RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

Page 1 of 7 RSC Advances

ARTICLE

Journal Name RSCPublishing

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

Cu2ZnSnS4 Thin Films: Spin Coating Synthesis and Photoelectrochemistry

Jing Wang, Peng Zhang*, Xuefeng Song, and Lian Gao*

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Cu2ZnSnS⁴ (CZTS) has aroused great interest in both photovoltaic and photoelectrochemical applications as a low cost and environment-amicable solar absorber material. The development of facile and green chemical route for preparation of well crystallized and stable CZTS photoelectrode still remains a challenge. We present here the preparation of well crystallized CZTS thin films using a facile spin-coating method based on methanol solution and their applications as photoelectrocathode for hydrogen production. The bare CZTS thin films demonstrate outstanding photoelectrochemical (PEC) efficiency and chemical stability, which are further improved by surface modification of CdS and $TiO₂$ layers using chemical bath and atomic layer deposition, respectively. The incident-photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) and long term photoelectrochemistry of the CZTS thin films are measured. The characterization of XRD, Raman, SEM, and UV-vis absorption is also performed.

Introduction

The direct conversion of solar to chemical energy through photoelectrochemical (PEC) process has long been a target of researcher, which represents a green and renewable path to produce hydrogen and/or oxygen. Since the pioneer work of hydrogen production on TiO_2 ^[1] many oxide semiconductors have been investigated as photoelectrodes, *e.g.* TiO₂,^[2] WO₃,^[3] Cu₂O_,^[4] $Fe₂O₃$ ^[5,6] BiVO₄.^[7] However, none of the semiconductor has been proved to be ideal photoelectrocatalyst due to the limitations in either band gap, chemical stability, electron conductivity, or safety. Chalcogenide semiconductors represent one of great candidates for applications in PEC reaction due to their proper band potentials and absorption properties in the visible region. The relatively negative potential of S_{3p} makes sulfides good photocatalysts for solar water splitting. CdS, *e.g.* has been proved to be an almost ideal photoelectrocatalyst for solar hydrogen production.[8,9] However, the photocorrosion of $S²$ and the toxicity of Cd element remain an obstacle for their commercial applications. Exploration of other sulfides with environmental friendly elements and chemical stability, therefore, arouses great attentions.

 $Cu(InGa)Se₂$ (CIGS) has been applied in sustainable highefficiency solar cells and used as a PEC photocathode.^[10,11] Owing to the scarcity and high cost of In and Ga elements in CIGS, another compound with similar structure, $Cu₂ZnSnS₄ (CZTS)$, has attracted intense emphasis as visible light absorber material with earthabundant and environment-friendly compositions. CZTS possesses a high absorption coefficient of over 10^4 cm⁻¹ and optimal band gap of approximately 1.5 eV . [12-14] This p-type semiconductor was originally applied as solar absorber in solar cells. Great progress in the efficiencies has been achieved. Katagiri *et al.*[15] prepared CZTS solar cells by sputtering and vapor deposition with an efficiency of 6.7%. Recently, an efficiency of 12.0% have been achieved in $Cu₂ZnSn(Se,S)₄ solar cells after optical-designing.^[16]$ </sup>

The direct applications of CZTS in photoelectrochemical reaction have been recently investigated and the preparation method been extended to ambient conditions. In 2008, Scragg et al.^[17] investigated PEC Eu^{3+} reduction of CZTS prepared by electroplating metallic precursors sequentially following by sulfurization. Yokoyama *et al.*^[18] reported H_2 evolution for the first time on cosputtered CZTS thin films with the structure of $Pt/TiO₂/CdS/CZTS/Mo$, and a solar-to- $H₂$ conversion efficiency of 1.2% was obtained.

CZTS thin films were generally prepared by directly depositing the compound on substrates through physical or chemical deposition under vacuum. Various non-vacuum methods have now been developed to lower the cost of preparation. Electrodeposition,^[19] successive ionic layer adsorption reaction (SILAR),^[20] sol-gel spin coating,^[21] and ture solution^[22] *etc.* have been reported. In many cases, toxic H_2S stream is needed to sulfidize the compounds. During PEC application, large dark currents were often observed due to the photocorrosion of the sulfides and/or the presence of pinholes. The chemical stability of this compound as PEC material therefore still remains a challenge. Porous nanocrystalline Cu₂ZnSnS₄ films, prepared by a facile metal organic decomposition (MOD) method^[23], have showed superior performance to a dense one. However, a continuous degradation on the photocurrent is still observed.

Herein, we adopted a facile spin coating method to directly synthesize CZTS thin films without further H₂S treatment. The thin films show very small dark current and outstanding efficiencies during PEC reaction in basic electrolyte. The surface modification with CdS and $TiO₂$ on CZTS electrodes have been shown to improve both the efficiencies and the stabilities of this sulfide photoelectrode.

Experimental Section

Synthesis of CZTS film: Copper (II) dichloride dihydrate $(CuCl₂ H₂O)$, zinc chloride $(ZnCl₂)$, tin (II) chloride dihydrate $(SnCl₂·H₂O)$ and thiourea $(CH₄N₂S)$ were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd and used without further purification. They were used as precursors for CZTS. Thiourea (1 mol L^{-1}), zinc chloride (0.2 mol L^{-1}), tin dichloride (0.15 mol L^{-1}) and copper dichloride $(0.15 \text{ mol L}^{-1})$ were dissolved in absolute methanol (50 ml) as precursor solution. The fluorine-doped $SnO₂$ (FTO) substrates were cleaned successively with acetone, ethanol, and miliQ water by ultra-sonication and dried with nitrogen blow. Subsequently, the precursor solution was spin coated on FTO at 2000 rpm for 20 s, then heated at 150° C in air. The spin coating and drying can be repeated several times to obtain desired thicknesses. The as-synthesized CZTS thin films were then annealed at 350 °C in argon for 1h at a heating rate of 5° C min⁻¹. After cooling to room temperature, the color of CZTS thin film turned from dark yellow to shiny black.

Chemical bath deposition (CBD) for CdS: CdS coating layer was prepared by chemical bath deposition. he as-prepared CZTS film on FTO glass was submerged into the CBD solution containing $CdI₂$, thiourea, and ammonia. After deposition, the substrate was taken out, rinsed with Milli-Q water and dried with nitrogen blow. The CZTS/CdS thin film was then heated at 200 °C for 30 min in air.

*Atomic layer deposition (ALD) of TiO*₂: TiO₂ protective layer deposited on CZTS/CdS thin films using an ALD system (Savannah 100, Ultratech, USA). Titanium dioxide $(TiO₂)$ was deposited at 200 $^{\circ}$ C, using tetrakis-dimethylamino titanium (TDMAT) and H₂O as Ti and O precursors, respectively. Exposed substrate was masked with Kapton tape prior to deposition. $TiO₂$ was deposited in pulse mode under a nitrogen flow of 20 sccm. TDMAT was held in the chamber for 0.1 s, then $H₂O$ was persisted for 0.015 s, and the wait period of 10 seconds for both precursors. The growth rate per cycle (GPC) for $TiO₂$ was 0.4 Å per cycle at 200°C. The $TiO₂$ deposition was performed for 500 circles and a layer thickness of 20 nm is achieved.

Characterization of the thin films: The crystallographic structures of the as-synthesized thin films were identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Ultima IV, Rigaku Co., LTD, Japan Cu K α , λ = 1.54178 Å). The phase was confirmed by Raman spectrometer (Bruker Optics Senterra R200-L). Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) of the as-obtained products were performed on NOVA Nano SEM 230 and X-Max80, respectively. UV-vis absorption was carried out by UV-vis spectroscopy (UV-2450).

Photoelectrochemical (PEC) measurements: All PEC measurements were performed using a three-electrode

experimental setup (CHI660D electrochemical workstation, Chenhua, Shanghai) using a side window of a Xenon lamp (300 W, Newport 6258, USA) as light source. A platinum wire and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) acted as the counter and reference electrode, respectively. The $Eu(NO₃)₃•6H₂O$ aqueous solution (0.1 mol L^{-1}) and Na₂SO₄ (0.2 mol L^{-1}) were both used as electrolytes.

Results and Discussion

Fig.1 XRD pattern of as-synthesized CZTS thin film on glass.

Fig. 2 Raman spectrum of CZTS thin film on glass.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the as-synthesized CZTS thin film on glass is shown in Fig. 1. Since the FTO substrate has strong diffraction which blurs the diffraction pattern of the CZTS deposited on it, we show the XRD on the CZTS/glass instead. The diffraction peaks of the thin film sample agrees well with the kesterite CZTS (JCPDS, Card, no.26-0575). The broadened diffraction indicates a nanocrystalline nature of the obtained thin films. The average size of the nanocrystal estimated using Scherrer's equation and Williamson-Hall method is \sim 4.9 and 5.5 nm, respectively. Since the X-ray diffraction peaks are close to those of ZnS (JCPDS, Card, no.65- 0309) and $Cu₂SnS₃$ (JCPDS, Card, no.27-0198). Raman spectrum is characterized to verify the presence of CZTS structure, as shown in Fig. 2. The scattering at 329 cm^{-1} is identical to the literature values of CZTS.^[24] The 9 cm⁻¹ red shift relative to the reported bulk may be due to the size effect.^[25] The absence of peaks at 278 cm^{-1} , 351 cm^{-1} and $295-303$ cm⁻¹, 355 cm⁻¹ suggests the absence of ZnS and

Journal Name RSCPublishing

ARTICLE

 $Cu₂SnS₃$ in the sample.^[25] The XRD and Raman results indicate that the main phase of sample is CZTS.

(a) (b) Acc.V SpotMagn Det WD |
5.00 kV 4.0 5000x TLD 5.0 LAC.of (d) (c) Det WD

Fig.3 The surface SEM images of the CZTS thin film. (a) 5000x; (b) 25000x; (c) 50000x; (d) 100000x. Compact and smooth surface is observed with some well dispersed holes ranging from 100-150 nm in diameter.

The surface SEM images of CZTS thin films are shown in Fig. 3. The samples display a compact feature without any trace of cracks or nanostructures. The cross-sectional image of the thin film, Fig S1 in supporting information, also shown relatively smooth surface and a compact planar morphology of the thin film with no porosity. The average crystal size of 5 nm estimated from XRD patterns therefore indicates a compact polycrystalline nature of the thin films. Holes with diameters of 150-250 nm are observed on the smooth surface of the thin films. These holes might be formed due to the evaporation of the solvents when the thin films were annealed in argon. This is an interesting result because generally porous structures or cracks were resulted upon decomposition of extra sulfur precursors (TAA or thiourea) and solvents.^[23,26,27] A higher surface area in porous structures was proposed to lead to an enhanced photoelectrochemical solar energy conversion efficiency due to a higher density of surface reaction centres.^[23] However, an obvious attenuation on the photocurrent is also observed in continuous illumination of the porous CZTS photocathode. The smooth surface observed in SEM images is consistent with the shiny feature of the thin films shown in Fig. S1.

The optical band gaps of CZTS thin films can be evaluated by its UV-vis absorption spectra, Fig. 4. The band gap (E_g) of a semiconductor can be estimated by equation $(1)^{[17]}$

$$
(\alpha \text{h}v)^2 = \text{A}(\text{h}v - \text{E}_g) \qquad (1)
$$

where α , A, E_g, and v represent the absorption coefficient, proportionality constant, band gap, and light frequency, respectively. The insert shows the plot of $(ahv)^2$ *vs.* photon energy for CZTS thin films. The direct band gaps of CZTS thin films can be obtained by a linear extrapolation of a plot of $(ahv)^2$ versus photon energy. The UV-vis spectra of the CZTS thin films with 3, 4, and 5 coating layers are very close and the band gaps are almost identical, which agree with the literature value.^[12] The absorption spectra and the corresponding band gaps also verify the absence of ZnS and $Cu₂SnS₃$, whose band gaps are 3.7 and 0.93 eV, respectively.^[28]

Fig.4 UV-vis absorption spectra and the photograph of the assynthesized CZTS thin film(s) at room temperature. Insert shows the plot of $(\text{ahv})^2$ vs photon energy.

As a p-type chalcogenide semiconductor, CZTS thin films have the common disadvantage in chemical stability during PEC reaction due to the probable photocorrosion of S^2 and Cu^+ , and fast attenuation is often observed in bare CZTS photoelectrodes.^[29] For improvement of photocurrent, a layer of CdS is generally coated on the surface of the CZTS to build an inner p-n junction, which facilitates the separation of the photon-generated electrons and holes by the builtin electric field. A top layer of $TiO₂$ is also applied to further direct the transfer of photoelectrons to the electrolyte and to separate the sulfides from the electrolyte. The CdS and $TiO₂$ layer thus not only help the separation and transfer of photogenerated charges from the bulk onto solid-liquid interfaces, but protect the CZTS thin films from reaction with the electrolytes.

The photoelectrochemical performance of these compact planar photocathodes for water splitting were characterized by measurement of photocurrents as a function of applied bias, as shown in Fig. 5. The negative photocurrents detected in all the three (a)

Journal Name RSCPublishing

ARTICLE

 0.02

 0.00

Fig. 5 (a) Chopped photocurrents of CZTS, CZTS/CdS, $CZTS/CdS/TiO₂$ thin films as a function of applied bias (vs V_{RHE}) in a conventional three electrode configuration. The potential was swept at 0.01 V s⁻¹ toward positive potential. Light intensity, 15 mW cm⁻²; electrolyte, 0.2 mol L⁻¹ Na₂SO₄ aqueous solution. (b) IPCE of CZTS and CZTS/CdS/TiO₂ samples at 0 V_{RHE} in 0.2 mol L⁻¹ Na₂SO₄ aqueous solution.

samples indicate cathodic surface reactions, that is, proton reduction on the working electrodes. The chopped I-V curves of CZTS, $CZTS/CdS$, and $CZTS/CdS/TiO₂$ all show very small dark currents, which indicates a neglectable corrosion during the I-V characterization. Comparing with the unprotected CZTS photocathodes in other reports,[18,29,30] the as prepared CZTS thin films show superior resistance to photocorrosion. This is ascribable to the compact and crackless feature of the thin films, Fig. 3,

therefore play no bad on the PEC performance, and should not be pinholes as observed in many reports. The compact thin films prepared in this facile spin coating method therefore demonstrate an outstanding chemical stability in PEC reactions. Under illumination of 15 mW cm⁻² and an applied bias of 0 V_{RHE} , the photocurrents of CZTS, CZTS/CdS, and CZTS/CdS/TiO₂ are 0.125 , 0.138 , and 0.165 $mAcm⁻²$, respectively. The coating of CdS and TiO₂ layer improves the conversion efficiencies of the CZTS thin films. The enhancement on the photocurrent by additional surface layers is more notable at lower bias. The cathodic photocurrents at 0.2 V_{RHE} are increased by ca. 2.7 and 3.3 times after deposition of CdS and $TiO₂$ sequently. The improvement of the photocurrent upon deposition of CdS is due to the built-in p-n junctions between CZTS and CdS, which help separation of the generated electron-hole pairs in the depletion zone. At higher bias, $e.g. -0.05$ V_{RHE}, the photocurrent of CZTS is very close to CZTS/CdS. This indicates the saturation of the photocurrents. Coating of $TiO₂$ layer further enhances the photocurrents at the whole range of applied bias and shifts the onset potential of the photocurrents from 0.48 V_{RHE} to 0.68 V_{RHE} . The onset potential of 0.68 V_{RHE} can be a coarse approximation of the flat band potential and valance band potential. Considering the band gap of CZTS of \sim 1.5 V, the conduction band potential is \sim -0.8 V_{RHE} , which is negative enough for hydrogen production in a large range of pH values. This is consistent with the report by Scragg [17] and Rovelli.^[29] The shift of the onset potential as well as the significant improvement on the photocurrents at low applied bias could both be attributed to fluent transfer of electrons through the conduction band of $TiO₂$ to the electrolyte.^[18] The charge accumulation on interfaces thus diminished, which can be confirmed from the apparent disappearing of the transient photocurrents on $CZTS/CdS/TiO₂$. The photocurrent transients, observed in the first moment of light on or off during chopped illumination, are closely related to the level of surface defects.^[5] Therefore, coating of TiO₂ layer significantly diminishes the surface defects and facilitates the transfer of electrons.

The incident-photon-to-current efficiencies (IPCE) for both bare CZTS and CZTS/CdS/TiO₂ are shown in Fig. 5(b). The IPCE of CZTS/CdS/TiO₂ is 5.0% at 380 nm, 0 V_{RHE} , which is 1.2 times that of bare CZTS. This IPCE is This IPCE is still smaller than reported planar CZTS prepared using physical sputtering techniques with CdS and $TiO₂$ coating $^{[18]}$ This could be due to the polycrystalline nature of the thin films that we prepared using wet chemical method. The polycrystalline thin films might possess relatively higher density of high-angle grain boundaries than the physically deposited thin films followed by high temperature sulfurization. The high-angle grain boundaries was proposed to possess the high energy barriers that hinder the charge transport among crystals.[31] The CZTS thin films prepared using eletrochemical deposition on Mo substrate with

CdS and $TiO₂$ layer showed an IPCE of \sim 10% at 380 nm, -0.4 V Ag/AgCl, in 0.1 M Eu³⁺ solution.^[29] This is comparable with the 5.0 % for water splitting in our case considering the fast kinetics of the $Eu³⁺$ reduction. The obtained IPCE of this compact thin film is higher than the 4.2 % of the mesoporous CZTS thin films measured at -0.4 V_{RHE} on 380 nm in 0.5 M $Na₂SO₄$.^[23]

Table 1. Summary of PEC performance of some CZTS photocathodes.

ref	photointensity	Methods of CZTS preparation	Photocathode structure	Photocurrents at $0V_{RHE}$
30	300 W xenon lamp	Sequential electrodeposition following sulfuration at 550°C	Pt/CdS/CZTS/Mo-mesh	1.92 mA $cm2$
18	AM 1.5	Co-sputtering technique	Pt/CZTS Pt/CdS/CZTS Pt/TiO ₂ /CdS/CZTS	Very small 2 mA cm^2 8.5 mA cm ⁻²
29	100 mW cm^2	1. Sequential electrodeposition following sulfuration [30] 2. Simultaneous electrodeposition following sulfuration	Mo/CZTS Mo/CZTS/CdS Mo/CZTS/CdS/AZO/TiO2	$1 \mu A \text{ cm}^2$ 0.1 mA cm ⁻² 1.5 mA cm^{-2}
23	500W xenon lamp	metal organic decomposition	Dense CZTS Porous CZTS	0.075 mA cm ⁻² 0.15 mA cm ⁻²

Various photocurrents for the bare CZTS thin film prepared using different methods have been reported, as summarized in Table 1.[18,23,29,30] The bare CZTS thin films presented herein is superior to most of the other works based on solution methods. We propose that a relative better crystallization of the thin films facilitates charge transport from bulk to the solid-liquid interfaces due to the lower density of defects and grain boundaries. The limited surface area is obviously a disadvantage in PEC reaction because the number of the surface active sites for hydrogen production is less than the porous structures. Therefore, the improvement of the photocurrent after deposition of CdS and $TiO₂$ layer is limited comparing to the samples with higher surface areas, which could be 2 orders of magnitude. ^[29] However, a higher surface area in porous CZTS photocathodes also indicates a higher opportunity in surface chemical corrosion, which thus cause problem in the long term chemical stability.

Photocorrosion and chemical corrosion are the main challenges of many p-type semiconductors for PEC applications. The stability of the thin film in PEC reaction is thus an important aspect to evaluate the performance of a photoelectrode. The long term PEC performance of the CZTS thin films were tested under chopped illumination (every 300 seconds) for more than 3 hours, Fig. 6. N_2 was continuously purged into the electrolyte during the test for maintenance of a stable chemical environment. For the bare CZTS thin film, the dark current is very small in the beginning, as consistent with the linear sweep voltammograms in Fig. 5(a). Upon illumination, large photocurrent transients were observed, as has been ascribed to the rich surface defects and accumulation of charges. When the electrode is illuminated, the generated electrons transfer to solid-electrolyte interface and the holes migrate toward

the back electrode (FTO), which leads to spike currents. The carriers thus immediately accumulated on the electrode-liquid interfaces (including counter electrode). The electrons can get trapped in the surface defects and/or recombine with the holes upon continuous illumination, both of which lead to degradation of the currents until a relative stable current is achieved, as shown in Fig. 6. In the first 4000 s, both the dark current and photocurrents are stable for the bare CZTS thin film, which demonstrates an outstanding stability comparing to the porous CZTS thin films.^[23] The chopped photocurrent of the bare CZTS prepared by electrochemical method $[29]$ totally vanished in less than 600 s. Even considering the light intensity difference, the bare CZTS in this case is still relatively more stable. After 4000 s, the dark current starts to increase and the photocurrent degrades. The spikes for the dark and photocurrents also significantly increase and finally no obvious photocurrent can be observed. This is strongly ascribed to the serious corrosion of the thin films, which leads to formation of high density of surface and even bulk defects. With the coating of CdS and $TiO₂$, the chemical stability is significantly improved. The photocurrent reached steady state after 3000 s (70 min), and retained 96% and 80% of its steady value after 6000 s (100 min) and 12000 s (200 min), respectively. The CZTS/CdS/TiO₂ therefore demonstrate outstanding stability and efficiency in long term PEC reaction.[23,29] Interestingly, the cathodic and anodic transients decrease after long term PEC reaction. The electrochemical corrosion behaviours of the unprotected and coated CZTS thin films were also characterized using cyclic voltammery under dark and light, Fig. S2. Through the whole range of potential window, the currents of the protected CZTS is more than 1 fold smaller than the unprotected one under both dark and light conditions. Additionally, the optical images of bared CZTS thin film have changed after cyclic voltammery, yet the appearance of $CZTS/CdS/TiO₂$ thin film remains unchanged (Fig. S3). These observations further confirm the stability of the $CdS/TiO₂$ coated compact planar CZTS thin films under electrochemical conditions.

The kinetic and/or surface catalytic process for hydrogen production is critical for the PEC surface reduction, which limits the detected photocurrents. The efficiency of the photoreduction on the surface can thus be increased if more reducible reagents be applied as electrolyte, such as Eu^{3+} , which only needs one electron to get reduced into Eu^{2+} . The PEC current-potential $(I-V)$ curves of unprotected CZTS thin films are presented in Fig. 7, which shows a much higher photocurrent in 0.1 mol/L Eu(NO₃)₃ aqueous solution. Photocurrents of 0.19, 0.24, and 0.20 mA cm^2 were observed under 15 mW cm⁻² illumination at -0.5 V_{SCE} for bare CZTS samples with 3, 4, and 5 layers. This is almost 2 times of that of CZTS thin films in Na₂SO₄ solution. The onset potential of the photocurrent is ~ 0.05 V_{SCE} , which is 0.2 V positive relative to the case in Na₂SO₄. Therefore, the PEC performance can be further improved if proper surface catalyst be applied to reduce the overpotential and to catalyze the production of hydrogen. The difference in the photocurrents for samples with different deposition layers reflects the influence of the thin film thickness. The optimal performance in PEC reaction is therefore related to the thin film with 4 layers of deposition, as in the case of aforementioned CZTS/CdS/TiO₂ composite structure. Therefore, by tuning the thickness and surface

Fig. 6 Chopped current-time curves of CZTS and CZTS/CdS/TiO₂ samples at 0 V_{RHE} in a conventional three electrode configuration. Chopped interval, 300 s; light intensity, 15 mW cm^2 ; electrolyte, 0.2 mol L^{-1} Na₂SO₄ aqueous solution.

Fig. 7 Chopped current-potential (I-V) curves of unprotected CZTS thin films with different layers in a conventional three electrode configuration. The potential was swept at 0.01 V s^{-1} toward positive potential. (a) 3 layers; (b) 4 layers; (c) 5 layers. Light intensity, 15 mW cm⁻²; electrolyte, 0.1 mol L^{-1} Eu(NO₃)₃ aqueous solution.

Relative to the thin films with porous nature, the planar thin films have a much lower surface area and therefore smaller number of surface catalytic reaction centers. However, as a trade-off, the planar thin films often possess a better chemical stability and crystallization. For instance, compact and planar $Cu₂O^[4]$ and CZTS ^[18] thin films, with proper protective layers, demonstrated superior photocurrents and photoelectro-stability to the nanostructured thin films. Development of compact planar thin films with better crystallization is therefore another promising strategy to improve PEC performance and reliability of the photoelectrodes. The facile and economic

synthesis of the compact films is thus highly desired. The compact thin films herein reported shows superior chemical stability and PEC performance. With further improvement on the processing techniques for CdS and $TiO₂$ coating, as well as application of surface co-catalysts, the PEC performance of the planar CZTS thin films can be further enhanced.

Conclusions

We present here a facile solution based spin-coating method for the preparation of well crystallized, crackless, and compact CZTS thin films with a band gap of \sim 1.50 eV, which demonstrate outstanding stability and efficiency in long term PEC reaction for hydrogen production. Surface modifications with CdS and $TiO₂$ significantly improve the photocurrents and the chemical stability of CZTS electrode.

Acknowledgements

The authors greatly acknowledge the financial support by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.51172142), Shanghai Municipal Natural Science Foundation (No.12ZR1414300), Starting Foundation for New Teacher of Shanghai Jiao Tong University (No.12X100040119) and the Third Phase of 211 Project for Advanced Materials Science (No. WS3116205006 and WS3116205007).

Notes and references

State Key Lab of Metal Matrix Composites School of Materials Science and Engineering Shanghai Jiao Tong University 800 Dongchuan Rd. Shanghai, P. R. China 200240 E-mail: liangao@mail.sic.ac.cn, pengzhang2010@sjtu.edu.cn

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b0000000x/

- [1] A. Fujishima and K. Honda, *Nature,* 1972, **238**, 37.
- [2] A. J. Cowan, J. Tang, W. Leng, J. R. Durrant and D. R. Klug, *J. Phys. Chem. C,* 2010, **114**, 4208.
- [3] B. Marsen, E. L. Miller, D. Paluselli and R. E. Rocheleau, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy,* 2007, **32**, 3110.
- [4] A. Paracchino, V. Laporte, K. Sivula, M. Grätzel and E. Thimsen, *Nat. Mater,* 2011, **10**, 456.
- [5] P. Zhang, A. Kleiman-Shwarsctein, Y. S. Hu, J. Lefton, S. Sharma, A. J. Forman and E. McFarland, *Energy Environ. Sci.,* 2011, **4**, 1020.
- [6] K. Sivula, F. Le Formal and M. Grätzel, *ChemSusChem*, 2011, **4**, 432.
- [7] W. Luo, Z. Yang, Z. Li, J. Zhang, J. Liu, Z. Zhao, Z. Wang, S. Yan, T. Yu and Z. Zou, *Energy Environ. Sci.,* 2011, **4**, 4046.
- [8] H. Yan, J. Yang, G. Ma, G. Wu, X. Zong, Z. Lei, J. Shi and C. Li, *J. Catal.,* 2009, **266**, 165.
- [9] J. Bai, J. Li, Y. Liu, B. Zhou and W. Cai, *Appl. Catal., B,* 2010, **95**, 408.
- [10] K. Woo, Y. Kim and J. Moon, *Energy Environ. Sci.,* 2012, **5**, 5340.
- [11] H. Ye, H.S. Park, V.A. Akhavan, B.W. Goodfellow, M.G. Panthani, B.A. Korgel and A.J. Bard, *J. Phys. Chem. C,* 2010, **115**, 234.
- [12] H. Katagiri, *Thin Solid Films,* 2005, **480-481**, 426.
- [13] L. Shi, C. Pei , Y. Xu and Q. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2011, **133**, 10328.
- [14] A. Shavel, D. Cadavid, M. Ibáñez, A. Carrete and A. Cabot, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2012, **134**, 1438.
- [15] H. Katagiri, K. Jimbo, W.S. Maw, K. Oishi, M. Yamazaki, H. Araki and A. Takeuchi, *Thin Solid Films,* 2009, **517**, 2455.
- [16] M. T. Winkler, W. Wang, O. Gunawan, H. J. Hovel, T. K. Todorov and D. B. Mitzi, *Energy Environ. Sci. ,* 2014, **7**, 1029.
- [17] J. J. Scragg, P. J. Dale, L. M. Peter, G. Zoppi and I. Forbes, *Phys. Status Solidi B,* 2008, **245**, 1772.
- [18] D. Yokoyama, T. Minegishi, K. Jimbo, T. Hisatomi, G. Ma, M. Katayama, J. Kubota, H. Katagiri and K. Domen, *Appl. Phys. Express,* 2010, **3**, 101202.
- [19] P. Wang, T. Minegishi, G. Ma, K. Takanabe, Y. Satou, S. Maekawa, Y. Kobori, J. Kubota and K. Domen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2012, **134**, 2469.
- [20] N. M. Shinde, D. P. Dubal, D. S. Dhawale, C. D. Lokhande, J. H. Kim and J. H. Moon, *Mater. Res. Bull. ,* 2012, **47**, 302.
- [21] K. Tanaka, N. Moritake, H. Uchiki, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells,* 2007, **91**, 1199.
- [22] G. Wang, W. Zhao, Y. Cui, Q. Tian, S. Gao, L. Huang and D. Pan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 10042.
- [23] X. Wen, W. Luo and Z. Zou, *J. Mater. Chem. A,* 2013, **1**, 15479.
- [24] L. Sun, J. He, H. Kong, F. Yue, P. Yang and J. Chu, Sol. *Energy Mater. Sol. Cells,* 2011, **95**, 2907.
- [25] A. J. Cheng, M. Manno, A. Khare, C. Leighton, S. A. Campbell and E. S. Aydil, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A,* 2011, **29**, 051203.
- [26] X. Yin, C. Tang, M. Chen, S. Adams, H. Wang and H. Gong, *J. Mater. Chem. A,* 2013, **1**, 7927.
- [27] Y. Sun, Y. Zhang, H. Wang, M. Xie, K. Zong, H. Zheng, Y. Shu, J. Liu, H. Yan, M. Zhu and W. Lau, *J. Mater. Chem. A,* 2013, **1**, 6880.
- [28] M. Wei, Q. Du, D. Wang, W. Liu, G. Jiang and C. Zhu, *Mater. Lett. ,* 2012, **79**, 177.
- [29] L. Rovelli, S. D. Tilley and K. Sivula, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces,* 2013, **5**, 8018.
- [30] G. Ma, T. Minegishi, D. Yokoyama, J. Kubota and K. Domen, *Chem. Phys. Lett.,* 2011, **501**, 619.
- [31] S. C. Warren, K. Voïtchovsky, H. Dotan, C. M. Leroy, M. Cornuz, F. Stellacci, C. Hébert, A. Rothschild and M. Grätzel, *Nat. Mater.*, 2013, **12**, 842.

Keywords

Cu2ZnSnS4 thin films, photoelectrochemistry, IPCE

Jing Wang, Peng Zhang*, Xuefeng Song, and Lian Gao*

Title

Cu2ZnSnS4 Thin Films: Spin Coating Synthesis and Photoelectrochemistry

ToC figure

CZTS thin films possessing outstanding photoelectrochemical (PEC) efficiency and chemical stability have been prepared using facile spin coating method and their properties can be further improved by surface modification of CdS and TiO₂ layers.