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Fabrication and characteristics of a high-capacity LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ with the monodisperse yolk-shell spherical precursors by a facile method

Huazhen Yang,$^a$ Panxing Liu,$^a$ Qinglin Chen,$^a$ Xuewen Liu,$^a$ Yanwen Lu,$^a$ Shuangfei Xie,$^a$ Liang Ni,$^a$ Xingyun Wu,$^a$ Muyang Peng,$^a$ Yanbin Chen,$^b$ Yuefeng Tang,$^a$$^*$$^a$ Yanfeng Chen$^a$

The structure of yolk–shell microspheres is attractive since it exhibits excellent structural stability during lithiation, and the monodisperse crumpled yolk–shell spherical precursors of LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ were obtained via a general and simple supersonic atomization method. After mixed with LiNO$_3$, this cathode material showed a high discharge capacity about 225.9mAh g$^{-1}$ and showed a good cycle performance at 0.2C, which is higher than previously reported.

LiNiO$_2$ was considered to replace LiCoO$_2$ as the cathode applied in commercial Li-ion batteries, for its lower cost and higher reversible capacity.$^{1-3}$ However, comparing to LiCoO$_2$, poor thermodynamic stability$^4$ limited its application. Therefore, Ni-rich LiNi$_{1-x}$Co$_x$O$_2$ (0<$x$<1)$^5$ was developed to solve the security problem of LiNiO$_2$, and Al-doping was reported to improve its cycling stability.$^6$ LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ is one of most applicable cathode materials for advanced lithium ion batteries.$^{12}$

However, to meet the requirement of LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ applications in the future, a lot of work to precisely control its shape and size is needed, and monodisperse structure can improve the consistency of performance of lithium ion battery. Many progresses have been made to prepare LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ precursor powders, including co-precipitation,$^{13-18}$ PVA precursor method$^{19}$ and spay pyrolysis.$^{20}$ The structure of yolk–shell microspheres is attractive since it exhibits excellent structural stability during lithiation,$^{21-23}$ which shows a higher capacity than a solid or hollow structure, but there are no reports about synthesis of the monodisperse precursors of LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ with yolk-shell structure before.

Herein, the monodisperse precursors of LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ with uniform shape and size were successfully obtained via supersonic atomization method. Interestingly, the precursor powders are yolk-shell spherical structure and verified by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), and these monodisperse yolk-shell structures showed a high capacity after mixed with LiNO$_3$.

![Fig. 1 XRD patterns of oxide precursors prepared at different dried temperature (a) 400 °C, (b) 450 °C, (c) 500 °C, (d) 550 °C.](image)

The oxide precursor powders of LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ were prepared through a ultrasonic atomizing method using aqueous solutions of Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$$•$6H$_2$O(98%), Co(NO$_3$)$_2$$•$6H$_2$O(98%) and
Al(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O (98%) in the molar ratio of Ni/Co/Al=0.8/0.15/0.05. The 1.5 mol/L mixed solution was atomized to form droplets dried at 400 °C, 450 °C, 500 °C and 550 °C in air, respectively. The mixture of the oxide precursor powders and LiNO$_3$ was sintered at 850 °C at a rate of 100 mL min$^{-1}$ under an air flow. The crystalline phase and structure of the prepared samples were identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku D-Max-RA) equipped with a diffracted-beam monochromator (Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation) at room temperature. The surface morphology and microstructure were also characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, QUANTA-200) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL-2100). The Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller (BET) surface area was analyzed by using nitrogen-hydrogen mixture from (Quantachrome MONOSORB). The composition of the prepared sample was confirmed by using inductively coupled plasma (ICP, PekinElmer-Optima-5300DV). The initial charge and discharge curves of the cathode powders prepared from the oxide precursors at a rate of 0.1 C between 2.8 and 4.5 V (1 C rate was set as 180 mAh g$^{-1}$) at the room temperature and 60°C was run and controlled by a Neware BTS-5V5MA-S1 and MACCOR S4000 Startup Battery Test System instrument.

Fig. 1 clearly showed the crystal structure of the samples prepared at 400 °C, 450 °C, 500 °C and 550 °C, and all the diffraction peaks can be in good agreement with the patterns of NiO (JCPDS No.: 44-1159). And the intensities of these peaks increased with the increasing temperature, which pointed that crystallinity of the powders increased as the dried temperature increased.

![Fig. 1 TEM micrographs of the oxide precursors prepared at 400 °C, 450 °C, 500 °C and 550 °C.](image)

TEM was employed to further verify the crystal structure of the precursor samples. Corresponding selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of precursors prepared at 550 °C confirmed its polycrystalline structure (Fig. 2(a)). As marked in Fig. 2(b), the high resolution TEM (HRTEM) micrograph of the sample, with interplanar spacing of approximately 0.244 nm between neighboring [1 0 1] planes and 0.205 nm between neighboring [0 1 2] planes, these indexed patterns were in good agreement with the XRD described in Fig. 1(d).

![Fig. 2 TEM micrographs of the oxide precursors prepared at 550 °C. (a) SAED pattern, (b) HRTEM micrograph.](image)

Table 1 showed the changes in the specific surface area of precursors prepared at different dried temperatures. Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller (BET) measurements indicated specific surface areas of the samples increased from 3.77 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ to 5.10 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ as the dried temperature increased, because the crumpled degree of the microspheres indeed increased gradually from 400 °C to 550 °C as shown in Fig. 4.
Fig. 5 TEM micrographs of oxide precursors prepared at different dried temperature (a) 400°C overall morphology, (b) 450°C, (c) 500°C, (d) 550°C.

TEM samples were prepared to prove that the interior of the microspheres are similar to those yolk-shell structures shown in the SEM micrograph at Fig. 5(d) 550°C, and the stark contrast of colour confirmed that the gap between the shell and the inner core. From Fig. 5 observations, it is found that the diameters of the yolk-shell microspheres indeed increased gradually from ~1.1 µm to ~1.6 µm as the dried temperature increased, in good accordance with the observation in Fig. 4.

Fig. 6 The formation mechanism of the yolk-shell powders

As shown in Figure. 6, a spherical shell formed after water in the surface evaporated in heat. After the formation of the spherical shell, if the water evaporation rate near the surface of the droplet was greater than or equal to the water evaporation rate near the center, the remaining solution inside the droplet would dry close to the dried sphere shell and form solid spherical particles. Otherwise, the formation mechanism could be divided into the following two cases. In the first case, the remaining solution inside the droplet dried closely to the outside shell and formed the hollow structure. In the second case, the remaining solution dried solely and formed a smaller sphere inside the spherical shell. We can adjust the initial concentration of the mixed solution to control the diffusion rate of outward and inward layers in oxide spheres, so we can obtain the yolk-shell structure powders.

Fig. 7 An XRD pattern of the LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O_{2} sintered at 850°C at a rate of 100 mL min\(^{-1}\) under an air flow.

The mixture of LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O_{2} precursors prepared at 400°C ~550°C and LiNO\(_3\) was sintered at 850°C at a rate of 100 mL min\(^{-1}\) under an air flow to obtain the LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O_{2} powders, and the crystalline phase and structure of the LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O_{2} were shown in Fig. 7, all the diffraction peaks can be in good agreement with the patterns of LiNiO\(_2\) (JCPDS No.: 74-0919). So this research provided a facile method to obtain a high-capacity lithium ion battery cathode material.
Fig. 8 SEM micrographs of the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ prepared by precursor powders dried at different temperature (a) 400 °C at overall morphology, (b) 400 °C at low-magnification,(c) 450 °C, (d) 500 °C, (e) 550 °C, TEM micrographs of (f) 550 °C.

Table 2 BET data of the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ prepared by precursor powders dried at different temperature (A) 400 °C, (B) 450 °C, (C) 500 °C, (D) 550 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mass (g)</th>
<th>Surface area (m$^2$)</th>
<th>Specific surface area (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>0.2177</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>11.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)</td>
<td>0.2508</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>11.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)</td>
<td>0.2431</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>12.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>0.3095</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>10.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To obtain the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$, we used the mixture of the precursor powders dried at 400 °C–550 °C and LiNO$_3$ sintered at 850 °C at the rate of 100 mL min$^{-1}$ under an air flow. As shown in Fig. 8(a), the sintered particles remained the spherical structure as the precursor particles, and the diameters of the microspheres indeed increased as the dried temperature increased, in good accordance with the precursor particles shown from Fig. 8. Obviously, the smaller spheres inside the broken particles suggested the sintered particles maybe remained the yolk-shell structure as the precursor particles. In order to prove the possible conclusion, the TEM samples were prepared as shown in Fig. 8(f) and confirmed the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ had the yolk-shell structure in good accordance with the precursor powders. The BET measurements as shown in Table 2 indicated the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ samples had larger specific surface areas than precursor powders and the sample C had the largest specific surface area about 12.59 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$.

Table 3 The chemical composition analysis of the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ prepared by precursor powders at different dried temperature (A) 400 °C, (B) 450 °C, (C) 500 °C, (D) 550 °C by ICP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Li (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ni (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Co (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Al (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ICP analysis was done to confirm the composition of LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ yolk-shell structure after calcination at the high temperature of 850 °C for 15h, and the measured atom ratios of Li : Ni : Co : Al in these four samples were all about 1 : 0.8 : 0.15 : 0.05. The results were in good accordance with the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ composition, which implied that the oxide precursors and LiNO$_3$ were homogeneously reacted at 850 °C.

Fig. 9 exhibited the initial charge/discharge curves of the mixture of the oxide precursor powders and LiNO$_3$ sintered at temperature of 850 °C for 15 h at a rate of 100 mL min$^{-1}$ under an air flow. As listed on Table 4, precursor powders prepared at 550 °C showed an initial discharge capacity about 208.0 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 0.1C, this result may be due to the broken yolk-shell shells as shown in Figure 4d. And the initial discharge capacity of sample D (dried at 500 °C ) reached 225.9 mAh g$^{-1}$ which is higher than previously reported. And the result implied that the sample with higher BET surface area had higher irreversible capacity during the first cycle.

Table 4 Initial charge/ discharge capacity and efficiencies of the mixture of the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ prepared by precursor powders at different dried temperature (A) 400 °C, (B) 450 °C, (C) 500 °C, (D) 550 °C tested at the room temperature (0.1C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Initial charge capacity(mAh g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Initial discharge capacity(mAh g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>246.9</td>
<td>208.0</td>
<td>84.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)</td>
<td>254.3</td>
<td>212.5</td>
<td>83.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)</td>
<td>272.4</td>
<td>225.9</td>
<td>82.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>242.4</td>
<td>202.4</td>
<td>83.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10 Initial discharge curves of the mixture of the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ prepared by precursor powders at different dried at 500 °C at different discharge rates tested at the room temperature.

Fig. 10 showed the initial discharge capacities of the sample C at different discharge rates, which was prepared by precursor powders dried at 500 °C. Precursor powders prepared at 550 °C. When the discharge current density varies from 0.1 C to 1 C, the initial discharge capacity of sample C, faded from 225.9 mAh g$^{-1}$ to 129.7 mAh g$^{-1}$. But it showed a good cycle performance, the cycle performance curves of the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ at the rate of 0.2C.
under the room temperature. As shown in the Fig. 11, the capacity of sample C only faded from 208.0 mAh g$^{-1}$ to 185.9 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 49 cycles and showed a good cycle performance of 89.38 % capacity retention rate.

Fig. 11 The cycle performances curves of the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ prepared by precursor powders at different dried temperature (A) 400 °C, (B) 450 °C, (C) 500 °C, (D) 550 °C tested at the room temperature.

To further prove the stability of the yolk-shell structure of the LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$, we chose the sample prepared by precursor powders dried at 500 °C, which had the highest BET surface area and discharge capacity and did the cycle retention tested at high temperature above 60 °C at a rate of 0.2 C as shown in Fig. 12. The sample tested at 60 °C showed a higher irreversible capacity about 235.1 mAh g$^{-1}$’s during the first cycle and a worse cycle performance of 78.24 % capacity retention rate after 49 cycle compared to 208.0 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 89.38 % tested at the room temperature.

Conclusions

In summary, the monodisperse crumpled yolk-shell spherical precursors of LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ were obtained via a general and simple supersonic atomization method for 10 h at 400 °C to 500 °C, the average diameter from ~1.1 μm to ~1.6 μm, and the initial discharge capacity of the samples which precursor powders dried at 500 °C can reach 225.9 mAh g$^{-1}$ (0.1C) and showed a good cycle performance, which is higher than previously reported. This work provides a facile method for synthesis monodisperse yolk-shell spherical composites applied in Li-ion battery and many other fields.
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