RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

RSC Advances

RSCPublishing

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Development of a prokaryotic-like polycistronic expression system based on a virus-originated internal ribosome entry site (IRES) in industrial eukaryotic microorganisms

L.L. Xu,^{*a,b#*} G. Wang,^{*a#*}W.Y.Wang,^{*b*} X. F.Lv,^{*b*}Q.Li,^{*a**} and A. X.Yan^{*b*}

A prokaryotic-like polycistronic expression system was developed in *Pichia pastoris* and *Acremonium chrysogenum*. With simpleness and higher effectiveness, this polycistronic expression system is suitable for introducing multiple genes or entire metabolic pathways into industrial eukaryotic microorganisms.

The introduction of multiple target genes or entire metabolic pathways into industrial microorganisms is now a routine procedure of metabolic engineering and synthetic biology, focused on constructing long metabolic pathways with multiple genes simply and effectively¹. In prokaryotes, linked by ribosome binding sites (RBS), the functionally related genes can be clustered together following a single promoter and multiple protein products can therefore be generated from a single messenger RNA strand called polycistron². In eukaryotic organisms, however, most mRNA is monocistronic, meaning that each gene requires an individual promoter for transcription³. In comparison with the polycistronic mechanism in prokaryotes, the monocistronic mechanism in eukaryotes means more DNA cloning/synthesis and multiple rounds of transformation in the genetic engineering process⁴, and it also increases the difficulty to identify the expressing level of different genes with independent expression elements, which are important for the metabolic engineering and synthetic biology of industrial eukaryotic microorganisms⁵.

Although the vast majority of eukaryotic mRNAs initiate the translation dependent on the 7-methyl-guanosine (m7G) cap structure at the 5' terminal of mRNA⁶⁻⁸, there is another capindependent manner for the translation initiation, making the construction of polycistron possible in eukaryotes. Internal ribosome entry sites (IRES), existing in the RNA genome of *Picornaviruses* such as *Poliovirus (PV)*⁹, *Encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV)*¹⁰ and mRNA molecules of yeasts¹¹, mammals¹², insects, etc, can initiate the translation of mRNA without the assistance of 5' cap structure under the conditions where the cap-dependent translation is absent or suppressed, for instance, during viral infection, cell cycle progression, stress or apoptosis¹³. PV-IRES was the first IRES element utilized to construct polycistron in eukaryotes⁹. However, EMCV-IRES is currently the most widely used for its high efficiency to translate proteins¹⁴ and high adaptability to accommodate different hosts^{15, 16}. EMCV-IRES has been applied in cellular transformation¹⁷, production of transgenic animals/plants^{18, 19}, recombinant protein production^{13,20}, gene therapy^{21, 22}, etc. Vectors for co-expression of 3-5 genes can also been constructed with EMCV-IRES^{23,24} for the purpose of metabolic engineering. Although EMCV-IRES has already been applied in plant cells, mammalian cells and insects, the application in industrial eukaryotic like polycistronic expression system based on EMCV-IRES was developed in two industrial eukaryotic microorganisms: *Pichia pastoris* and *Acremonium chrysogenum*, in order to investigate the function and application potential of EMCV-IRES in industrial eukaryotic microorganisms.

Figure 1 shows the structure and mechanism of the polycistron called vgb-IRES-hph based on EMCV-IRES in this study. The sequence of EMCV-IRES was obtained from the NCBI site(GenBank X74312.1) and then synthesized by assembly PCR²⁵. Vitreoscilla globin (vgb) gene encoding Vitreoscilla hemoglobin (VHb), which can improve the hypoxic tolerance of host cells, was chosen as the first gene. The bacterial hygromycin B phosphotransferase (hph) gene, which can enable the hosts having the resistance to hygromycin B, was chosen as the second gene functioning as a selectable marker. The fragment containing vgb gene, EMCV-IRES element and hph gene could be transcribed by a single promoter into one mRNA strand with a cap structure at the 5' end. The vgb gene could be translated by the 5' cap structure and the*hph* gene by the EMCV-IRES element. Different promoters were chosen for transcription in different hosts. In this study the methanol-inducible promoter P_{AOX1} was chosen for *Pichia pastoris* and the Aspergillusnidulans trpC gene promoter Ptrp for Acremonium chrysogenum.



Figure 1. The structure and mechanism of the polycistron *vgb*-IRES-*hph* in this study. The fragment containing *vgb* gene, EMCV-IRES element and *hph* gene is able to be transcribed by a single promoter into a mRNA strand with a cap structure formed at the 5' end. The *vgb* gene can be translated by the 5' cap structure while the *hph* gene by the EMCV-IRES element.

In order to investigate whether the EMCV-IRES could function in *Pichia pastoris*, a shuttle vector for *E coli* and

PichiapastorispPIC02-vIh was constructed by the ligation of pPIC02-T with the DNA segment of vgb-IRES-hph (Figure S1, Supporting Information). The competent yeast cells were electroporated with linearized plasmids of pPIC02-vIh. The positive transformants were then transferred to solid MM media containing Hygromycin B (200 µg/ml), supplied with methanol as the carbon resource and inducer at an interval of 24h. All the positive transformants were able to grow on the MM media with Hygromycin B, indicating that EMCV-IRES could initiate the expression of hph gene in *Pichia pastoris*. In comparison with the wild type, the 2000bp DNA fragment of vgb-IRES-hph could be amplified by PCR from the genomic DNA of the transformants, which confirmed the successful integration of heterologous genes into the Pichia pastoris genome (Figure 2A). The SDS-PAGE analysis of the cell hydrolysate of the transformant showed a 15kDa band and a 38kDa band, consistent with the molecular weight of VHb and hph respectively (Figure 2B). All these results demonstrated that in Pichia pastoris EMCV-IRES could initiate the expression of gene in the polycistron effectively in a cap-independent manner. After serial cultivation for 5 times, the transformants still process Hygromycin B resistance, indicating the stability of heteroulogus genes in Pichia pastoris genome (Table S4, Supporting Information).

Following *Pichia pastoris*, the function of EMCV-IRES was investigated in another industrial eukaryotic microorganism, *Acremonium chrysogenum*, as the producer of cephalosporin C (CPC), which can be modified chemically to synthesize several important β -lactam antibiotic derivatives, such as 7aminocephalosporanic acid (7ACA), cefoxitin (CFX), etc. Details of the construction of recombinant plasmid pBI121-vIh for the transformation of *Acremonium chrysogenum* can be found in the Supporting Information Figure S2. *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation was selected as the transforming method to integrate the DNA fragment of *vgb*-IRES-*hph* into the host genome. The integration of heterologous genes was confirmed by PCR using the genomic DNA of transformants as the template (Figure 2C). Similar to *Pichia pastoris*, the *hph* gene also showed the stability in *Acremonium chrysogenum* after serial cultivation for 5 times (Table S5, Supporting Information). Finally, 19 positive transformants were selected for the further experiments.



Figure 2. Genomic PCR and SDS-PAGE analysis for the transformants.(A) Amplification of *vgb*-IRES-*hph* fragment from the genomic DNA of *Pichia pastoris*. Lane 1: the transformant, lane 2: wild type as control, M: DNA marker. (B) SDS-PAGE analysis of *Pichia pastoris*. Lane 1: wild type as control, lane 2: the transformant, M: protein molecular weight marker. (C) Amplification of gene *vgb* from the genomic DNA of *Acremonium chrysogenum*. Lane 1~2: the transformants, lane 3: wild type as control, M: DNA marker.

The previous study showed that the protein VHb could improve the production of CPC in Acremonium chrysogenum²⁶, which could be used to test the function of VHb expressed by the polycistronic expression system in this study. Due to the random insertion of T-DNA mediated by Agrobacterium, the CPC production of different transformants might vary obviously (Figure 3). Cylinder plate method was applied to measure the CPC yield of these 19 transformants. Figure 3 showed that the CPC yield of different transformants varied significantly. After further confirmation by the high performance capillary electrophoresis (HPCE) analysis, transformant No. 15 which possessed the highest yield was chosen in the ensuing experiments. The low oxygen stress experiment was applied to test the function of VHb protein in the transformant of Acremonium chrysogenum. During the fermenting process of filamentous fungi, the growing dispersed hyphae will increase the medium viscosity, which imposed a detrimental effect and limited oxygen transfer. Different liquid volumes of media in a 300mL flask were used to keep different oxygen availability. Both the cylinder plate method (Figure 4A) and the capillary electrophoresis analysis (Figure 4B) indicated that the transformant possessed higher CPC vield than the wild-type under different oxygen available conditions. When the liquid volume was increased from 30mL to 50mL, the CPC yield of the transformant decreased by 52.80% while that of the wild type decreased by 77.63% (Figure 4A). Similar to the change of CPC yield, the dry weight of both the transformant and wild type strain (Figure 4C) decreased with the increase of liquid volume but nevertheless the decrease of transformant was relieved in comparison to that of the wild type. All the experiments demonstrated that the expression of VHb could enhance the tolerance of Acremonium chrysogenum to oxygen limited conditions, resulting in higher growth rate and CPC yield than the wild type.

During the transformation of fungal cells, the existence of random insertion of heterologous plasmids caused the false positive colonies ²⁷. Moreover, transformation of two individual transcription units into host in one plasmid cannot guarantee the translation of both unites together²⁸. In this study, the designing strategy of bicistron enable the target gene vgb and the selectable marker gene hph integrate into the host genome and express simultaneously, and results showed success of the strategy in two eukaryotic hosts(Pichia pastoris and Acremonium chrysogenum). Compared with the transformation of two monocistrons (target gene and selectable marker gene), the construction and transformation of the bicistron based on IRES has the following advantage: the target gene and the marker gene share the same promoter, which enables them to be transcribed together so that excludes the case that the marker gene is integrated and expressed but the target gene not. As a result, the false positive rate will be decreased significantly, making the genetic modification of eukaryotic microorganisms more efficient.



Figure 3. The variation of Cephalosporin C (CPC) yield of different *Acremonium chrysogenum* transformants. No. 0 represents the wild type while No. 1 to 19 represent the transformants.



Figure 4. Cephalosporin C (CPC) production and dry weight per mL broth of *Acremonium chrysogenum*.(A) Diameter of the inhibition zone measured by oxford cup method. (B) CPC production analyzed by capillary electrophoresis. (C) Dry weight per mL broth of *Acremonium chrysogenum*. Asterisks (*) indicates the difference at the level of p<0.05 and the analytic results in (B) and (C) might be due to the changed priority to use the additional oxygen between the cell growth and the production of secondary metabolite in different oxygen supply conditions.

Due to the simpler and higher efficient operation, construction and transformation of polycistron based on IRES can also be tested in other industrial fungi, which would indicate potential application in metabolic engineering and synthetic biology in the future.

Conclusions

In summary, a prokaryotic-like polycistronic expression system based on EMCV-IRES was first developed in *Pichia pastoris* and *Acremonium chrysogenum, which* are the representatives of important industrial eukaryotic microorganisms. With the simpleness and effectiveness, the polycistronic manner dependent on EMCV-IRES possessed the potential application to construct long metabolic pathways in industrial eukaryotic microorganisms.

Notes and references

"Key Laboratory for Industrial Biocatalysis, Ministry of Education, Department of Chemical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, 100084

^bCollege of Life Science and Technology, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing, China, 100029

"These authors contributed equally to this work.

*E-mail: liqiang@tsinghua.edu.cn, Fax: 86-10-62789847

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental Section and Hereditary Stability Test of *Acremonium chrysogenum* and *Pichia pastori*.

- 1.J. J.Kerrigan, Q.Xie, R. S.Ames and Q.Lu, *Protein Expression Purif.*,2011,75, 1.
- 2.M.Kozak, Gene, 1999, 234, 187.
- 3.M. Kozak, Cell, 1986, 47, 48.
- 4.Y.An, H.Dong and G. Liu, J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2012, 39, 269.
- 5.I. E.François, W. F.Broekaertand B. Cammue, *Plant Sci.*, 2002, 163, 281.
- 6.M. Kozak, The Journal of Cell Biology, 1989, 108, 229.
- 7.T. F.Donahue, Cold Spring Harbor Monograph Series, 2000, **39**, 487.
- 8.J. W. Hershey and W. C.Merrick, Harbor Monograph Series, 2000, 39, 33.
- 9.J.Pelletier and N.Sonenberg, Nat., 1988, 334, 320.
- 10.S.Jang, H.Kräusslich, M.Nicklin, G.Duke, A.Palmenberg and E. Wimmer, J. Virol., 1988, 62, 2636.
- 11.W.Zhou, G. M. Edelman and V. P. Mauro, *PNAS*,2001,**98**,1531.
- 12.G.Johannesand P.Sarnow, RNA, 1998,4, 1500.
- 13.I. R.Ghattas, J. Sanes and J. Majors, *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 1991,**11**, 5848.
- 14.A. M.Borman, P. Le Mercier, M. Girard and K. M.Kean, *Nucleic acids* res., 1997, **25**, 925.
- L.Créancier, D.Morello, P. Mercier and A.C. Prats, *The Journal of cell biology*, 2000, 150, 275.
- 16.A. M. Borman, J. L. Bailly, M. Girard, and K. M. Kean, *Nucleic acids res.*, 1995, 23, 3656.
- 17.L.Fan, J.Drew, M.Dunckley, J.Owenand G.Dickson, *Gene ther.*, 1998, 5,1434.
- 18.H.Jung, J.K. Kim and S.H. Ha, J. Korean Soc. Appl. Biol. Chem., 2011, 54, 678.
- 19.P.Urwin, L.Yi, H.Martin, H.Atkinsonand P. M.Gilmartin, *Plant J.*, 2000, 24, 583.

20.H.	Bouabe, R.	Fässler and J.	Heesema
20.H.	Bouabe, R.	Fässler and J.	Heesem

Nucleic Acids Res., 2008, 36, e28.

- R. A.Morgan, L. Couture, O.Elroy-Stein, J.Ragheb, B. Moss and W. F.Anderson, *Nucleic acids res.*, 1992, 20, 1293.
- M.Wagstaff, C. Lilley, J.Smith, M.Robinson, R.Coffin, and D. Latchman, Gene ther, 1998, 5, 1566.
- 23.E.Martínez-Salas, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 1999, 10, 458.
- 24.W. S. Chen, Y. C. Chang, Y. J. Chen, Y. J. Chen, C. Y. Teng, C. H. Wang and T. Y. Wu, *J Virol Methods*, 2009,159,152.
- 25.G. Wang, Master Thesis, Tsinghua University, 2012.
- 26.J. A. DeModena, S. Gutierrez, J. Velasco, F. J. Fernandez, R. A. Fachini, J. L. Galazzo, D. E. Hughes and J. F. Martin, *Nat Biotech*, 1993,11,926.
- 27.C. Young, Y. Itoh, R. Johnson, I. Garthwaite, C. O. Miles, S. C. Munday-Finch and B. Scott, *Curr. Genet.*, 1998, 33, 368.
- 28.F. C. Lin and H. K. wang, Molecular cell biology and experimental technology of filamentous fungi, Science Press, Beijing, 2010, pp.35-45.