RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

ARTICLE

Supercritical fluid methods for synthesizing cathode materials towards lithium ion battery applications

M.K. Devaraju*^a, Q.D. Truong^a, T. Tomai^a and I. Honma*^a

Lithium ion battery materials upraise the current technology to store electrical energy for the creation of green environment. The synthesis of lithium ion battery materials is crucial for the energy application in mobile electronic devices to plug-in hybrid electric vehicles. In this review, we summarize the recent progress made to synthesize lithium ion battery materials via supercritical fluid methods and particularly, its application towards the synthesis of layered transition metal oxides, spinel structured cathodes, lithium metal phosphates, lithium metal silicates and lithium metal fluorophosphates have been summarized. The cathode materials structure, particle size, morphology and electrochemical properties have been discussed. From the view of material synthesis, supercritical fluid methods are economical and have several advantages such as, phase purity, morphology control and size tuning down to 5 nm, which would greatly impact on the performance of lithium ion batteries.

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

1. Introduction

Lithium ion batteries are imperative energy storage technology for next generation of electronics, electrical and plug-in hybrid electric-electronic applications. Lithium ion batteries have highest energy density and highest operating voltage developed so far than any other current energy storage devices. There are innumerous advantages of lithium ion batteries such as great capacity retention, operation at wide range of temperatures, fair degree of safety on operations and so on.^{1,2} Considering these benefits, the current technology can be upgraded to sustainable energy storage technology by improving the charge capacity, high rate performance, energy density and using environmentally benign process to produce lithium ion battery materials.³ The property of battery materials depend on various factors such as well defined crystal structure, minimum defects, controlled composition, various particle size, and morphologies, which play key role in determination of the energy density, capacity retention, cyclic performance and safety of lithium ion batteries.4,5

Since, the discovery of layered transition metal oxides as cathode and graphite/hard as anode material, many new cathode and anode materials have been investigated. Till now, few cathode materials such as LiCoO₂ or LiNi_{1-x-y}Co_xMn_yO₂, LiMn₂O₄ and LiFePO₄ were intensively studied.⁶ LiCoO₂ or LiNi_{1-x-y}CoxMnyO₂ cathode materials have several advantages such as high specific capacity, high operating voltage, long cycle life and easy to synthesize.^{6a,6b} The oxygen evolution at high operating voltage make them serious for high voltage

applications. LiMn₂O₄ is also exhibit several advantages, such as low cost, high rate performance and higher abundance of Mn and good thermal stability, therefore it is considered as good cathode candidate for power tool applications.^{6c, 6d} After the investigation of LiFePO4 by J. B. Goodenough,6e LiFePO4 olivine structured cathode material has been widely studied due to its excellent characteristics such as cycle stability, low cost, environmentally benign and easy to manufacture which make this material as a most potential cathode for lithium ion batteries. However, the properties of cathode materials depend on synthesis methodology, nature of starting materials, reaction media, and reaction kinetics. Moreover, phase purity, homogeneity, particle size, morphology and cation order amongst other critical parameters infer the performance of batteries.³ Recently, orthosilicates and fluorophosphates, are being explored for energy storage applications.^{7,8}

In recent years, several synthetic routes have been developed to produce variety of cathode and anode materials. From longtime, solid state reaction has been widely used to synthesize lithium ion battery materials.^{6e,9} Solid state reaction involves mixing of metal oxides or carbonates and heat treatment at high temperature for long time, which consumes lot of energy, time and moreover, obtained products show inhomogenity, impurity, and larger particle size. The challenges in terms of materials design for lithium ion battery will continue, the development of novel and advanced energy storage materials with various size, shape and three dimensional nano/microstructures with excellent performance are most important challenges in materials design for lithium ion battery. The new materials

design is the main focus of many prominent research group in the field of electrode materials design across the world.

Recently , various methods have been developed to prepare lithium battery materials such as the sol–gel method,^{10,11} co-precipitation,^{12,13} mechanochemical activation,^{14,15} spray technology.¹⁶

However, all these methods have limitations when it comes to a practical point of view. Therefore, solution processes such as hydrothermal, solvothermal, ion exchange and supercritical fluid methods have been intensively studied.¹⁷ Amongst solution processes for the synthesis of electrode materials, supercritical fluid methods attracts due to its novel opportunities to design inorganic functional materials with variety of shapes, size, hierarchical structures and which ensures the one pot synthesis.¹⁸ Supercritical fluid methods is commercially viable process, which reduces the energy consumptions and certainly applicable to large scale synthesis. In this review, we summarize the progress of supercritical fluid methods towards the development of lithium ion battery materials, such as lithium transition metal oxides, lithium metal phosphates, fluorophosphates and lithium metal silicates.

2. Supercritical fluid methods

Supercritical fluid can be any substance at critical point of given temperature and pressure of that substance (Fig 1A). If water is a substance, the critical point of water is 374 °C, above this temperature water turns out to be supercritical fluid. Supercritical fluids exhibit number of advantages, which allow us to fine tune the reaction kinetics or reaction atmosphere by just varving the pressure and temperatures. The physicochemical properties such as density, viscosity, diffusivity or surface tension can be easily modified as we required. Supercritical fluid can effectively diffuse through the solids like a gas and dissolve the solids like a liquid. These properties can be exploited for materials synthesis with various kinds of shape and size.^{18,19}

Compared to various synthetic methodology from high temperature solid state reaction method to low temperature hydrothermal/solvothermal process, supercritical fluid methods have been considered as green and environmentally benign process because of numerous merits (Fig. 1B). First, the time requirement for materials synthesis is from few minutes (continuous synthesis) to less than few hours (batch synthesis), it reduces the energy consumption and time. The synthesis temperature will be less than 500 °C depending upon the type of materials processing, which reduces the energy consumption and price. The particles with less than few nanometer size can be synthesized, where size can define the property of materials. This process is relatively low temperature process and easily applicable to large scale synthesis. Recently large scale synthesis of LiFePO₄ electrode materials with 1000 tones/year is initiated by Hanwa chemical, Korea.



Fig. 1 (A) Substance (water) at supercritical region with changing temperature and pressure, (B) comparison of supercritical fluid method with other synthetic methodology. Supercritical fluid methods for synthesizing cathode materials

3. Lithium transition metal oxides

and their properties are listed in Table 1.

Lithium transition metal oxides are considered as most efficient cathode and anode materials for lithium ion batteries. There are various transition metals oxides such as, $LiCoO_2$, $LiNiO_2$, $LiMn_2O_4$, $LiNi_{1-x-y}Co_xMnyO_2$ $Li_{4/3}Ti_{5/3}O_4$, and so on. Few metal oxides such as $LiCoO_2$ and $LiMn_2O_4$ have been successfully commercialized for lithium ion battery applications.

The supercritical fluid methods for synthesis of lithium transition metal oxides was realized by K. Kanamura et al²⁰ in 2000 and T. Adschiri et al²¹ in 2001. K. Kanamura et al have used flow type supercritical water synthesis for the synthesis of LiCoO₂ particles. For the flow type synthesis of LiCoO₂ particles, aqueous solutions of LiOH, Co(NO₃)₂ and distilled water or H₂O₂ were fed into the preheated supercritical chamber in three different line as shown in **Fig. 2A**. The synthesis was completed in 1 min and the XRD of assynthesized particles showed layered rock type crystal structure as shown in **Fig. 2B**. The XRD of LiCoO₂ was compared with

that of the LiCoO₂ prepared via solid state reaction method. The slight difference in diffraction intensities were noticed. However, pure phase of LiCoO₂ was successfully synthesized via flow type supercritical water method. Scanning electron microscopy images of LiCoO₂ synthesized via flow type supercritical water is shown in **Fig. 2C**. The LiCoO₂ particles with size of 1µm in diameter was successfully synthesized. They also mention that, the particles size can be controlled to few nanometer by changing the reaction conditions.

Adschiri et al^{21} have used both batch type and flow type supercritical method to synthesize LiCoO₂ particles. The starting materials such as LiOH and Co(NO₃)₂.6H₂O were used.

For the batch type synthesis, the mixture of aqueous solutions of LiOH and $Co(NO_3)_2$ were loaded into the batch type reactor as shown in **Fig. 3**.



1:Water jacket, 2:Reactor, 3:electric furnace ,4:in-line filter(0.5μm), 5:back pressure regulator, 6:high pressure pump



Fig. 2 (A) Flow type supercritical water synthesis, (B) XRD pattern of $LiCoO_2$ particles, and (C) SEM image of $LiCoO_2$ particles.(Reprinted with permission from Electrochemical and Solid-State Letters.)²⁰

The synthesis was carried out at 400 °C and the pressure at 30 MPa in presence of oxygen gas. They showed that single phase of $LiCoO_2$ can only be obtained at supercritical condition in presence of oxygen gas, to oxidize all the divalent cobalt ions into trivalent cobalt in synthesized $LiCoO_2$. The $LiCoO_2$ particles synthesized via flow type supercritical method show

smaller particles with particles diameter ranging from 600 nm to 1μ m, whereas the particles synthesized via batch type supercritical method show larger particles with 2-3 μ m in size with clear single crystal appearance.

The electrochemical analysis for $LiCoO_2$ particles synthesized via flow type supercritical hydrothermal method was carried out. For the electrochemical analysis, mixed solvent of ethylene carbonate and diethylene carbonate containing 1M of



Fig. 3 Batch type supercritical method used in Honma lab, at Tohoku university.

 $LiClO_4$ was used as electrolyte. The stable discharge capacities of 110 mA h g⁻¹ is obtained at 0.1mA current density and authors claimed that the stable cyclic performance is due to the single crystalline $LiCoO_2$ particles.

Y.H. Shin et al²² have used continuous hydrothermal method to synthesize LiCoO₂ particles under supercritical water conditions. The effect of temperature, residence time, lithium hydroxides and hydrogen peroxide has been investigated. LiOH and Co(NO₃)₂.6H₂O were used as starting materials. Fig. 4A shows the XRD pattern of as-synthesized LiCoO₂ particles at various residence time of less than 1 min at 400-404°C and 300 bar pressure, with different concentration of LiOH and Co(NO₃)₂. The XRD pattern shows well developed HT-LiCoO₂ phase without any undesired product, the intensity of diffraction peaks increased with the time. The results confirmed that pure phase of LiCoO₂ can be synthesized at continuous hydrothermal conditions. The author noticed that, use of excessive amount of LiOH and H2O2 was beneficial to synthesized single phase LiCoO₂ particles under supercritical conditions. The authors claim that, the residence time of this particle preparation is less than any other synthesis reports. The as-synthesized particles showed particles size between 300-500 nm with similar morphologies as shown in Fig. 4B-4E. Please refer this article for detail information on investigation of LiCoO₂ particles by changing various parameters. The authors have not measured the charge-discharge measurements for synthesized particles. This report shows that LiCoO2 size can be controlled by controlling various parameters.



Fig. 4 (A) XRD patterns of LiCoO₂ synthesized at 400-404 °C at different residence time, (B)-(E) SEM images of LiCoO₂ particles synthesized by batch type supercritical method.(B) and (C) using 0.4M LiOH, 0.02M Co(NO₃)₂, 0.02MH₂O₂ time: 46s and 58s respectively. (D) at 400 °C and (E) 411 °C. (Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.)²²

In 2005, K. Kanamura et al²³ have used hydrothermal process in supercritical water with heat treatment to synthesize LiMn₂O₄ cathode materials for rechargeable lithium batteries. The synthesis was carried out in stainless steel cylindrical-type vessel (inner volume 10 mL). For the synthesis, aqueous solutions of LiOH and Mn(NO₃)₂ were used with water in 3:1 molar ratio. The reaction was carried out with or without H₂O₂ at 400 °C for 15 min and at inside pressure of 30 MPa. LiMn₂O₄ was also synthesized by solid-state reaction method for the comparison. Fig. 5A shows the XRD pattern of assynthesized LiMn₂O₄ cathode material with various ratios of LiOH: Mn(NO₃)₂: H₂O₂. In all the cases, the obtained sample was LiMn₂O₄ and the diffraction peaks are well compared to that of spinel LiMn₂O₄. The peak of Mn₃O₄ as impurity was observed for the sample synthesized without H₂O₂. However, no impurity was found for the samples prepared in presence of H₂O₂. The authors claimed that, adding H₂O₂ into supercritical water was effective to synthesize single phase spinel LiMn₂O₄. The SEM images displayed in Fig. 5B are LiMn₂O₄ prepared with various H2O2 ratios. They found that, not much difference in the particle size and shapes for the samples prepared with or without H₂O₂. When high concentration of H₂O₂ was used in

RSC Advances



Fig. 5 (A) XRD pattern and (B) SEM images of LiMn₂O₄ synthesized at 400°C at different ratio of LiOH:Mn(NO₃)₂:H₂O₂, (C) LiMn₂O₄ particles after heat treated at 800 °C for 1h, (D) Charge-discharge profile of LiMn₂O₄ particles after heat treated at 800°C for 1h (inset Figure: cyclic performances of heat treated samples (a) 400°C, (b) 600 °C (c) 800°C and (d) LiMn₂O₄ synthesized by solid-state reaction. (Reprinted with permission from Journal of the Electrochemical Society.)²³

The authors have not observed good charge-discharge performance for LiMn₂O₄ cathode materials shown in Fig. 5A and Fig. 5B. So that, heat treatment was carried out in order to improve the electrochemical performance, authors heat treated the sample at various temperatures (400-800°C). Fig. 5C shows the SEM images of LiMn₂O₄ particles heat treated at 800°C for 1 h, which shows well defined crystals with 500 nm in size and almost the particles look like single crystals. The authors noticed that, particles size and shape changed with the heating temperatures. The charge-discharge profile of LiMn₂O₄ particles heat treated at 800 °C for 1 h shown in Fig. 5D with good cyclic performance (inset figure in Fig. 5D). For the electrochemical measurement, 1M LiClO₄/EC+DEC was used as electrolyte. The discharge capacity of more than 125 mA h g ¹ at 0.1C with good cyclic performance. The observed capacity was comparable with that of sample synthesized via solid state reaction. The authors claimed that, the discharge capacity is improved due to the high crystallinity and crystallite size because of heat treatment which leads to improved electrochemical performances.

In 2006, Joo-Heon Lee et^{24} al have attempted to synthesize LiMn₂O₄ particles in supercritical water using flow type synthesis method with residence time of 30-40s. The authors have investigated, the selective synthesis of LiMn₂O₄ is mainly

Journal Name

dependent on the amount of OH^{-} ion in the reactants. The author synthesized $LiMn_2O_4$ particles using $Mn(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$, LiOH, KOH, LiNO₃ solutions at 420 °C and 300 pressure bar.



Fig. 6 (A) XRD pattern and (B) TEM image of $LiMn_2O_4$ synthesized using 0.1mol/L of LiOH and Li/Mn=4. (Reprinted with permission from Korean J. Chem. Eng.)²⁴

Fig. 6A shows the XRD pattern of LiMn₂O₄ particles synthesized using 0.1mol/L of LiOH and Li/Mn=4. They observed that, single phase of LiMn₂O₄ particles can be synthesized using high concentration of LiOH (0.1mol/L) and not with LiNO₃ or LiOH/LiNO₃ mixtures. The TEM image of LiMn₂O₄ synthesized using 0.1mol/L of LiOH and Li/Mn=4.shown in Fig. 6B. The particles showed sphere shape with particles size less than 100 nm. The authors have not characterized electrochemical performances of LiMn₂O₄ particles.

Recently, Jae-Wook Lee et al²⁵ have synthesized LiCoO₂, overlithiated Li_{1.15}CoO₂ and LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ cathode materials using batch type supercritical water method in presence of argon or oxygen gas. LiOH and Co(NO₃)₂.6H₂O were used as starting materials to synthesize LiCoO₂ and Li_{1.15}CoO₂. For the synthesis of LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂, LiOH, Ni(NO₃)₂.6H₂O, Co(NO₃)₂.6H₂O and Mn(NO₃)₂.6H₂O were used as starting materials and KOH solution was used to vary the PH of the solution. The synthesis was carried out at 400 °C for 10 min of reaction time.

Fig. 7A shows the XRD pattern of as-synthesized $\rm Li_{1.15}CoO_2$ and $\rm LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O_2$ cathode materials using

KOH solution and purge oxygen gas at 400 $^{\rm o}{\rm C}$ for 10 min of reaction time.

The observed diffraction peaks were indexed as a layered oxide structure based on a hexagonal α -NaFeO₂ structure (space group: *R-3m*). The XRD patterns of LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ is similar to that of Li_{1.15}CoO₂ and they exhibited a layered structure.



Fig. 7 (A) XRD patterns, (B) & (C) SEM images of $Li_{1,15}CoO_2$ and $LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O_2$ particles synthesized by supercritical water with KOH solution and purge oxygen gas at 400°C for 10 min. (D) Cyclic performance of $Li_{1,15}CoO_2$ and $LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O_2$ particles. (Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.)²⁵

Fig.7B and & 7C shows the SEM images of Li_{1.15}CoO₂ and LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ cathode materials prepared with an aqueous KOH solution and oxygen purge gas. The particles showed 300 nm to 1µm in size with cubic shape and they exhibited clear crystal boundaries without hard agglomerations. The authors observed initial discharge capacities of 149 and 180 mA h g⁻¹ for Li_{1.15}CoO₂ and LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ particles at current density of 16 mA g⁻¹ at room temperature. The cyclic performance was measured within voltage window of 2.5-4.5V for $Li_{1,15}CoO_2$ and $LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O_2$ at 80 mA h g⁻¹ for 30 cycles are shown in Fig.7D, where LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ cathode materials so better cyclability than Li115CoO2 particles the author claims that better cyclability of LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ cathode material is due to the characteristics of cathode powders. The authors have also investigated the rate performance of both Li115CoO2 and LiNi1/3Co1/3Mn1/3O2 particles.1MLiPF₆ in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and diethyl carbonate (DEC) in a 1:1 volume ratio was used as electrolyte. The above discussed research work shown the importance of supercritical fluid techniques in preparation of layered oxide materials with controlled composition, size, morphology and also to improve the electrochemical properties. Further research has to be carried out on layered metal oxides and spinel structure materials. The coating of metal or doping

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2012

of effective cations could improve the capacity of these materials with enhanced cyclability. The observation of full theoretical capacity of these materials could be possible via different approaches by controlling structure, size and morphology.

4. Lithium metal phosphates

After the pioneer work of Goodenough et al^{6e} on LiFePO₄ olivine structured cathode material, lithium metal phosphates

LiMPO₄, M=Fe, Mn, Co and Ni) have received great attention as an excellent candidate for lithium ion battery applications. In the last two decades, LiMPO₄ cathode materials have been extensively investigated, particularly LiFePO₄ material are tremendously investigated as potential cathode to replace $LiCoO_2$ because of its low cost, non toxic and high theoretical capacity. LiFePO₄ has been already commercialized for energy storage applications. Olivine structured LiMPO₄ are still being investigated by many researchers.

	Materials	System	P/T MPa/°C	Particle size (nm)	Morphology	Capacity (mAhg ⁻¹)	Ref
Transition metal	l oxides					(
oxides	LiCoO2	Continuous	30/400	900-1000	Rough shape	NA	(2.0)
		Batch	30/400	2000-3000	Rough shape	NA	(21)
	LiCoO2	Continuous	N.A./300-400	600-1000	Rough shape	N.A.	(21)
	LiCoO2	Continuous	30/400	100-300	Nanoplates	N.A.	(22)
	LiMn ₂ O ₄	Batch	30/400	300-500	Spherical	130	(23)
	LiMn ₂ O ₄	Continuous	30/380	50-300	Irregular shape	N.A.	(24)
	LiNCMO ₂	Batch	30/400	600-1000	Pyramid	180	(25)
Phosphates					, ,		
1	LiFePO ₄	Batch	33.5/300-400	500-1200	Irregular shape	N.A.	(26a)
	LiFePO ₄	Batch	30/389	100	Spherical/rod	140	(26b)
	LiFePO ₄	Continuous	N.A./300-385	50-130	Spherical	N.A.	(26c)
	LiFePO ₄	Continuous	25/400	20	Spherical	75	(27)
	LiFePO ₄	Batch	40/400	15	Spherical	165	(28)
	LiFePO ₄	Batch	40/400	50x200	Rod-Hierarchy	152	(29)
	LiFe/MnPO ₄	Batch	40/400	20x100	Nanorods	158	(30,31)
	LiMnPO ₄	Batch	40/400	20x100	Nanorods	158	(30,31)
	LiFePO ₄	Continuous	25/400	25	irregular	105	(32,33)
	LiFePO ₄	Batch	N.A./400	50x2000	Spindle	138	(34)
	LiFePO ₄	Batch	25/100	2000	Porous	162	(35)
	LiFePO ₄	Batch	10/400	3.7-4.6(b-axis)	Nanosheets	164	(37)
	LiMnPO ₄	Batch	10/400	3.7-4.6(b-axis)	Nanosheets	157	(37)
	LiCoPO ₄	Batch	10/400	3.7-4.6(b-axis)	Nanosheets	153	(37)
	LiCoPO ₄	Batch	40/400	5-15(b-axis)	Nanoplates	135	(38a)
	LiCoPO ₄	Batch	40/400	50x1000	Nanorods	130	(38b)
	LiCoPO ₄	Batch	40/400	50x200	Nanoplates	121	(38b)
Silicates.	- 41						
	Li ₂ FeSiO ₄	Batch	40/400	1-5	Nanosheets	340	(42)
	Li2MnSiO4	Batch	40/400	1-5	Nanosheets	350	(42)
	Li ₂ MnSiO ₄	Batch	N.A./300	10	Spherical	313	(43)
	Li ₂ MnSiO ₄	Batch	38/400	500	Hierarchy	292	(44)
	Li ₂ FeSiO ₄	Batch	38/400	50x400	Nanorods	177	(45)
	Li ₂ CoSiO ₄	Batch	38/350	200	Irregular shape	107	(46)
N	A: Not Available						

In 2005, J. Lee et al^{26a} have reported supercritical water synthesis of LiFePO₄ particle using batch type reactors. LiFePO₄ was synthesized from FeSO₄ 7H₂O, H₃PO₄ and LiOH by dissolving in distilled water. The synthesis was carried out in a 250 ml stainless steel autoclave. The authors have investigated, effect of temperature, time, pH and reactants concentrations on morphology and size of LiFePO₄ particles. **Fig. 8A** shows the XRD patterns of LiFePO₄ synthesized at various temperatures. All the diffraction peaks are comparable with the standard pattern (JCPDS 40-1499). The XRD pattern was compared with some of published reports. They observed

increased peak intensity with increasing the reaction temperatures. They observed changes in morphology of LiFePO₄ particles. **Fig. 8B** shows the SEM image of LiFePO₄ particles synthesized at 387 °C for about 1h. The obtained particles are smaller and softly agglomerated with uniform shape, when compared to the particles synthesized at low and subcritical temperatures.

Again in 2006, the same group^{26b} have reported LiFePO₄ micro and nanoparticles in supercritical water. Two kind of synthesis routes were employed to synthesized micro and nanoparticles. In synthesis A, authors used acidic stock

solutions of Li_3PO_4 and $o-H_3PO_4$ and basic stock solution of NH₄OH in distilled and deionized water to obtain any desired pH. The stock solutions and 3.75 g of FeSO₄.7H₂O granules were added to the autoclave and argon gas. Following reaction was expected:

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} Li_3PO_4 & +2H_3PO_4 & + & 3(FeSO_4.7H_2O) + 6NH_4OH \rightarrow 3LiFePO_4 \\ & +3(NH_4)_2SO_4 + 27H_2O. \end{array}$

After 1 h, the reaction was finished. SEM images of obtained particles at are shown in Fig. 8C. These particles were obtained at 389 °C with pH 5.26. The micron sized particles with rod like morphology was observed.



Fig. 8 (A) XRD patterns of LiFePO₄ synthesized at different temperatures, (B) SEM image of LiFePO₄ synthesized at 387 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 min, (Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.) 26a (C) & (D) SEM images of LiFePO₄ synthesized at 389 $^{\circ}$ C via reaction A and reaction B respectively, (E) discharge profile of LiFePO₄ shown in Fig.8D (Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.) 26b and (F) LiFePO₄ particles synthesized via continuous hydrothermal synthesis. (Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.) 26c

In synthesis route B, the authors used NaOH solutions to control pH and Li_3PO_4 was employed as a source for both lithium and phosphate ions. For synthesize, 150 ml of an aqueous solution of FeSO₄·7H₂O was prepared and NaOH solution was added to adjust the pH of the resulting mixture to any desired value. Then the experiments were carried out. The synthesis reaction was summarized as follows;

 $Li_3PO_4 + FeSO_4.7H_2O \rightarrow LiFePO_4 + 3(NH_4)_2SO_4 + LiSO_4 + 7H_2O.$

Fig. 8D shows the SEM images of LiFePO₄ particles with particle size less than 100 nm. The author observed that, temperature was the dominant factor and pH apparently had little effect on particle size and shape. The author investigated electrochemical analysis for LiFePO₄ particle obtained via synthesis route B. The discharge capacities measure at various current densities are shown in Fig. 8E. The discharge capacity of 140 mA h g⁻¹ at 0.1C was observed and 75% of this capacity was maintained at 1C, which is correspond to 82% of the theoretical capacity. The author claims that, the observed capacity is higher than LiFePO₄ synthesized from other methods.

C. Xu et al^{26c} have proposed a continuous hydrothermal synthesis of LiFePO₄ particles in subcritical and supercritical water. They used flow type reactors, where degassed solutions of FeSO₄ in H₃PO₄ (solution 1) and LiOH (solution 2) were contacted with hot, compressed water (solution 3) in a mixing tee, resulting in the precipitation of LiFePO₄ particles according to the reaction:

 $FeSO_4 + H_3PO_4 + 3LiOH \rightarrow LiFePO_4 + Li_2SO_4 + 3H_2O$

The authors have investigated the effect of temperature, water flow rate, concentration and also compared batch type synthesis with flow type hydrothermal synthesis. **Fig. 8F** shows an SEM image of LiFePO₄ particles about 40 nm in size which are obtained by continuous hydrothermal synthesis. This method has advantages on controlling the crystal size below 50 nm, however, the author have not shown electrochemical performance of this material.



Fig. 9 (A) TEM images of particles obtained by continuous hydrothermal synthesis, b) galvanostatic cycling of hydrothermally synthesized LiFePO₄ at two reaction times: SC1 6 s and SC2 12 s, with charge–discharge rates of C/10, SC2 with a low cycling rate of C/100. (Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.)²⁷

In 2009, A. Aimable et al^{27} have used similar method to synthesize LiFePO₄ nanoparticles. For the synthesis, reactive solution 1 was prepared by dissolving $(NH_4)_2Fe(SO_4)_2.6H_2O$ (19.6 g, 0.1 mol L⁻¹) and o-H₃PO₄ (4.9 g, 0.1 molL⁻¹) in deionized water (500 mL). Reactive solution 2 was prepared by dissolving LiOH, H₂O (7.8 g, 0.375 mol L⁻¹) in deionized water

(500 mL).TEM images of particles obtained by continuous hydrothermal synthesis shown in **Fig. 9A**, the particles show 50 nm in diameter with clear crystal habit. The electrochemical property was measured for two samples namely SC1 composed of aggregated particles (reaction time 6 s) and SC2 composed of non agglomerated particles (reaction time 12 s). The electrode made from sample SC2 exhibited a unique flat charge and discharge curve at 3.5 V, with almost no polarization (**Fig. 9B**). It was observed that, 0.45 Li were inserted reversibly in this material, corresponding to a capacity of 75 mA h g⁻¹. The authors have demonstrated that 50 nm size particles can be synthesized with good electrochemical performance.



Fig. 10 (A) TEM images of LiFePO₄ particles obtained by in the presence of organic molecules. Inset: electron diffraction pattern of nanocrystals and amorphous carbon (B) HR-TEM image showing lattice fringes, (C) Charge-discharge profile of as-synthesized LiFePO₄ and (D) heated LiFePO₄ (Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.)²⁸

In 2009, surface modified LiFePO4/C nanocrystals using supercritical batch reactors has been reported by our group²⁸. In-situ carbon coated LiFePO₄/C nanocrystals were synthesized using FeC₂O₄·2H₂O, NH₄H₂PO₄, LiOH, ascorbic acid and oleic acid as starting materials. Ethanol was used as solvent. The synthesis was carried out at400 °C for about 10-30min. The HR-TEM images of as-synthesized LiFePO₄ nanocrystals in the presence of organic molecules under supercritical water conditions are shown in Fig. 10. LiFePO₄ nanocrystals show 15 nm in diameter which is synthesized in presence of oleic acid, which play a key role in controlling the particle size (Fig. 10B). The distinct lattice planes are shown in Fig. 10B and the SAED In 2010, directed growth of nanoarchitectured LiFePO₄ electrode by solvothermal synthesis using batch reactors at supercritical fluid condition was reported by our group²⁹. In the synthesis, ethylene glycol as solvent and oleic acid or hexane

was used as co-solvent. The synthesis was carried out at 400 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 min.



Fig.11(A) TEM image of a LiFePO₄ nanostructure synthesized in EG–oleic acid and (B) charge–discharge profile of LiFePO₄ at different current densities. (Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.)²⁹ (C) TEM images of LiFePO₄, (D) LiMnPO₄ particles synthesized in the presence of oleylamine, (E) charge– discharge profiles of LiFePO₄ at different current densities, and (F)Charge–discharge profile of LiMnPO₄ particles. (Reprinted with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.)³⁰

Fig. 11A shows the TEM images of nano flower like structures with length ranging from 200-400 nm and width less than 100 nm in diameter. Different kinds of morphologies were obtained by changing the organic solvents. **Fig. 11B** shows the charge discharge profile of LiFePO₄ after heated at 600 °C. The flower like microstructure exhibits capacity as high as 154 mA h g⁻¹, with slope like plateau showing the effect of nanosize particles due to high surface area. The ED pattern shown in **Fig. 10A** (top inset) with bright spots of well defined diffraction pattern of olivine phase suggests that the well crystalline LiFePO₄/C

nanocrystals. The SAED showed in Fig. 10A reveal that LiFePO₄ nanocrystals are single crystalline. Fig. 10C shows the charge discharge profile of as-synthesized LiFePO₄ nanocrystals, which show small capacity, and then LiFePO₄/C nanocrystals were heated at 500 $^{\circ}$ C to have conductive carbon coating, after heat treatment LiFePO₄/C nanocrsytals showed improved discharge capacity (Fig. 10D). This process demonstrated on controlling the crystal size less than 20 nm with uniform shape and to have better electrochemical performance upon heating.

Followed by this work, in 2010, supercritical ethanol process to prepare LiMPO₄ (M= Fe and Mn) colloidal nanocrystals has been proposed by our group³⁰. In a typical synthesis metal chloride dissolved in olevlamine and ethanol at 60 °C for 1 h followed by addition of o-H₃PO₄ solution and Li acetyl acetonate. The supercritical reaction was carried out at 250-400 °C for 4-10 min. Fig. 11C and 11D shows the colloidal nanocrytals of LiFePO₄ and LiMnPO₄ with nanoplates and nanorods like morphology, respectively. The colloidal particles showed 15-20 nm in diameter in presence of oleylamine and in absence of oleylamine particles showed 70-90 nm in diameter. The use of oleylamine played an important role in reducing the particle size as it can absorb the specific crystal planes and inhibit the crystal growth under supercritical conditions. Fig. 11E and 11F shows the charge discharge profile of LiMPO₄ (M= Fe and Mn) colloidal nanocrystals after conductive polymer coating and heat treatment. LiFePO₄ nanocrystal exhibited highest capacity of 158 mA h g⁻¹ at 0.1 C rate, whereas LiMnPO₄ nanocrystals exhibited 62 mA hg^{-1} at 0.1 C. Further, to improve the discharge capacities of LiMnPO₄ nanocrstyal, a detail investigation was reported in 2011 from our group.³¹ Where, the discharge capacities changes with the size of the particles. The discharge capacity of 123 and 92 mA h g⁻¹ is obtained for 100 and 80 nm particle size, where as high discharge capacity of 152 mA h g⁻¹ is obtained for 20 nm size LiMnPO₄ nanocrystals. The results shows that, proper conductive carbon coating of colloidal nanocrystals could exhibit full discharge capacity. The supercritical method using batch reactors in presence of selected starting materials and solvents has the potential to synthesize nanosize lithium battery materials.

In 2011, S. A. Hong et al³² have reported nanosize lithium iron phosphate (LiFePO₄) particles using a continuous supercritical hydrothermal synthesis method at 25MPa and 400 °C under various flow rates. The authors have used modified continuous process to control the properties of LiFePO₄ in supercritical water including purity, crystallinity, atomic composition, particle size, surface area, and thermal stability, which are compared with the LiFePO₄ prepared via solid state method.

SEM images of the LiFePO₄ particles prepared using the Supercritical hydrothermal synthesis method are shown in **Fig.12(A)-(C)**. The particle size with 200-800 nm is obtained with the lower precursor solution flow rate (1.7 gmin⁻¹) as shown in **Fig.12A**. When the higher precursor solution flow rate (3 gmin⁻¹), the synthesized particles are uniform and smaller size particles with soft aggregation. When the water

flow rate increased from 9 gmin⁻¹ to 18 gmin⁻¹, the particles are smaller with rod-shape morphology were observed(**Fig.12C**). The cyclic performance of LiFePO₄ particles synthesized at

RSC Advances

three different precursor solution flow rate(**Fig.12(A)**-(**C**)) are shown in **Fig.12D**, the results are compared with LiFePO₄ particles prepared by solid state reaction method. LiFePO₄ particles (**Fig.12A-C**) show low initial capacity compared to the solid-state synthesized LiFePO₄ (sample S, in **Fig.12D**), which may be because the presence of the Fe³⁺ impurities in the as-synthesized LiFePO₄ particles.



Fig.12 (A)-(C) SEM images of LiFePO₄ particles synthesized via supercritical hydrothermal synthesis method with different flow rate and (D) cyclic performance of LiFePO₄ particles shown in Fig.12(A)-(C) in comparison with LiFePO₄ prepared via solid state reaction method. (Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.)³²

However, LiFePO₄ prepared with lower precursor solution flow rate (1.7 gmin⁻¹, E1 in Fig. 12D) showed larger capacities after 6 cycles and LiFePO₄ prepared with higher precursor solution flow rate (9 gmin⁻¹, E2 in Fig. 12D and 18 gmin⁻¹, E3 in Fig. 12D) showed larger capacities after 16-20 cycles over the LiFePO₄ prepared via solid state reaction method. The better cycle performance of the as-synthesized LiFePO₄ particles can be due to more homogeneous utilization of the active materials and shorter diffusion length of Li⁺ ions associated with the smaller size particles. The authors observed high capacity after coating carbon to LiFePO₄ particles, the discharge capacities of 140-160 mA h g⁻¹ was achieved for this materials. This results shows that, proper carbon coating to LiFePO₄ particle could leads to high discharge capacity. Further, in 2013, the same group³³ extended this work and investigated effect parameters such as, effect of reaction temperature, effect of precursor, effect of flow rate and effect residence time on LiFePO₄ nanoparticles. The authors able to improve the cyclic performance of carbon LiFePO₄ particles.

Recently, J. Yu et al³⁴ have synthesized spindle-like carbon coated LiFePO₄ (LiFePO₄/C) composites via a novel one-pot supercritical methanol method. FeCl₂.4H₂O, H₃PO₄, D-glucose and LiOH.H₂O were used as starting materials. Methanol and Benzyl alcohol are used as solvents. Fig. 13A shows the XRD pattern of LiFePO₄/C particles synthesized using different concentration of Li source (L1, L2 and L3 patterns). The peak position and intensity of all the sample can be well indexed to standard LiFePO₄ peaks with olivine structure and belongs to space group Pnma. Some impurities phase around 26-30° was identified for L1-8wt% sample. Fig. 13B and 13D shows the TEM images of L2-8wt% and L3-8wt% samples, respectively. When the Li source is 20 mmol, the particles show microplate with spindle like morphologies with a length of several micrometers. However at high Li concentration (30 mmol), large scale well dispersed spindle like microstructures with few micrometer in length are observed as shown in Fig. 13C.



Fig.13 (A)XRD patterns of LiFePO₄ particles synthesized using different concentration of Li at one-pot supercritical methanol method, (B) and (C) TEM images of LiFePO₄ particles synthesized using 20 mmol and 30 mmol of Li source, (D) charge-discharge profile of LiFePO₄ particles shown in **Fig. 13B** and **13C**. (Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.)³⁴ The charge discharge profile of LiFePO4 particles synthesized with Li source 20 mmol (L2 in **Fig. 13D**) and 30mmol(L3 in **Fig. 13D**) shown in **Fig. 13D**. The charge-discharge measurement was carried out between 2.0 to 4.5V. The discharge capacity of 108 and 135 mA h g⁻¹ was observed at 0.2C for L2 and L3 samples shown in **Fig. 12D**. This results suggest that, LiFePO₄ particles with different morphologies with proper carbon coating could produce high capacity.

In 2013, M. Xie et al³⁵ have reported a template free method to prepare porous LiFePO₄ via supercritical carbon dioxide. The author propose follwing mechanism to synthesized porous LiFePO₄ particles by introducing $scCo_2$. First, LiFePO₄ was prepared by hydrothermal method and then followed by scCo₂ treatments in a supercritical fluid reactor. Fig. 14A shows the mechanism for synthesis of porous LiFePO₄ under supercritical carbon dioxide conditions. A1 sample is as-prepared LiFePO₄ by hydrothermal method, which was treated without scCO₂ (A2) and with scCO₂ at 100 bar 24h (A3), 100 bar for 48 h (A4), and 250 bar for 48 h (A5) at 100 °C. Fig. 14B shows the XRD patterns of sample A1-A5. The observed diffraction peaks are well matched with standard LiFePO₄ with orthorhombic structure (JCPDS 40-1499). All the characteristics peaks are clearly indexed to standard pattern. No impurity was found and the authors claim that introducing scCo₂ (Supercritical carbon dioxide) did not introduce any new phase or impurity phase in the synthesized cathode materials.SEM image shown in Fig. 14C and C1, exhibited porous structures, when heating time reached 48 h under pressure of 250 bar. This sample exhibited BET surface area is about 28.7 m² g⁻¹ which four time higher than that of A1 sample.



Fig.14 (A) Showing mechanism to synthesize porous LiFePO₄ particles by introducing $scCO_2$, (B) XRD patterns of A1-A5 samples, (C and C1) SEM images of porous LiFePO₄ particles, (D) cyclic performance of A1-A5 samples. (Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.)³⁵

The cyclic performance shown in Fig. 14D, clearly indicating that, the porous LiFePO₄ particle had better cyclic performance than other samples. A5 sample exhibited 162 mA h g⁻¹ for first cycle with little capacity fade, it shows high capacity due to its dominant porous structure compared to other samples. However, all the sample showed better cyclic performance than the non porous LiFePO₄ prepared via hydrothermal method. This investigation shows the importance of porous structure for energy storage applications.

In 2013, X. Rui et al³⁶ have proposed olivine-type nanosheets for lithium ion battery cathodes. They used a liquid-phase exfoliation approach combine with a solvothermal lithiation process in high pressure high-temperature (HPHT) supercritical fluids for the fabrication of LiMPO₄ nanosheets with thickness

of 3.7-4.6 nm with exposed (010) surface facets. The synthetic strategy for LiMPO₄/C nanosheets is depicted in **Fig. 15A**. The authors used bulk NH₄FePO₄.H₂O which was synthesized by solid state reaction methods³⁶, then it was swelled by intercalating formamide molecules followed by ultrasonication for exfoliation, The exfoliated nanosheet were immersed into an ethanol solution containing PVP. Finally, the HPHT (10 MPa, 400 °C) solvothermal lithiation converted PVP-capped NH₄FePO₄.H₂O nanosheets into LiFEPO₄/C nanosheets.

Fig. 15B-D shows the TEM images of LiMnPO₄/C, LiCoPO₄/C and LiNiPO₄/C nanosheets, respectively. The results reveal that the nanosheets morphology retain after the calcinations process. The SAED patterns (Insets in **Fig. 15B-D**) taken from individual nanosheets exhibit single crystalline properties, The interlayer spacing in the HRTEM images in Fig. 15E-G, are measured to be 0.21, 0.23 and 0.21 nm, respectively.

Fig. 16 shows the charge discharge and cyclic performance of LiFePO_4/C (A and D), $\text{LiMnPO}_4/\text{C}(\text{B} \text{ and } \text{E})$ and LiCoPO_4/C (C and F) nanosheets, respectively.

The initial discharge capacities of 164, 157, and 153mA h g⁻¹ at current density of 0.2C with columbic efficiency of 98%, 93%, and 88% for LiFePO₄/C, LiMnPO₄/C, and LiCoPO₄/C nanosheets are observed, respectively. In addition, nanosheets exhibited good cycling stabilities (**Fig. 16D-F**). The discharge capacities of 163, 147, and 136 mA h g⁻¹ retained even at 50th cycle under a rate of 0.2 C for LiFePO₄/C, LiMnPO₄/C, and LiCoPO₄/C nanosheets, respectively. This results shows the importance of nanosheets like morphologies for rapid lithium ion mobility and electron mobility leads to high capacity due to their shortening *b* plane in nanosheets.

In 2012, synthesis of plate like LiCoPO₄ nanoparticles were reported by our group.^{38a} For the synthesis of plate like LiCoPO₄ particles, CoCl₂·6H₂O, H₃PO₄ and lithium acetyl acetonate were used as starting materials in 1:1:1 molar ratio. Oleylamine was used both as surfactant and reducing agent and ethanol was used as solvent. The synthesis was carried out at 400 °C for 4min using batch type reactors. Oleylamine played a important role in controlling the particles shape from sphere to plate and size from 50 nm to 200 nm. Fig. 17A shows the schematic illustrations of formation of plate like LiCoPO₄ particles under supercritical conditions, under supercritical conditions metal ions and oleylamine interaction took place and oleylamine molecules capped on to selective surface of metal ions to determine the particle shape as shown in Fig. 17 A. Fig. **17B** shows the TEM image of plate like LiCoPO₄ particles, the particles showed 50-200 nm in width, 100-200 nm in length and side length from 5-15 nm. The side length is along b axis, which enhances the mobility of lithium ions during electrochemical reaction. Fig. 17C shows the charge discharge profile of plate like LiCoPO₄ particles measured at 0.05C, the first cycle discharge capacity of 135 mA h g⁻¹ and 10th cycle discharge capacity of 89 mA h g⁻¹ was observed with moderate

cyclic performance. We believe that, the observed capacity is due to the plate like morphology, which has the particular advantages for lithium ion mobility. After motivated by this results, in 2014 we have investigated the effect of different kind of surfactants on shape of LiCoPO4 particles.38b For the synthesis, cobalt acetate tetrahydrate (Co(Ac)₂.4H₂O) and lithium acetylacetonate were dissolved in 15 ml ethanol and the solution was heated at 60°C with continuous stirring. The synthesis was carried out at 400 °C for 6 min using batch type reactors. and nanoplates show higher discharge capacities than the nanoparticles, which is due to their short b axis length which reduce the lithium ion mobility path to result in higher discharge capacities. The moderate cyclic performance is observed for nanorods and nanoplates as shown in Fig. 18E. From this results, we have demonstrated that, controlling morphology and size of LiCoPO₄ cathode materials are important to enhance the electrochemical performance of the cathode materials.



Fig.15 (A) Schematic illustration of the preparation of carboncoated LiFePO₄ nanosheets through a liquid-phase exfoliation approach combined with a HPHT solvothermal lithiation process,(B-D) TEM images and (E-G) HRTEM images of LiMnPO₄/C (B and E), LiCoPO₄/C (C and F) and LiNiPO₄/C(D and G) particles. (Reprinted with permission from American Chemical Society.)³⁷

Α

RSCPublishing

Page 12 of 20

ARTICLE



Fig. 16 Charge discharge profile and cyclic performances of LiFePO₄/C (A and D), LiMnPO₄/C (B and E) and LiCoPO₄/C (C and F) nanosheet cathode materials. (Reprinted with permission from American Chemical Society.)³⁷

Hexamethylenetetraamine (HMT) or hexamethylenediamine (HMD) were used as in situ OH^- sources and structuredirecting agent to control the growth rate as well as morphology of LiCoPO₄ particles.

Fig. 18A shows the mechanism of formation of LiCoPO₄ with three different kinds of morphologies such as nanoparticle, nanorod and nanoplate. HMT played an important role in controlling the size of the synthesized LiCoPO₄ particles. Under supercritical conditions, generated NH₃ from HMT provided the basic conditions for the crystallization of LiCoPO4 particles and the in situ release of ammonia and OH⁻ anions promote the formation of nanoparticles. HMD acted as shape-controlling agent to regulate the crystallographic orientation of the LiCoPO4 nanocrystals under supercritical conditions and resulting in the formation of nanorods with 500 -1000 nm in length, 50 nm in thickness and nanoplates with 500 nm in length, 200 nm in width and 50 nm in thickness as shown in Fig. 18B and 18C, respectively. Fig. 18D and 18E shows the charge-discharge profile and cyclic performance of LiCoPO₄ nanoparticles, nanorods and nanoplates. The discharge capacities of 105, 130 and 121 mA h g⁻¹ was observed. Interestingly, nanorods exhibited highest discharge capacities than the nanoparticles and nanoplates. However, both nanorods

and nanoplates show improved discharge capacity with moderate cyclic performance than the $LiCoPO_4$ nanoparticles. From the above discussion, we can observe that supercritical fluid process is one pot synthesis for lithium metal phosphates with well controlled size and morphology with excellent electrochemical properties. The carbon coating of lithium metal phosphates is very crucial in order to improve cyclic performance and rate performance. Many of the above discussed papers show the effect of carbon coating design on performance improvement of lithium metal phosphates. The different carbon sources have been effectively used for the performance improvement of lithium metal phosphates, specially for the $LiFePO_4$ cathode materials.

The electrochemical property of LiMnPO₄, LiCoPO₄ and LiNiPO₄ cathode materials must be improved for further utilization of these materials in lithium ion battery applications. Especially for high voltage applications, LiCoPO₄ and LiNiPO₄

RSC Advances

cathode materials should be continued and electrochemical

performances should be measured using high voltage electrolyte, solid electrolyte and ionic liquid electrolyte.

5. Lithium metal fluorophosphates

Recently, lithium metal fluorophosphates have been considered as possible promising candidates as high-energycathode materials for lithium ion batteries.³⁹ Three dimensional Li ion transport and one dimensional electron transport is expected for fluorophosphates because of one-dimensional chains of metal octahedra interconnected by polyanion tetrahedra.^{39b} High specific capacity about 290 mA h g⁻¹ is expected, if it is possible to incorporate a second Li⁺ ion in Li_2MPO_4F (M = Fe, Co, Mn) materials to get high capacity than the olivine structured phosphate materials.

Usually, Li_2MPO_4F (M = Fe, Co, Mn) materials are prepared by low temperature solution synthesis followed by heat



Fig.17 (A) Schematic illustration of formation mechanism of plate like LiCoPO₄ nanoparticles at supercritical solvothermal condition,(B) TEM images of plate like LiCoPO₄ particles and (C) Charge-discharge profile of conductive carbon coated LiCoPO₄ particles.(Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.)^{38a}

treatment or solid state reaction methods. For the first time, in 2013 we proposed one pot supercritical fluid process to synthesize Li_2FePO_4F at 400 °C for about 10-30min using batch reactors.⁴⁰

The synthesis of single phase Li_2FePO_4F cathode materials is not easy without the adaptation of special synthetic conditions and careful selection of the starting materials. For the synthesis, Iron chloride (II) tetrahydrate (FeCl₂.4H₂O), orthophosphoric acid (H₃PO₄) and lithium fluoride (LiF) were used in in 1:1:4

molar ratios. Ascorbic acid was used as reducing agent, water and ethylene glycol were used as solvents. Fig. 19A shows the XRD pattern of as-synthesized Li₂FePO₄F nanoparticles under supercritical fluid conditions at 400 °C using water and EG as solvents for 10 min and 30min of reaction time. The XRD pattern were compared to XRD patterns reported by Nazar et al.^{39a} Selected diffraction peaks (inset figure in Fig. 19A) confirm the formation of Li₂FePO₄F and reveal that Li₂FePO₄F belongs to the PI space group with triclinic crystal system. The crystal structure of Li₂FePO₄F inserted in Fig. 19A shows the arrangement of the iron octahedra, phosphate tetrahedra and Li ions. Fig. 19B shows the TEM image of Li₂FePO₄F nanoparticles synthesized at 400 °C for 30min of reaction time. The particles showed 80-150 nm in diameter with octahedral shape and show well developed crystal habit. The chargedischarge performance of Li₂FePO₄F nanoparticles at 50 °C is shown in **Fig. 19C**, the discharge capacity of 148 mA h g^{-1} is noticed with good cyclability than the discharge capacity of Li₂FePO₄F nanoparticles measured at room temperature (Fig. 19D).



Fig. 18 (A) Schematic illustration of formation mechanism of LiCoPO₄ nanoparticles, nanorods and nanoplates at supercritical condition, batch reactors and image of LiCoPO₄ powder (B) TEM images of rod like LiCoPO₄ particles and (D) and (E) Charge-discharge profile and cyclic performance of LiCoPO₄ nanoparticles, nanorods and nanoplates.(Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.)^{38b}

The obtained capacity is almost near to one lithium ion capacity of Li_2FePO_4F cathode material. The increased

discharge capacity at elevated temperature might be due to the increase in the electronic conductivity and also due to the nanosize effect, where the length of the lithium ion diffusion is shortened in the Li_2FePO_4F nanoparticles.

Easy and cheap synthesis methods should be developed for the synthesis of flurophosphates because few reported methods demonstrate two to three step synthesis routes. The focus on utilization of second lithium ion would be very useful in order to effective use of these cathode materials for high capacity applications.



Fig.19 (A) XRD pattern and crystal structure of Li_2FePO_4F nanoparticles synthesized at supercritical fluid process (a-10min, b-30min),(B) TEM images of Li_2FePO_4F nanoparticles synthesized in 30min, and (C) Charge-discharge profile (at 50 °C) and cyclic performance of Li_2FePO_4F nanoparticles.(Reprinted with permission from Royal Society of Chemistry.)⁴⁰

6. Lithium metal silicates

After successful development of olivine structured cathode materials, lithium orthosilicate, Li_2MSiO_4 (M = Fe, Mn and Co), based cathodes have attracted as promising lithium ion battery materials due to their fabulous advantages such as high theoretical capacity (>330 mA h g⁻¹ which is possible while extracting more than one Li⁺ ion per formula unit), high thermal stability through strong Si–O bonding, safety, cost effectiveness, as well as being eco-friendly and easy to synthesize.⁴¹

In 2012, we have proposed supercritical fluid process for synthesis of Li_2MSiO_4 (M = Fe and Mn) nanosheets for the first time.⁴² Earlier, only solid state reaction method and low temperatures methods have been adopted for the synthesis of Li_2MSiO_4 (M = Fe, Mn and Co), based cathodes.⁴¹

 Li_2MSiO_4 (M = Fe and Mn) nanosheets were synthesized from FeCl₂.4H₂O, tetraethylorthosilicate(TEOS) and LiOH. H₂O in

1:1:4 molar ratio. Ethanol and water were used as solvents for the synthesis. The synthesis was carried out at 350-420 °C for about 4-10 min of reaction time. TEM images of the assynthesized Li₂FeSiO₄ and Li₂MnSiO₄ nanosheets are shown in **Fig. 20A-20D**. The low magnification images (**Fig. 20A** and 20C) shows the observed sheet like structures are the predominant morphology of the particles and few sheets are rolled up. The closer lobservation shows that the 2-D nanosheets binded together to form a bundle of agglomerated sheets (**Fig. 20B and 20D**). The nanosheets show few atomic thick sheets with lateral dimensions of 100-300 nm in length and 1-5nm in thickness, which is very beneficial for electron transport and for the lithium ion mobility across b axis of Li₂FeSiO₄ and Li₂MnSiO₄ cathodes.



Fig. 20 (A-D) TEM images Li_2FeSiO_4 nanosheets (A and B), Li_2MnSiO_4 nanosheets (C and D) of synthesized at supercritical fluid conditions, and (E-H) Charge-discharge profile and cyclic performances of Li_2FeSiO_4 nanosheets (E and F), Li_2MnSiO_4 nanosheets (G and H) (Reprinted with permission from American Chemical Society.)⁴²

The discharge capacities of 340 mA h g⁻¹ and 350 mA h g⁻¹ at 45 \pm 5 °C with good cyclability were recorded for the Li₂FeSiO₄ (**Fig. 20E** and **20F**) and Li₂MnSiO₄ (**Fig. 20G** and **20H**) nanosheets, respectively. This observed specific capacity is

more than the theoretical capacity involving 2 lithium ion in Li_2MSiO_4 (333 mA h g⁻¹), this results indicated the ability of 2 lithium ion extract/insert in sheet like electrodes due to the oxidation state of $Fe^{3+/4+}/Mn^{3+/4+}$ couple at the high temperatures. The achievement of full theoretical capacity is due to the fast lithium ion transport in sheet like structure with 1-5nm of b-axis thickness. The cyclic performance show small capacity loss after few cycles, and more than 1.6 lithium ion capacity is still observed at 20th cycle due to the nanosheet morphology of Li_2FeSiO_4 and Li_2MnSiO_4 cathodes.

In 2012, we have investigated controlled synthesis of nanocrystalline Li2MnSiO4 particles via supercritical solvothermal method using batch type reactors.⁴³ Li₂MnSiO₄ nanoparticles were prepared from manganese chloride (II) tetrahydrate, tetra ethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) and Lithium hydroxide in 1:1:4 molar ratios. Ethanol-water were used as solvents and ascorbic acid was used as reducing agent. The synthesis was carried out at 300 °C for 5min. Fig. 21 shows the TEM image of as-synthesized Li2MnSiO4 particles, the particles showed spherical morphology with 5-20 nm in diameter. The particles are monodispersed and non-aggregated due to the effect of dielectric constant of ethanol-water mixed solvents under supercritical conditions. The Li2MnSiO4 nanoparticles were PEDOT coated for electrochemical analysis. The charge-discharge profile of Li2MnSiO4 nanoparticles measured at 40 °C, showed first discharge capacity of 313 mA h g⁻¹ and 282 mA h g⁻¹ for the second discharge at 0.05C (Fig. 21). The observed capacity is higher than many reported value and higher discharge capacity is achieved for Li₂MnSiO₄ nanoparticles due to its monodispersed particle size followed by PEDOT coating.



Fig. 21 TEM images Li_2MnSiO_4 nanoparticles, followed by PEDOT coating and charge-discharge profile of Li_2MnSiO_4 nanoparticles at 40 °C (Reprinted with permission from Royal Society of Chemistry.)⁴³

After motivated by size and morphological effect on electrochemical performances of Li_2MnSiO_4 nanoparticles, In 2013, we have synthesized various sizes and morphologies of Li_2MnSiO_4 nanoparticles.⁴⁴ For the synthesis of Li_2MnSiO_4 cathode material, manganese chloride (II) tetrahydrate, tetra ethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) and lithium hydroxide in 1:1:4 molar ratios. Water, diethylene glycol, ethylene glycol with different volume ratios were used as solvents. Oleic acid and oleylamine

were used as surfactant and reducing agents. The synthesis was carried out at 400 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 -30min.

Fig. 22 shows the TEM images of various size and morphologies of Li_2MnSiO_4 nanoparticles under supercritical fluid process. Ultrafine particles of 4-5 nm in diameter with uniform shape and size distribution were synthesized using

water and diethylene glycol as the solvent and oleic acid as the surfactant. Oleic acid and diethylene glycol play a key role in restricting the particle size and shape under supercritical fluid conditions. Li2MnSiO4 hierarchical nanostructures with sunflower like morphology were obtained using high amount of oleic acid at 400 °C for 4min. Each flower measuring 200-400 nm in size and they are self assembled as hierarchical nanostructures. A possible reason for formation of hierarchical nanostructures is the self-assembly of monodispersed nanoparticles. The increased amount of oleic acid and low dielectric constant of organic solvents may facilitate a strong interaction between the metal nanoparticles and connects them to promote the formation of hierarchical nanostructures. The Li₂MnSiO₄ particles with 15-100 nm size with sphere like morphologies were also synthesized using difference combination of water and organic solvent under supercritical fluid process as shown in Fig. 22. The cyclic performances of monodisperse nanoparticles (4-5 nm). hierarchical nanostructures and 30-50 nm size Li2MnSiO4 particles are shown in Fig. 23. The monodispersed nanoparticles showed high initial discharge capacity of 292 mA h g⁻¹ and discharge



Fig. 22 TEM images of different size and morphlogies of Li_2MnSiO_4 nanoparticles, (Reprinted with permission from Royal Society of Chemistry.)⁴⁴

capacity decreased after few cycles due to the detachment of nanoparticles from carbon matrix. However the discharge capacity of 184 mA h g⁻¹ with good cyclability is observed. Hierarchical nanostructure exhibited the discharge capacity of 283 mA h g⁻¹ for the first cycle and discharge capacity decreased after few cycle, and a stable cyclability and discharge capacity of 220 mA h g⁻¹ was observed at 50th cycle.

 Li_2MnSiO_4 particles with 30-50 nm size showed initial discharge capacity of 222 mA h g⁻¹ and discharge capacity decreased after few cycles, and stable cylic performance with one lithium ion capacity was observed. However, highest capacity and better cyclability is observed for both monodisperse and hierarchical nanostructures. These results again demonstrated the effect of size and morphologies on electrochemical performances.



Fig. 23 TEM images of different size and morphologies of Li₂MnSiO₄ nanoparticles, (Reprinted with permission from Royal Society of Chemistry.)⁴⁴

In 2013, we have proposed supercritical hydrothermal synthesis for Li_2FeSiO_4 rod like particles.⁴⁵ Li_2FeSiO_4 particles were synthesized from iron chloride (II) tetrahydrate, tetra ethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) and lithium hydroxide monohydrate in 1:1:4 molar ratios. Water was used as solvent and ascorbic acid was used as reducing agent. 5 mg of sucrose was used as in-situ carbon source. The synthesis was carried out at 380 °C for 30 min of reaction time using batch type reactors.

Fig. 24A shows the as-synthesized $\text{Li}_2\text{FeSiO}_4$ particles at 380 °C for 30min, large number of particles having rod like morphology with diameter ranging from 20 to 60 nm and approximately 50-500 nm in length can be observed. The rod like $\text{Li}_2\text{FeSiO}_4$ particles are covered with amorphous carbon which is formed due to the ascorbic acid and sucrose decomposition products. **Fig. 24B** shows the single rod like $\text{Li}_2\text{FeSiO}_4$ particles synthesized at 380 °C is shown and the dot

pattern obtained by electron diffraction (ED) clearly indicates that, the rod like Li₂FeSiO₄ particles are single crystalline in nature as shown in **Fig. 24B** (inset image). The HRTEM image shown in Fig.24C, clearly showing the well resolved lattice fringes suggesting the good crystallinity of Li₂FeSiO₄ particles. The initial discharge capacity of 102 mA h g⁻¹ at 0.05C is obtained for as-synthesized rod like Li₂FeSiO₄ particles with poor cyclability as shown in **Fig. 25A** and **25D**. The first discharge capacity of 118 mA h g⁻¹ and 177 mA hg⁻¹ 0.05 C was obtained for 20 wt% and 30 wt% conductive carbon coated rod like Li₂FeSiO₄ particles as shown in **Fig. 25B** and **25C**,



Fig. 24 (A)TEM images of rod like Li_2FeSiO_4 particles,(B) single rod like Li_2FeSiO_4 particle with ED pattern (inset) and (C) HRTEM image. (Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.)⁴⁵



Fig. 25 (A)Charge-discharge profile of as-synthesized rod like Li_2FeSiO_4 particles,(B) 20 wt% carbon coated Li_2FeSiO_4 particle, (C) 30 wt% carbon coated Li_2FeSiO_4 particle and (D) cyclic performance of Li_2FeSiO_4 particle.(Reprinted with permission from Elsevier Ltd.)⁴⁵

respectively. However, the discharge capacity of more than one Li^+ was observed even after several cycles for rod like Li_2FeSiO_4 particles (**Fig. 25D**) and this discharge capacity is nearly that of previously reported discharge capacity for Li_2FeSiO_4 cathode material.

In 2013, we extended supercritical fluid process to synthesize nanocrystalline Li_2CoSiO_4 particles.⁴⁶ Li_2CoSiO_4 nanoparticles were synthesized from cobalt(II) chloride hexahydrate, tetra

ethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) and lithium hydroxide (Wako, Japan) in a 1 : 1 : 4 molar ratios.Water-ethanol were used as mixed solvents and oleylamine was used as a reducing agent. The synthesis was carried out at 350 °C for 1h of reaction time. Fig. 26A shows the XRD patterns of as-synthesized (350 °C for 1 h) and heated (500 °C for 2 h) Li₂CoSiO₄ particles. The heating was carried out to remove surface absorbed organic derived residues from the Li2CoSiO4 particles and also to produce well crystallized particles for the structural investigation by ADF/ABF analysis. The as-synthesized and heated Li2CoSiO4 samples exhibited well defined diffraction peaks and XRD data matches well with the reported data and the JCPDS file (00-024-0608). Li₂CoSiO₄ belongs to the orthorhombic crystal system with a space-group of Pbn21. TEM image shown in Fig. 26B indicates the average particle sizes of Li₂CoSiO₄ particles between 50-250 nm with irregular shape, and the particles are well distributed without any agglomeration. Further, we also analyzed the structure of this materials via ADF/ABF and showed tetrahedral arrangements of SiO₄, LiO₄ and CoO₄.

The heated (500 °C for 2 h) Li_2CoSiO_4 particles shows the discharge capacity of nearly 107 mA h g⁻¹ with gentle slope like profile for the first and second cycles as shown in **Fig. 26B**. The observed discharge capacity is much smaller than the theoretical capacity of 325 mA h g⁻¹ (2 lithium extraction). In addition, the cyclic performance was observed to be very poor that after two cycles the discharge profile drops below 3V probably due to the structural collapse of this material on electrochemical analysis.



Fig. 26 (A)XRD pattern of as-synthesized and heated Li_2CoSiO_4 particles,(B) TEM image of Li_2CoSiO_4 particles (C) charge-discharge profile of Li_2CoSiO_4 particles.(Reprinted with permission Royal Society of Chemistry.)⁴⁶

From the above discussion, it is clear that supercritical fluid process is beneficial for the synthesis of size, morphology and

7. Summary and outlook

RSC Advances

In this review article, we have summarized the supercritical fluid methods used for the synthesis of lithium ion battery materials. In the beginning, we introduced briefly on different kind of supercritical fluid methods and supercritical fluid methods have been compared with other synthetic methods in terms of time and energy saving. Supercritical fluid methods using flow type reactors, batch type reactors and continuous supercritical synthesis process provides novel opportunity to synthesize lithium transition metal oxides in different size, morphology and with single phase, where the electrochemical performance greatly depend on the particle size and phase purity. Many researchers have successfully demonstrated the synthesis of LiCoO₂, LiMn₂O₄ and LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Co_{1/3}O₂ with particle size few nanometer to few micrometer. Good electrochemical performance for LiCoO2, LiMn2O4 and $LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Co_{1/3}O_2$ were observed and compared to be higher than these materials synthesized via various synthetic routes. Further, lithium metal phosphates such as LiFePO₄, LiMnPO₄ and LiCoPO₄ cathode materials have been successfully synthesized by various research groups including our group. The particle size from 10-500 nm with different kind of morphologies such as sphere, rod, plate, colloidal particle, porous structure, spindle like and sheet like morphologies have been successfully developed. It was observed that, the electrochemical performances was mainly depended on size and morphology of these electrode materials. The colloidal LiFePO₄ particles, spindle like particle, porous particles and sheet like particles showed improved discharge capacities. The discharge capacity of 140-163 mA h g⁻¹ with moderate to excellent cyclic performances has been observed. The discharge capacity of 60-160 mA h g⁻¹ has been observed for 20-100 nm size particles and for sheet like particles. The plate like, sheet like and rod like LiCoPO₄ cathode materials with 5-15 nm thickness along b-axis showed improved discharge capacities of 90- 150 mA h g⁻¹, which were synthesized via supercritical fluid methods. For the first time, Li₂FePO₄F cathode material with 50-180 nm has been synthesized. Li2FePO4F showed discharge capacities of nearly one lithium ion has been observed at elevated temperature.

Lithium metal silicates such as Li_2FeSiO_4 , Li_2MnSiO_4 and Li_2MnSiO_4 cathode materials have been investigated by our group for the first time via supercritical fluid process using batch type reactors. The Li_2FeSiO_4 , Li_2MnSiO_4 with sheet like morphology showed nearly two lithium ion capacity and

monodispersed nanoparticles with 15-20 nm size particles also exhibited high capacity of more than 300 mA h g⁻¹ for the first cycle discharge capacities. Ultrafine nanoparticles and hierarchical nanostructures exhibited stable cyclic performance due to their unique morphologies. For the first time, Li_2CoSiO_4 particles have been synthesized via supercritical fluid process with particle size 50-200 nm in size, a moderate discharge capacity with poor cyclic performances have been observed for this material.

Supercritical fluid methods have proved to be very beneficial in controlling the size and shape of the lithium battery materials. We hope that, this review provide useful information about materials process via supercritical fluid methods for energy storage applications and promising that this process could be extended for the synthesis of variety of technologically potential materials.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Funding Program for World-Leading Innovative R&D on Science and Technology (FIRST), 'Innovative Basic Research Toward Creation of High-Performance Battery", from the Cabinet Office of Japan, and by the Grants-in-Aid for the Young Scientist (B) (23760656) and Exploratory Research (25630437) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

Notes and references

^{*a*} Institute of Multidisciplinary Research for Advanced Materials, Tohoku university, Katahira 2-1-1, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8577, Japan.

Tel: +81-8022-217-5186. Email: <u>devaraju113@gmail.com;</u> i.honma@tagen.tohoku.ac.jp

[†] Footnotes should appear here. These might include comments relevant to but not central to the matter under discussion, limited experimental and spectral data, and crystallographic data.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1 J. Chen, Recent Patents on Nanotechnology, 2013, 7, 2.
- 2 S. Balaji, D. Mutharasu, N. Sankara Subramanian and K. Ramanathan, *Ionics*, 2009, 15, 765–777.
- 3 (a) M.K.Devaraju and I. Honma, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2012, 2, 284.
 (b) M.K.Devaraju, M. Satish and I. Honma, *(Book Chapter)*, Editors: J. Kauffman and K. M. Lee, Handbook of Sustainable Engineering, 2013, pp 1149-1173.
- 4 J. M. Tarascon and M. Armand, Nature, 2001, 414, 359.
- 5 J. M. Tarascon, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 2010, 368, 3227.
- 6 (a) A. Burukhin, O. Brylev, P. Hany and B. R.Churagulov, Solid. State.Ionics. 2002,151, 259. (b) B. Huang, Y-I. Jang, Y-M. Chiang, D. R. Sadowa, J. Applied. Electrochemistry, 1998, 28,1365.(c) L. Zhou, X. Zhou, X. Huang, Z. Liu, D. Zhao, X. Yao and C. Yu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 837.(d) G. H. Waller, S. Y. Lai, B.H. Rainwater, M. Liu, J. Power Sources, 2014, 251, 411.(e) A. K. Padhi, K. S. Nanjundaswamy, and J. B. Goodenough, J. Electrochem.Soc.,

1997, **4**, 1188.(f) K. Zaghib, A. Mauger, F. Gendron, and C. M. Julien, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 462.(g) S.A. Hong, S.J. Kim, K.Y. Chung, M.S. Chun, B.G. Lee, J. Kim, *The J. of supercritical fluids*, 2013, **73**, 70.

- 7 (a) R. Dominko, M. Bele, M. Gaberscek, A. Meden, M. Remskar and J. Jamnik, *Electrochem. Commun.* 2006, 8, 217. (b) A. Kokalj, R. Dominko, G. Mali, A. Meden, M. Gaberscek and J. Jamnik, *Chem. Mater.* 2007, 198, 3633. (c) R. Dominko, J. Power Sources. 2008, 184, 462. (d) R. Dominko, I. Arcon, A. Kodre, D. Hanzel and M. Gaberscek, J. Power Sources. 2009, 189, 51. (e) Z. L. Gong, Y. X. Li, Y. Yang, J. Power. Sources. 2007, 174, 524. (f) C. Lyness, B. Delobel, A. R. Armstrong and P. G. Bruce, *Chem.Commun.* 2007, 4890.
- 8 (a) B.L. Ellis, W.R.M.Makahnouk, Y. Makimura, K. Toghill, L.F. Nazar, *Nature Mater.*, 2007, 6, 749. (b) B.L. Ellis, W.R.M. Makahnouk, W.N. Rowan-Weetaluktuk, D.H. Ryan, L.F. Nazar, *Chem. Mater.* 2010, 22 1059.(c) T.N. Ramesh, K.T. Lee, B.L. Ellis, L.F. Nazar, *Electrochem. Solid State Lett.*, 2010, 13, A43. (d) M.E.A. Dompablo, U. Amador, J.-M. Tarascon, *J. Power Sources*, 2007, 174, 1251. (e) M. Ramzan, S. Lebegue, P. Larsson, R. Ahujia, *J. Appl. Phys.* 2009, 106, 043510. (f) B. L. Ellis, T. N. Ramesh, W. N. Rowan-Weetaluktuk, D. H. Ryan and L. F. Nazar, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 22, 2012, 4759
- 9 (a) K. Amine, H. Yasuda and M. Yamachi, *Electrochem and Solid-State Lett.*, 2000, 4, 178. (b) P. Periasamy, B. RameshBabu, R. Thirunakaran, N. Kalaiselvi, T. Prem Kumar, N.G. Renganathan, M.Raghavan and N. Muniyandi, *Bull. Mater. Sci.*, 2000, 23, 345. (c) J. Wolfenstine, J. Read and J.L. Allen, *J. Power Sources*. 2007, 163, 1070. (d) Z. Chen, Y. Ren, Y. Qin, H. Wu, S. Ma, J. Ren, X. He, Y.K. Sun, and K. Amine, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, 21, 5604. (e) M. Prabua, M.V. Reddya, S. Selvasekarapandian, G.V. Subba Rao and B.V.R. Chowdari, *Electrochimica Acta.*, 2012, 85, 572.
- 10 D. Choi and P. N. Kumta, J. Power. Sources, 2007, 163, 1064.
- 11 C. R. Sides, F. Croce, V. Y. Young, C. R. Martin and B. Scrosati, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, 2005, 8, A484.
- 12 G. Arnold, J. Garche, R. Hemmer, S. Strobele, C. Vogler, and A. Wohlfahrt-Mehrens, J. Power. Sources, 2003, 119, 247.
- 13 S. Franger, F. Le Cras, C. Bourbon and H. Rouault, *J. Power. Sources*, 2003, **119**, 252.
- 14 J. K. Kim, G. Cheruvally, J. W. Choi, J. U. Kim, J. H. Ahn, G. B. Cho, K. W. Kim, H. J. Ahn, *J. Power. Sources*, 2007, **166**, 211.
- 15 H. C. Shin, W. I. Cho, H. Jang, J. Power. Sources, 2006, 159, 1383.
- 16 M. Konarova, I. Taniguchi, J. Power. Sources, 2009, 194, 1029.
- 17 (a) G. Meligrana, C. Gerbaldi, A. Tuel, S. Bodoardo and N.Penazzi, J. Power. Sources 2006, 160, 516. (b) Y. S. Jeon, E. M. Jin, B. Jin, Dae-kyoo Jun, Z. J. Han and H. B. Gu, Transactions on Electrical and Electronic Materials, 2007, 8, 41.(c) B. Ellis, W. H. Kan, W. R. M. Makahnouk and L. F. Nazar, J. Mater. Chem. 2007, 17,3248. (d) C. Zhang, X. Huang, Y. Yin, J. Dai and Z. Zhu, Ceram. Int. 2009, 35, 2979. (e) K. Saravanan, M. V. Reddy, P. Balaya, H. Gong, B. V. R. Chowdari and J. J. Vittal, J. Mater. Chem. 2009, 19, 605. (f) Y. Wang, Y. Yang, Y. Yang, H. Shao, Solid State Commun., 2010,150, 81-85. (g) A. V. Murugan, T. Muraliganth, P. J. Perreira, A. Manthiram, Inorg. Chem., 2009, 48, 946. (h) D. Rangappa, Koji Sone, T. Kudo, I. Honma, J. Power Sources, 2010, 195, 6167. (i) D. Rangappa, M. Ichihara, T. Kudo and I. Honma, J. Power. Sources,

Journal Name

2009, **194**, 1036. (j) C. Wua, J. Xiea, G. Cao, X. Zhao and S. Zhang, *CrystEngCommn.*, 2014, 10.1039/C3CE42377H. (k) K. M.O. Jensen, C T. M. Bremholm and B.B.Iversen, *CHEMSUSCHEM*, DOI: 10.1002/cssc.201301042

- 18 (a) M. K. Devaraju, S. Yin and T. Sato, J. Crystal Growth. 2009, 311, 580. (b) M. K. Devaraju, S. Yin and T. Sato, Cryst.Growth & Des., 2009, 9, 2944. (c) M. K. Devaraju, S. Yin and T. Sato, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2009, 1, 2694. (d) M. K. Devaraju, X. Liu, K. Yusuke, S. Yin and T.Sato, T. Nanotechnology, 2009, 20, 405606.(e) F. Cansell and C. Aymonier, J. of Supercritical Fluids. 2009, 47, 508. (f) M. K. Devaraju, S. Yin and T. Sato, Cryst.Eng.Com., 2011, 13, 741.(g) M. K. Devaraju, S. Yin and T. Sato, Inorg Chem., 2011, 50, 4698. (h) T. Adschiri, Y. W. Leem M. Goto and S. Takami, Green. Chem., 2011, 13, 1380.
- (a) T. Adschiri, Y. Hakuta and K. Arai, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2000, 39, 4901. (b) C. Aymonier, A. Loppinet-Serani, H. Rever'on, Y. Garrabos, F. Cansell, *J. of Supercritical Fluids*,2006, 38, 242–251. (c) B. Veriansya, J-D. Kim, B.K. Min, Y.H. Shin and Y-W. Lee, J. Kim, *The J. Supercritical Fluids*, 2010, 52, 76.
- 20 K. Kanamura, A. Goto, R. Young Ho, T. Umegaki, K. Toyoshima, K. Okada, Y. Hakuta, T. Adschiri, and K. Arai, *Electrochem. Solid State Lett.*, 2000, **3** (6) 256.
- 21 T. Adschiri , Y. Hakuta, K. Kanamura and K. Arai, *High Pressure Research*, 2001. **20**, 373.
- 22 Y.H. Shin, S. M. Koo, D. S. Kim and Y.H. Lee, *J. of Supercritical Fluids*, 2009, **50**, 250.
- 23 K. Kanamura, K. Dokko and T. Kaizawa, J.of the Electrochem.Soc., 2005, 152, A391.
- 24 J. H. Lee and J. Y. Han, Korean.J.Chem.Eng., 2006, 23(5), 714.
- 25 J. W. Lee, J. H. Lee, T. T. Viet, J.Y. Lee, J.S. Kim, *Electrochemica Acta*, 2010, 55, 3015.
- 26 (a)J.Lee and A.S Teja, *J.of Supercritical Fluids*, 2005, 35, 83-90.
 (b)J.Lee and A.S Teja, *Mater.Lett.*, 2006, 60, 2105.(c) C.Xu, J. Lee and A.S Teja, *J.of Supercritical Fluids*, 2008, 44, 92.
- 27 A. Aimable, D. Aymes, F. Bernard and F. Le Cras, *Solid State Ionics*, 2009, **180**, 861.
- 28 D. Rangappa, M. Ichihara, T. Kudo and I. Honma, J. Power Sources, 2009, **194**, 1036.
- 29 D. Rangappa, K. Sone, T. Kudo and I. Honma, J. Power Sources, 2010, **195**, 6167.
- 30 D. Rangappa, K. Sone, T. Kudo and I. Honma, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 7548.
- 31 D. Rangappa, K. Sone, Y. Zhou, T. Kudo and I. Honma, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 7548.
- 32 S. A. Hong, S. J. Kim, K. Y. Chung, B. W. Cho and J. W. Kang, J. of Supercritical Fluids, 2011, 55, 1027.
- 33 S. A. Hong, S. J. Kim, K. Y. Chung, Y. W. Lee, J. Kim and B. Y. Sang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2013, **229**, 313.
- 34 J. Yu, J. Hu and J. Li, Applied Surface Science, 2012, 263, 277.
- 35 M. Xie, X. X. Zhang, Y. Z.Wang, S.X. Deng, H. Wang, J.B. Liu, H. Yan, J. Laakso and E. Levanen, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2013, 94, 16.
- 36 A. Q Yuan, J. Wu, L. J. Bai, S. M, Ma, Z. Y. Huang, Z. F. Tong, *Chem. Eng. Data.*, 2008, **53**,1066.
- 37 X. Rui, X. Zhao, Z. Liu, H. Tan, D. Sim, H. H. Hng, R. Yazami, T.M. Lim and Q. Yan, ACS Nano., 2013, 7(6), 5646.

- 38 (a)M. K. Devaraju, D. Rangappa and I. Honma. *Electrochimica acta.*, 2012, 85, 548. (b) Q. D. Truong, M. K. Devaraju, Y. Ganbe, T. Tomai and I. Honma, *Scientific Reports.*, 2014, 4, 3975
- 39 (a) B. L. Ellis, T. N. Ramesh, W. N. Rowan-Weetaluktuk, D. H. Ryan and L. F. Nazar, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, 22, 4759.(b)T. N. Ramesh, K. T. Lee, B. L. Ellis and L. F. Nazar, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, 2010, 13, A43–A47
- 40 M. K. Devaraju and I. Honma, RSC Adv., 2013, 3,19849.
- 41 (a) R. Dominko, *Proc. SPIE*, 2010, **7683**, 76830J. (b) V. Aravindan, K. Karthikeyan, S. Ravi, S. Amaresh, W. S. Kim and Y. S. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010 **20**, 7340. (c) C. Deng, S. Zhang, B. L. Fu, S. Y. Yang and L. Ma, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **120**, 14. (d) K. Karthikeyan, V. Aravindan, S. B. Lee, I. C. Jang, H. H. Lim, G. J. Park, M. Yoshio and Y. S. Lee, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2010, **504**, 224.
- 42 D. Rangappa, M.K.Devaraju, T. Tomai, A. Unemoto and I. Honma, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 1146.
- 43 M. K. Devaraju, D. Rangappa and I. Honma, *Chem. Commun.*,2012, 48(21), 2698.
- 44 M. K. Devaraju, T. Tomai, A. Unemoto and I. Honma, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, 3, 608.
- 45 M. K. Devaraju, T. Tomai and I. Honma, *Electrochimica Acta.*, 2013, 109, 75.
- M. K. Devaraju, Q. D. Truong and I. Honma, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, 3(43), 20633.

TOC graphic



Synopsis:

Supercritical fluid methods have proved to be very beneficial in controlling the size and shape of the lithium battery materials. We hope that, this review provide useful information about materials process via supercritical fluid methods for energy storage applications and promising that this process could be extended for the synthesis of variety of technologically potential materials.