# **RSC Advances**



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

### ARTICLE TYPE

## Investigation of the porosity of atomic layer deposited ultra-thin Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films by using electrochemical measurement

Zhimin Chai,<sup>a</sup> Jing Li,<sup>ab</sup> Xinchun Lu\*<sup>a</sup> and Dannong He<sup>c</sup>

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The porosity of ultra-thin alumina  $(Al_2O_3)$  films was measured by electrochemical experiment. The  $Al_2O_3$  films were prepared by atomic layer deposition (ALD) on a copper substrate using trimethyl aluminum (TMA) and water as precursors. The copper substrate was fine polished to decrease the influence of surface defects on porosity. 30 to 300 ALD cycles were conducted to get films with a thickness in a range

<sup>10</sup> of 4.5 to 29.4 nm. The Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) result reveals that the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films prepared by ALD are well stoichiometric and that the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films show less substrate sensitivity. The results obtained by potentiodynamic polarization demonstrate that the porosity of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films decreases with the film thickness. However, when the film thickness increases to 7.8 nm, the film porosity tends to become stable. With further increasing film thickness, the porosity decreases rather slowly. Because of the low porosity

<sup>15</sup> of the 7.8 nm Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film, the copper substrate is well protected. No obvious corrosion can be observed in the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images.

Keywords: porosity, ALD, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, potentiodynamic polarization

#### **1. Introduction**

- Nowadays, films as a good alternative to bulk materials are <sup>20</sup> widely used in micro device applications. As the size of micro devices continues to shrink, the film thickness is progressively driven to its extremity. To name a few, in complementary metal oxide semiconductors (CMOS) and dynamic random access memory (DRAM) applications, high dielectric constant (high-*k*)
- 25 oxide films with a thickness <10 nm are used to provide sufficient capacitance.<sup>1-3</sup> As the feature size of integrated circuits (IC) scaled down to sub-32 nm, a diffusion barrier with a thickness <5 nm is required to prevent copper from diffusing into low-*k* materials.<sup>4</sup> And in order to increase the magnetic storage
- <sup>30</sup> density over 1 Tbit/in.<sup>2</sup>, the thickness of protective diamond like carbon (DLC) films should be as thin as 1-2 nm.<sup>5, 6</sup> With the continuing shrinking of film thickness to several nanometers, the film may be discontinuous and massive pinhole defects formed in the initial period of film growth remain in the film, which would
- <sup>35</sup> lead to failure of many devices. Therefore, the knowledge of a critical thickness at which films become continuous and compact has great significance for the normal operation of many devices.
- Alumina (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) is a technologically important material due to its excellent insulation properties, high chemical and thermal <sup>40</sup> stabilities, high mechanical strength and high corrosion resistance.<sup>7</sup> Because of these properties, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films are widely used in micro devices as high *k* dielectric layers,<sup>1-3, 8</sup> diffusion barrier layers,<sup>4, 9-12</sup> and protective coatings. Ultra-thin Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films can be deposited by an atomic layer deposition (ALD) process.<sup>13,</sup> <sup>45</sup> <sup>14</sup> In the ALD process, two precursor gases are pulsed onto a

substrate surface alternately and between the precursor pulses, the reaction chamber is purged with an inert gas. The self-limiting growth mechanism of the ALD process enables a precision thickness to be controlled at a monolayer level. Moreover, <sup>50</sup> excellent step coverage, conformal deposition on high aspect ratio structures, nearly pinhole free and uniform composition control are also well ensured.

Electrochemical measurements (linear scan voltammetry (LSV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)) have been <sup>55</sup> used to get the porosity of ultra-thin Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films grown by thermal ALD.<sup>15-17</sup> However, because of polishing scratches and particle contaminations existing on substrate surface, the resulting porosity of ultra-thin Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films may be overestimated.<sup>18, 19</sup> H<sub>2</sub>– Ar plasma pre-treatment has been employed to remove the <sup>60</sup> organic contamination of the substrate.<sup>20, 21</sup> After pre-treatment, the influence of substrate on the porosity was eliminated to some extent and the film porosity decreased by 1–3 orders of magnitude. However, the influence of scratch on the substrate surface on the porosity still exists, thus, how to eliminate the <sup>65</sup> influence is the concern of this study.

In the present study, potentiodynamic polarization measurement was employed to obtain the porosity of ultra-thin Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films prepared by ALD on a copper substrate. Based on the analysis of films with a thickness from 4.5 to 29.4 nm, the critical <sup>70</sup> thickness at which film became continuous and compact was ultimately found. Because defects and contaminations on the surface of the copper substrate would give rise to the failure of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films as indicated above, the copper substrate was fine polished to decrease the surface defects.

#### 2. Experimental methods

#### 2.1. Surface preparation

A copper sample (99.99 wt% purity) with sizes of  $20 \times 20 \times 2$  mm<sup>3</sup> was used as the substrate to grow ultra-thin Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films.

- <sup>5</sup> Firstly, the copper substrate was mechanically polished using a TegraPol instrument (Struers) with silicon carbide (SiC) foils (Grit size #320, #500 and #1200) as grinding mediums. Then chemical mechanical polishing (CMP) was conducted to further decrease the surface roughness. Commercial slurry (PL-7105,
- <sup>10</sup> Fujimi) consisting of chemical reagents and submicron sized abrasive particles was used in the CMP process. Prior to use, the slurry was diluted by deionized water with a dilution ratio of 1:3. Finally, the substrate was cleaned in an ultrasonic deionized water bath for 2 min and then blow-dried with a pure nitrogen <sup>15</sup> (99.99%).

#### 2.2. Films prepared by atomic layer deposition

Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films were deposited on the copper substrate using a Picosun SUNALE R-150 ALD reactor. The precursors employed were trimethyl aluminum (purity >99.99%) and water which were <sup>20</sup> kept at room temperature.<sup>14, 22</sup> These two precursors were

- alternately pulsed into a reaction chamber with a high purity nitrogen (purity >99.999%) as a carrier gas. Between the precursor pulses, the reaction chamber was purged with the high purity nitrogen. The flow rates of the carrier gas and purge gas
- $_{25}$  were both 150 sccm. One complete ALD cycle consisted of 0.1 s of TMA/N<sub>2</sub>, 3 s of N<sub>2</sub>, 0.1 s of H<sub>2</sub>O/N<sub>2</sub>, and 4 s of N<sub>2</sub>. Long purge time was adopted in order to prevent the reaction of two precursors. By adjusting the number of ALD cycles, ultra-thin Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films with various thicknesses were obtained. During

<sup>30</sup> deposition, the pressure in the chamber was kept ~14 hPa by a dry pump, and the temperature in the chamber was limited to 150 °C to avoid the oxidization of copper substrate. After preparation, the samples were kept in a vacuum drier.

The thickness of the  $Al_2O_3$  films was measured from silicon <sup>35</sup> wafer which was coated simultaneously with the copper substrate. Before deposition, a Si (100) substrate was cleaned in ultrasonic acetone, absolute alcohol and deionized water baths for 10 min, respectively. The film thickness was measured by a nullellipsometry (Multiskop, Optrel) under the ambient air. The

<sup>40</sup> wavelength of the Nd-YAG laser was 632.8 nm and the incidence was 70°. Modeling was performed using the Elli software (Optrel). A 2-layer model (air/substrate) was used to determine the refractive index and absorption coefficient of the substrate. The thickness of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films was then calculated using a 3-45 layer model (air/film/substrate).

Because the thickness of the  $Al_2O_3$  films was measured from the Si (100) substrate, a question arises as to whether the thickness values measured were consistent with that measured from the copper substrate. To make clear this question, elemental

- <sup>50</sup> depth profiles were obtained for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film coated Si (100) and copper substrates, respectively, using an Auger electron spectroscopy (AES, PHI-700, ULVAC-PHI) with a co-axial cylindrical mirror analyzer (CMA). The spectrometer was operated at a pressure of  $<3.9\times10^{-9}$  Torr. The depth profiling was
- ss done with a 5 kV Ar ion sputter beam at an incident angle of  $30^{\circ}$ . The sputtering rate of a SiO<sub>2</sub> film (calibration specimen) was 6 nm/min.

#### 2.3. Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical measurements were carried out with an M237A potentiostat (EG&G) with a three-electrode cell. A platinum wire was used as counter electrode, and silver/silver chloride (Ag/AgCl) electrode as reference electrode. The area of working electrode was restricted to 2.01 cm<sup>2</sup> by an O-ring. The electrolyte solution was 0.1 M NaCl prepared by ultra-pure water (resistivity >18 MΩcm) and reagent grade chemicals (NaCl Analar Normapur analytical reagent, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co). Before the electrochemical measurements, the open circuit potential (OCP) was stabilized for 30 min. Then, the electrochemical polarization tests were performed with a scan <sup>70</sup> rate of 2 mV/s from -0.25 V up to 0.3 V with respect to OCP.

#### 2.4. Porosity evaluation

Figure 1 shows the diagram of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film coated copper system immersed in the 0.1 M NaCl solution. Pinhole defects appear in the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film. At these sites, NaCl solution can permeate the <sup>75</sup> film and reach the copper substrate. Therefore, corrosion of substrate occurs. By comparing the corrosion of the copper substrate before and after coated with the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film, the porosity of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film can be quantitatively measured. Several methods have been adopted to calculate the porosity of the film.<sup>15, 80</sup> <sup>21, 23-25</sup> In our study, the comparison of the polarization resistances of uncoated and coated samples was used:<sup>25</sup>

where *P* is the porosity of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film,  $R_{ps}$  is the polarization resistance of the copper substrate,  $R_p$  is the polarization resistance <sup>85</sup> of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film coated copper,  $\Delta E_{corr}$  is the difference in free corrosion potentials between the coated copper and bare copper substrate, and *b*a is the anodic Tafel slope of the copper substrate. The corrosion potential  $E_{corr}$ , corrosion current density *i*<sub>corr</sub>, anodic Tafel slope *b*a and cathodic Tafel slope *b*c can be obtained <sup>90</sup> by the Tafel extrapolation method from polarization curves.<sup>26</sup> Tafel lines are obtained from the linear portion of the polarization curves, and the corrosion current density is obtained from the intersection of the Tafel lines at the corrosion potential. Then the polarization resistance is obtained using the Stern–Geary <sup>95</sup> equation:<sup>27</sup>

$$R_{\rm p} = \frac{b_{\rm a} b_{\rm c} / \left[ 2.303 (b_{\rm a} + b_{\rm c}) \right]}{i_{\rm corr}} \,. \tag{2}$$

en the he high ge gas of 0.1 s g purge of two tra-thin  $P = \frac{R_{ps}}{R_p} \times 10^{-|\Delta E_{corr}|/b_a}$ , (1) where P is the porosity of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> film R.



Figure 1. Diagram of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film coated copper system immersed in the 0.1 M NaCl solution.

#### 2.5 Surface analysis

- <sup>5</sup> The surface morphologies of samples before and after electrochemical measurements were observed using an atomic force microscope (AFM, Veeco) and a scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEI Quanta 200 FEG) operating at a 20 kV accelerating voltage. The composition of the corroded copper
- <sup>10</sup> surface was determined by a X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, PHI Quantera SXM). The binding energy was calibrated by taking the carbon C1s peak (284.8 eV) as reference.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Substrate surface

- <sup>15</sup> Figure 2 (a) shows the SEM image of the surface of the polished copper substrate. Unlike the substrates used in a previous literature,<sup>17</sup> the copper substrate reveals no obvious defects, which can reduce the influence of the surface defects on the film porosity. The grain boundary of the polycrystalline copper
- <sup>20</sup> substrate is visual even though it is not clear. Figure 2 (b) shows a AFM image of the polished copper substrate. The surface of the copper substrate is very smooth, and the root-mean-square (RMS) roughness of the surface is 0.72 nm. Because of the high z-resolution of the AFM, the grain boundary can be clearly seen.
- <sup>25</sup> Figure 2 (c) is a cross sectional profile of the copper substrate indicated in (b) as a blue line. The segment between two green dashed lines (two green crosses in (b)) is the grain boundary. It can be seen that the depth of the grain boundary is ~2 nm. That explains why the grain boundary cannot be seen clearly by the <sup>30</sup> SEM.



Figure 2. (a) SEM image of the polished copper substrate. Black arrow: grain boundary. (b) AFM image of the polished copper substrate. Black arrow: grain boundary. (c) Cross sectional profile of the copper substrate indicated in (b) as a blue line, and the segment between two green dashed lines is the grain boundary.

#### 3.2 Film thickness

<sup>40</sup> The growth behavior of the ALD  $Al_2O_3$  films was investigated by the ellipsometry. 30-300 ALD cycles were preformed to get the ultra-thin  $Al_2O_3$  films with different thicknesses. Figure 3 shows the film thickness of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as a function of the number of ALD cycles. Each value in the figure is an average of 6 points measured at different locations of the sample. The film thicknesses were almost linear with respect to the number of <sup>5</sup> ALD cycles, which indicates the self-limiting growth mechanism of the ALD process.



Figure 3. The thickness of the  $Al_2O_3$  films as a function of number of ALD cycles.

- AES was used to determine whether the  $Al_2O_3$  films deposited on the Si (100) substrate have the same thickness with that deposited on the copper substrate. Figure 4 (a) and (b) show the depth profiles of the  $Al_2O_3$  films deposited with 200 ALD cycles on the copper and Si (100) substrates, respectively. Due to the
- <sup>15</sup> exposure to the ambient air, a high concentration of C element can be observed on the very surface of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film. For the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films deposited on both substrates, plateaus of the O and Al elements are observed, which indicates that the films have a uniform in-depth stoichiometry. Moreover, for both samples, the <sup>20</sup> concentrations of Al and O decrease after about 4.5 min of
- sputtering, which demonstrates that the two  $Al_2O_3$  films deposited on the copper and Si (100) substrates have the same film thickness.







#### 3.3 Electrochemical polarization

Figure 5 (a) shows the polarization curves of the copper substrate 30 and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film coated samples. Several electrochemical parameters, such as corrosion potential  $(E_{corr})$ , corrosion current density  $(i_{corr})$ , anodic Tafel slope  $(b_a)$  and cathodic Tafel slope  $(b_c)$ , are deduced from the polarization curves, as listed in Table 1. The corrosion potential of the bare substrate is -0.113 V 35 (Ag/AgCl). However, for the coated samples, corrosion potentials shifted anodically. With the increase of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film thickness, the corrosion potential becomes more anodic, which shows an enhanced corrosion resistance. The corrosion current densities of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film coated samples are significantly smaller than that of <sup>40</sup> the copper substrate, and with the increase of film thickness from 4.5 nm to 7.8 nm, the corrosion current densities of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film coated samples reduce by 1 orders of magnitude. When the thickness of the Al2O3 films further increases, the corrosion current tends to become stable.

The corrosion resistance of the  $Al_2O_3$  film coated samples is related to the film porosity. The porosity of  $Al_2O_3$  film coated samples is obtained from Eq. (1), where the polarization resistances ( $R_p$ ) is calculated from Eq. (2) using electrochemical parameters listed in Table 1. The porosity of the  $Al_2O_3$  film so coated samples as a function of film thickness is shown in Figure 5 (b). It can be seen that the porosity of the  $Al_2O_3$  film coated samples decreases with the increase of film thickness, which explains the decrease of corrosion current density with film thickness. The decreased porosity of the  $Al_2O_3$  films is assigned so to the progressively sealed defects formed in the initial period of film growth. The porosity of a 7.8 nm thick  $Al_2O_3$  film is 2.86%. With further increasing film thickness, the porosity tends to become stable. Accordingly, the corrosion current density of the  $Al_2O_3$  film coated samples becomes stable.

<sup>60</sup> It should be mentioned that the porosities of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films with thickness of 5.9 nm and 10.4 nm are 15.53% and 1.74%, much lower than previous reported 35.48% (5 nm thick Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film) and 31.45% (10 nm thick Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film).<sup>16</sup> Low film porosity can be assigned to the reduced surface defects of the copper <sup>65</sup> substrate. In our study, the copper substrate was fine polished to decrease the surface defect densities, which enables the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films to nucleate uniformly on the surface of the copper substrate. As the influence of surface defects on the growth of the  $Al_2O_3$  films is eliminated in our study, the measured porosities can be more accurate.





**Figure 5.** (a) Polarization curves for the copper substrate and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film coated samples in 0.1 M NaCl solution; (b) The porosities of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film coated samples.

10 Table 1. Electrochemical polarization data of copper substrate and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film coated samples.

Samples	$E_{\rm corr}$ (V)	$i_{\rm corr}~({\rm A/cm}^2)$	$b_{\rm a}({ m V/dec})$	$b_{\rm c}$ (V/dec)	$R_{\rm p}  (\Omega {\rm cm}^2)$
Cu	-0.113	5.37e-007	0.148	0.065	3.66e+004
4.5 nm Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-0.108	3.19e-007	0.042	0.132	4.38e+004
5.9 nm Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-0.117	1.15e-007	0.062	0.165	2.21e+005
6.8 nm Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-0.099	4.33e-008	0.060	0.162	4.37e+005
7.8 nm Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-0.087	2.29e-008	0.059	0.184	8.54e+005
10.4 nm Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-0.075	1.80e-008	0.069	0.157	1.16e+006
19.4 nm Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-0.057	1.59e-008	0.076	0.141	1.35e+006
29.4 nm Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-0.048	2.99e-008	0.111	0.164	9.60e+005

Figure 6 (a) and (b) show the SEM images of the copper substrate after corrosion. The copper substrate corrodes severely, and a large amount of bamboo-like structures appear on the <sup>15</sup> copper surface. Similar structures have been observed in a previous literature.<sup>28</sup> The copper substrate is corroded uniformly because bamboo-like structures are distributed uniformly. Figure 7 (a), (b) and (c) show the XPS spectra of Cu 2p, O 1s and Cl 2p core levels of the corroded copper substrate, respectively. The <sup>20</sup> peak position of the Cu 2p core level appears at 932.8 eV, which

- may be ascribed to the presence of CuCl or  $Cu_2O$ .<sup>29-31</sup> These two matters cannot be distinguished from one another because of their close binding energy. The O 1s peak at 530.8 eV is due to the presence of the  $Cu_2O$ ,<sup>29</sup> and the Cl 2p peaks at 198.9 eV and
- <sup>25</sup> 200.5 eV are due to the presence of the CuCl.<sup>31</sup> The existence of O 1s and Cl 2p peaks demonstrates that both CuCl and Cu<sub>2</sub>O are formed during the corrosion process. Overall reaction of copper in NaCl solution is as followed:<sup>32</sup>

(4)

 $Cu = Cu^+ + e^- \qquad (3)$ 

 $30 \quad Cu^+ + Cl^- = CuCl$ 

$$2CuCl+H_2O = Cu_2O + 2H^+ + 2Cl^-$$
 (5)

Figure 6 (c) and (d) display the images of the copper substrate coated with a 4.5 nm thick  $Al_2O_3$  film after corrosion. Due to the large porosity of the 4.5 nm  $Al_2O_3$  film, bamboo-like structures

 $_{35}$  can also be observed, but the amount of bamboo-like structures is decreasing. Figure 6 (e) and (f) show the images of the 7.8 nm  $Al_2O_3$  film coated copper substrate after corrosion. Because of the low porosity of the 7.8 nm  $Al_2O_3$  film, the copper substrate is

well protected. Only local corrosion occurs, which reveal as spots <sup>40</sup> existing on the image. The local corrosion behavior confirms the fact that the corrosion is caused by pinhole defects.





**Figure 6.** (a) SEM image of the copper substrate after electrochemical polarization measurement. (b) A magnified image of (a). (c) SEM image of the 4.5 nm Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film coated copper substrate after electrochemical polarization measurement. (d) A magnified image of (c). (e) SEM image of the 7.8 nm Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film coated copper substrate after electrochemical polarization measurement. (f) A magnified image of (e).





Figure 7. XPS spectra of (a) Cu 2p, (b) O 1s and (c) Cl 2p core levels of the corroded copper substrate

#### 4. Conclusions

15 Electrochemical measurements were performed to get the porosity of the ultra-thin Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films prepared by ALD. Because the surface of the copper substrate was fine polished, the influence of surface defects on the film porosity was eliminated to some extent, and the resulting porosity values are smaller than 20 those in previous studies. The AES results demonstrate that the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films are well stoichiometric, and that the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films deposited on the copper substrate have the same thickness with that deposited on the Si (100) substrate. The porosity of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films obtained from potentiodynamic polarization shows a 25 decrease of porosity with the increase of film thickness, from 77.20% to 1.39% for 4.5 nm and 29.4 nm Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films, respectively. However, when the film thickness increases to 7.8 nm, the porosity value tends to become stable. As the film thickness further increases, the porosity decreases rather slowly. 30 Due to the low porosity, the surface of the 7.8 nm Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film coated copper substrate is not severely corroded, which is confirmed by the SEM images. The method in this study can be used to measure the porosity of other insulated ultra-thin films.

#### 35 Acknowledgment

The authors greatly appreciate the financial support of the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (50825501), the Science Fund for Creative Research Groups (51321092), the National Natural Science Foundation of China <sup>40</sup> (51335005), and the National Science and Technology Major Project (2008ZX02104-001). Helpful discussions with Wen Jing are gratefully acknowledged.

#### Notes and references

- <sup>45</sup> <sup>a</sup> The State Key Laboratory of Tribology, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China. Telephone/Fax: +86 10 6279 7362; E-mail addresses: xclu@tsinghua.edu.cn
  - <sup>b</sup> College of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, China University of Petroleum, Qingdao 266580, China

<sup>c</sup> National Engineering Research Center for Nanotechnology, Shanghai 200241, China

- <sup>5</sup> 1 S. K. Kim, G. J. Choi, S. Y. Lee, M. Seo, S. W. Lee, J. H. Han, H. S. Ahn, S. Han and C. S. Hwang, Adv. Mater., 2008, 20, 1429.
  - 2 C. H. Chang, Y. K. Chiou, C. W. Hsu and T. B. Wu, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett., 2007, 10, G5.
- 3 J. Niinisto, K. Kukli, M. Heikkila, M. Ritala and M. Leskela, Adv. Eng.
   Mater., 2009, 11, 223.
  - 4 C. C. Chang and F. M. Pan, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2011, 158, G97.
- 5 Z. Min, Z. Chenhui, L. Jianbin and L. Xinchun, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2009, 256, 322.
- 6 C. Casiraghi, J. Robertson and A. C. Ferrari, Mater. Today, 2007, 10, 15 44.
- 7 M. D. Groner, J. W. Elam, F. H. Fabreguette and S. M. George, Thin Solid Films, 2002, 413, 186.
- 8 C. C. Cheng, C. H. Chien, G. L. Luo, J. C. Liu, C. C. Kei, D. R. Liu, C. N. Hsiao, C. H. Yang and C. Y. Changa, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2008, 155. G203.
- 9 P. Majumder, R. Katamreddy and C. Takoudis, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett., 2007, 10, H291.
- 10 T. Cheon, S. H. Choi, S. H. Kim and D. H. Kang, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett., 2011, 14, D57.
- 25 11 C. Taehoon, C. Sang-Hyeok, K. Soo-Hyun and K. Dae-Hwan, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett., 2011, 14, D57.
  - 12 S. I. Song, J. H. Lee, B. H. Choi, H. K. Lee, D. C. Shin and J. W. Lee, Surf. Coat. Technol., 2012, 211, 14.
- 13 R. L. Puurunen, J. Appl. Phys., 2005, 97, 121301.
- <sup>30</sup> 14 M. Juppo, A. Rahtu, M. Ritala and M. Leskela, Langmuir, 2000, 16, 4034.
   <sup>15</sup> D. Dire, F. Harkerer, L. Swietersche, W. Maurier, A. Sammer, P.
  - 15 B. Diaz, E. Harkonen, J. Swiatowska, V. Maurice, A. Seyeux, P. Marcus and M. Ritala, Corros. Sci., 2011, 53, 2168.
- B. Diaz, J. Swiatowska, V. Maurice, A. Seyeux, B. Normand, E.
   Harkonen, M. Ritala and P. Marcus, Electrochim. Acta, 2011, 56, 10516.
  - 17 B. Diaz, E. Harkonen, V. Maurice, J. Swiatowska, A. Seyeux, M. Ritala and P. Marcus, Electrochim. Acta, 2011, 56, 9609.
- 18 Y. D. Zhang, D. Seghete, A. Abdulagatov, Z. Gibbs, A. Cavanagh, R.
- 40 G. Yang, S. George and Y. C. Lee, *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, 2011, 205, 3334.
- 19 A. I. Abdulagatov, Y. Yan, J. R. Cooper, Y. Zhang, Z. M. Gibbs, A. S. Cavanagh, R. G. Yang, Y. C. Lee and S. M. George, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2011, 3, 4593.
- 45 20 E. Harkonen, S. E. Potts, W. Kessels, B. Diaz, A. Seyeux, J. Swiatowska, V. Maurice, P. Marcus, G. Radnoczi, L. Toth, M. Kariniemi, J. Niinisto and M. Ritala, Thin Solid Films, 2013, 534, 384.
  - 21 S. E. Potts, L. Schmalz, M. Fenker, B. Diaz, J. Swiatowska, V.
- Maurice, A. Seyeux, P. Marcus, G. Radnoczi, L. Toth and W. Kessels, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2011, 158, C132.
- 22 A. W. Ott, K. C. McCarley, J. W. Klaus, J. D. Way and S. M. George, Appl. Surf. Sci., 1996, 107, 128.
- 23 W. Tato and D. Landolt, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1998, 145, 4173.
- 55 24 C. Liu, Q. Bi, A. Leyland and A. Matthews, Corros. Sci., 2003, 45, 1257.
  - 25 V. Grips, V. E. Selvi, H. C. Barshilia and K. S. Rajam, Electrochim. Acta, 2006, 51, 3461.
  - 26 E. McCafferty, Corros. Sci., 2005, 47, 3202.
- 60 27 M. Stern and A. L. Geary, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1957, 104, 56.
- 28 L. Wei, X. Yimin, W. Qiming, S. Peizhen and Y. Mi, Corros. Sci., 2010, 52, 3509.
- 29 S. L. Shinde and K. K. Nanda, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 3647.
- 30 N. S. Mcintyre and M. G. Cook, Anal. Chem., 1975, 47, 2208.
- 65 31 R. P. Vasquez, Surf. Sci. Spectra, 1993, 2, 138.
- 32 A. El Warraky, H. A. El Shayeb and E. M. Sherif, *Anti-Corros. Methods Mater.*, 2004, 51, 52.