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Size-controlled synthesis of uniform akaganeite nanorods and their encapsulation in alginate microbeads for arsenic removal†

Kihun Cho, Bom Yi Shin, Hyung Keun Park, Bong Guen Cha and Jaeyun Kim*

Uniform, size-controllable akaganeite nanorods were synthesized by hydrolysis of ferric ions in a two-phase system using sodium oleate as a surfactant. The akaganeite nanorods encapsulated in alginate microbeads showed a quick, easy arsenic removal from highly contaminated water, indicating their potential to purification of groundwater in developing countries.

Arsenic is one of the most toxic chemicals for living organisms, and the contamination of groundwater with arsenic has raised serious threats to human health. Arsenic exposure causes various diseases including respiratory disease, abnormal skin pigmentation, hyperpigmentation, lung disease, nausea, neural injury, and kidney disease. Acute and chronic arsenic exposure via drinking water has been reported in many developing countries. Especially in Bangladesh, 20-45 million people were found to be exposed to arsenic-contaminated drinking water with a concentration above the 10 µg/L (10 ppb) value set by the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines for drinking water. This has led to chronic arsenic exposure and associated deaths. Therefore, effective removal of arsenic from water is desired to enhance the quality of human life. Arsenic exists in the -3, 0, +3 (arsenite, As[III]) and +5 (arsenate, As[V]) oxidation states, and in the trivalent and pentavalent oxidation states, arsenic has a toxicological structure upon environmental exposure. Despite the availability of many technologies for treating arsenic, their ability to remove arsenic is limited.

Main strategies for arsenic removal from water include oxidation/precipitation coagulation/coprecipitation, ion-exchange, membrane, and sorption technologies. However, most of these methods suffer from slow processes, high cost, low removal efficiency, sludge generation, or difficult operation. Adsorption has been found to be an efficient and economical process for removing arsenic from water. Among several candidate adsorbents, akaganeite (β-FeOOH) has been investigated as a good absorbent for arsenic removal due to its high affinity toward arsenic derived from its porous tunnel structure. Deliyanni et al. investigated arsenic and cadmium removal from water using akaganeite nanoparticles or an akaganeite bed column. Usually, microsized akaganeite particles may provide better removal efficiency due to their high surface area. The synthesis of akaganeite nanoparticles has been reported, but their size-controlled synthesis is still challenging. In addition, the separation of the nanoparticles could be problematic in practical applications, such as continuous flow systems, due to their small particle size and instability. In this context, an ideal adsorbent for arsenic removal can be a porous micro-scale carrier encapsulating akaganeite nanoparticles for high mass transport and easy separation.

Alginates are naturally derived polysaccharides composed of (1-4)-linked β-D-mannuronic acid and α-L-guluronic acid monomers. Divalent cations cooperatively bind between the α-L-guluronic block, creating ionic cross linking of polysaccharide chains which lead to the formation of hydrogels. Ionically cross-linked alginate hydrogels have been utilized as an encapsulating matrix for various types of molecules, including protein, DNA, nanoparticles, and cells, because alginate hydrogel has a highly porous structure which allows for efficient mass transport. In addition, alginate hydrogel can be prepared in the form of spherical microbeads, which is suitable for easy use in developing countries. Therefore, alginate microbeads have potential as an akaganeite carrier for arsenic removal.

Here we report the synthesis of uniform akaganeite nanorods with controllable size and their encapsulation in alginate microbeads for arsenic removal. Uniform akaganeite nanorods were prepared from the hydrolysis of ferric ions in a two-phase solution in the presence of organic surfactant. The akaganeite nanorods were encapsulated in ionically cross-linked alginate microbeads and tested for arsenic removal with samples containing the same level of arsenic contamination as that found in groundwater in Bangladesh in order to achieve safe arsenic levels as proposed by the WHO. Furthermore, core-shell structures composed of a core alginate microbead encapsulating magnetic nanoparticles and secondary shell alginate microbead with akaganeite nanorods embedded are proposed to prepare a magnetically separable arsenic adsorbent.

Usually akaganeite nanorods have been prepared by simple hydrolysis of ferric ions in water under heating, but in most of these methods, the length of the resulting akaganeite nanorods ranged from tens to hundreds of nanometers. The size control of akaganeite nanorods in a smaller size regime below 50 nm is still challenging. We hypothesized that the growth of akaganeite nanorods can be controlled to below 50 nm using a two-phase liquid
Fig. 1. TEM images of akaganeite nanorods prepared from different molar ratios of Fe$^{3+}$ to oleate, (a) 1:0 (70 nm × 16 nm), (b) 1:0.1 (42 nm × 13 nm), (c) 1:0.5 (28 nm × 8 nm), and (d) 1:1 (23 nm × 6 nm).

system in the presence of surfactants. Ferric (Fe$^{3+}$) ions were hydrolyzed at 60 °C in a mixture of water, ethanol, and hexane, in the presence of oleates, long alkyl chain surfactants. Yellow-brownish precipitates dispersed in the aqueous phase resulted from the reaction. The crystal structure of the as-synthesized precipitates was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD, see SI Fig. S1). Based on the observed peaks, the crystal structure of the precipitate was determined to be an akaganeite (β-FeOOH) structure (JCPDS Card No. 75-41549). The broad diffraction peaks of the samples indicated smaller crystallite size.

Fig. 1 shows TEM images of the precipitates obtained by varying the molar ratio between ferric ions and oleates. All precipitates were spindle-shaped nanorods. The size of the nanorods was easily controlled by the amount of oleate in the reaction mixture. Increasing the amount of oleate led to the synthesis of shorter nanorods with narrower width. When ferric ions were hydrolyzed without the addition of oleate, the largest nanorods with dimensions of 25 nm in width and 120 nm in length were produced (Fig. 1a), corresponding to an aspect ratio of around 4. When the amount of oleate was increased to the molar ratio of Fe$^{3+}$:oleate = 1:0.1, smaller nanorods with 13-nm width and 42-nm length were obtained (Fig. 1b). Upon further increasing the amount of oleate to the molar ratio of Fe$^{3+}$:oleate = 1:1, the dimensions of the nanorods were decreased to 6 nm in width and 23 nm in length (Fig. 1d). The nucleation of akaganeite would be enhanced by the presence of oleate, which may lead to the formation of smaller nanorods compared to the direct hydrolysis of ferric ions. Interestingly, further increasing the amount of oleates to the Fe$^{3+}$:oleate ratio of 1:3 did not lead to precipitates in an aqueous phase, but to the formation of dark-brownish materials dispersed in an organic phase, which is known as an iron-oleate complex, a precursor in the synthesis of uniform magnetite nanoparticles via heat-up method. The nanorods dispersed in aqueous phase could only be obtained with a ratio of Fe$^{3+}$ to oleate of less than 1:3.

The oleate is a representative hydrophobic stabilizing agent for various nanoparticles to prevent aggregation in organic solvents.

However, the akaganeite nanorods were obtained as a dispersion in water, indicating that the surface of nanorods was hydrophilic. Elemental analysis indicated that the contents of carbon and hydrogen in nanorod precipitates were negligible (C: 1.0wt%, H: 1.1wt%), revealing that there was almost no oleate on the surface of nanorods. Thermogravimetric analysis data also indicate that there was no significant amount of organic compounds remained with the akaganeite nanorods (TGA, see SI Fig. S2). In the first step of 20-120 °C, 5% weight is lost due to hydrated water. In the second step of 120-280 °C, approximately 10% weight is lost by conversion from akaganeite to hematite. The organic phase after the synthesis of nanorods was separated and evaporated, resulting in white precipitates, oleates. Based on these observations, it is likely that the oleates participated in the formation of seeds of akaganeite at the interface of the two-phase solution and remained in organic phase after synthesis.

Next, the akaganeite nanorods were encapsulated in alginate microbeads for their application to arsenic removal (Scheme 1). The akaganeite nanorods dispersed in water were mixed with 2 wt% aqueous alginate solution and extruded to a divalent cationic solution via electrostatic droplet method, resulting in spherical alginate microbeads (Fig. 2). The size of the alginate microbeads was controlled by the combined conditions of applied voltage, flow rate,
that single treatment with the developed alginate microbeads could much lower than the 104 ppb WHO guideline. These results indicate concentration dramatically decreased to around 0.9 ppb, which is nanorods were used in adsorption treatment, the residual arsenic concentration was decreased. Particularly, when the amount of total akaganeite used in the treatment was increased, the residual amount of arsenic after treatment of alginate microbeads loaded with 0.92 mg of akaganeite nanorods. Fig. 3a shows the effect of treatment time and (c) microbead size on the As(V) removal. (d) Equilibrium adsorption isotherm of As(V) at pH 7. (e) Effect of pH value on the As(V) adsorption in 10 ppm As(V) solution. (f) Adsorption of As(III) and As(V) compared in various concentration of arsenic solutions at pH 7.

The arsenic removal from contaminated drinking water using alginate microbeads encapsulating akaganeite nanorods was tested using a 1004ppb arsenic (V) solution mimicking the contaminated groundwater in Bangladesh, where the arsenic contamination is most severe. First, we tested different amounts of 650-µm-sized alginate microbeads loaded with akaganeite nanorods. Fig. 3a shows the residual amount of arsenic after treatment of alginate microbeads with akaganeite in 100-ppb solution for 10 min. When 0.1 mL of alginate microbeads loaded with 0.92 mg of akaganeite nanorods was applied to the 100-ppb arsenic solution, the level of residual arsenic concentration was decreased to around 50 ppb, which is the national standard arsenic level of Bangladesh for drinking water. As the amount of total akaganeite used in the treatment was increased, the residual arsenic concentration was decreased. Particularly, when 2 mL of alginate microbeads encapsulating 18.3 mg of akaganeite nanorods were used in adsorption treatment, the residual arsenic concentration dramatically decreased to around 0.9 ppb, which is much lower than the 10-ppb WHO guideline. These results indicate that single treatment with the developed alginate microbeads could allow for the efficient purification of arsenic-contaminated drinking water.

To see the kinetics of arsenic adsorption in alginate microbeads encapsulating akaganeite nanorods, the residual arsenic concentration was measured over time. Fig. 3b shows the effect of treatment time on arsenic sorption onto alginate microbeads encapsulating akaganeite nanorods for an initial arsenic concentration of 100 ppb. Arsenic sorption reaches a plateau after around 30 min. The adsorption properties of arsenic on the akaganeite nanorods encapsulated in the core part of the alginate microbeads may be associated with the microbead size. Also, the negatively charged As (V) as a form of H$_2$AsO$_4^-$ or HAsO$_4^{2-}$ may feel an electrostatic repulsion, since the alginate has a negatively charged polysaccharide chain. To see the effect of microbead size on the arsenic removal, three different sizes of alginate microbeads (400, 1200, and 2000 µm in diameter) were tested in 1004ppb arsenic solution for 10 min (Fig. 3c). As expected, 400-µm-sized microbeads led to the lowest residual arsenic concentration, probably due to the shorter diffusional path and high surface area through which arsenic can diffuse. The residual arsenic concentration after treatment with 400-µm-sized microbeads was half that derived from 2000-µm-sized microbeads. While the electrostatic droplet generator could generate around 300-µm-sized alginate microbeads as the smallest size, much smaller sizes of microbeads of around 100 µm could be generated via the microfluidic technique, which probably increases the efficiency of arsenic removal within a shorter treatment time.

To investigate the arsenic adsorption type in alginate microbeads encapsulating akaganeite nanorods, the adsorption isotherm for As(V) solution (10 mg/L) was collected at 25 °C under pH 7 (Fig. 3d). In order to interpret the adsorption results, the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models were tested. Table S1 (Supplementary Information) shows the isotherm parameters for the Langmuir and Freundlich models obtained from linear regression. Based on the correlation coefficient, $R^2$, a better correlation was shown by applying the Langmuir adsorption isotherm model, although both models fit the experimental data similarly.
The effect of pH on the As(V) adsorption capacity of alginate microbeads encapsulating akaganeite nanorods was also evaluated at 25 °C in 10 mg/L As(V) solution (Fig. 3f). It was observed that the pH value influenced the arsenic adsorption capacity of the sample. Two maximum peaks around pH 6 and 9 appeared for the adsorption of As(V) in the pH range of 3-11. This might be attributed to the change of the surface potential of the sample resulted from pH change of solution. As(V) is present as a negative ionic form of H₂AsO₄⁻ below pH 7 and as H₃AsO₄³⁻ between pH 7 and pH 11.²³ The zero point of charge of akaganeite is around pH 8,²⁴ indicating the akaganeite is positively charged below pH 8 and negatively charged above pH 8. Alginate polymer is negatively charged in most pH range examined, which resulted in repulsion of the negatively charged As(V) ions. Due to the strong electrostatic repulsion between alginate and As(V) above pH 8, the adsorption of As(V) on alginate microbeads was strongly hindered. When the pH value was below 5, although the negative charge of H₂AsO₄⁻ was decreased compared to H₃AsO₄³⁻ at high pH, the high proton concentration in the solution might compete with the adsorption on positively charged alginate surface, which may affect on the adsorption capacity of As(V) ions. Deliyanni et al. reported that both electrostatic interaction and chemical bonding can occur between adsorption capacity of As(V) ions. Deliyanni et al. reported that both electrostatic interaction and chemical bonding can occur between adsorption of As(V) in the pH range of 3-11. This might be the pH value influenced the arsenic adsorption capacity of the sample.

To investigate the effect of alginate encapsulation on the arsenic adsorption, the bare and encapsulated akaganeite nanorods was tested (Fig. S3 in the Supplementary Information). The adsorption capacity was higher in bare akaganeite nanorods compared to encapsulated one, representing that the alginate hindered the adsorption of arsenic on the akaganeite nanorods. As alginate is negatively charged, the diffusion of the negatively charged As(V) would be hindered, which presumably resulted in less adsorption of arsenic in encapsulated one.

The removal of As(III) was also compared with As(V) at pH 7 in various arsenic concentrations (Fig. Sf). It was observed that the adsorption of As(III) was lower than As(V) for all tested arsenic concentrations. This might be due to the neutral charge of As(III) as a form of H₂AsO₄⁻ at pH 7, while As(V) is negatively charged. This led to weaker interaction of neutral charged As(III) than negatively charged As(V) with positively charged akaganeite.

The facile separation of the adsorbent is important in large-scale purification. We further propose core-shell type alginate microbeads composed of a core microbead encapsulating magnetic nanoparticles and a shell microbead encapsulating the core microbead and akaganeite nanorods (Fig. 4a). The microscopic image shows core-shell alginate microbeads with 400-µm sized cores and 800-µm sized shell microbeads (Fig. 4b). These microbeads could be easily separated from contaminated water using a commercial magnet (Figs. 4c, d), which may provide an alternative separation method for large-scale purification processes.

**Conclusions**

We have proposed a synthetic method to prepare small akaganeite nanorods less than 50 nm in length by the hydrolysis of ferric ions in a two-phase liquid system in the presence of sodium oleate. The dimensions of nanorods were easily controlled by the molar ratio of ferric ions and oleate. Using the ionically cross-linked alginate microbeads as an akaganeite carrier, arsenic removal in various conditions was tested using highly contaminated arsenic solution mimicking the groundwater in Bangladesh. It was found that the arsenic removal efficiency could be affected by the akaganeite amount, treatment time, and microbead size. Lastly, we proposed a magnetically separable alginate microbead composed of magnetite core-akaganeite shell structure. These results could be extended to macroporous membrane systems encapsulating akaganeite that can be combined with a continuous purification system.
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