milk significantly affects the quality of the beverage. Thus, the beverage formulated with 30% milk was selected as acceptable. In addition, it was necessary to explore the effect of the EPS producing strain on antioxidant activity of fermented beverage formulated by 30% milk. The influence of *L. rhamnosus* on antioxidant activity of whey-based beverage formulated by 30% milk is shown in Figure 5.

403 The antioxidant activity was significantly higher (P < 0.05) in sample RM during fermentation as well as during the whole storage period. Additional exopolysaccharide 404 405 produced by L. rhamnosus, probably stimulate ABY-6 strains to produce metabolic 406 products such as bioactive peptides that contribute to the higher antioxidant activity of 407 beverage. The obtained results are in accordance to the results reported by other researchers,<sup>46</sup> who found that the metabolic products of LAB obtained by utilisation of 408 409 oligosaccharides contribute to the higher antioxidant activity of yogurt prepared by S. 410 thermophilus, L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus and L. plantarum. On the other hand, L.

*rhamnosus*, as a strain with high proteolytic activity<sup>28</sup> significantly contributes to the
production of antioxidant peptides.

413 In addition, it was found that in both samples (AM, RM) antioxidant activity decrease 414 from an initial value 46.0 and 51.2%, respectively, at the end of fermentation, to 38.1 and 415 44.1% by 14 days of storage (Figure 5). After two week of storage, antioxidant activity of 416 samples (AM, RM) starts to increases and reaches value 39.2 and 45.1%, respectively, at 21st day of storage. The obtained results are in agreement with earlier studies.<sup>47</sup> Increase 417 418 in antioxidant activity after 14th day of storage could be explained by release of 419 intracellular microbial enzymes by cell lysis that contribute to the antioxidant activity. This observation is in accordance with literature reports<sup>28</sup> about increased peptidase 420 421 activities occurred during ripening of cheese produced by L. rhamnosus ATCC 7469. Therefore, it could be assumed that proteolysis<sup>48</sup> and lactic acid production<sup>49</sup> as the 422 423 results of microbial activity during fermentation and refrigerated storage could be 424 additional sources of antioxidant activity.

425 **3.6.** Conclusions

426 The present study is the first report on use of commercial ABY-6 culture in whey 427 fermentation. Probiotic whey beverage was successfully formulated using milk and 428 commercial ABY-6 culture co-cultured with L. rhamnosus. Co-culturing of commercial 429 starter culture ABY-6 with probiotic L. rhamnosus strain increases viable cell count for 430 about 2.60 log units compared to the beverages obtained in fermentations performed by 431 ABY-6 culture. Milk helps to avoid the poor sensory characteristics perceptible to 432 consumers and in synergy with exopolysaccharides greatly improves the viscosity and 433 syneresis of beverage.

434	To formulate beverage that meets required criteria for probiotics (viable cell count > $10^6$
435	CFU mL <sup>-1</sup> ) supplementation of whey with 30% milk as well as co-culturing of ABY-6
436	and L. rhamnosus is advisable. The obtained beverage contains 7.49 log (CFU mL <sup>-1</sup> )
437	probiotic bacteria, expresses antioxidant activity of 45.1%, satisfactory sensory
438	characteristics, has a shelf life of at least 20 days, and it can be introduced in the market.
439	Acknowledgement
440	This work was funded by the Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and Technological
441	development (TR 31017).
442	
443	
444	
445	
446	
447	
448	
449	
450	
451	
452	
453	
454	
455	
456	

- 457
- 458
- 459
- 460
- 461
- 462
- 463 **References**
- 464 1 1B. Matijević, R. Božanić and Lj. Tratnik, *Mljekarstvo*, 2010, **60**, 175-182.
- 465 2 M. R. Silva, C. L. L. F. Ferreira, N. M. B. Costa and J. Magalhães, Anais do XVIII
- 466 Congresso Nacional de Laticínios, Juiz de Fora, 2001.
- 467 3 E. Ha and M. B. Zemel, J. Nutr. Biochem, 2003, 14, 251-258
- 468 4 L. Tapsell, I. Hemphill, L. Cobiac, C. Patch, D. Sullivan and M. Fenech, Med. J.
- 469 Aust., 2006, **185**, S4-S24.
- 470 5 V. Legarová and L. Kouřímská, *Mljekarstvo*, 2010, **60**, 280-287.
- 471 6 R. N. Zúñiga and E. Troncoso, in Scientific, Health and Social Aspects of the Food
- 472 *Industry*, ed. B. Valdez, InTechOpen, Rijeka, 2012, ch. 15, pp. 295-320.
- 473 7 T. Saito, *Animal Science Journal*, 2004, **75**, 1-13.
- 474 8 G. Maiocchi, Industria del Latte, 2001, XXXVII, 94-98.
- 475 9 A. B. Martín-Diana, C. Janer, C. Peláez and T. Requena, *Int. Dairy J.*, 2003, 13, 827476 833.
- 477 10 E. B. Minelli, A. Benini, M. Marzotto, A. Sbarbati, O. Ruzzenete, R. Ferrario, H.
  478 Hendriks and F. Dellaglio, *Int. Dairy J.*, 2004, 14, 723-736.

- 479 11 J. M. Aguilera and D. W. Stanley, in *Microstructural Principles of Food*480 *Processing and Engineering*, ed. J. M. Aguilera, and D. W. Stanley, Aspen
  481 Publishers Inc, Gaithersburg, 2nd edn., 1999, pp. 155-183.
- 482 12 G. R. Gibson, B. Rabiu, C. E. Rycroft and R. A. Rastall, (2004), in Handbook of
- *Functional Dairy Products*, ed. C. Shortt, and J. O. Brien, CRC Press LLC, Boca
  Raton, 2004, pp.91-109.
- 485 13 T. Mizota, Functional and nutritional foods containing bifidogenic factors.
  486 *Bulletin of the International Dairy Federation*, 1996, **313**, 31-35.
- 487 14 R. Mohammadi, A. M. Mortazavian, R. Khosrokhavar and A. G. Cruz, *Ann.*488 *Microbiol.*, 2011, **61**, 411-424.
- 489 15 C. E. Rycroft, M. R. Jones, G. R. Gibson and R. A. Rastall, *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2001,
  490 **91**, 878-887.
- 491 16 R. Mohammadi and A. M. Mortazavian, *Food Rev. Int.*, 2011, **27**,192-212.
- 492 17 H. Tuorila and A. V. Cardello, *Food Qual. Prefer.*, 2002, **13**, 561-569.
- 493 18 L. Varga, Int. J. Food Microbiol., 2006, 108, 272-275.
- 494 19 R. I. Dave and N. P. Shah, J. Dairy Sci., 1996, **79**, 1529-1536.
- 495 20 K. J. Aryana and P. McGrewa, *LWT Food Sci. Technol.*, 2007, 40, 1808-1814.
- 496 21 M. K. Keogh and B. T. O'Kennedy, J. Food Sci., 1998, 63, 108-112.
- 497 22 J. Hemsworth, S. Hekmat and G. Reid, *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg.*, 2011, **12**, 79-84.
- 498 23 G. Balakrishnan and R. Agrawal, (2012). J. Food Sci. Technol., 2012, DOI:
- 499 10.1007/s13197-012-0891-9.
- 500 24 S. Fadiloğlu, O. Erkmen and G. Şekeroğlu, *Food Technol. Biotech.*, 2004, **42**, 27-32.
- 501 25 R. I. Dave and N. P. Shah, *Int. Dairy J.*, 1997, 7, 31-41.

502	26 S. E. Gilliland, S. S. Reilly, , G. B. Kim and H. S. Kim, J. Food Sci., 2002, 67, 3091-
503	3095.
504	27 M. Lj. Bulatović, M. Rakin, Lj. Mojović, S. Nikolić, M. Vukašinović-Sekulić and
505	A. Đukić-Vuković, J. Food Sci. Eng., 2012, 2, 705-711.
506	28 R. D. Cagnoa, M. Quintob, A. Corsettic, F. Minervinia and M. Gobbettia, Int. Dairy
507	<i>J.</i> , 2006, <b>16</b> ,119-130
508	29 R. Pinthong, R. Macrae and J. Rothwell, Int. J. Food Sci. Technol., 1980, 15, 647-52.
509	30 C. H. Kehagias and T. N. Dalles, J. Food Prot., 1984, 47, 760–761.
510	31 A. Y. Tamime, V. M. E. Marshall and R. K. Robinson, J. Dairy Res., 1995, 62, 151-
511	187.
512	32 K. Almeida, A. Y. Tamime and M. N. Oliveira, LWT - Food Sci. Technol., 2009, 42,
513	672-678.
514	33 M. Lj. Bulatović, M. Rakin, M. Vukašinović-Sekulić, Lj. Mojović and T. Krunić,
515	Int. Dairy J., 2014, <b>34</b> , 109-115.

- 516 34 M. A. Rao, J. Texture Stud., 1997, 8, 135-168.
- 517 35 M. L. Alonso, E. Garzon, B. Melkon and J. Zapico, *Alimentaria*, 1990, **27**, 53-57.
- 518 36 M. A. Rao and J. H. Cooley, J. Texture Stud., 1992, 23, 415-425.
- 519 37 M. Guven, K. Yasar, O. B. Karaca and A. A. Hayaloglu, *Int. J. Dairy Technol.*, 2005,
  520 58, 180-184.
- 521 38 L. Ramchandran and N. P. Shah, J. Dairy Sci., 2009, 92, 895-906.
- 39 M. F. Bezerra, D. F. S. Souza and R. T. P Correia, *Int. J. Dairy Technol.*, 2012, 65, 17.

- 40 A. N. Hassan, J. F. Frank, K. A. Schmid and S. I. Shalabi, *J. Dairy Sci.*, 1996, 79,
  2098-2103.
- 526 41 D. Jaros, H. Rohm, A. Haque and W. Kneifel, *Milchwissenschaft Milk Sci. Int.*,
  527 2002, 57, 325-326.
- 42 G. Lorca, M. I. Torino, G. Font de Valdez and A. Ljungh, *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*,
  2002, **206**, 31-37.
- 530 43 D. M. Folkenberg, P. Dejmek, A. Skriver, H. S. Guldager and R. Ipsen, *Int. Dairy J.*,
  531 2006, 16, 111-118.
- 532 44 E. Fernández-García and J. U. McGregor *Eur. Food Res. Technol.*, 1997, **204**, 433533 437.
- 45 M. Rakin, M. Vukasinovic, S. Siler-Marinkovic and M. Maksimovic, *Food Chem.*,
  2010, **100**, 599-602.
- 46 A. N. Madhu., N. Amrutha and S. G. Prapulla, *Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins*, 2012,
  4, 90-97.
- 538 47 V. V. Illupapalayam, S. C. Smith and S. Gamlath, *LWT Food Sci. Technol.*, 2014,
  539 55, 255-262.
- 540 48 A. Lourens-Hattingh and B.C. Viljoen, *Int. Dairy J.*, 2001, **11**, 1-17.
- 541 49 I. Correia, A. Nunes, I. F. Duarte, A. Barros and I. Delgadillo, Food Chem., 2001, 90,
- 542 853-859.
- 543
- 544
- 545
- 546



# 553 Figures:



Fig. 1 Effect of milk and culture composition on pH value of whey-based beverages
during 4 h fermentation and 28 days of storage. AW (0% milk, ABY-6 6%, v/v), AM
(30% milk, ABY-6 6%, v/v), RW (0% milk, ABY-6 4%, *L. rhamnosus* 2%, v/v), RM
(30% milk, ABY-6 4%, *L. rhamnosus* 2%, v/v). Vertical bars represent the standard
deviation (n = 3) for each data point.



Fig. 2 Effect of milk and culture composition on titratable acidity of whey-based beverages during 4 h fermentation and 28 days of storage. AW (0% milk, ABY-6 6%, v/v), AM (30% milk, ABY-6 6%, v/v), RW (0% milk, ABY-6 4%, *L. rhamnosus* 2%, v/v), RM (30% milk, ABY-6 4%, *L. rhamnosus* 2%, v/v). Vertical bars represent the standard deviation (n = 3) for each data point.

567



Fig. 3 Effect of milk and culture composition on viable cell count of probiotic bacteria in
whey-based beverages during 4 h fermentation and 28 days of storage. AW (0% milk,
ABY-6 6%, v/v), AM (30% milk, ABY-6 6%, v/v), RW (0% milk, ABY-6 4%, L. *rhamnosus* 2%, v/v), RM (30% milk, ABY-6 4%, L. *rhamnosus* 2%, v/v). Vertical bars
represent the standard deviation (n = 3) for each data point.

575



577

Fig. 4 Effect of milk and culture composition on viscosity of whey-based beverages
during 28 days of storage. AW (0% milk, ABY-6 6%, v/v), AM (30% milk, ABY-6 6%,
v/v), RW (0% milk, ABY-6 4%, *L. rhamnosus* 2%, v/v), RM (30% milk, ABY-6 4%, *L. rhamnosus* 2%, v/v). Vertical bars represent the standard deviation (n = 3) for each data
point.



**Fig. 5** Effect of culture composition on DPPH scavenging activity of whey-based beverages during 4 h fermentation and 28 days of storage. AM (30% milk, ABY-6 6%, v/v), RM (30% milk, ABY-6 4%, *L. rhamnosus* 2%, v/v). Vertical bars represent the standard deviation (n = 3) for each data point.

# **Tables:**

- **Table 1.** Effect of milk and culture composition on syneresis of whey-based beverages
- 602 during 28 days of storage. AW (0% milk, ABY-6 6%, v/v), AM (30% milk, ABY-6 6%,
- 603 v/v), RW (0% milk, ABY-6 4%, L. rhamnosus 2%, v/v), RM (30% milk, ABY-6 4%, L.
- *rhamnosus* 2%, *v/v*).

		Syne		
Time (days)	Sample			
	AW	RW	AM	RM
1	$88.3 \pm 0.91$	$78.5 \pm 1.05$	$67.5 \pm 0.70$	$50.6 \pm 0.60$
7	$90.1 \pm 0.61$	$82.5 \pm 1.05$	$72.3 \pm 0.97$	$64.9 \pm 0.85$
14	$91.2 \pm 0.75$	$84.3 \pm 1.15$	$75.1 \pm 0.75$	$70.0 \pm 0.68$
21	$87.9 \pm 0.68$	$81.2 \pm 0.95$	$76.1 \pm 1.10$	$67.9 \pm 0.80$
28	$85.0 \pm 0.83$	$80.2 \pm 0.58$	$78.3 \pm 0.76$	$65.9 \pm 0.86$

<b>Table 2.</b> Effect of milk and culture composition on acceptability values of whe	-based
---	--------

- 619 beverages during 28 days of storage. AW (0% milk, ABY-6 6%, v/v), AM (30% milk,
- 620 ABY-6 6%, v/v), RW (0% milk, ABY-6 4%, L. rhamnosus 2%, v/v), RM (30% milk,
- 621 ABY-6 4%, L. rhamnosus 2%, v/v).

		Acceptab	oility values <sup>a</sup>	
Time (days)	Sample			
	AW	RW	AM	RM
1	$6.20 \pm 1.22$	$6.92 \pm 1.11$	$8.52 \pm 1.01$	$8.20 \pm 0.76$
7	$6.08 \pm 1.08$	$6.80 \pm 1.19$	$8.52 \pm 1.00$	$8.12 \pm 1.01$
14	$5.96 \pm 1.17$	$6.60 \pm 1.15$	$8.40 \pm 1.15$	$8.00 \pm 1.04$
21	$5.80 \pm 1.19$	$5.52 \pm 1.29$	$8.32 \pm 1.14$	$7.48 \pm 1.08$
28	$5.52 \pm 1.16$	$5.16 \pm 1.11$	$8.12 \pm 1.13$	$7.20 \pm 1.04$
Mean	$5.91 \pm 0.26$	$6.20 \pm 0.80$	8.38 ± 0.17	$7.80 \pm 0.44$

622 <sup>a</sup> Data are the mean ± standard deviation calculated from three independent experiments (n=3).