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A series of functionalized cyclometallated rhodium and iridium coordination assemblies 2-7 displaying a \( \pi \)-bonded dioxolene ligand is described. The X-ray molecular structures of two of the above compounds showed the formation of 1D supramolecular chain mediated by \( \pi - \pi \) interactions among individual units. The luminescent properties of these compounds are presented and discussed. These results show that the stacking interactions are retained also in solution and emission from excited dimeric species is observed.

Introduction

Coordination compounds of the redox active 1,2-dioxolene chelating ligands have been well investigated since the mid 70s by the group of C. G. Pierpont,\(^1\) and later by the groups of Lever\(^2\) and Ward,\(^3\) due to their intriguing electrochemical and photophysical properties.\(^4\) In these complexes, a strong degree of orbital mixing between metal d(\( \pi \)) and ligand p(\( \pi \)) frontier orbitals renders conventional assignment of the oxidation state, shown to be a strong degree of orbital mixing between metal d(\( \pi \)) and ligand p(\( \pi \)) frontier orbitals renders conventional assignment of the oxidation state, based on localized charges, difficult. The different redox states of dioxolene ligands generally have distinct spectroscopic characteristics, and their metal complexes show intense charge transfer (CT) bands appearing in different regions of the spectrum as the oxidation state changes.\(^4\) For instance, ruthenium complexes show a strong near-infrared (NIR) absorption when the ligand is in the semiquinone form [Ru(II)/sq], due to a Ru(d8) → sq(\( \pi ^* \)) metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) band which disappears in the fully reduced catecholate form [Ru(II)/cat] or is moved to higher energy in the visible region in the oxidized [Ru(II)/q] state.\(^3\) Due to their electronic structures, such complexes have found numerous applications e.g. they have been proposed as redox-switchable electrochromic dyes, for modulation of optical signals and smart windows,\(^6\) as magnetic materials,\(^7\) as NIR sensitzers\(^8\) and anchors\(^9\) to semiconductor surfaces in organic photovoltaic cells, and in application related to solar energy conversion,\(^10\) as components of modified electrodes,\(^10\) and as extended assemblies for electron and energy transfer incorporating both organic and coordination compounds.\(^11\) On the other hand, such metal dioxolene complexes do not exhibit luminescent properties, which preclude a number of new applications. In fact, the redox-active substituents introduce accessible low-energy electronic transitions, which lead to quenching of the metal center luminescence by an electron transfer mechanism.\(^20\)

The research in this area has been focused mainly on d\(^8\) transition metal complexes,\(^1\) such as ruthenium,\(^1,3,13\) osmium,\(^1,4\) chromium,\(^1,5\) manganese,\(^1,6\) and rhenium,\(^1,7\) and on the properties of the electronic ground states of such compounds, while there have been fewer reports on the properties of rhodium,\(^1,8\) iridium,\(^1,9\) and platinum\(^20\) complexes with dioxolene ligands. To our knowledge only one cyclometallated iridium complex with semiquinone ligand was reported by Thompson et al.\(^1\)
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structures of two compounds were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction study. Furthermore these compounds show strong luminescence in condensed media.

### Results and Discussions

#### Synthesis of the ester-substituted cyclometallated rhodium and iridium assemblies [(mpcpy)_2M(1)] (2-3) with the π bonded quinonoid ruthenium complex.

The binuclear coordination assemblies [(mpcpy)_2Rh(1)] (2) and [(mpcpy)_2Ir(1)] (3) were prepared in two steps, the first step involved the in-situ synthesis of the solvated cyclometallated metal complexes [(mpcpy)_2M(solvent)][OTf] with methyl-2-phenyl-4-carboxypyridine (mpcpy) from the chloro-bridged dimer [M(mpcpy)][µ-Cl]_2 and AgOTf in acetone at room temperature. Then in a second step the π-bonded ruthenium compound [Cp*Ru(o-WC)] [Cs] (1-Cs) prepared in acetone was added and the mixture was left to stir for one hour. Reaction work-up allowed the isolation of the target compounds [(mpcpy)_2Rh(1)] (2) and [(mpcpy)_2Ir(1)] (3) as dark yellow and purple microcrystalline solids respectively in almost quantitative yields.

![Figure 1](image_url)  
**Figure 1.** Schematic drawing of the ester-substituted cyclometallated coordination assemblies 2-3

These compounds were fully characterized by (1H and 13C) NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis moreover the X-ray molecular structures were determined (vide infra). In particular the 1H-NMR spectrum of [(mpcpy)_2Ir(1)] (3) recorded in CD_2Cl_2 showed the expected resonance patterns for the unsymmetrical coordination assembly with 14 resonance signals assigned to the cyclometalated unit in the range of 6-10 ppm while the protons of the π-bonded catecholate gave four signals due to the lack of symmetry in the area 4.2-5 ppm, further the two methyl groups of the esters appeared as singlet at 3.97 and 4.05 ppm while the protons of the Cp*Ru gave a singlet at 1.69 ppm. Full characterization of both compounds is given in the experimental section.

#### X-ray molecular structures of the ester-substituted cyclometallated rhodium and iridium complexes 2-3 and formation 1D supramolecular chains.

Convenient crystals of compounds 2 and 3 were obtained by diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of either complex. Crystals of 2 are brown and those of 3 are purple and they crystallize in the triclinic P1 and monoclinic C2/c space groups respectively. The molecular structure of 2 is shown in Figure 2. The structure of 2 shows the OO chelating mode of the organometallic ligand 1 to the Rh(ppy)_2 core through the two oxygen centers. The Rh(III) center is coordinated to two cyclometallated mpcpy ligands with cis metallated carbons and trans nitrogen atoms as expected for this type of L_2Rh(ppy)_2 complexes, and thus describing a distorted octahedral geometry. The Rh---N and Rh---C bond distances are on average 2.027 Å and 1.980 Å and fall in the usual range as expected for L_2Rh(ppy)_2 compounds. The structure reveals that the carbocycle ring is coordinated to Cp*Ru through only four carbons in π-fashion, thus the Ru1---C29-32 bond distance on average is 2.196 Å for the internal diene system while those for Ru1---C27 and Ru1---C28 distances are of 2.46 Å and 2.36 Å, respectively, indicating the absence or a weak interaction. Moreover, the C27---O1, C28---O2 and C27---C28 bond distances are of 1.294 Å, 1.289 Å and 1.460 Å respectively. The hinge angle across the C29---C32 is of 7.09° which represents a small deviation from planarity. All over the X-ray structure of 2 suggests that in the solid state a more catecholate resonance form can be ascribed to the π-bonded dioxolene ligand. Similar structural features were found to the related iridium congener [(mpcpy)_2Ir(1)] (3) which is presented in the SI section. Examining the packing of complex 2 in the solid state suggested the formation of 1D supramolecular assembly composed of individual units that undergo π-π stacking between the phenyl ring of one mpcpy unit and the functionalized pyridine ring of another mpcpy ligand (3.555Å and 3.680 Å). These π-π interactions occurred in two different directions (see Figure 2b).

![Figure 2](image_url)  
**Figure 2.** a) Molecular structure of [(mpcpy)_2Rh(1)] (2) with atom partial numbering system. b) Solid state packing between individual units showing π-π interactions to generate 1D supramolecular chain.

#### Synthesis of the ammonium and lithium acetate-substituted cyclometallated rhodium and iridium assemblies [(TBAmpcpy)_2M(1)] (4-5) and [(Lipmpcpy)_2M(1)] (6-7)

The ester-substituted cyclometallated complexes 2-3 served as
starting materials to prepare the related acetate compounds, which were obtained as tetrabutylammonium [(TBApcpy)_2M(1)] (4-5) and lithium [(Lipcpy)_2M(1)] (6-7) salts (Figure 3).

\[
M = \text{Rh}, \ (4); M = \text{Ir} \ (5) \quad M = \text{Rh}, \ (6); M = \text{Ir} \ (7)
\]

**Figure 3.** Schematic drawings for acetate-salts of the cyclometallated rhodium and iridium coordination assemblies 4-7.

Complexes 4 and 5 were obtained by treatment of [(tmpcpy)_2Rh (1)] (2) and [(tmpcpy)_2Ir(1)] (3) with NBu_4OH in EtOH/H_2O (9:1) respectively for 6 hours at room temperature. Reaction work-up provided the acetate compounds in high yields. These assemblies were fully characterized by spectroscopic techniques and elemental analysis (see experimental section). The related acetate complexes 6-7 with lithium salts were obtained by treatment of the cyclometallated complexes 2-3 with LiOH in EtOH/H_2O (9:1) but for longer period of time 18hours. The binuclear compounds 6-7 were also obtained in high yields and fully characterized including elemental analysis. Having obtained this unique type of cyclometallated rhodium and iridium complexes with π-bonded dioxolene ligands, we investigated their photophysical properties.

**Photophysical properties of the functionalized cyclometallated rhodium and iridium coordination assemblies 2-7**

The absorption spectra of complexes 2-7 recorded at rt in CH_3OH solutions are reported in Figure 4, and relevant data are collected in Table 1.

**Figure 4.** Absorption spectra of 2-7 in CH_3OH solution at rt.

The absorption profiles of the ester derivatives 2-3 show a similar high-energy band peaking around 260–270 nm. This originate from spin-allowed 1ππ* ligand-centred (1LC) transitions of the phenylpyridine ligands, with some likely contribution from 1LC forbidden transitions, enabled by the heavy-atom effect of the iridium and rhodium nuclei (ζ_m = 1259 cm⁻¹, ζ_π = 3909 cm⁻¹). In the lower energy spectral region between 350 and 500 nm they show an envelope of low intensity absorption bands (ε < 10⁴ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) of less straightforward attribution. For these features it seems reasonable to consider not only 1MLCT transitions related to the iridium(III) and rhodium(III) phenylpyridyl moieties but also CT transitions from the occupied d metal orbitals to the π* MOs of the metallated dioxolene moiety, either of σ bond to ligand charge transfer (σBLCT) or mixed 1MLCT/intravalent charge-transfer (1ILCT) character. In fact, it has been shown that in these types of complexes, when the OMW-linker with ruthenium is present, the catecholate ring significantly participates in the description of the highest occupied MOs (HOMOs). On the other hand, the low energy virtual MOs (LUMOs) are almost completely centred on the ppy ligands. The ionic complexes 4-7 display absorption features, independent from the type of cation, similar to those of the respective parent complexes 2-3, but with all transitions blue-shifted. This effect is originated from the presence of the carboxylate group on the pyridine moiety of the ppy ligand.

**Table 1.** Absorption parameters*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ε_λmax (M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)</th>
<th></th>
<th>10⁻4 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>270 (32.3); 321 (17.4); 400 (3.1)</td>
<td>265 (29.3); 311 (15.6); 388 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>265 (28.9); 310 (15.1); 386 (3.2)</td>
<td>263 (38.6); 302 sh (20.6); 325 sh (15.7); 390 (8.9); 431 sh (5.9); 516 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>257 (32.3); 290 sh (20.6); 365 (7.1); 413 sh (4.4); 476 (2.2)</td>
<td>257 (32.6); 291 sh (20.6); 360 (7.3); 414 sh (4.5); 472 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In CH_3OH solution at rt. sh is shoulder.

The normalized luminescence spectra obtained at 77 K in a MeOH:EtOH (1:4) mixture are reported in Figure 5, and the relevant photophysical parameters are summarized in Table 2. The rhodium derivatives 2, 4, 6 are non emissive in solutions at rt, while the iridium containing complexes 3, 5, 7 display a weak emission, Φ = 0.5-5.2×10⁻³ in de-aerated solution, with mono-exponential decays in the ns range (Table 2 and Figure 1 ESI). At odds with their room temperature behaviour, all complexes 2-7 were found to intensely luminesce at 77 K.

**Figure 5.** Emission spectra of 2-7 in MeOH:EtOH (1:4) mixture at 77 K.
with the other investigated complexes, which display an almost featureless spectral profile. Further, the emissions from the Rh containing complexes are all blue-shifted with respect to that of the corresponding Ir derivatives 3, 5 and 7. The luminescence spectra of the esters 2 and 3 again differ from that of the relevant ionic complexes 4–7, which are almost coincident in pair for instance complexes 4 and 6 for the rhodium series and 5 and 7 for the iridium congener (see Figure 6), as already observed in the case of the absorption spectra. The emission observed at low temperature for the iridium derivatives 3, 5 and 7 is blue-shifted with respect to room temperature, a typical feature of an excited state with charge transfer (CT) nature. In fact, in solid matrix the reorganization of the solvent molecules surrounding the complex following the charge transfer event is prevented, and the excited state is destabilized by the amount of the corresponding reorganization energy. Interestingly, all measured luminescence decays are non-mono exponential with lifetime values in the microsecond range (Table 2). These observations are consistent with the typical mixed \(^1\)LC–\(^3\)MLCT emission originating from the Ir(ppy)\(_2\) moiety.\(^{27a,30}\)

Table 2. Emission parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rt a</th>
<th>(\lambda_{\text{max}}), nm</th>
<th>(\phi)</th>
<th>(\tau), ns</th>
<th>(\lambda_{\text{max}}), nm</th>
<th>(\tau), (\mu)s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 n.d.</td>
<td>- ( - )</td>
<td>490, 524</td>
<td>5.5, 16.0</td>
<td>2 n.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 n.d.</td>
<td>- ( - )</td>
<td>477, 512</td>
<td>7.5, 22.4</td>
<td>4 n.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 n.d.</td>
<td>- ( - )</td>
<td>478, 512</td>
<td>7.4, 22.5</td>
<td>6 n.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 606</td>
<td>0.6×10^4 (0.4×10^4)</td>
<td>37.4 (26.6)</td>
<td>553, 608</td>
<td>8.0, 15.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 606</td>
<td>5.0×10^3 (3.2×10^3)</td>
<td>43.3 (27.3)</td>
<td>518, 568</td>
<td>6.9, 16.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 606</td>
<td>5.2×10^3 (3.0×10^3)</td>
<td>43.6 (27.3)</td>
<td>520, 568</td>
<td>6.5, 16.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) In de-aerated (air-equilibrated) CH\(_2\)OH solution at rt. \(^{b}\) In MeOH:EtOH (1:4) mixture at 77 K. \(\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 390\) nm for steady-state and \(\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 370\) nm for time-resolved measurements. n.d. is not detected.

A closer inspection of the overall spectral profiles reveals the presence of a low intensity peak or a shoulder on the high-energy side of the emission spectra of the iridium derivatives 3, 5 and 7. Further, the ratio between intensity of the low and high energy peaks increase at increasing concentration of the complex (see Figure 2 ESI, complex 7) and it also depend on the excitation wavelength (see Figure 3 ESI). This is consistent with an excimer emission, as it is commonly observed in planar aromatic compounds where strong \(\pi\)-stacking interactions between pairing molecules are present, even in solution. In these cases, broad, structureless, and long-lived emissions with large Stokes shifts are commonly observed. These properties are typical of excimer features, as they indicate a very large displacement of the excited state relative to the geometry of the ground state. These bimolecular excited states can be formed by the direct optical excitation of the dimers already formed in the ground state \((M_1M_2)^\parallel\):

\[
(M_1M_2)^\parallel \rightarrow (M_1^*M_2^*)
\]

or by their excitation as a result of the energy transfer from mono-molecular triplet states:

\[
M_1^* \rightarrow M_1^* \\
M_1^* + (M_1M_2) \rightarrow M_1 + (M_1M_2)^*
\]

In the latter case, a rise time in the luminescence decay of the excited dimeric species should be observed, corresponding to the decay time of the monomer, as a consequence of the energy transfer process. In the systems investigated here we never observed any negative lifetime component in the kinetic analysis of the decays. Thus, it can be concluded that the dimer is already formed in the ground state. This conclusion is also supported by the observation of excitation spectra with different shape when recorded at the wavelength corresponding to the two main emission peaks (Figure 4 ESI).

In order to disentangle the contribution of the different components to the observed global luminescence and to attribute the relevant lifetime to the single monomeric and dimeric species we applied the global analysis to the individual kinetic traces recorded in time-resolved luminescence experiments. The decay-associated spectra (DAS) obtained by plotting the amplitudes of the individual kinetic components as function of the wavelength represent the true spectra of the individual decay components. These should be identical to the spectra that would be obtained if the components were isolated and then measured individually. This is not to be confused with procedures in which time-resolved spectra are recorded at different delay times after the excitation pulse. In contrast to the former, the latter will in general still represent weighted mixtures of the spectra of all decay components. Selected examples of the DAS obtained with the global analysis are reported in Figure 6 and the detailed procedure is reported in the Experimental section.

Figure 6. Decay Associated Spectra (DAS) of 3 (bottom) and 7 (top) in MeOH:EtOH (1:4) at 77 K of monomer (blue line), dimer (red line) and sum of the two components (black line).

\(^{a}\) From the plot of single DAS it is evident the presence of two
species with different spectral shapes and lifetimes. In particular, a higher energy well structured emission with shorter lifetime can be attributed to the monomer (Figure 6, blue line), while the second longer-living component that displays a red-shifted almost unstructured spectrum is attributed to the emission from the dimer formed in the ground state (Figure 6, red line). Similar results have also been observed for the Rh derivatives 2 and 6 (Figure 6 ESI) and the discussion reported above about the behaviour of Ir complexes can be extended to the Rh derivatives as well.

It is interesting to note that all emission spectra recorded directly exciting powdered sample at 77 K display an unstructured and longer living luminescence, which is red-shifted with respect to the relevant emission in MeOH:EtOH frozen mixture (Figure 5 ESI). These might be safely attributed to excited states located on oligomeric species, where the excitons are delocalized over a longer distance with respect to the monomeric species. On the other end, there is no evidence of excimer formation from the emission spectra of Ir complexes in liquid solution at room temperature. This is a clear indication that the dimer formation can take place only in condensed media as a result of the solid state packing interactions.

Conclusions

We have synthesized and fully characterized six Ir(III) and Rh(III) heteroleptic complexes with π-bonded dioxolene ligands. For two of them the x-ray molecular structures are reported, displaying solid-state packing between individual units with π-π interactions that generate 1D supramolecular chain. The photophysical properties of all complexes have been studied in solution at room temperature and in condensed media at low temperature. Notably, all our cyclometallated metal complexes containing π-bonded dioxolene ligands showed a bright emission in condensed media at 77 K, in contrast to that reported for coordination compounds with a non-metalated o-quinoline ligand.

The strong π-π interactions observed in the solid-state are retained also in solution allowing for the formation in the ground state of dimeric species. Emission from monomers and dimers has been observed and the contribution of the individual components has been resolved by means of analysis of the decay associated spectra (DAS). Finally, emissions from oligomeric species in the 1D supramolecular chain formed in the solid state have also been observed.

Experimental

General Information

All reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere. Acetone was distilled from K2CO3. CH2Cl2 was distilled from CaH2. Other reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used as received. Glassware was oven-dried prior to use.1H NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz in CD2Cl2 and data are reported as follows: chemical shift in ppm from tetramethylsilane with the solvent as an internal indicator (CD2Cl2 δ 53.84 ppm), multiplicity with respect to proton (deduced from DEPT experiments). Infra-red (IR) spectra were measured using Tensor 27 (ATR diamond) Bruker spectrometer. IR data are reported as characteristic bands (cm−1). Elemental analyses were performed by microanalytical service of ICSN at Gif-sur-Yvette on a Perkin Elmer 2400 apparatus.

This complex was prepared in two steps: The first step involves the in-situ preparation of the π-bonded ruthenium compound [Cp*Ru(η5-C5H5)]2[Cs] (1-Cs). Thus to a solution of [Cp*Ru(η5-catechol)][OTf] (100 mg, 0.20 mmol) in 5 mL of acetone was added Cs2CO3 (130 mg, 0.40 mmol) and the mixture was left for two hours of stirring. Then in a second step AgOTf (51.4 mg, 0.20 mmol), in 5 mL of acetone is added to a solution of [(mpcpy)Rh(μ-Cl)] (113 mg, 0.10 mmol) in 5 mL of acetone. The resulting AgCl formed is filtered off through a Celite plug. To this filtrate was added the solution of [Cp*M(η5-C5H5)]2[Cs] (1-Cs) formed in-situ and the resulting mixture is allowed to stir during one hour. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue is extracted by 20 mL of dichloromethane and filtered through neutral alumina. The resulting filtrate is then dried under vacuum to give the binuclear coordination assembly [(mpcpy)Rh(1)] (2) was isolated as a yellow-brown solid in 95% yield (166 mg, 0.19 mmol).


NMR 1H (400 MHz, CD2Cl2): 1.70 (15H, s, CH2-Cp*); 3.97 (3H, s, OCH3); 4.05(3H, s, OCH3); 4.42 (1H, td, J=5.5, 1.2 Hz, Hc; 4.46 (1H, td, J=5.5, 1.2 Hz, Hb); 4.83 (1H, dd, J=5.5, 1.2 Hz, He); 4.94 (1H, dd, J=5.5, 1.2 Hz, Hb); 6.00 (1H, d, J=7.8 Hz, H10); 6.19 (1H, d, J=7.8 Hz, H10); 6.75 (1H, td, J=7.8, 1.2 Hz, H9′); 7.67 (1H, td, J=7.8, 1.2 Hz, H9); 8.61 (1H, td, J=7.8, 1.2 Hz, H9′); 8.84 (1H, d, J=5.9 Hz, H11′); 9.27 (1H, d, J=5.9 Hz, H11).

NMR 13C (100 MHz, CD2Cl2): 10.0 (CH2-Cp*); 52.4 (OCH3); 52.6 (OCH3); 75.2 (Ce); 76.4 (Cd); 76.2 (Cc); 78.2 (Cb); 88.3 (CqWCp*); 117.7 (C4'); 120.3 (C2'); 121.5 (C8'); 123.7 (C7); 123.8 (C7'); 128.6 (C9'); 128.8 (C9); 132.5 (C10); 133.3 (C10); 137.4 (C3'); 137.5 (C3); 141.6 (Ca); 143.1 (C6); 143.3 (C6'); 147.6 (Cf); 149.5 (C1'); 150.8 (C1); 164.8 (CO'); 164.9 (CO); 165.5 (C5'); 165.7 (C5); 167.7 (C11); 167.9 (C11').

IR (ATR, cm−1): 3051; 2953; 2906; 2849; 1728; 1612; 1580; 1544; 1473; 1432; 1405; 1377; 1301; 1272; 1158; 1113;...
NMR was added TBAOH (0.8M in MeOH, 138µL, 0.11 mmol) in CH solution of AgOTf (51.4 mg, 0.20 mmol), in 5 mL of acetone is 48.7 µmol). In a flask containing [(mpcpy)Rh(CO').(C4)] (6) Rh (1) was obtained as a red-brown solid in 89 % yield (63.1 mg, 44.5 µmol).

NMR ^1H (400 MHz, CDCl3) is obtained as a yellow-green solid in 95 % (40.9 mg, 47.7 µmol.).

IR (ATR, cm\(^{-1}\)): 3050; 2962; 2930; 2871; 1609; 1577; 1533; 1473; 1380; 1343; 1225; 1153; 1110; 1059; 1028; 877; 770; 733; 694; 664; 595; 531; 474; 425; 347; 306; 270; 241.

[(Lipepy),Rh(1)] (6)

In a Schlenk tube containing [(mpcpy),Rh(1)] (43.7 mg, 0.05 mmol) was added LiOH (7.30 mg, 0.30 mmol) in 3 mL of EtOH/H2O mixture (9/1). This mixture is allowed to stir overnight. After drying under vacuum, [(Lipepy),Rh(1)] (6) is obtained as a yellow-green solid in 95 % (40.9 mg, 47.7 µmol.).

Anal. Calcd. For C\(_{78}\)H\(_{128}\)Ru.3EtO: C, 50.65; H, 4.73; N, 2.68 . Found: C, 50.75; H, 4.30; 2.35.

NMR ^1H (400 MHz, CDCl3) is a 1.74 (15H, s, CH\(_2\)-Cp*) ; 4.63 (2H, m, Hc) ; 4.98 (1H, m, He) ; 6.02 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H10) ; 6.19 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, H10) ; 6.68 (2H, m, H9) ; 6.81 (2H, m, H8) ; 6.87 (CH, m, H7) ; 7.03 (1H, m, H6) ; 8.44 (1H, d, J = 1.2 Hz, H4) ; 8.61 (1H, d, J = 5.8 Hz, H1) ; 9.02 (1H, d, J = 5.7 Hz, H1). NMR ^13C (100 MHz, CD3OD) is 10.7 (CH\(_2\)-Cp*) ; 77.0 (Ce) ; 78.6 (Cd) ; 78.9 (Cq) ; 79.4 (Cp) ; 90.9 (Cq-Cp*) ; 119.1 (C4') ; 119.2 (C4) ; 122.2 (C2') ; 122.7 (C2) ; 122.8 (C8') ; 122.9 (C8) ; 124.5 (C7) ; 124.7 (C7') ; 129.4 (C9') ; 129.6 (C9) ; 134.5 (C10') ; 135.2 (C10) ; 140.3 (Ca) ; 145.1 (C1) ; 145.8 (C6) ; 146.0 (C6') ; 148.0 (C3') ; 148.1 (C3) ; 150.0 (C1) ; 151.4 (C1) ; 166.7 (C5') ; 166.8 (C5) ; 167.6 (C11) ; 168.0 (C11') ; 171.9 (CO) ; 172.0 (CO').
Dicarboxyl assembly

Crystal data for 3: 2 RhH2IrN2O3Ru, monoclinic, C 2/c, a = 22.2991(14), b = 24.5147(15), c = 18.4529(12) Å, β = 122.944(3)°, V = 1825.2(1) Å³, Z = 8, T = 200(2) K, χ2 = 3.552 mm²/s, 44781 reflections measured, 7451 independent (Rint = 0.0268), 5257 observed [I≥2σ(I)], 483 parameters, final R indices R1 = 0.0581 and wR2 (all data) = 0.1884, GOF on F² = 1.095, max/residual electron density = 2.39/-0.97 e Å⁻³.

Atoms of the organometallic moiety were refined anisotropically.

H atoms were placed at calculated positions. DIAMOND was used to create graphical illustrations.

CCDC 974512, 974513, contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

**Photophysics**

Absorption spectra of dilute CH3OH solutions (c = 2×10⁻⁵ M) at 578 nm were obtained using Perkin-Elmer Lambda 950 UV/Vis/NIR spectrophotometer. Steady-state photoluminescence spectra were measured in air-equilibrated and de-aerated solutions at rt, using an Edinburgh FLS920 fluorimeter, equipped with a Peltier-cooled R928P (200-850 nm) Hamamatsu PMT. Luminescence measurements at 77 K of MeOH:EtOH (1:4) mixtures were performed by employing quartz capillary tubes immersed in liquid nitrogen, and hosted within homemade quartz cold finger dewar. Luminescence quantum yields (ϕ) at rt were evaluated by comparing wavelength integrated intensities (I) of the corrected emission spectra with reference [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂ (ϕ = 0.028 in air-equilibrated water), by using the following equation:

\[ \phi = \phi_r \left( \frac{n^2}{A} \right) \]

where A and ϕ are the absorbance values at the employed excitation wavelength, and n and r are the refractive indexes of the solvents, respectively for the investigated and the reference compound. The concentration was adjusted to obtain absorbance values A ≤ 0.1 at the excitation wavelengths. Band maxima and relative luminescence intensities are obtained with uncertainties of 2 nm and 1%, respectively.

Luminescence lifetimes were obtained using a Jobin-Yvon IBH 5000F TCSPC apparatus equipped with a TBX Picosecond Photon Detection Module and NanoLED/SpectraLED pulsed excitation sources. Analysis of luminescence decay profiles against time was accomplished using the Decay Analysis Software DAS6 provided by the manufacturer. The lifetime values were obtained with an estimated uncertainty of 10%.

 Decay associated spectra (DAS) were obtained by global analysis of the kinetic data by using the global fitting module of the decay absorption spectra (DAS) 6.5 software (HORIBA Jobin Yvon) on the basis of a multi-exponential model:

\[ F(\lambda, t) = \sum A_i \exp(-t/\tau_i) \]

The goodness of the multi-component fitting was evaluated by the global χ² parameter and weighted residuals. The wavelength dependences of the individual kinetic components were plotted as decay associated spectra.
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