# **RSC Advances**



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

### ARTICLE TYPE

## Hypervalent iodine mediated direct one pot transformation of aldehydes to ketones<sup> $\dagger$ ‡</sup>

entry 1).

Prateep Singh Sagara, Rajesh Chebolu, Ashish Bahuguna, P. C. Ravikumar\*

*Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX* 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

An environmentally benign, step economical synthesis of ketones directly from aldehydes has been developed using hypervalent iodine as an oxidant. The key features of this protocol are its mild conditions without the use of any heavy 10 and toxic metals for the synthesis of a wide range of ketones.

The high abundance of ketone motifs present in many biologically active natural products/heterocycles (Figure 1) and strategic usefulness<sup>1</sup> of ketones for further functional group manipulation in organic synthesis is reflected in the myriad <sup>15</sup> strategies for its construction. The majority of strategies involve either the alkylation of aldehyde followed by subsequent oxidation<sup>1e-i</sup> or by alkylation of dithianes<sup>2</sup> followed by unmasking the carbonyl functionality (umpolung method). But both these strategies are less direct and require two or more steps. As <sup>20</sup> conversion of aldehydes to ketones is a very common chemical transformation in synthetic labs and chemical industries, use of conventional toxic transition metal (Cr, Mn & V) based oxidants causes environmental damage. Keeping in view of the

environment<sup>3</sup> a direct conversion of aldehydes to ketones in <sup>25</sup> single step using less expensive, non-toxic reagent is more attractive compared to the conventional metal-based two-step procedures. Transition metal catalysed aldehydic carbonhydrogen (C-H) bond activation/functionalization have received much attention in recent years.<sup>4</sup>



Figure 1. Therapeutic and biologically active ketone motifs

The limited synthetic protocols available for direct conversion of aldehydes to ketones include the use of organovanadium <sup>35</sup> reagents,<sup>5a</sup> magnesium-mediated addition/Oppenauer oxidation,<sup>5b,c</sup> boron-Wittig process,<sup>5d</sup> *N-tert*butylphenylsulfinimidoyl chloride,<sup>5e</sup> and the transition metalcatalyzed coupling of aldehyde with the coupling partners such as aryl halides,<sup>6a</sup> aryl boronic acid<sup>6b</sup> and esters.<sup>7</sup> Even though <sup>40</sup> existing protocols provide a wider choice for direct conversion of aldehydes to ketones, limitations such as use of expensive and toxic metal catalyst/reagent, elaborate reaction procedures, longer reaction times and limited substrate scope still needs to be addressed. Therefore, there is a need to develop a practical, 45 economical and environmentally benign procedure for the direct oxidative alkylation of aldehydes with wide substrate scope.

In the recent decade, hypervalent iodine reagents have emerged as a useful alternative for wide array of organic transformations<sup>8</sup> due to its easy handling, high stability, low 50 toxicity, and easy availability. It is widely considered as a valuable oxidant for conducting environmentally benign reactions. Recent reports on hypervalent iodine mediated amidation<sup>9a</sup> and organocatalytic arylation<sup>9b</sup> of aldehydic C-H bond prompted us to explore its use along with Grignard 55 reagents. We presumed that aromatic aldehydes upon sequential treatment with Grignard reagent and hypervalent iodine reagents might directly lead to ketones due to oxidative and electrophillic nature of hypervalent iodine. To test our hypothesis, a model reaction was performed with 3, 4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde 1a. 60 Addition of methyl magnesium iodide followed by diacetoxyiodobenzene (DIB) to 3, 4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde indeed gave us expected ketone 3a in excellent yield (table 1,

Table 1. Screening of various hypervalentiodines for oxidative alkylation  ${}^{65}$  of  $1^a$ 



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: benzaldehyde (0.5 mmole), Griganard reagent (1.5 <sup>75</sup> equiv.) in THF (1 mL) at 0 °C, then oxidant (2 equiv.) at 0 °C to rt. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield of purified products.

In order to test the influence of hypervalent iodine oxidant, various electron rich and electron deficient hypervalent iodine reagents such as PhI(OCOCF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, PhI(OH)(OTs), Dess Martin <sup>80</sup> periodinane and 2-Iodoxybenzoic acid (IBX) were screened.

Comparable results were observed with almost all hypervalent iodine oxidants (table 1, entries 1-4) except with IBX (table 1, entry 5). The best result was found with DIB, affording the 1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethanone **3a** in 93% yield, at rt for 1 h s (table 1, entry 1). We therefore decided to continue our further studies with DIB as oxidant.



<sup>a</sup>Isolated yield of purified products. <sup>b</sup>Reaction conditions for entries **3a-n**: aldehyde (0.5 mmole), Grignard reagent (1.5 equiv.) in THF (1 mL) at 0 °C, then DIB (2 equiv.) at 0 °C to rt. For entries **3o-r**: IBX (3 equiv.) is used as oxidant instead of DIB under refluxing conditions.

To ascertain the wide application of this procedure, diverse 15 electron rich and electron deficient aromatic, hetero-aromatic and organometallic aldehydes (table 2) were examined with methyl magnesium iodide and DIB under the optimized reaction condition. To our delight, the desired ketones (table 2, entries 3a-20 k) were obtained in good to excellent yields (table 2). Apparently, electron donating/withdrawing (table 2, entries 3a-n) nature and the position of substitution on the aromatic ring did not make much difference to reactivity, even the reaction with sensitive aldehydes i.e. piperonal & O-tetrahydropyran (THP) protected m-25 hydroxybenzaldehyde and ferrocene carboxaldehyde, proceeded smoothly and produced good yields (table 2, entries 3c, 3d & 3i). Reaction of benzaldehyde 1a with Grignard reagents such as butyl, isopropyl, cyclopropyl magnesium bromides also produced corresponding ketones (table 2, entries 31-n) in good yields. For 30 the synthesis of biaryl ketones DIB as internal oxidant produced low yields along with some side products. After screening several hypervalent iodine reagents, the best result was observed with IBX under refluxing THF condition. The optimized condition was screened with various aldehydes (table 2, entries 30-r) and 35 obtained good results. The generality of this reaction is further confirmed, when treatment acetaldehyde with phenylmagnesium bromide and DIB produced the acetophenone 3e in 94% yield, which is comparable to the 92% yield (table-1) obtained using benzaldehyde as starting material.



Scheme 1. Plausible mechanism for the direct one pot synthesis of the ketone

A plausible reaction mechanism for the hypervalent iodine <sup>45</sup> mediated direct oxidative alkylation/arylation of aldehydes to ketones is depicted in Scheme 1. Both the reaction follows similar pattern in functionalizing the aldehydes. The two key steps are trans alkoxylation of Grignard adduct **A** with the hypervalent iodine (i.e. DIB or IBX) to produce the <sup>50</sup> corresponding intermediates **B** or **C** and reductive elimination of the intermediates **B** or **C** to produce the desired ketones along with the corresponding reduced hypervalent iodine i.e. iodobenzene in case of DIB or iodoso benzoic acid in case of IBX. RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

50

55

60

65

75

In order to ascertain the mechanism of this reaction a test reaction was performed by concomitant addition of Grignard reagent and DIB to 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde, this apparently did not produce the desired ketone in good yield, suggesting a

- <sup>5</sup> possible side reaction between Grignard reagent and DIB, it also justifies the involvement of stepwise mechanism (Scheme-1) and the need for deferred addition of the hypervalent iodine reagent. During purification of crude reaction mixtures, we have often isolated a less polar spot, which was found to be iodobenzene,
- <sup>10</sup> this evidence again reinforces our proposed mechanism.

#### Conclusions

In conclusion, an operationally simple, and environmentally benign one pot synthesis of variety of ketones directly from aldehydes, using Grignard and hypervalent iodine reagents were <sup>15</sup> described. Reduction of one step of intermediate hydroxyl purification, generation of non-toxic by-products, atom economy, and shorter reaction time periods,<sup>3</sup> attributes this strategy as a environmentally benign protocol for the synthesis of ketones from aldehydes. Further studies with various other Grignard and

20 organometallic reagents are in progress.

#### **Inserting Graphics.**



#### Acknowledgement

Financial assistance by Department of Science and Technology, 25 New Delhi under Fast Track Scheme and SEED grant by IIT

Mandi is gratefully acknowledged. RC is thankful to IIT Mandi for a postdoctoral fellowship.

#### Notes and references

School of Basic Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology Mandi, Kamand 30 Campus, H.P., 175005 India. E-mail: ravi@iitmandi.ac.in

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

‡ Dedicated to the memory of Prof. A. Srikrishna.

- (a) F. Epifano, S. Genovese, Chemistry and Pharmacology of Naturally Occurring Bioactive Compounds, CRC Press publishing, USA, 2013; (b) C. Cheng, L. Pan, Y. Chen, H. Song, Y. Qin, R. Li, J. Comb. Chem., 2010, **12**, 541; (c) J.
   Frost, M. Dart, J. M, K. R. Tietje, T. R. Garrison, G. K.. Grayson, A. V. Daza, O. F. El-Kouhen, B. B. Yao, G. C. Hsieh, M. Pai, C. Z. Zhu, P. Chandran, M. D. Meyer, J. Med. Chem., 2010, **53**, 295; (d) D. B. Ramachary, M. Kishor, Y. V. Reddy, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2008, 975; (e) J. Otera, Modern Carbonyl Chemistry; John Wiley & Sons publishing,
- 2001; (f) K. Lafleur, D. Huang, T. Zhou, A. Caflisch, C. Nevado, J. Med. Chem., 2009, 52, 6433; (g) J. I. Kawakami,

K. Kimura, M. Yamaoka, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2007, 11, 206; (h) S. Roy, A. Sharma, B. Dhotare, P. Vichare, A. Chattopadhyay, S. Chattopadhyay, Synthesis, 2007, 1082; (i) Z. Li, V. Gevorgyan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 2808.

- (a) V. Bertini, F. Lucchesini, M. Pocci, A. D. Munno, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, 39, 9263; (b) D. J. Callis, N. F. Thomas, D. P. J. Pearson, B. V. L. Potter, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1996, 61, 4634; (c) V. Bertini, F. Lucchesini, M. Pocci, A. D. Munno, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, 65, 4839; (d), S. G. Ghorai, A. Bhattacharjya, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, 8, 313; (e) S. Perreault, C. Spino, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, 8, 4385
- 3 (a) P. T. Anastas, J. Warner, Green Chemistry Theory and Practice, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998; (b) P. A. Clarke, S. Santos and W. H. C. Martin, Green Chem., 2007, 9, 438; (c) M. Poliakoff, J. M. Fitzpatrick, T. R. Farren, P. T. Anastas, Science, 2002, 297, 807; (d) S. L. Y. Tang, R. L. Smith, M. Poliakoff, Green Chem., 2005, 7, 761.
- 4 (a) M. C. Willis, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **11**0, 725; (b) K. Ekoue-Kovi, C. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 6302.
- (a) T. Hirao, D. Misu, T. Agawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 7179;
  (b) R. J. Kloetzing, A. Krasovskiy, P. Knochel, Chem. Eur. J., 2007, 13, 215;
  (c) B. Byrne, M. Karras, Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 769;
  (d) A. Pelter, K. Smith, S. Elgendy, M. Rowlands, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 5643;
  (e) J. J. Crawford, K. W. Henderson, W. J. Kerr, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 5073.
- 6 (a) Y. C. Huang, K. K. Majumdar, C. H. Cheng, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 1682; (b) M. Pucheault, S. Darses, J. P. Genet, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 15356; (c) C.H. Jun, H. Lee, J. B. Hong, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 1200; (d) Y. J. Park, J. W. Park, C. H. Jun, Acc. Chem. Res., 2008, 41, 222.
- (a) J. Karthikeyan, K. Parthasarathy, C. H. Cheng, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 10461; (b) L. Yang, T. Zeng, Q. Shuai, X. Guo, C.-J. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 2161; (b) G. Mora, S. Darses, J. P. Genet, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, 349, 1180; (c) O. Chuzel, A. Roesch, J. P. Genet, S. Darses, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, 73, 7800
- 8 (a) V. V. Zhdankin, P. J. Stang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 5299;
  (b) E. A. Merritt, B. Olofsson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 9052;
  (c) V. V. Zhdankin, *J. Org. Chem.* 2011, **76**, 1185;
  (d) A. Duschek, S. F. Kirsch, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 1524;
  (e) E. A. Merritt, B. Olofsson, *Synthesis*, **2011**, 517.
- 9 (a) V. Prasad, R. R. Kale, B. B. Mishra, D. Kumar, V. K. Tiwari, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 2936; (b) Q. Y. Toh, A. McNally, S. Vera, N. Erdmann, M. J. Gaunt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 3772.