RSC Advances

RSC Advances

www.rsc.org/advances

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

www.rsc.org/advances

RSC Advances

An international journal to further the chemical sciences

RSC Advances is an international, peer-reviewed, online journal covering all of the chemical sciences, including interdisciplinary fields.

The **criteria for publication** are that the experimental and/ or theoretical **work must be high quality, well conducted adding to the development of the field**.

RSC Advances **has a 2012 partial impact factor of 2.56***

Thank you for your assistance in evaluating this manuscript.

Guidelines to the referees

Referees have the responsibility to treat the manuscript as confidential. Please be aware of our Ethical Guidelines, which contain full information on the responsibilities of referees and authors, and our Refereeing Procedure and Policy.

It is essential that all research work reported in *RSC Advances* is well-carried out and well-characterised. There should be enough supporting evidence for the claims made in the manuscript.

When preparing your report, please:

• comment on the originality and scientific reliability of the work;

• comment on the characterisation of the compounds/materials reported - has this has been accurately interpreted and does it support the conclusions of the work;

• state clearly whether you would like to see the article accepted or rejected and give detailed comments (with references, as appropriate) that will both help the Editor to make a decision on the article and the authors to improve it.

Please inform the Editor if:

• there is a conflict of interest

• there is a significant part of the work which you are not able to referee with confidence

• the work, or a significant part of the work, has previously been published

• you believe the work, or a significant part of the work, is currently submitted elsewhere

• the work represents part of an unduly fragmented investigation.

For further information about *RSC Advances*, please visit: www.rsc.org/advances or contact us by email: advances@rsc.org.

*Data based on 2012 Journal Citation Reports®, (Thomson Reuters, 2013).

Journal Name

RSC**Publishing**

ARTICLE

The preffered radical scavenging mechanisms of fisetin and baicalein towards oxygen-centred radicals in polar, protic and aprotic, solvents

Jasmina M. Dimitrić Marković*[a], Dejan Milenković[b], Dragan Amić[c] , Miloš Mojović[a], Igor Pašti [a], Zoran S. Marković[b, d],

[a]* Faculty of Physical Chemistry, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 12-16, 11000 Belgrade, Republic of Serbia; e-mail: markovich@ffh.bg.ac.rs; Phone: + 381 11 3336624; Fax: +381 11 2187 133
[b] Bioengineering Research and Development Center, 34000 Kragujevac, Republic of Serbia;
[c] Faculty of Agriculture, The Josip Juraj Strossmayer University, P.O. Box 719, HR-31107 Osijek, Croatia
[d] Department of Chemical-Technological Sciences, State University of Novi Pazar, Vuka Karadžića bb, 36300 Novi Pazar, Republic of Serbia

Naturally occurring flavonoid molecules, fisetin (2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-3,7-dihydroxychromen-4-one) and baicalein (5,6,7-trihydroxy-2-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one), have been investigated experimentally and theoretically for their ability to scavange hydroxyl and superoxide anion radicals. Reaction enthalpies for the reaction of fisetin and baicalein with selected radical species, related to three mechanisms of free radical scavenging activity (HAT, SET-PT and SPLET), are calculated using M05-2X/6-311+G (d, p) model. Calculated energy requirements indicated preferred radical scavenging mechanisms in polar protic and aprotic solvents.

Key words: fisetin; baicalein; hydroxyl radical; superoxide anion radical; ESR spectra, Cycic voltammetry, semi-empirical calculations, HAT, SET-PT, SPLET

Introduction

Phenolic compounds are plant secondary metabolites commonly found in herbs and fruits. As plant phenolics flavonoids make the vast and one of the major groups among the phenolics with more than several thousands known compounds[1].

Free radicals, oxygen-, nitrogen- or carbon-centred, as constantly generated *in vivo*, are a part of metabolic processes. Among all radical species oxygen-centred radicals are proposed to have substantial role *in vivo* since they can potentially damage almost all types of biologically important molecules like lipids (causing lipid peroxidation), amino acids, carbohydrates, and nucleic acids (causing mutations) [2] .

Hydroxyl radical is considered as the most reactive radical (with the half-life around 10^{-9}s) and the most damaging one by far. Hydrogen peroxide, in the presence of metal ions, is converted to a hydroxyl radical (HO•) and a hydroxide anion (HO⁻). This reaction, called the Fenton reaction, is very important in biological systems because most cells have some level of iron, copper, or other metals which can catalyze this reaction. The hydroxyl radical passes easily through membranes and cannot be kept out of cells. The uncontrolled action of hydroxyl radicals can have devastating effects within the body since it reacts at diffusion rates with virtually any molecule found in its path including macromolecules such as DNA, membrane lipids, proteins and carbohydrates[2,3].

The initiation in most biological free radical reactions is the production of superoxide anion radical ($O_2^{\bullet -}$) (SOR), which is formed upon monovalent reduction of molecular oxygen. Superoxide can act both as an oxidant (by accepting electrons) or as a reductant (by donating electrons). Although it is not particularly reactive, causing not much oxidative damage, it is biologically very toxic agent with some bad implications. It acts as a precursor to other oxidizing agents like singlet oxygen, peroxynitrite and other highly reactive molecules. Superoxide anion also acts as a reducing agent of metal ions (Fe(III)) in the production of the highly reactive hydroxyl radical (HO$^{\bullet}$) which is converted from the hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2). Further on, superoxide anion radical can react with the hydroxyl radical (HO$^{\bullet}$) to form singlet oxygen ($^1O_2$) which is not

a radical form but reactive nonetheless. In reaction with nitric oxide ($NO^\bullet$) it produces peroxynitrate ($OONO^-$), another highly reactive oxidizing molecule. Because not being particularly reactive the chemistry of superoxide anion radical in living systems is most probably dominated by hydroperoxyl, $\bullet OOH$, radical which is its protonated form. Hydroperoxyl radical could also implicate the behaviour of peroxy $\bullet OOR$ radicals which, are very common in living systems [2-4].

If not counterbalanced by internal or external antioxidants a high production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) consequently leads to oxidative stress which has been proposed to play an important role in the pathogenesis of many, if not all, diseases. The antiradical properties of flavonoids are related to their ability to transfer their phenolic H-atoms to a free radical forms. This transfer can be visualized through at least three mechanisms characteristic not only of flavonoids but phenolics generally: hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) (eq. 1), sequential proton loss electron transfer (SPLET) (eq. 2), and single electron transfer followed by proton transfer (SET-PT) (eq. 3). All three mechanisms are competitive, and which mechanism will be predominant depends on the reaction conditions implying that the nature of present free radicals and polarity of solvent significantly influence the reaction pathway. In any case, the result of all three mechanisms is the same, as described in reactions 1-3 [5-7].

$$ArOH + R^\bullet \rightarrow ArO^\bullet + RH \qquad (1)$$

$$ArOH \rightarrow ArO^- + H^+ \qquad (2a)$$
$$ArO^- + R^\bullet \rightarrow ArO^\bullet + R^- \qquad (2b)$$
$$R^- + H^+ \rightarrow RH \qquad (2c)$$

$$ArOH + R^\bullet \rightarrow ArOH^{+\bullet} + R^- \qquad (3a)$$
$$ArOH^{+\bullet} + R^- \rightarrow ArO^\bullet + RH \qquad (3b)$$

Calculated energy requirements for each mechanism, BDE (bond dissociation enthalpy) (HAT), IP (ionization potential) and PDE (proton dissociation enthalpy) (SET-PT), and PA (proton affinity) and ETE (electron transfer energy) (SPLET) may indicate, which radical scavenging mechanism is thermodynamically preferred and point out the active site for radical inactivation.

Baicalein is naturally occurring flavone found in the traditional Chinese medicinal herb *Baikal skullcap*. It is used in the treatment of copious and disease-related symptoms such as insomnia, fever and perspiration and also investigated with promising results, in different areas such as anticancer, anti-inflammatory and the antioxidant activities [8-10]. Fisetin is also a naturally occurring flavonol commonly found in strawberries and other fruits and vegetables. It is considered as a potent antioxidant capable of effective free radical scavenging under *in vivo*. Its most striking medical beneficial effects are involved with: stimulating signalling pathways that enhance long-term memory neuroprotective role, induction of neuronal differentiation, inhibition of the aggregation of the amyloid beta protein that may cause the progressive neuronal loss in Alzheimer's disease and modulation of the expression of more than twenty genes at transcription level [11-13].

The present paper aims to provide quantitative tools to thoroughly and comprehensively determine antiradical mechanisms of fisetin and baicalein by calculating the energy requirements of the reactions of these molecules with hydroxyl and superoxide anion radicals in different media. Calculated energy requirements may indicate which radical scavenging mechanism is thermodynamically preferred and point out active sites for radical inactivation. Joint application of theoretical calculations and experimental measurements in determing antiradical activity of fisetin and

baicalein is aimed to prove the transferability of the results obtained by different methods.

Results and discussion

EPR measurements

The free radical scavenging activities of fisetin and baicalein towards two oxygen-centred free radicals — hydroxyl and superoxide anion are estimated by EPR spectroscopy. Upon addition of flavones it is observed that the signals are quenched to different extents indicating different radical scavenging activities by the tested compounds. The antioxidant activity (AA) is calculated with respect to the relative heights of the hydroxyl and superoxide peaks marked with circles in Figs 1 and 2.

The standard Fenton reaction system[14] generates $^\bullet OH$ radicals to a high extent, forming stable spin-adducts with the spin-trap DEPMPO and giving the characteristic EPR signal of the DEPMPO/OH adduct. It is observed that the addition of fisetin and baicalein to the Fenton reaction system decreases the amount of DEPMPO/OH adduct (Fig. 1). The antioxidant activity is calculated with respect to the relative height of the third peak in the EPR spectrum of the spin-adduct (marked with a circles in Fig. 1).


Figure 1 Characteristic EPR spectra of DEPMPO/OH (a) and DEPMPO/OH adducts of fisetin (b) and baicalein (c) generated in Fenton reaction system. Closed circles mark characteristic EPR peaks used for measuring oxidant scavenging activity of fisetin and baicalein

Figure 2 shows characteristic EPR spectra of DEPMPO/OOH adduct generated in UV irradiated riboflavin/EDTA systems. The addition of fisetin (Fig. 2a) and baicalein (Fig. 2b) to the reaction systems notably decreases the amount of the formed DEPMPO/OOH adduct.

Table 1 shows that baicalein is slightly stronger antioxidant compared to fisetin. Considering the molecular structural features it is possible to suppose that C5 position contributes before ortho hydroxyl groups in ring B. Compared to other structurally related flavone molecules (Table 1) it is also evident that C5 position has an important role in the selectivity towards the hydroxyl radical since kaempferol (3,5,7,4'-tetrahyroxy flavone) shows the highest percentage of hydroxy radical reduction (kaempferol ∼ quercetin ∼ morin ∼ baicalein > fisetin). The obtained results are in accordance with the results of Wang[15] and Heijnen[16] who found that kaempferol was one of the strongest scavengers for the Fenton-generated hydroxyl radical (an IC_{50} of 0.5 μM).

Fisetin is found to be more potent superoxide anion radical scavenger compared to baicalein (Table 1). Here established activity ranking for superoxide anion radical comparing with other flavone molecules is: quercetin > fisetin > baicalein > morin > kempferol. Relating obtained radical activity sequence with structural features,

substitution patterns, of these flavone molecules it could be assumed that *ortho*-hydroxy groups in B ring   (quercetin, fisetin) and pyrogallol functional (in A ring of baicalein) have more prominent role in activity towards superoxide anion radical. The results also show that, although present in almost all of the molecular structures (except fisetin), C5 group   most   probably only renders these


Figure 2 Characteristic EPR spectra of DEPMPO/OOH (a) and DEPMPO/OOH adduct of fisetin (b) and baicalein (c) generated in the UV irradiated riboflavin/EDTA system. Closed circles mark characteristic EPR peaks used for measuring oxidant scavenging activity of fisetin and baicalein.

Table 1 Radical scavenging activity of fisetin, baicalein and structurally related flavones

Oxidant scavenging (% of radical reduction)	fis.	baic.	quer.[21]	mor.[21]	kaem.*
$^\bullet$OH, EPR tested	30	35	37	36	43
$^\bullet$O$_2^-$, EPR tested	50	42	55	34	26
$^\bullet$O$_2^-$, electrochemically tested	74	54	67	34	42

* -unpublished result

molecules as superoxide anion radical scavengers but is not the dominant one. Substitution patterns of morina and kaempferol also suggest that the *ortho* hydroxyl system in ring B is the one determing the activity towards superoxide anion radical. The obtained results are quite opposite those obtained for the hydroxyl radical scavenging by the same molecules[21] where the C5-OH showed to be more prominent compared to *ortho*-hydroxy groups in B ring. The established differences could also be related to different scavenging mechanisms governing reduction of different oxygen species.

Electrochemical measurements
Electrochemical measurements concerning aqueous solutions of baicalein and fisetin point that both compounds display complex electrochemistry. Regarding baicalein, two oxidation peaks are

discernible from the cyclic voltammograms recorded in aqueous solutions. Following recent literature[17], first anodic peak can be associated with 2e process of the oxidation of two OH moieties located at A ring. This oxidation product is further oxidized irreversibly as no corresponding cathodic peak is observed upon the reversal of potential sweep (Fig. 3, left).

In the case of fisetin (Fig. 3, right) three distinct anodic peaks were observed, in agreement with available literature[18]. In contrast to baicalein, the first oxidation step involved in electrochemical oxidation of fisetin relates to the oxidation of catecholic group in the B ring, which involves the elimination of two electrons and two H$^+$ ions. The oxidation product formed in the first step undergoes fast intramolecular rearrangement and is being oxidized further[18]. As concerned with radical scavenging activity of baicalein and fisetin, cyclic voltammetry indicates potent radical scavengers[19]. Namely, Lindberg Mandsen et al.[19] have correlated oxidation onset potential of different flavonoids with the rate of scavenging peroxyl and DPPH radicals, showing the existence of limiting oxidation onset potential above which flavonoid is no longer efficient radical scavenger. Both baicalein and fisetin have low oxidation potentials indicating high radical scavenging activities.

Baicalein and fisetin radical scavenging activity toward electrochemically generated $^\bullet$O$_2^-$ was probed in DMSO solution supported by 0.1 M Bu$_4$NPF$_6$, following approach proposed by Rene et al. [20], and further elaborated by us[21]. Within this approach, relative reduction of the anodic peak related to the oxidation of electrochemically formed $^\bullet$O$_2^-$ in the presence of 1 mM flavonoid (Fig. 4) is taken as the measure of $^\bullet$O$_2^-$ radical scavenging activity [21]. In both cases, radical transfer mechanism dominates in the reaction between $^\bullet$O$_2^-$ radical and investigated flavonoids as suggested by the appearance of the cathodic pre-peak and negligible changes of the amplitude of cathodic peak[20] (Fig. E3). Comparing baicalein and fisetin $^\bullet$O$_2^-$ scavenging activities, fisetin displays somewhat higher radical scavenging rate as 74 ± 4 % of signal reduction was evidenced in this case, comparing to 54 ± 3 % of signal reduction in the case of baicalein (Table 1). Established activity ranking for superoxide anion radical compared to few other flavone molecules is: fisetin > quercetin > baicalein > kempferol > morin (Table 1).

Figure 3 Background-corrected cyclic voltammograms of baicalein (left) and fisetin (right) solutions ($c = 5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ mol dm^{-3}) in aqueous solution; pH = 7, potential sweep rate 500 mV s^{-1}, N$_2$-purged solutions

Appreciable $^\bullet$O$_2^-$ scavenging activities of baicalein and fisetin observed here are to be related to the reports where superoxide radical scavenging activity is tested in the systems where $^\bullet$O$_2^-$ is produced by the action of the enzyme xanthine oxidase. In the

later case the attenuation of the specific signal can be ascribed to both superoxide scavenging and inhibitory action on xanthine oxidase[22]. Cos et al.[22] classified flavonoids as inhibitors of xanthine oxidase and superoxide scavengers. The authors determined IC_{50} values for the reduction of superoxide level, reporting lower value

**Figure 4** Cyclic voltammetry of GC electrode in O_2-saturated DMSO solution supported by 0.1 M Bu_4NPF_6 with no superoxide radical scavenger added (curve a) and upon the addition of fisetin (curve b, $c = 0.97$ mM) or baicalein (curve c, $c = 0.97$ mM).

for fisetin, compared to baicalein, in agreement with higher radical scavenging activity observed here. However, according to the classification of the same authors, baicalein acts only as a xanthine oxidase inhibitor and not as a superoxide scavenger, while in the case of fisetin both effects are operative. However, experiments described here unambiguously confirm that both baicalein and fisetin act as $^{\bullet}O_2^{-}$ radical scavengers. Similarly to basic electrochemistry of baicalein and fisetin, it can be assumed that the reactive sites for the reaction with electrochemically generated $^{\bullet}O_2^{-}$ radical are OH substitutions in the A ring of baicalein and catecholic moiety in the B ring of fisetin.

Antioxidative mechanisms of fisetin and baicalein with different free radicals

To be able to examine the influence of different radicals to an antioxidaitive mechanism, the reactive particle $RO^{\bullet}$ is introduced. In the present paper this particle represents hydroxyl and superoxide anion radicals which react with the most stable structures of fisetin and baicalein (Fig. 5). Scavenging properties of fisetin and baicalein are related to their ability to transfer H atom to a free radical. The new formed radicals (e.g. phenoxy radicals of fisetin and baicalein, $FO^{\bullet}$, $BO^{\bullet}$) are less reactive and more stable than the previous ones. The following reactions describe this H atom transfer:

$$FO-H + RO^{\bullet} \rightarrow F-O^{\bullet} + ROH \qquad (1)$$
$$BO-H + RO^{\bullet} \rightarrow B-O^{\bullet} + ROH \qquad (2)$$

Reaction enthalpy is a quantity that can successfully contribute to the understanding of different mechanisms operating in antiradical activity. If a reaction is exothermic, the new formed intermediate or radical is more stable than the starting one, implying that the reaction path is favourable. Otherwise, if the reaction is endothermic the reaction path is not favoured [23].

In HAT mechanism the hydrogen atom is transferred from phenolic compound to the free radical $RO^{\bullet}$:

$$Ar-OH + RO^{\bullet} \rightarrow Ar-O^{\bullet} + ROH \qquad (3)$$

where the $H(ArO^{\bullet})$, $H(ROH)$, $H(Ar-OH)$, and $H(RO^{\bullet})$ are the enthalpies of the flavonoid radical, starting flavonoid compound, and reactive radical species, respectively.


Figure 5. Optimized the most stable structures of fisetin (left) and baicalein (right) in water

ΔH_{BDE} for the HAT mechanism can be calculated using the following equation:

$$\Delta H_{BDE} = H(ArO^{\bullet}) + H(ROH) - H(Ar-OH) - H(RO^{\bullet}) \qquad (4)$$

The first step in the SET-PT mechanism is transfer of an electron from flavonid to free radical, yielding the flavonid radical cation $Ar-OH^{\bullet+}$ and corresponding anion.

$$Ar-OH + RO^{\bullet} \rightarrow Ar-OH^{\bullet+} + RO^{-} \qquad (5)$$

ΔH_{IP} can be calculated as follows:

$$\Delta H_{IP} = H(Ar-OH^{\bullet+}) + H(RO^{-}) - H(Ar-OH) - H(RO^{\bullet}) \qquad (6)$$

where the $H(Ar-OH^{\bullet+})$ and $H(RO^{-})$ are the enthalpies of the radical cation of initial flavonoid and corresponding anion initial radical.

The second step of this mechanism is deprotonation of $Ar-OH^{\bullet+}$ by RO^{-}:

$$Ar-OH^{\bullet+} + RO^{-} \rightarrow Ar-O^{\bullet} + ROH \qquad (7)$$

ΔH_{PDE} can be calculated using the following equation:

$$\Delta H_{PDE} = H(Ar-O^{\bullet}) + H(ROH) - H(Ar-OH^{\bullet+}) - H(RO^{-}) \qquad (8)$$

The first step in the SPLET mechanism is deprotonation of flavonoid by RO^{-}. The outcome of this reaction is the formation of the flavonoid anion $Ar-O^{-}$:

$$Ar-OH + RO^{-} \rightarrow Ar-O^{-} + ROH \qquad (9)$$

ΔH_{PA} can be calculated as follows:

$$\Delta H_{PA} = H(Ar-O^{-}) + H(ROH) - H(Ar-OH) - H(RO^{-}) \qquad (10)$$

In the next step electron transfer from $Ar-O^{-}$ to $RO^{\bullet}$ takes place:

$$Ar-O^{-} + RO^{\bullet} \rightarrow Ar-O^{\bullet} + RO^{-} \qquad (11)$$

ΔH_{ETE} can be determined by the equation:

$$\Delta H_{ETE} = H(Ar-O^{\bullet}) + H(RO^{-}) - H(Ar-O^{-}) - H(RO^{\bullet}) \qquad (12)$$

The species necessary to perform these calculations were generated from the most stable conformations of fisetin and baicalein. Calculations were performed in the aqueous phase, DMSO, ethanol and DMF. (Tables 2 and 3).

Table 2 Calculated reaction enthalpies (kJ/mol) for the reactions of fisetin with hydroxyl, superoxide anion and peroxyl radicals

	M05-2X/6-311+G(d,p)									
	Water ε=78.35					DMSO ε=46.83				
Fisetin	HAT	SET-PT		SPLET		HAT	SET-PT		SPLET	
	ΔH_{BDE}	ΔH_{IP}	ΔH_{PDE}	ΔH_{PA}	ΔH_{ETE}	ΔH_{BDE}	ΔH_{IP}	ΔH_{PDE}	ΔH_{PA}	ΔH_{ETE}
		91					95			
FOH-3 + ·OH	-145		-236	-90	-55	-145		-240	-91	-54
FOH-3` + ·OH	-152		-243	-114	-38	-152		-247	-115	-37
FOH-4` + ·OH	-160		-251	-125	-35	-160		-255	-126	-34
FOH-7 + ·OH	-108		-199	-120	11	-108		-204	-121	13
		409					418			
FOH-3 + ·OO⁻	77		-332	39	41	78		-341	39	39
FOH-3` + ·OO⁻	70		-339	15	58	70		-348	14	56
FOH-4` + ·OO⁻	62		-348	4	61	61		-356	3	59
FOH-7 + ·OO⁻	114		-296	9	108	113		-305	8	105
		184					188			
FOH-3 + ·OOH	-9		-193	-47	38	-9		-197	-48	39
FOH-3` + ·OOH	-16		-200	-71	55	-16		-204	-72	56
FOH-4` + ·OOH	-24		-208	-82	58	-24		-212	-83	59
FOH-7 + ·OOH	28		-156	-77	105	27		-161	-78	105
	Ethanol ε=24.85					DMF ε=37.22				
		104					98			
FOH-3 + ·OH	-144		-248	-92	-52	-144		-242	-91	-53
FOH-3` + ·OH	-152		-256	-118	-34	-152		-250	-116	-36
FOH-4` + ·OH	-160		-265	-129	-31	-160		-258	-127	-33
FOH-7 + ·OH	-108		-213	-124	15	-108		-206	-122	14
		438					424			
FOH-3 + ·OO⁻	79		-359	38	41	78		-346	39	39
FOH-3` + ·OO⁻	71		-367	12	58	70		-354	14	57
FOH-4` + ·OO⁻	63		-375	1	61	62		-362	3	60
FOH-7 + ·OO⁻	114		-323	7	108	114		-310	8	106
		197					190			
FOH-3 + ·OOH	-8		-205	-49	41	-9		-199	-48	39
FOH-3` + ·OOH	-16		-213	-74	58	-16		-207	-73	57
FOH-4` + ·OOH	-24		-221	-86	61	-24		-215	-84	60
FOH-7 + ·OOH	28		-169	-80	108	28		-163	-79	106

Reaction enthalpies of fisetin, baicalein with hydroxyl, superoxide anion and peroxy radicals, related to three mechanisms of free radical scavenging activity (HAT, SET-PT and SPLET), are calculated by DFT method. The reaction enthalpies are presented in Tables 2 and 3.

The preferred mechanisms of antiradical activity of fisetin and baicalein are estimated from ΔH_{BDE}, ΔH_{IP}, and ΔH_{PA} values. Namely, the lowest of these values indicate which mechanism is

favourable. The preferred site of antiradical action can be estimated from the sum of enthalpies involved in a particular free radical scavenging mechanism (BDE for HAT; IP and PDE for SET-PT and PA and ETE for SPLET).

Table 3 Calculated reaction enthalpies (kJ mol⁻¹) for the reactions of baicalein with hydroxyl, superoxide anion and peroxy radicals

	M05-2X/6-311+G(d,p)									
	Water ε=78.35					DMSO ε=46.83				
Baicalein	HAT	SET-PT		SPLET		HAT	SET-PT		SPLET	
	ΔH_{BDE}	ΔH_{IP}	ΔH_{PDE}	ΔH_{PA}	ΔH_{ETE}	ΔH_{BDE}	ΔH_{IP}	ΔH_{PDE}	ΔH_{PA}	ΔH_{ETE}
		78					82			
BOH-5 + ·OH	-121		-199	-96	-25	-121		-203	-97	-23
BOH-6 + ·OH	-165		-243	-112	-53	-165		-247	-113	-52
BOH-7 + ·OH	-119		-197	-118	-2	-119		-201	-119	0
		397					406			
BOH-5 + ·OO⁻	101		-296	32	68	101		-304	32	69
BOH-6 + ·OO⁻	57		-339	17	40	57		-348	17	41
BOH-7 + ·OO⁻	103		-294	11	91	103		-302	11	92
		171					175			
BOH-5 + ·OOH	15		-156	-54	68	15		-160	-54	69
BOH-6 + ·OOH	-29		-200	-69	40	-29		-204	-70	41
BOH-7 + ·OOH	17		-154	-75	91	17		-158	-76	92
	Ethanol ε=24.85					DMF ε=37.22				
		92					85			
BOH-5 + ·OH	-120		-212	-99	-21	-121		-206	-98	-23
BOH-6 + ·OH	-164		-256	-115	-50	-165		-250	-113	-51
BOH-7 + ·OH	-119		-210	-121	2	-119		-204	-119	0
		425					411			
BOH-5 + ·OO⁻	103		-322	31	72	102		-309	32	70
BOH-6 + ·OO⁻	58		-367	16	43	58		-353	16	42
BOH-7 + ·OO⁻	104		-321	10	94	104		-308	11	93
		184					178			
BOH-5 + ·OOH	16		-168	-56	72	15		-162	-55	70
BOH-6 + ·OOH	-28		-212	-71	43	-29		-206	-70	42
BOH-7 + ·OOH	17		-167	-77	94	17		-161	-76	93

The enthalpies for the reactions of hydroxyl radical with fisetin and baicalein show that these reactions are exothermic in all solvents. As can be seen from Table 2 the C4'-OH group of fisetin has the lowest ΔH_{BDE} values in all solvents, representing the first site that can donate its H-atom, followed by C3' < C3 < C7. The obtained sequence is in agreement with previously obtained results[18]. The lowest ΔH_{BDE} value in baicalein has the C6 position followed by C5 < C7 (Table 3). On the other hand, ΔH_{PA} values of all present OH groups, for the reactions of hydroxyl radical with fisetin, give the following sequence: C4' < C7 < C3'< C3 indicating proton transfer from C4' group as easier comparing to other OH groups. Activity ranking sequence for baicalein, set according obtained ΔH_{PA} values for the reactions with hydroxyl radical, is: C7 < C6 < C5 implying that proton transfer from C7 hydroxyl group is favoured. ΔH_{PA} values calculated for different solvents, polar protic

(water and ethanol) and polar aprotic (DMSO and DMF), for both molecules, are comparable with ΔH_{BDE} values indicating HAT and SPLET mechanisms as competitive under these conditions.

In the case of the superoxide anion radical the reactions representing all three mechanisms are endothermic in all solvents (Tables 2 and 3). Thus, the newly formed radical is less stable than the starting one implying the polar solvents as not suitable media for the reactions of fisetin and baicalein with superoxide anion radical. From this reason opposite reaction for electron transfer is investigated[24]:

$$Ar-OH + OO^{\bullet-} \rightarrow Ar-OH^{\bullet-} + O_2 \qquad (13)$$

ΔH_{IPr} can be calculated as follows:

$$\Delta H_{IPr} = H(Ar-OH^{\bullet-}) + H(O_2) - H(Ar-OH) - H(OO^{\bullet-}) \qquad (14)$$

where the $H(Ar-OH^{\bullet-})$, $H(O_2)$, and $H(OO^{\bullet-})$ are the enthalpies of the radical anion of initial flavonoid, and corresponding anion initial radical.molecule of oxygen, and superoxide anion radical, respectively. Following results for ΔH_{IPr} were obtained: 243, 242, 240, 241 for fisetin and 221, 220, 217, and 219 for bacalein in water, DMSO, ethanol, and DMF, respectively. It is obvious that this reaction step is endothermic. Despite the fact that the IP values are almost two times lower than those for forward reaction, this reaction step is still significantly endothermic.

Obtained results are not so surprising taking into account the fact that superoxide anion radical is small and polar specie which could be surrounded by more solvent molecules and thus additionally stabilized. For this reason the reactions in all solvents are more or less endothermic meaning that superoxide anion radical is not a very reactive under these conditions. Since peroxyl radical is the protonated form of the superoxide anion radical[4] it is possible to suppose its reaction with fisetin and baicalein instead of superoxide anion radical. Therefore the enthalpies of the reactions of peroxyl radical with fisetin and baicalein are also given in Tables 2 and 3. Obtained ΔH_{PA} values in all media are significantly smaller than the corresponding ΔH_{BDE} values implying SPLET as prevailing mechanism in all solvents. Since the C4'-OH group of fisetin has the lowest ΔH_{PA} values in all solvents (Table 2) it represents the most reactive site for abstraction of H-atom, followed by C7 < C3' < C3. In the case of baicalein, C7-OH group has the somewhat lower ΔH_{PA} value comparing to C6 and C5 positions. These results are good agreement with the BDE, IP, PDE, PA, and ETE values for fisetin and baicalein.[18,36]

Experimental

EPR spectra

The EPR spin-trapping experiment was carried out in the following manner: a) the selected reactive oxygen species (ROS) ($^{\bullet}OH$ and $^{\bullet}O_2^-$) were produced by a pure chemical radical generating systems and their amounts were determined by the amplitude of the selected EPR signals which originated from the spin-adducts formed by particular trapping radicals; b) the same experiment was repeated after addition of fisetin and baicalein, which should lead to the decreased intensity of EPR signal since a certain amount of produced radicals is removed. The ability of fisetin and baicalein to remove free radicals was evaluated by the difference between the relative amplitudes of the EPR signals of spin-adducts in radical generating systems, with and without the addition of flavone molecules. Results were presented as oxidant scavenging (% of radical reduction), which represents the relative decrease of radical production: *% of radical reduction* $= 100 \times (I_0 - I_a)/I_0$: where I_0 - relative height of the third low-field EPR peak of the spin-adduct of the control system

and I_a - relative height of the same EPR peak in the spectrum of the sample containing flavones.

Generation of $^{\bullet}OH$ radical

The ability of fisetin and baicalein to scavenge $^{\bullet}OH$ radicals was tested using the Fenton reaction as a "$^{\bullet}OH$ producing" system. The Fenton reaction system contained 0.5 mM H_2O_2 and 0.075 mM FeSO4. The spin-trap DEPMPO was purified and tested for hydroxylamine impurities by a previously established procedure[14]. The final concentration of DEPMPO was 50 mM. The final concentration of all samples was 0.01 mM. The sample with no antioxidants served as a control. Deionized 18 MΩ H2O was used in all experiments. EPR spectra were recorded at room temperature using a Varian E104-A EPR spectrometer operating at X-band (9.51 GHz) with the following settings: modulation amplitude, 2 G; modulation frequency, 100 kHz; microwave power, 10 mW; time constant, 0.032 s; field centre, 3410 G; scan range, 200 G. The spectra were recorded using EW software (Scientific Software, Bloomington, IL, USA). The samples were drawn into 10 cm long gas-permeable Teflon tubes (wall thickness 0.025 mm and internal diameter 0.6 mm; Zeus industries, Raritan, USA). The measurements were performed using quartz capillaries in which Teflon tubes were placed.

Generation of $^{\bullet}O_2^-$ radical

The superoxide radical ion was produced by pure chemical radical generating system, the UV (Xe lamp of 500 W) irradiated riboflavin/EDTA generating system containing 0.3 mM riboflavin, 5 mM EDTA and 50 mM DEPMPO. The irradiation was performed at room temperature and the final concentrations of ethanolic solutions of fisetin and baicalein were 0.1 mM. The supeoxide anion radical amount was determined by the amplitude of the selected EPR signal which originated from the spin-adduct formed by the radical. The same experiment was repeated after addition of fisetin and baicalein which lead to the decreased intensity of EPR signals since the certain amounts of produced radical were removed. The ability of fisetin and baicalein to remove superoxide anion radical was evaluated by the difference between the relative amplitudes of the EPR signals of spin-adducts in radical generating system, with and without the addition of fisetin and baicalein. Results were, as in the case with hydroxyl radical, presented as oxidant scavenging activity (% of radical reduction).

Cyclic voltammetry

Electrochemical behaviour of fisetin and baicalein was investigated using cyclic voltammetry in aqueous and ethanol solutions employing a conventional three-electrode electrochemical cell with a working glassy carbon (GC) disk electrode (base surface area 0.196 cm^2). Pt foil and saturated calomel electrode (SCE) served as counter and reference electrode, respectively. Measurements were performed at room temperature using Gamry PCI-4/750 potentiostat/galvanostat. Aqueous solutions were supported by 0.1 M K_2SO_4, and pH was adjusted to 7. Ethanol solutions were supported by 0.1 M LiClO_4. During the measurements dissolved O_2 was removed by purging solutions with high-purity N_2 (5N). Between each measurement GC surface was renewed by polishing with diamond paste after which it was thoroughly washed with ethanol and deionized water.

Superoxide radical scavenging activity was probed electrochemically using cyclic voltammetry. All the experiments were performed in 0.1 M solution of tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (Bu$_4$NPF$_6$) in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) stored over molecular sieve (3A). Prior to the experiments, GC electrode was polished with diamond paste and thoroughly washed

with ethanol and deionized water. The experiments were performed at a scan rate of 100 mV s^{-1} in the potential window between -0.3 and -0.95 V *vs*. SCE in O$_2$-saturated solutions with increasing amounts of fisetin or baicalein.

Computational method

The conformations species of baicalein and fisetin involved in radical scavenging mechanisms are fully optimized by the new local density functional method (M05-2X), developed by the Truhlar group[25-27] by using 6-311+G(d,p) basis set implemented in the Gaussian 09 package[28]. The M05-2X functional has been recommended for kinetic, thermochemistry calculations, by their developers[26], and it has been also successfully used by other authors [29-35]. The M05-2X functional is also among the best performing functionals for calculating reaction energies involving free radical species[24]. Moreover it satisfactorily reproduces nonplanarity in the molecules of some naturally occuring molecules like flavonids[33,36, 37].

To calculate the thermodynamic properties in the solvent environment: water, dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), ethanol and dimethylformamide (DMF), SMD[38] solvation model was used with M05-2X/6-311+G(d,p) model.

The nature of the stationary points is determined by analysing the number of imaginary frequencies: 0 for minimum and 1 for transition state**.** Therefore, obtained structures were verified by normal mode analysis. No imaginary frequencies were obtained.

Conclusions

EPR measurements prove fisetin and baicalein as hydroxyl and supeoxide anion radical scavengers. Relating obtained radical scavenging sequence with structural features of fisetin, baicalein and few other structurally related flavones it could be assumed that along with C4′-OH functional, which most probably renders these molecules as hydroxyl radical scavengers, C5-OH group has more prominent role in scavenging hydroxyl radical compared to *ortho*-hydroxy groups in B ring while C3′-OH modifies the activity. However, superoxide anion radical scavenging sequence implicate *ortho*-hydroxy groups in B ring and pyrogallol functional (in A ring of baicalein) as more relevant. It should be noted that C4′-OH functional also renders these molecules as superoxide anion radical scavengers. The established differences could also be related to different scavenging mechanisms governing reduction of different oxygen species. Results of CV measurements confirm good superoxide scavenging activity of fisetin and baicalein. The difference in superoxide anion radical activity, obtained by EPR and CV measurements, could be rationalized in terms of different modes of superoxide anion radical production.

Reaction enthalpies for the reactions of fisetin and baicelin with hydroxyl radical are exothermic in all solvents. Calculated energy requirements for the reactions of investigated molecules and hydroxyl radical point to HAT and SPLET as operative radical scavenging mechanisms in all solvents under investigations. It should be also noted that C4'-OH group of fisetin is the most favoured site for homolytic and heterolytic O–H breaking in all solvents and by both mechanisms. The most favoured site for homolytic and heterolytic O–H breaking in baicalein are C6-OH (HAT) and C7-OH (SPLET) positions in all solvents.

Obtained results also show that there is no mechanism suitable for the reaction of fisetin and baicalein with superoxide anion radical in all solvents. The main reason for this behaviour probably lies in the fact that the negatively charged superoxide radical anion is additional stabilized in the polar solvents, which results in a considerably reduced reactivity with fisetin and baicalein. Regarding the reactivity of fisetin and baicalein as an peroxyl radical scavenger it is found that SPLET mechanism prevails, over HAT H-abstraction, as thermodynamically more feasible reaction channel in polar protic as welll as in aprotic polar solvents.

References

1. J.B. Harborne, H. Baxter, The handbook of natural flavonoids, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, UK 1999.
2. I. Fridovich, *Science*, 1978, 20, 875–880.
3. H. Sies, Oxidative Stress. Oxidants and Antioxidants, New York: Academic Press, 1991.
4. A.D.N.J. de Grey, *DNA and Cell Biology*, 2002, 21, 251-257.
5. G.A. DiLabio, K.U. Ingold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2005, 127, 6693-6699.
6. G.A. DiLabio, E.R. Johnson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 6199-6203.
7. J. M. Mayer, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 55 (2004) 363-390.
8. B. Q. Li, T. Fu, Y. Dongyan, J. A. Mikovits, F. W. Ruscetti, J. M. Wang, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2000, 276, 534-538.
9. K. Kitamura, M. Honda, H. Yoshizaki, S. Yamamoto, H. Nakane, M. Fukushima, K. Ono, T. Tokunaga, *Antiviral Res.* 1998, 37, 131-140.
10. C. Duen-Suey, J. J. Lee, G. Hsiao, C.Y. Hsieh, Y. J. Tsai, T. F. Chen, J. R. Sheu, *J. Agric. Food Chem*. 2007, 55, 649-655.
11. T. Akaishi, T. Morimoto, M. Shibao, S. Watanabe, K. Sakai-Kato, N. Utsunomiya-Tate, K. Abe, *Neuroscience Letters*, 2008, 444(3), 280–285.
12. V. Zbarsky, K. P. Datla, S. Parkar, D. K. Rai, O. I. Aruoma, D.T. Dexter, *Free Rad. Res.* 2005, 39(10), 1119-1125.
13. L. T. Zheng, J. Ock, B.Mog Kwon, K. Suk, *Int. Immunopharmacology*, 2008, 8(3), 484–494.
14. S. K. Jackson, K. J. Liu, M. Liu and G. S. Timmins, *Free Rad. Biol.Med.* 2002, 32, 228–232.
15. L. Wang, Y. C. Tu, T. W. Lian, T.L. Hung, H. J. Yen, M. J. Wu, *J. Agric. Food Chem*. 2006, 54, 9798-9804.
16. C. G. Heijnen, G. R. Haenen, F. A. van Acker, W.J. van der Vijgh, A. Bast, *Toxicol. In vitro* 2001, 15, 3-6.
17. J. Zhou, F. Wang, K. Zhang, G. Song, J. Liu, B. Ye, *Microchim Acta* 2012, 178, 179–186.
18. Z. S. Marković, S. V. Mentus, J. M. Dimitrić Marković, J. Phys. Chem. A 113 (2009) 14170-4179.
19. H. L. Madsen, C. M. Andersen, L. V. Jorgensen, L. H. Skibsted, *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 2000, 211, 240-246.
20. A. Rene, M.L. Abasq, D. Hauchard, P. Hapiot, *Anal. Chem.* 2010, 82, 8703-8710.
21. J. M. Dimitrić Marković, Z. S. Marković, I. A. Pašti, T. P. Brdarić, A. Popović-Bijelića, M. Mojović, *Dalton Trans.* 2012, 41, 7295-7303.
22. P. Cos, L. Ying, M. Calomme, J. P. Hu, K. Cimanga, B. T. Van Poel, L. Pieters, A. J. Vlietinck, D.Vanden Bergh, *J. Nat. Prod.* 1998, 61, 71-76.
23. P. Košinová, F. Di Meo, E. H. Anouar, J. L. Duroux, P. Trouillas, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 2011, 111, 1131-1142.
24. A. Galano, R. Vargas, A. Martínez. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*. 2010, 12(1), 193-200.
25. Y. Zhao, D. G. Truhlar, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* 2008, 120, 215–241.
26. Y. Zhao, N. E. Schultz, D. G. Truhlar, *J. Chem. Phys.* 2005, 123, 161103-161106.

27. Y. Zhao, N. E. Schultz, D. G. Truhlar, *J. Chem. Theory. Comput.* 2006, 2, 364-382.

28. M. J. Frisch, G. W.Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. A. Jr. Montgomery, R. E. Stratmann, J. C. Burant, S. Dapprich, J. M. Millam, A. D. Daniels, K. N. Kudin, M. C.Strain, O. Farkas, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, M. Cossi, R. Cammi, B. Mennucci, C. Pomelli, C. Adamo, S. Clifford, J. Ochterski, G. A. Petersson, P.Y. Ayala, Q. Cui, K. Morokuma, A. D. Malick, K. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, J. V. Ortiz A.G. Baboul, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. Gomperts, R. L.Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, C. Gonzalez, M. Head-Gordon, E. S. Replogle and J. A. Pople, Gaussian 09, Revision A.1-SMP; Gaussian Inc., 2003, Wallingford, C.T.

29. Z. Marković, D. Milenković, J. Đorović, J. M. Dimitrić Marković, V. Stepanić, B. Lučić, D. Amić, *Food Chem*. 2012, 135, 2070–2077.

30. G. Black, J.M. Simmie, Barrier heights for H-atom abstraction by HO$_2$ from n-butanol-A simple yet exacting test for model chemistries, *J. Comput. Chem.* 2010, 31, 1236-1248.

31. A. Galano, J.R. Alvarez-Idaboy, *Org. Lett.* 2009, 11, 5114-5117.

32. A. Galano, N.A. Macias-Ruvalcaba, O.N. Medina-Campos, J. Pedraza-Chaverri, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2010, 114, 6625-6635.

33. Z. S. Marković, J. M. Dimitrić Marković, Ć. B. Doličanin, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* 2010, 127, 69-80.

34. C. Zavala-Oseguera, J. R. Alvarez-Idaboy, G. Merino, A. Galano, *J. Phys. Chem. A,* 2009, 113, 13913-13920.

35. M.E. Alberto, N. Russo, A. Grand, A.Galano, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2013, 15, 4642-4650.

36. Z. S. Marković, J. M. Dimitrić Marković, D. Milenković, N. D. Filipović, *J. Mol. Model.* 2011, 17, 2575-2584.

37. Z. Marković, D. Milenković, J. Đorović, J. M. Dimitrić Marković, V. Stepanić, B. Lučić, D. Amić, *Food Chem*. 2012, 134, 1754–1760.

38. A.V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer, D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, 113, 6378-6396.

Graphical Abstract

.